Examples from Multilevel Software Comparative Reviews

Douglas Bates
R Development Core Team
Douglas.Bates@R-project.org

May 16, 2005

Abstract

The Center for Multilevel Modelling at the Institute of Education, London maintains a web site of "Software reviews of multilevel modeling packages". The data sets discussed in the reviews are available at this web site. We have incorporated these data sets in the lme4 package for R and, in this vignette, provide the results of fitting several models to these data sets.

1 Introduction

2 Two-level normal models

The Exam data set is used in fitting examples of two-level normal multilevel models.

```
> system.time(Em1 <- lmer(normexam ~ standLRT + sex + schqend +
     (1 \mid school), Exam), gc = TRUE)
[1] 0.15 0.01 0.15 0.00 0.00
> summary(Em1)
Linear mixed-effects model fit by REML
Formula: normexam ~ standLRT + sex + schgend + (1 | school)
  Data: Exam
                     logLik deviance REMLdeviance
              BIC
9361.673 9405.834 -4673.837 9325.501
                                        9347.673
Random effects:
Groups Name
                     Variance Std.Dev.
        (Intercept) 0.085829 0.29297
school
Residual
                     0.562534 0.75002
# of obs: 4059, groups: school, 65
Fixed effects:
               Estimate Std. Error DF t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -1.0493e-03 5.5569e-02 4054 -0.0189
                                                 0.98494
            5.5975e-01 1.2450e-02 4054 44.9601 < 2.2e-16
standLRT
            -1.6739e-01 3.4100e-02 4054 -4.9089 9.519e-07
schgendboys 1.7769e-01 1.1347e-01 4054 1.5659
schgendgirls 1.5900e-01 8.9403e-02 4054 1.7784
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
           (Intr) stnLRT sexM
                                schgndb
           -0.014
standLRT
sexM
           -0.316 0.061
schgendboys -0.395 -0.003 -0.145
schgendgrls -0.622 0.009 0.197 0.245
```

There are some interesting aspects of data management that show up in the analysis of these data. The **student** variable is an identifier of the student within the **school**. It would be best to combine the indicators of school and student to get a unique identifier of the student.

```
> Exam$ids <- with(Exam, school:student)[, drop = TRUE]
> str(Exam)
`data.frame':
                    4059 obs. of 11 variables:
 $ school : Factor w/ 65 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
 $ normexam: num 0.261 0.134 -1.724 0.968 0.544 ...
$ schgend : Factor w/ 3 levels "mixed","boys",..: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
 $ schavg : num 0.166 0.166 0.166 0.166 ...
 $ vr
          : Factor w/ 3 levels "bottom 25%", "mid 50%",..: 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...
 $ intake : Factor w/ 3 levels "bottom 25%", "mid 50%",..: 1 2 3 2 2 1 3 2 2 3 ...
 $ standLRT: num 0.619 0.206 -1.365 0.206 0.371 ...
$ sex : Factor w/ 2 levels "F", "M": 1 1 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 2 ...
          : Factor w/ 2 levels "Mxd", "Sngl": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
$ student : Factor w/ 650 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 143 145 142 141 138 155 158 115 117 113 ...
         : Factor w/ 4055 levels "1:1","1:4","1:6",..: 48 49 47 46 45 50 51 39 40 38 ...
```

Notice that there are 4059 observations but only 4055 unique levels of student within school. We can check the ones that are duplicated > Exam\$ids(which(duplicated(Exam\$ids)))

```
[1] 43:86 50:39 52:2 52:21
4055 Levels: 1:1 1:4 1:6 1:7 1:13 1:14 1:16 1:17 1:19 1:22 1:27 ... 65:155
```

One of these duplicated cases is particularly interesting. One of the students with the duplicated student id 86 in school 43 is the only male student in this mixed school. This is probably a case of a misrecorded school.

