Inserting figures and evaluated examples

Georgi N. Boshnakov

Abstract

This vignette discusses Rd macros provided by package **Rdpack** for inserting evaluated examples and programmatically created figures. These macros are convenience wrappers around the native capabilities provided by the Rd parser. The macros work in Rd files and roxygen2 comments. This vignette is part of package Rdpack, version 2.1.3.

Keywords: examples, graphics, figures, Rd, R.

1. Evaluated examples

Sometimes the documentation of an object becomes more clear if accompanied by snippets of R code and their results. The standard Rd macro \Sexpr caters for a number of possibilities to evaluate R code and insert the results and the code in the documentation. The Rd macro \printExample provided by package "Rdpack" builds on it to print a snippet of R code and the results of its evaluation. For example,

```
\printExample{2+2; a <- 2*3; a}
gives
2 + 2
##: 4
a <- 2 * 3
a
##: 6
```

Note that each command is printed on a separate line even though in this example the source code is on a single line, separated by semicolons¹. Similarly to knitr, the results are prefixed with comment symbols but the code is not prefixed with anything.

The help page of ?Rdpack::promptUsage contains a number of examples created with \printExample. The corresponding Rd file can be obtained from the package tarball or from https://github.com/GeoBosh/Rdpack/blob/master/man/promptUsage.Rd.

2. Section examples with results

The macro \printExample can be used as many times as needed and is typically placed in section *Details* of an object's documentation.

In contrast, the macro \runExamples can be used as a replacement of the whole \examples section in the Rd file. The code and its results are printed just as by \printExample.

For example, if the following code is put at the top level in an Rd file (i.e. not in a section):

¹The argument of \printExample needed to be on a single line with versions of R before R-3.6.0, since the lines after the first were silently ignored, with no errors and no warnings. This should not be a concern if your package requires R >= 3.6.0 anyway or if you can live with somewhat inferior documentation in older versions or R.

```
\runExamples{2+2; a <- 2*3; a}
```

then it will be evaluated and replaced by a normal section examples:

```
\examples{
2 + 2
##: 4
a <- 2 * 3
a
##: 6
}
```

This generated examples section is processed by the standard R tools (almost) as if it was there from the outset. In particular, the examples are run by the R's quality control tools and tangled along with examples in other documentation files². A small example package using this feature is at runExamplesCheck.

3. Creating and including graphs

Figures can be inserted with the help of the standard Rd markup command \figure. The Rd macro \insertFig provided by package Rdpack takes a snipped of R code, evaluates it and inserts the plot produced by it (using \figure). \insertFig takes three arguments: a filename, the package name and the code to evaluate to produce the figure. For example,

```
\insertFig{cars.png}{mypackage}{x <- cars$speed; y <- cars$dist; plot(x,y)}
```

will evaluate the code³, save the graph in file "man/figures/cars.png" subdirectory of package "mypackage", and include the figure using \figure. Subdirectory "figures" is created if it doesn't exist. Currently the graphs are saved in "png" format only.

The sister macro \makeFig creates the graph in exactly the same way as \insertFig but does not insert it. This can be done with a separate \figure command. This can be used if additional options are desired for different output formats, see the description of \figure in "Writing R extensions".

3.1. A technical note

The above description should just work. This note is for users who wonder about technicalities.

The R documentation can be built in many ways and as a result the directory "man/figures/" does not necessarily refer to the developers source package. Indeed, when a package is built, R works on a modified and cleaned-up temporary copy of the source directory, so the figures are created in that copy and then included in the package tarball. Similarly during the package check. On the other hand, R CMD Rd2pdf and some other tools and R functions work directly on the source tree of the package and they will create the figures there.

The net effect is that a package tarball always contains freshly generated up-to-date graphs. Developers who never generate the documentation by other means may not even have the directory man/figures in the source tree of their package (but it will be present in the package tarball).

²In versions of R before 3.6.0, R CMD check used to give warnings about unknown \Sexpr section at top level. See also the note about multiline argument for \printExample.

³See also the remark about using miltiline code in \printExample. For figures this is not a problem at all even in older versions of R, since all preparatory code can be put in a separate \Sexpr, and then \insertFig can be given only the final command producing the graph.

Affiliation:

Georgi N. Boshnakov School of Mathematics The University of Manchester Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

URL: http://www.maths.manchester.ac.uk/~gb/