# nlsr Background, Development, Examples and Discussion

John C. Nash

2021-08-19

This rather long vignette is to explain the development and testing of **nlsr**, an R package to try to bring the **R** function nls() up to date and to add capabilities for the extension of the symbolic and automatic derivative tools in **R**.

A particular goal in **nlsr** is to attempt, wherever possible, to use analytic or automatic derivatives. The function nls() uses a rather weak forward derivative approximation. A second objective is to use a Marquardt stabilization of the Gauss-Newton equations to avoid the commonly encountered "singular gradient" failure of nls(). This refers to the loss of rank of the Jacobian at the parameters for evaluation. The particular stabilization also incorporates a very simple trick to avoid very small diagonal elements of the Jacobian inner product, though in the present implementations, this is accomplished indirectly. See the section below **Implementation of method** 

In preparing the nlsr package there is a sub-goal to unify, or at least render compatible, various packages in  $\mathbf{R}$  for the estimation or analysis of problems amenable to nonlinear least squares solution.

A large part of the work for this package – particularly the parts concerning derivatives and R language structures – was initially carried out by Duncan Murdoch. Without his input, much of the capability of the package would not exist.

The package and this vignette are a work in progress, and assistance and examples are welcome. Note that there is similar work in the package Deriv (Andrew Clausen and Serguei Sokol (2015) Symbolic Differentiation, version 2.0, https://cran.r-project.org/package=Deriv), and making the current work "play nicely" with that package is desirable.

As a mechanism for highlighting issues that remain to be resolved, I have put double question marks (??) where I believe attention is needed in this document.

### **TODOS**

- explain JN approach to relative offset convergence criterion
- how to insert numerical derivatives when Deriv unable to get result
- approximations for jacfn beyond fwd approximation. How to specify??
- how to force numerical approximations in nlfb() in a manner consistent with that used in optimx::optimr(), that is, to surround the name of jacfn with quotes if it is a numerical approximation, or to provide a logical control to nlxb() for this purpose.

### Summary of capabilities in nlsr

### Functions in nlsr

#### coef.nlsr:

extracts and displays the coefficients for a model estimated by nlxb() or nlfb() in the nlsr structured object.

```
library(nlsr)
ydat<-c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443, 38.558, 50.156, 62.948,
75.995, 91.972)
```

```
tdat<-1:12
# try setting t and y here
t <- tdat
y <- ydat
sol1 \leftarrow nlxb(y\sim100*b1/(1+10*b2*exp(-0.1*b3*t)), start=c(b1=2, b2=5, b3=3))
coef(sol1)
##
       b1
               b2
## 1.9619 4.9092 3.1357
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
print(coef(sol1))
               b2
##
       b1
                      b3
## 1.9619 4.9092 3.1357
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
```