3 Three-level Normal Models

These results are from the 1997 A-level Chemistry exam. The school is nested in lea (local education authority) and has unique levels for each of the 2410 schools. It is a good practice to make the nesting explicit by specifying the grouping factors as the 'outer' factor, lea in this case, and the interaction of the outer and inner factors, lea:school or school:lea in this case. This will ensure unique levels for each school within lea combination.

To fit the model mC2 we increase the number of EM iterations from its default of 20 to 40. Without this change the current version of the optim function in R will declare convergence to an incorrect optimum. By increasing the number of EM iterations we are able to get closer to the optimum before calling optim and converge to the correct value. The optim function will be patched so this change will not be needed in future versions of R.

Data from the 1997 A-level Chemistry exam are available as Chem97.

```
> str(Chem97)
data frame'
                    31022 obs. of 8 variables:
 $ lea
           : Factor w/ 131 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
           : Factor w/ 2410 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
 $ school
 $ student : Factor w/ 31022 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ...
          : num 4 10 10 10 8 10 6 8 4 10 ...
          : Factor w/ 2 levels "M", "F": 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...
 $ gender
           : num 3 -3 -4 -2 -1 4 1 4 3 0 ...
 $ age
 $ gcsescore: num 6.62 7.62 7.25 7.50 6.44 ...
 $ gcsecnt : num 0.339 1.339 0.964 1.214 0.158 ...
> system.time(mC1 <- lmer(score ~ 1 + (1 | lea:school) + (1 |
     lea), Chem97), gc = TRUE)
[1] 3.52 0.05 3.57 0.00 0.00
> summary(mC1)
Linear mixed-effects model fit by REML
Formula: score ~ 1 + (1 | lea:school) + (1 | lea)
  Data: Chem97
     ATC
          BIC logLik deviance REMLdeviance
157881.8 157915.2 -78936.9 157869.9
Random effects:
                       Variance Std.Dev.
lea:school (Intercept) 2.74981 1.6583
```

```
(Intercept) 0.15343 0.3917
 lea
Residual
                       8.51591 2.9182
# of obs: 31022, groups: lea:school, 2410; lea, 131
Fixed effects:
              Estimate Std. Error
                                   DF t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 5.3189e+00 5.8108e-02 31021 91.536 < 2.2e-16
> system.time(mC2 <- lmer(score ~ gcsecnt + (1 | school) +
     (1 \mid lea), Chem97, control = list(niterEM = 40)), gc = TRUE)
[1] 1.59 0.01 1.59 0.00 0.00
> summary(mC2)
Linear mixed-effects model fit by REML
Formula: score ~ gcsecnt + (1 | school) + (1 | lea)
  Data: Chem97
                     logLik deviance REMLdeviance
     AIC
             BIC
141707.2 141748.9 -70848.58 141685.8
                                        141697.2
Random effects:
Groups Name
                     Variance Std.Dev.
 school
         (Intercept) 1.163183 1.07851
         (Intercept) 0.020849 0.14439
lea
Residual
                    5.153861 2.27021
# of obs: 31022, groups: school, 2410; lea, 131
                                   DF t value Pr(>|t|)
             Estimate Std. Error
(Intercept) 5.6377e+00 3.2353e-02 31020 174.26 < 2.2e-16
           2.4726e+00 1.6907e-02 31020 146.25 < 2.2e-16
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
        (Intr)
gcsecnt 0.056
```

