### How lfe works

### Simen Gaure

ABSTRACT. Here is a proof for the demeaning method used in **lfe**, and a description of the methods used for solving the residual equations. As well as a toy-example.

#### 1. Introduction

We assume we have an OLS model in matrix form

$$(1) Y = X\beta + D\alpha + \epsilon$$

where X is a  $(n \times k)$ -matrix, and D is a  $(n \times g)$ -matrix. D is a set of dummies for e category variables. I.e. D is a block matrix,  $D = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & D_2 & \dots & D_e \end{bmatrix}$ . That is, the entries of each  $D_i$  consists of 0 and 1, with only one non-zero entry per row. These are the dummies from a single factor, one column per level. Hence, the columns of each  $D_i$  are pairwise orthogonal. Though, in general,  $D_i$  is not orthogonal to  $D_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .

That is, in R the model will be

where D1, D2, ..., De are arbitrary factors. I.e. an entirely ordinary model which may easily be estimated by lm, or even with sparse-versions of the same.

g is the sum of the number of levels in the factors. Now, suppose  $g \approx 10^6$ , indeed, assume that all the factors have many levels, so that an unmanagable number of dummies will be created when we try to estimate, even if we sweep out the largest.

Then, we must do the math. Let's write the model in a slightly different block matrix form, to get hold of some facts of the Frisch-Waugh-Lovell theorem:

$$Y = \begin{bmatrix} X & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \beta \\ \alpha \end{bmatrix} + \epsilon$$

We get the normal equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} X & D \end{bmatrix}' \begin{bmatrix} X & D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X & D \end{bmatrix}' Y$$

which, when multiplied out, become

$$\begin{bmatrix} X'X & X'D \\ D'X & D'D \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \hat{\beta} \\ \hat{\alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} X' \\ D' \end{bmatrix} Y$$

We then write them as two rows

$$(2) X'X\hat{\beta} + X'D\hat{\alpha} = X'Y$$

$$(3) D'X\hat{\beta} + D'D\hat{\alpha} = D'Y,$$

and assume, for a moment, that we have removed sufficient reference levels from D, so that D'D is invertible. Now, multiply through equation (3) with  $X'D(D'D)^{-1}$  and subtract equation (2) from (3). This removes the  $\hat{\alpha}$ -term from (3). We then name  $P = I - D(D'D)^{-1}D'$  to get

$$X'PX\hat{\beta} = X'PY.$$

Now, note that P is a projection, i.e.  $P = P' = P^2$ , hence we have X'PX = X'P'PX = (PX)'PX and X'PY = X'P'PY = (PX)'PY which yields

$$(4) (PX)'PX\hat{\beta} = (PX)'PY$$

which is the normal equation of the system

$$(5) PY = PX\beta + P\epsilon.$$

That is,  $\hat{\beta}$  may be estimated from system (5), with the dummies removed, taking into account the adjusted degrees of freedom when computing the covariance matrix.

Moreover, by multiplying through equation (3) with  $D(D'D)^{-1}$  and noting that  $D(D'D)^{-1}D' = I - P$ , we get

(6) 
$$(I - P)X\hat{\beta} + D\hat{\alpha} = (I - P)Y$$

which may be reordered as

(7) 
$$Y - (X\hat{\beta} + D\hat{\alpha}) = PY - PX\hat{\beta}$$

showing that the residuals of the projected system (5) equals the residuals of the original system (1).

All this is well-known as the Frisch-Waugh-Lovell theorem, and is not the main point here, that's why we're still in the "Introduction"-section.

# 2. What lfe does about this

The problem is to compute the projection P, so that we may estimate  $\hat{\beta}$  from (5). Whenever e = 1, i.e. a single factor, applying P amounts to subtracting the group-means. This is known as the within-groups transformation, or centering on the means, or *demeaning*. But, what does it look like when we have more factors? Here's the idea behind **lfe**, from [3]:

For each of the factors, we have a demeaning projection  $P_i = I - D_i (D_i' D_i)^{-1} D_i'$ . This is the projection onto the orthogonal complement of the range (column space) of  $D_i$ , called  $R(D_i)^{\perp}$ . These are easy to compute, it's just to subtract the means of each level. Similarly, P is the projection on  $R(D)^{\perp}$ . This one is not yet obvious how to compute.