### nlsDeriv, codeDeriv, fnDeriv, newDeriv:

Functions **Deriv** and **fnDeriv** are designed as replacements for the stats package functions **D** and **deriv** respectively, though the argument lists do not match exactly. **newDeriv** allows additional analytic derivative expressions to be added. The following is an expanded and commented version of the examples from the manual for these functions.

```
require(nlsr)
newDeriv() # a call with no arguments returns a list of available functions
    [1] "("
                   "*"
                               "+"
                                          "-"
                                                      "/"
                                                                  " ["
##
   [7] "^"
                               "acos"
                                           "asin"
##
                   "abs"
                                                      "atan"
                                                                  "cos"
## [13] "cosh"
                   "digamma"
                               "dnorm"
                                                      "gamma"
                                                                  "lgamma"
                                          "exp"
                   "pnorm"
## [19] "log"
                               "psigamma" "sign"
                                                      "sin"
                                                                  "sinh"
## [25] "sqrt"
                   "tan"
                               "trigamma" "~"
           # for which derivatives are currently defined
newDeriv(sin(x)) # a call with a function that is in the list of available derivatives
## $expr
## sin(x)
##
## $argnames
## [1] "x"
##
## $required
## [1] 1
##
## $deriv
## cos(x) * D(x)
                  # returns the derivative expression for that function
nlsDeriv(~ sin(x+y), "x") # partial derivative of this function w.r.t. "x"
## cos(x + y)
## CAUTION !! ##
newDeriv(joe(x)) # but an undefined function returns NULL
```

```
## NULL
newDeriv(joe(x), deriv=log(x^2)) # We can define derivatives, even if joe(x) is meanin
nlsDeriv(~ joe(x+z), "x")
\# \log((x + z)^2)
# Some examples of usage
f <- function(x) x<sup>2</sup>
newDeriv(f(x), 2*x*D(x))
nlsDeriv(~ f(abs(x)), "x")
## 2 * abs(x) * sign(x)
nlsDeriv(~ x^2, "x")
## 2 * x
nlsDeriv(~(abs(x)^2), "x")
## 2 * abs(x) * sign(x)
# derivatives of distribution functions
nlsDeriv(~ pnorm(x, sd=2, log = TRUE), "x")
## 0.5 * \exp(\operatorname{dnorm}(x/2, \log = TRUE) - \operatorname{pnorm}(x/2, \log = TRUE))
# get evaluation code from a formula
codeDeriv(~ pnorm(x, sd = sd, log = TRUE), "x")
## {
##
       .expr1 <- x/sd
       .value <- pnorm(x, sd = sd, log = TRUE)
##
##
       .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 1L), list(NULL, "x"))</pre>
       .grad[, "x"] <- 1/sd * exp(dnorm(.expr1, log = TRUE) - pnorm(.expr1,</pre>
##
##
           log = TRUE))
##
       attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad
##
       .value
## }
# wrap it in a function call
fnDeriv(~ pnorm(x, sd = sd, log = TRUE), "x")
## function (x, sd)
## {
##
       .expr1 <- x/sd
       .value <- pnorm(x, sd = sd, log = TRUE)</pre>
##
##
       .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 1L), list(NULL, "x"))</pre>
##
       .grad[, "x"] <- 1/sd * exp(dnorm(.expr1, log = TRUE) - pnorm(.expr1,</pre>
           log = TRUE))
##
##
       attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad
##
       .value
## }
f <- fnDeriv(~ pnorm(x, sd = sd, log = TRUE), "x", args = alist(x =, sd = 2))
f
## function (x, sd = 2)
## {
##
       .expr1 <- x/sd
```

```
##
       .value <- pnorm(x, sd = sd, log = TRUE)</pre>
##
       .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 1L), list(NULL, "x"))</pre>
##
       .grad[, "x"] <- 1/sd * exp(dnorm(.expr1, log = TRUE) - pnorm(.expr1,</pre>
##
           log = TRUE))
##
       attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad
       .value
##
## }
f(1)
## [1] -0.36895
## attr(,"gradient")
##
## [1,] 0.25458
100*(f(1.01) - f(1)) # Should be close to the gradient
## [1] 0.25394
## attr(,"gradient")
## [1,] 0.2533
                       # The attached gradient attribute (from f(1.01)) is
                       # meaningless after the subtraction.
```