4 Two-level models for binary data

The data frame Contraception provides data from the Bangladesh fertility survey.

```
> str(Contraception)
                   1934 obs. of 6 variables:
$ woman : Factor w/ 1934 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ...
 $ district: Factor w/ 60 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
         : Factor w/ 2 levels "N", "Y": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
Š use
$ livch : Factor w/ 4 levels "0","1","2","3+": 4 1 3 4 1 1 4 4 2 4 ...
 $ age
          : num 18.44 -5.56 1.44 8.44 -13.56 ...
$ urban : Factor w/ 2 levels "N","Y": 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 ...
> summary(Contraception[, -1])
                       livch
   district
              use
                                     age
                               Min. :-13.560000
14
      : 118
              N:1175
                       0:530
                                                    N:1372
               Y: 759 1:356
1
       : 117
                                1st Qu.: -7.559900
                                                    Y: 562
                              Median : -1.559900
       : 86
                       2:305
 46
       : 67
                       3+:743 Mean : 0.002198
 6
       : 65
                                3rd Qu.: 6.440000
                                Max. : 19.440000
 (Other):1420
```

```
> system.time(mB1 <- lmer(use ~ urban + age + livch + (1 |
      district), Contraception, family = binomial))
[1] 0.74 0.01 0.75 0.00 0.00
> summary(mB1)
Generalized linear mixed model fit using PQL
Formula: use ~ urban + age + livch + (1 | district)
  Data: Contraception
 Family: binomial ( logit link)
     AIC BIC logLik deviance
 2429.664 2474.203 -1206.832 2413.664
Random effects:
                     Variance Std.Dev.
Groups Name
district (Intercept) 0.20917 0.45735
Residual 0.97208 0.98594
# of obs: 1934, groups: district, 60
Estimated scale (compare to 1) 0.9844111
Fixed effects:
             Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -1.6606460 0.1452147 -11.4358 < 2.2e-16
           0.7193097 0.1183317 6.0788 1.211e-09
-0.0261558 0.0078152 -3.3468 0.0008176
urbanY
           1.0921026 0.1565011 6.9782 2.989e-12
livch1
livch2
           1.3545533 0.1729641 7.8314 4.824e-15
livch3+
           1.3241531 0.1773558 7.4661 8.262e-14
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
       (Intr) urbanY age livch1 livch2
urbanY -0.300
        0.446 -0.046
age
livch1 -0.589 0.059 -0.211
livch2 -0.631 0.094 -0.378 0.488
livch3+ -0.748 0.098 -0.674 0.539 0.619
> system.time(mB2 <- lmer(use ~ urban + age + livch + (1 |
      district), Contraception, family = binomial, method = "Laplace"))
[1] 13.95 0.02 14.00 0.00 0.00
> summary(mB2)
Generalized linear mixed model fit using Laplace
Formula: use ~ urban + age + livch + (1 | district)
  Data: Contraception
 Family: binomial ( logit link)
     AIC
            BIC logLik deviance
 2429.616 2474.154 -1206.808 2413.616
Random effects:
Groups Name
                     Variance Std.Dev.
district (Intercept) 0.20917 0.45735
                     0.97208 0.98594
Residual
# of obs: 1934, groups: district, 60
Estimated scale (compare to 1) 0.9844111
Fixed effects:
              Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -1.6897122 0.1452147 -11.6360 < 2.2e-16
```

```
urbanY
            0.7329916 0.1183317 6.1944 5.852e-10
age
           -0.0265952 0.0078152 -3.4030 0.0006665
livch1
           1.1091850 0.1565011 7.0874 1.367e-12
livch2
            1.3763970 0.1729641
                                  7.9577 1.753e-15
            1.3452373 0.1773558 7.5850 3.326e-14
livch3+
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
       (Intr) urbanY age livch1 livch2
urbanY -0.300
       0.446 -0.046
age
livch1 -0.589 0.059 -0.211
livch2 -0.631 0.094 -0.378 0.488
livch3+ -0.748 0.098 -0.674 0.539 0.619
> system.time(mB3 <- lmer(use ~ urban + age + livch + (urban |
     district), Contraception, family = binomial))
[1] 1.33 0.00 1.33 0.00 0.00
> summary(mB3)
Generalized linear mixed model fit using PQL
Formula: use ~ urban + age + livch + (urban | district)
  Data: Contraception
 Family: binomial ( logit link)
     AIC
             BIC logLik deviance
 2419.121 2474.795 -1199.561 2399.121
Random effects:
Groups Name
                    Variance Std.Dev. Corr
district (Intercept) 0.37047 0.60866
        urbanY
                   0.63772 0.79858 -0.793
                    0.95546 0.97747
Residual
# of obs: 1934, groups: district, 60
Estimated scale (compare to 1) 0.9759564
Fixed effects:
            Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -1.6665200 0.1572532 -10.5977 < 2.2e-16
urbanY
           0.7914232 0.1681257 4.7073 2.510e-06
age
           -0.0258502 0.0079082 -3.2688 0.00108
livch1
           1.0987723 0.1580051 6.9540 3.550e-12
livch2
            1.3342511 0.1745854
                                  7.6424 2.132e-14
            1.3227367 0.1795440 7.3672 1.743e-13
livch3+
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
      (Intr) urbanY age livch1 livch2
urbanY -0.481
       0.416 -0.036
age
livch1 -0.548 0.038 -0.211
livch2 -0.586 0.068 -0.378 0.487
livch3+ -0.695 0.062 -0.674 0.537 0.616
> system.time(mB4 <- lmer(use ~ urban + age + livch + (urban |
     district), Contraception, family = binomial, method = "Laplace"))
[1] 26.05 0.03 26.10 0.00 0.00
> summary(mB4)
```

```
Generalized linear mixed model fit using Laplace
Formula: use ~ urban + age + livch + (urban | district)
  Data: Contraception
 Family: binomial ( logit link)
     AIC BIC logLik deviance
 2419.016 2474.69 -1199.508 2399.016
Random effects:
Groups Name
                    Variance Std.Dev. Corr
 district (Intercept) 0.37047 0.60866
        urbanY 0.63772 0.79858 -0.793
                    0.95546 0.97747
# of obs: 1934, groups: district, 60
Estimated scale (compare to 1) 0.9759564
Fixed effects:
             Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
(Intercept) -1.7118541 0.1572532 -10.8860 < 2.2e-16
            0.8152811 0.1681257 4.8492 1.239e-06
urbanY
           -0.0265209 0.0079082 -3.3536 0.0007977
age
livch1
          1.1256978 0.1580051 7.1244 1.045e-12
livch2
          1.3683423 0.1745854 7.8377 4.590e-15
livch3+
            1.3548081 0.1795440 7.5458 4.494e-14
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
       (Intr) urbanY age
                          livch1 livch2
urbanY -0.481
age
       0.416 -0.036
livch1 -0.548 0.038 -0.211
livch2 -0.586 0.068 -0.378 0.487
livch3+ -0.695 0.062 -0.674 0.537 0.616
```