There is a relation between all these range-spaces:

$$R(D)^{\perp} = R(D_1)^{\perp} \cap R(D_2)^{\perp} \cap \cdots \cap R(D_e)^{\perp}.$$

To see this, consider a vector  $v \in R(D)^{\perp}$ . By definition, it's orthogonal to every column in D, hence to every column in every  $D_i$ , thus v is in the intersection on the right hand side. Conversely, take a v which is in all the spaces  $R(D_i)^{\perp}$ . It's

orthogonal to every column of every  $D_i$ , hence it's orthogonal to every column in D, so it's in  $R(D)^{\perp}$ .

This relation may be written in terms of projections:

$$P = P_1 \wedge P_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge P_e.$$

Now, there's a theorem about projections [4, Theorem 1] which states that for every vector v, we have

(8) 
$$Pv = \lim_{n \to \infty} (P_1 P_2 \cdots P_e)^n v.$$

In R, this looks like (with convergence to a tolerance eps):

```
> Pv <- v
> oldv <- v - 1
> fl <- list(D1, D2, ..., De)
> while (sqrt(sum((Pv - oldv)^2)) >= eps) {
+        oldv <- Pv
+        for (f in fl) Pv <- Pv - tapply(Pv, f, mean)[f]
+ }</pre>
```

So, there's how to compute Pv for an arbitrary vector v, just demean it with each projection in succession, over and over, until it gives up. We do this for every column of X and Y to find PY and PX, and then we may solve  $\hat{\beta}$  from (4). This procedure has been wrapped up with a threaded C-routine in the function felm. Thus, the X1,X2,...Xk can be estimated efficiently by

```
> felm(Y \sim X1 + X2 + ... + Xk + G(D1) + G(D2) + ... + G(De))
```

If there is only one factor (i.e. e = 1), this reduces to the within-groups model.

### 3. The dummies?

To find  $\hat{\alpha}$ , the coefficients of all the dummies, we may write (6) as

$$D\hat{\alpha} = (Y - X\hat{\beta}) - (PY - PX\hat{\beta})$$

where the right hand side is readily computed when we know  $\hat{\beta}$ . There will be no more than e non-zeros in each row of D. This type of sparse system lends itself to solving by the Kaczmarz method ([5]).

The Kaczmarz method may be viewed as a variant of Halperin's Method of Alternating Projections, specifically for solving linear equations. The idea is that in a matrix equation like

$$Dx = b$$

we may view each row of the system  $\langle d_i, x \rangle = b_i$  as an equation defining a hyperplane  $Q_i$  (where  $d_i$  is the *i*'th row of D). The solution set of the system is the intersection of all the hyperplanes  $Q = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \cdots \cap Q_n$ . Thus, again, if the projection onto each  $Q_i$  is easy to compute (it is), we may use (8) on these projections to find a solution, starting from the zero-vector.

In our case, each row of the matrix D has exactly e non-zero entries, and they are equal to unity. This makes the computation of the projection on each  $Q_i$  easy and fast. We don't have to care about rank-deficiency (you do, if you're going to interpret the results); but we do remove consecutive duplicate rows.

Anyway, the Kaczmarz method converges to a solution  $\hat{\alpha}$ . Since we use 0 as our starting point, we compute the projection of the zero-vector onto the solution space, this is, by definition, the solution with minimal norm. From the Kaczmarz

method we don't get any indication of the rank-deficiency. (Though for e=2, this can be inferred from the component-structure returned by **getfe**.) The method requires little memory, and it's way faster then most other methods.

A drawback is that the Kaczmarz method is not immediately parallelizable (though there's a variant by Cimmino which is, each iteration projects the point onto each hyperplane, then the next approximation is the centroid of these projections), and it does not yield any covariance matrix or standard errors. This is the default method used by getfe.

