Birth Data - Loglinear Models

February 5, 2020

- > library(catdata)
- > data(birth)
- > attach(birth)

In the following loglinear models are fitted with the binary variables Sex, Membranes, Cesarean and Induced from the "birth" data. As an overview a contingency table is plotted.

> table1 <- table(Sex, Membranes, Cesarean, Induced)
> ftable(table1)

			Induced	0	1
Sex	Membranes	Cesarean			
1	0	0		177	45
		1		37	18
	1	0		104	16
		1		9	7
2	0	0		137	53
		1		24	12
	1	0		74	15
		1		8	2

Now we start fitting the models. The goal is to find a model with good fit but sparse parametrization.

First the saturated model is fitted, then the model with all 3–factor interactions and the model with all 2–factor interactions, and finally the independence model. To control for model fit we look at the corresponding deviances and degrees of freedom.

- > m4 <- loglin(table1, margin=list(c(1,2,3,4)), fit=TRUE)
- 2 iterations: deviation 0
- > cat("deviance(m4)=", m4\$lrt, "df(m4)=", m4\$df, "\n")

deviance(m4) = 0 df(m4) = 0

- > m3 <- loglin(table1, margin=list(c(1,2,3), c(1,2,4), c(1,3,4), c(2,3,4)), fit=TRUE)
- 3 iterations: deviation 0.02260795
- > cat("deviance(m3)=", m3\$lrt, "df(m3)=", m3\$df, "\n")

```
deviance(m3) = 0.8337201 df(m3) = 1
> m2 <- loglin(table1, margin=list(c(1,2), c(1,3), c(1,4), c(2,3), c(2,4), c(3,4)),
3 iterations: deviation 0.09069387
> cat("deviance(m2)=", m2$lrt, "df(m2)=", m2$df, "\n")
deviance(m2) = 4.765221 df(m2) = 5
> m1 <- loglin(table1, margin=list(c(1), c(2), c(3), c(4)), fit=TRUE)
2 iterations: deviation 1.136868e-13
> cat("deviance(m1)=", m1$lrt, "df(m1)=", m1$df, "\n")
deviance(m1) = 28.91474 df(m1) = 11
   In order to see if a model or rather the reduction of a model is appropriate
we use chi-square tests.
> (df34 <- m3$df - m4$df)
[1] 1
> (dev34 <- m3$1rt - m4$1rt)</pre>
[1] 0.8337201
> 1-pchisq(dev34, df34)
[1] 0.361199
> (df23 <- m2$df - m3$df)
[1] 4
> (dev23 <- m2$1rt - m3$1rt)</pre>
[1] 3.931501
> 1-pchisq(dev23, df23)
[1] 0.4153555
> (df12 <- m1$df - m2$df)
[1] 6
> (dev12 <- m1$lrt - m2$lrt)</pre>
[1] 24.14952
> 1-pchisq(dev12, df12)
```

[1] 0.000490195

Since model "m2" fits the data well but model "m1" is definitely rejected we fit submodels of "m2" by leaving out one of the 2–factor interactions.

```
> m2.GM < -loglin(table1, margin=list(c(1,3), c(1,4), c(2,3), c(2,4), c(3,4)),
                  fit=TRUE)
3 iterations: deviation 0.08317297
> cat("deviance(m2.GM)=", m2.GM$1rt, "df(m2.GM)=", m2.GM$df, "\n")
deviance(m2.GM) = 5.243597 df(m2.GM) = 6
> m2.MC \leftarrow loglin(table1, margin=list(c(1,2), c(1,3), c(1,4), c(2,4), c(3,4)),
3 iterations: deviation 0.05209252
> cat("deviance(m2.MC)=", m2.MC$lrt, "df(m2.MC)=", m2.MC$df, "\n")
deviance(m2.MC) = 9.964879 df(m2.MC) = 6
> m2.CI \leftarrow loglin(table1, margin=list(c(1,2), c(1,3), c(1,4), c(2,3), c(2,4)),
                  fit=TRUE)
3 iterations: deviation 0.07241194
> cat("deviance(m2.CI)=", m2.CI\$lrt, "df(m2.CI)=", m2.CI\$df, "\n")
deviance(m2.CI) = 12.16702 df(m2.CI) = 6
> m2.GI \leftarrow loglin(table1, margin=list(c(1,2), c(1,3), c(2,3), c(2,4), c(3,4)),
                  fit=TRUE)
3 iterations: deviation 0.05997258
> cat("deviance(m2.GI)=", m2.GI$lrt, "df(m2.GI)=", m2.GI$df, "\n")
deviance(m2.GI) = 6.971228 df(m2.GI) = 6
> m2.GC <- loglin(table1, margin=list(c(1,2), c(1,4), c(2,3), c(2,4), c(3,4)),
                  fit=TRUE)
4 iterations: deviation 0.002251441
> cat("deviance(m2.GC)=", m2.GC$1rt, "df(m2.GC)=", m2.GC$df, "\n")
deviance(m2.GC) = 6.565801 df(m2.GC) = 6
> m2.MI < -loglin(table1, margin=list(c(1,2), c(1,3), c(1,4), c(2,3), c(3,4)),
                  fit=TRUE)
4 iterations: deviation 0.0106861
```

```
deviance(m2.MI) = 10.09911 df(m2.MI) = 6
   These six models all have 6 degrees of freedom so that the difference of
degrees of freedom corresponding to model "m2" is 1 in each case.
> 1 - pchisq(m2.GM$1rt - m2$1rt, 1)
[1] 0.4891588
> 1 - pchisq(m2.MC$1rt - m2$1rt, 1)
[1] 0.02259133
> 1 - pchisq(m2.CI$lrt - m2$lrt, 1)
[1] 0.006515878
> 1 - pchisq(m2.GI$1rt - m2$1rt, 1)
[1] 0.1374742
> 1 - pchisq(m2.GC$1rt - m2$1rt, 1)
[1] 0.1796424
> 1 - pchisq(m2.MI$lrt - m2$lrt, 1)
[1] 0.02091462
   Testing of the 2-factor interactions shows that the interactions "MC", "CI"
and "MI" should be kept in the model. In the next step the model that contains
these interactions, G|MC|MI|CI, is fitted.
> m2.GM.GI.GC<- loglin(table1, margin=list(c(1), c(2,3), c(2,4), c(3,4)), fit=TRUE)
3 iterations: deviation 0.06111802
> cat("deviance(m2.GM.GI.GC)=", m2.GM.GI.GC$lrt, "df(m2.GM.GI.GC)=", m2.GM.GI.GC$df,
      "\n")
deviance(m2.GM.GI.GC) = 8.910131 df(m2.GM.GI.GC) = 8
> 1 - pchisq(m2.GM.GI.GC$lrt - m2$lrt, m2.GM.GI.GC$df - m2$df)
[1] 0.2462357
   Comparison with model "m2" shows that reduction is possible. However,
reduction to a model in which the main effect "G" is omitted is rejected.
> m2.G < -loglin(table1, margin=list(c(2,3), c(2,4), c(3,4)), fit=TRUE)
3 iterations: deviation 0.06111802
> cat("deviance(m2.G)=", m2.G$lrt, "df(m2.G)=", m2.G$df, "\n")
deviance(m2.G) = 19.42836 df(m2.G) = 9
> 1 - pchisq(m2.G$1rt - m2$1rt, m2.G$df - m2$df)
```

> cat("deviance(m2.MI)=", m2.MI\$1rt, "df(m2.MI)=", m2.MI\$df, "\n")

[1] 0.005453381