5 Growth curve model for repeated measures data

```
> str(Oxboys)
`data.frame':
                   234 obs. of 4 variables:
 $ Subject : Factor w/ 26 levels "1","10","11",..: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 ...
 $ age : num -1.0000 -0.7479 -0.4630 -0.1643 -0.0027 ...
 $ height : num 140 143 145 147 148 ...
$ Occasion: Factor w/ 9 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 1 ...
 - attr(*, "ginfo")=List of 7
                 :Class 'formula' length 3 height ~ age | Subject
  ..$ formula
  .... attr(*, ".Environment")=length 8 <environment>
  ..$ order.groups: logi TRUE
  ..$ FUN
                :function (x)
  ...- attr(*, "source")= chr "function (x) max(x, na.rm = TRUE)"
  ..$ outer
                 : NULL
  ..$ inner
                : NULL
  ..$ labels
                :List of 2
  .. ..$ age : chr "Centered age"
  .. .. $ height: chr "Height"
  ..$ units :List of 1
  .. .. $ height: chr "(cm)"
```

```
> system.time(mX1 <- lmer(height ~ age + I(age^2) + I(age^3) +
      I(age^4) + (age + I(age^2) \mid Subject), Oxboys), gc = TRUE)
[1] 0.43 0.00 0.43 0.00 0.00
> summary(mX1)
Linear mixed-effects model fit by REML
Formula: height ~ age + I(age^2) + I(age^3) + I(age^4) + (age + I(age^2) |
   Data: Oxbovs
     AIC
           BIC
                     logLik deviance REMLdeviance
 651.9081 693.372 -313.9541 625.3593
                                         627.9081
Random effects:
Groups Name
                      Variance Std.Dev. Corr
 Subject (Intercept) 64.03130 8.00196
                      2.86408 1.69236 0.614
          age
                      0.67428 0.82115 0.215 0.658
          I(age^2)
                       0.21738 0.46624
# of obs: 234, groups: Subject, 26
Fixed effects:
            Estimate Std. Error DF t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 149.01887    1.57032 229 94.8971 < 2.2e-16
            6.17418
                         0.35650 229 17.3190 < 2.2e-16
                        0.35144 229 3.2103 0.001516
I(age^2)
             1.12823
I(age^3)
             0.45385
                        0.16246 229 2.7937 0.005653
                       0.30018 229 -1.2556 0.210554
I(age^4)
            -0.37690
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
        (Intr) age I(g^2) I(g^3)
          0.572
age
I(age^2) 0.076 0.264
I(age<sup>3</sup>) -0.001 -0.340 0.025
I(age<sup>4</sup>) 0.021 0.016 -0.857 -0.021
> system.time(mX2 <- lmer(height ~ poly(age, 4) + (age + I(age^2) |
     Subject), Oxboys), gc = TRUE)
[1] 0.41 0.00 0.40 0.00 0.00
> summary(mX2)
Linear mixed-effects model fit by REML
Formula: height ~ poly(age, 4) + (age + I(age^2) | Subject)
   Data: Oxboys
     AIC
              BIC
                     logLik deviance REMLdeviance
 640.8686 682.3324 -308.4343 625.3593
                                          616.8686
Random effects:
Groups Name
                      Variance Std.Dev. Corr
 Subject (Intercept) 64.03114 8.00195
                      2.86407 1.69236 0.614
          age
          I(age^2)
                       0.67428 0.82115 0.215 0.658
Residual
                       0.21738 0.46624
# of obs: 234, groups: Subject, 26
Fixed effects:
               Estimate Std. Error DF t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 149.51976 1.59026 229 94.0222 < 2.2e-16
poly(age, 4)1 64.54095
                         3.32780 229 19.3945 < 2.2e-16
poly(age, 4)2 4.20322 1.02361 229 4.1063 5.597e-05 poly(age, 4)3 1.29077 0.46628 229 2.7682 0.006098
poly(age, 4)3
poly(age, 4)4 -0.58547 0.46630 229 -1.2556 0.210554
```