Alternatively, one may choose a sparse Cholesky solver. That is, we have from (3) that

$$D'D\hat{\alpha} = D'(Y - X\hat{\beta}).$$

In the case e=1, we have that D'D is diagonal, this is the within-groups case, and  $\hat{\alpha}$  is just the group-means of the residuals  $Y-X\hat{\beta}$ . In the general case, we have a large, but sparse, linear system. This may be solved with the methods in package **Matrix**. This procedure has been packaged in the function **getfe**.

Now, it turns out that identification, hence interpretation, of the coefficients, may be a complicated affair. The reason is that the matrix D'D may be rank-deficient in unexpected ways. It's sometimes not sufficient to remove a reference-level in each factor. In the case e=2 these difficulties are well understood and treated in [1] and [2], as well as implemented in **Ife**. For larger e, this problem is harder, **Ife** uses a pivoted Cholesky-method to find linear dependencies in D'D, and removes them, but the resulting interpretation of the coefficients are in general not well understood. (This, of course, applies to the Kaczmarz method too).

## 4. An example

First we create a couple of covariates:

oldv <- Pv

> set.seed(41)

```
> x < - rnorm(500)
> x2 <- rnorm(length(x))
> x3 <- rnorm(length(x))
    Then we create some random factors, not too many levels, just for illustration,
and some effects:
> f1 <- factor(sample(7, length(x), replace = TRUE))</pre>
> f2 <- factor(sample(4, length(x), replace = TRUE))</pre>
> f3 <- factor(sample(3, length(x), replace = TRUE))</pre>
> eff1 <- rnorm(nlevels(f1))
> eff2 <- rexp(nlevels(f2))
> eff3 <- runif(nlevels(f3))
    Then we create an outcome with some normal residuals:
> y < -x + 0.5 * x2 + 0.25 * x3 + eff1[f1] + eff2[f2] + eff3[f3] +
       rnorm(length(x))
    Now, for illustration, create a demeaning function according to (8):
> demean <- function(v, fl) {</pre>
       Pv <- v
       oldv \leftarrow v - 1
       while (\operatorname{sqrt}(\operatorname{sum}((\operatorname{Pv} - \operatorname{oldv})^2)) >= 1e-07) {
```

```
for (f in fl) Pv <- Pv - tapply(Pv, f, mean)[f]
      }
      Pv
+ }
and demean things
> f1 <- list(f1, f2, f3)
> Py <- demean(y, fl)
> Px \leftarrow demean(x, f1)
> Px2 < - demean(x2, f1)
> Px3 <- demean(x3, f1)
And then we estimate it
> summary(lm(Py ~ Px + Px2 + Px3 - 1))
Call:
lm(formula = Py ~ Px + Px2 + Px3 - 1)
Residuals:
    Min
             1Q Median
                             3Q
                                    Max
-2.7367 -0.6249 -0.0114 0.7014 3.0506
Coefficients:
    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
    Px2 0.50988
                0.04541 11.228 < 2e-16 ***
Px3 0.22739
                0.04346
                         5.232 2.48e-07 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 0.991 on 497 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.586,
                                  Adjusted R-squared: 0.5835
F-statistic: 234.5 on 3 and 497 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
Note that 1m believes there are too many degrees of freedom, so the standard errors
are too small.
   The function felm in package lfe adjusts for the degrees of freedom, so that we
get the same standard errors as if we had included all the dummies:
> summary(est <- felm(y ~ x + x2 + x3 + G(f1) + G(f2) + G(f3)))
   felm(formula = y ~ x + x2 + x3 + G(f1) + G(f2) + G(f3))
               1Q
                  Median
                                 3Q
-2.73674 -0.62486 -0.01140 0.70142 3.05056
Coefficients:
   Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
               0.04539 23.472 < 2e-16 ***
  1.06543
               0.04597 11.092 < 2e-16 ***
x2 0.50988
x3 0.22739
               0.04400
                        5.168 3.46e-07 ***
```

```
Signif. codes: 0 '*** 0.001 '** 0.01 '* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' 1
Residual standard error: 1.003 on 485 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.9271 Adjusted R-squared: 0.9249
F-statistic: 411.4 on 15 and 485 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
*** Standard errors may be slightly too high due to more than 2 groups
   We also illustrate how to fetch the group coefficients. We adjust the estimates
to be comparable with the ones from lm.
> alpha <- getfe(est)</pre>
> r2 <- alpha["f2.1", "effect"]
> r3 <- alpha["f3.1", "effect"]
> alpha[1:7, "effect"] <- alpha[1:7, "effect"] + r2 + r3
> alpha[8:11, "effect"] <- alpha[8:11, "effect"] - r2</pre>
> alpha[12:14, "effect"] <- alpha[12:14, "effect"] - r3</pre>
> print(alpha, digits = 5)
         effect obs comp fe idx
f1.1 3.7660274 67
                      1 f1
f1.2 2.1057235 65
                       1 f1
f1.3 -0.7916539 70
                      1 f1
f1.4 3.9051240 65
                      1 f1
f1.5 0.0034456 85
                       1 f1
f1.6 2.6331931
                78
                       1 f1
                             6
f1.7 2.4589590 70
                       1 f1
f2.1 0.0000000 140
                       1 f2
f2.2 1.2719890 120
                      1 f2
f2.3 0.1704565 114
                      1 f2
                      1 f2
f2.4 2.0841700 126
f3.1 0.0000000 151
                      1 f3
f3.2 -0.1576456 173
                             2
                      1 f3
f3.3 -0.2215718 176
                      1 f3
   Here's the same estimation in 1m, with dummies:
> summary(lm(y ~ x + x2 + x3 + f1 + f2 + f3 - 1))
lm(formula = y ~ x + x2 + x3 + f1 + f2 + f3 - 1)
Residuals:
    Min
             1Q Median
                            3Q
                                   Max
-2.7367 -0.6249 -0.0114 0.7014 3.0506
Coefficients:
    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
    x2 0.509879 0.045968 11.092 < 2e-16 ***
                         5.168 3.46e-07 ***
xЗ
    0.227387
                0.043999
f11 3.766027
                0.155905 24.156 < 2e-16 ***
f12 2.105724
                0.162775 12.936 < 2e-16 ***
f13 -0.791654   0.157569   -5.024   7.12e-07 ***
```

```
f14 3.905124
               0.165229
                         23.635 < 2e-16 ***
f15 0.003446
               0.148566
                          0.023
                                  0.9815
f16 2.633193
               0.151044
                         17.433 < 2e-16 ***
f17 2.458959
               0.157898
                         15.573 < 2e-16 ***
f22 1.271989
               0.127112
                         10.007
                                 < 2e-16 ***
f23 0.170457
               0.127707
                          1.335
                                  0.1826
f24 2.084170
               0.124974
                         16.677
                                 < 2e-16 ***
f32 -0.157646
               0.112902
                         -1.396
                                  0.1633
f33 -0.221572
               0.113139
                         -1.958
                                  0.0508 .
```

Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\* 0.001 '\*\* 0.01 '\* 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 1.003 on 485 degrees of freedom Multiple R-squared: 0.9271, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9249 F-statistic: 411.4 on 15 and 485 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

### References

- [1] J. M. Abowd, F. Kramarz, and D. N. Margolis, *High Wage Workers and High Wage Firms*, Econometrica **67** (1999), no. 2, 251–333.
- [2] M. Andrews, L. Gill, T. Schank, and R. Upward, High wage workers and low wage firms: negative assortative matching or limited mobility bias?, J.R. Stat. Soc.(A) 171(3) (2008), 673–697.
- [3] S. Gaure, OLS with Multiple High Dimensional Category Dummies, (to appear) (2011).
- [4] I. Halperin, The Product of Projection Operators, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 23 (1962), 96–99.
- [5] Kaczmarz, A. (1937). Angenäherte Auflösung von Systemen linearer Gleichungen. Bulletin International de l'Academie Polonaise des Sciences et des Lettres 35, 355–357.

THE RAGNAR FRISCH CENTRE FOR ECONOMIC RESEARCH, OSLO, NORWAY