6 Cross-classification model

```
`data.frame':
                    3435 obs. of 6 variables:
$ verbal : num 11 0 -14 -6 -30 -17 -17 -11 -9 -19 ...
 $ attain : num 10 3 2 3 2 2 4 6 4 2 ...
 $ primary: Factor w/ 148 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
 $ sex : Factor w/ 2 levels "M", "F": 1 2 1 1 2 2 2 1 1 1 ...
 $ social : num 0 0 0 20 0 0 0 0 0 ...
$ second : Factor w/ 19 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 9 9 9 9 9 9 1 1 9 9 ...
> system.time(mS1 <- lmer(attain ~ sex + (1 | primary) + (1 |
     second), ScotsSec), gc = TRUE)
[1] 0.22 0.00 0.22 0.00 0.00
> summary(mS1)
Linear mixed-effects model fit by REML
Formula: attain ~ sex + (1 | primary) + (1 | second)
  Data: ScotsSec
                     logLik deviance REMLdeviance
     AIC BIC
 17137.91 17168.62 -8563.956 17123.49
                                         17127.91
Random effects:
Groups Name
                     Variance Std.Dev.
primary (Intercept) 1.10962 1.0534
 second (Intercept) 0.36966 0.6080
                    8.05511 2.8382
Residual
# of obs: 3435, groups: primary, 148; second, 19
Fixed effects:
             Estimate Std. Error DF t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 5.2552e+00 1.8432e-01 3433 28.5107 < 2.2e-16
           4.9851e-01 9.8255e-02 3433 5.0737 4.109e-07
Correlation of Fixed Effects:
   (Intr)
sexF -0.264
```