### model2rjfun, model2ssgrfun, modelexpr, rjfundoc

These functions create functions to evaluate residuals or sums of squares at particular parameter locations.

```
weed <- c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443,
          38.558, 50.156, 62.948, 75.995, 91.972)
ii <- 1:12
wdf <- data.frame(weed, ii)</pre>
wmod \leftarrow weed \sim b1/(1+b2*exp(-b3*ii))
start1 <- c(b1=1, b2=1, b3=1)
wrj <- model2rjfun(wmod, start1, data=wdf)</pre>
print(wrj)
## function (prm)
## {
##
       if (is.null(names(prm)))
           names(prm) <- names(pvec)</pre>
##
##
       localdata <- list2env(as.list(prm), parent = data)</pre>
##
       eval(residexpr, envir = localdata)
## }
## <bytecode: 0x56443a100230>
## <environment: 0x56443c9d0f98>
weedux <- nlxb(wmod, start=c(b1=200, b2=50, b3=0.3))</pre>
print(weedux)
## nlsr object: x
## residual sumsquares = 2.5873 on 12 observations
                   Jacobian and 7 function evaluations
       after 6
##
##
                      coeff
                                     SE
                                               tstat
                                                                                  JSingval
    name
                                                          pval
                                                                     gradient
## b1
                    196.186
                                     11.31
                                                 17.35 3.167e-08 -1.334e-10
                                                                                       1011
## b2
                    49.0916
                                     1.688
                                                29.08 3.284e-10 -3.589e-09
                                                                                     0.4605
                                                45.69 5.768e-12
                                  0.006863
                                                                                   0.04714
## b3
                    0.31357
                                                                      4.09e-07
```

```
wss <- model2ssgrfun(wmod, start1, data=wdf)</pre>
print(wss)
## function (prm)
##
       resids <- rjfun(prm)</pre>
##
       ss <- as.numeric(crossprod(resids))</pre>
##
       if (gradient) {
##
            jacval <- attr(resids, "gradient")</pre>
            grval <- 2 * as.numeric(crossprod(jacval, resids))</pre>
##
##
            attr(ss, "gradient") <- grval</pre>
##
##
       attr(ss, "resids") <- resids
##
## }
## <bytecode: 0x56443c3d6680>
## <environment: 0x56443c3d9020>
# We can get expressions used to calculate these as follows:
wexpr.rj <- modelexpr(wrj)</pre>
print(wexpr.rj)
## expression({
##
       .expr3 \leftarrow exp(-b3 * ii)
##
       .expr5 < -1 + b2 * .expr3
##
       .expr10 <- .expr5^2
       .value <- b1/.expr5 - weed
##
##
       .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 3L), list(NULL, c("b1",</pre>
            "b2", "b3")))
##
       .grad[, "b1"] <- 1/.expr5
##
##
       .grad[, "b2"] <- -(b1 * .expr3/.expr10)
        .grad[, "b3"] <- b1 * (b2 * (.expr3 * ii))/.expr10
##
##
       attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad
        .value
##
## })
wexpr.ss <- modelexpr(wss)</pre>
print(wexpr.ss)
## expression({
##
       .expr3 \leftarrow exp(-b3 * ii)
##
       .expr5 < -1 + b2 * .expr3
##
       .expr10 <- .expr5^2
##
       .value <- b1/.expr5 - weed
       .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 3L), list(NULL, c("b1",</pre>
##
            "b2", "b3")))
##
       .grad[, "b1"] <- 1/.expr5
##
##
       .grad[, "b2"] <- -(b1 * .expr3/.expr10)
##
        .grad[, "b3"] <- b1 * (b2 * (.expr3 * ii))/.expr10
##
       attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad</pre>
##
       .value
## })
wrjdoc <- rjfundoc(wrj)</pre>
print(wrjdoc)
```

```
## FUNCTION wri
## Formula: weed \sim b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * ii))
                expression({
##
       .expr3 \leftarrow exp(-b3 * ii)
       .expr5 <- 1 + b2 * .expr3
##
##
       .expr10 <- .expr5^2
##
       .value <- b1/.expr5 - weed
##
       .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 3L), list(NULL, c("b1",</pre>
           "b2", "b3")))
##
##
       .grad[, "b1"] <- 1/.expr5
##
       .grad[, "b2"] <- -(b1 * .expr3/.expr10)
##
       .grad[, "b3"] <- b1 * (b2 * (.expr3 * ii))/.expr10
       attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad</pre>
##
##
       .value
## })
## Parameters:
                 b1, b2, b3
## Data:
                 weed, ii
##
## VALUES
## Observations:
                      12
## Parameters:
## b1 b2 b3
## 1 1 1
## Data (length 12):
##
        weed ii
## 1
       5.308 1
## 2
      7.240 2
## 3
      9.638 3
## 4 12.866 4
## 5 17.069 5
## 6 23.192 6
## 7 31.443 7
## 8 38.558 8
## 9 50.156 9
## 10 62.948 10
## 11 75.995 11
## 12 91.972 12
## FUNCTION wrj
## Formula: weed ~ b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * ii))
## Code:
                expression({
##
       .expr3 < -exp(-b3 * ii)
##
       .expr5 < -1 + b2 * .expr3
##
       .expr10 <- .expr5^2
##
       .value <- b1/.expr5 - weed
##
       .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 3L), list(NULL, c("b1",</pre>
           "b2", "b3")))
##
       .grad[, "b1"] <- 1/.expr5
##
##
       .grad[, "b2"] <- -(b1 * .expr3/.expr10)
##
       .grad[, "b3"] <- b1 * (b2 * (.expr3 * ii))/.expr10
##
       attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad
##
       .value
## })
## Parameters:
                 b1, b2, b3
```

```
weed, ii
## Data:
##
## VALUES
                     12
## Observations:
## Parameters:
## b1 b2 b3
   1 1 1
## Data (length 12):
##
        weed ii
## 1
       5.308
             1
       7.240
              2
## 3
       9.638
              3
## 4
     12.866
              4
## 5
     17.069
              5
## 6
      23.192
## 7
      31.443
              7
     38.558 8
## 8
## 9 50.156 9
## 10 62.948 10
## 11 75.995 11
## 12 91.972 12
# We do not have similar function for ss functions
```

#### nlfb

Given a nonlinear model expressed as an expression of the form of a function that computes the residuals from the model and a start vector par, tries to minimize the nonlinear sum of squares of these residuals w.r.t. par. If model(par, mydata) computes an a vector of numbers that are presumed to be able to fit data lhs, then the residual vector is (model(par,mydata) - lhs), though traditionally we write the negative of this vector. (Writing it this way allows the derivatives of the residuals w.r.t. the parameters par to be the same as those for model(par,mydata).)nlfb' tries to minimize the sum of squares of the residuals with respect to the parameters.

The method takes a parameter jacfn which returns the Jacobian matrix of derivatives of the residuals w.r.t. the parameters in an attribute gradient. If this is NULL, then a numerical approximation to derivatives is used. (??which – and can we use the character method to define these?? What about for nlxb??) Putting the Jacobian in the attribute gradient allows us to combine the computation of the residual and Jacobian in the same code block if we wish, since there are generally common computations, though it does make the setup slightly more complicated. See the example below.

The start vector preferably uses named parameters (especially if there is an underlying formula). The attempted minimization of the sum of squares uses the Nash variant (Nash, 1979) of the Marquardt algorithm, where the linear sub-problem is solved by a qr method. We explain how this is done later, as well as giving a short discussion of the relative offset convergence criterion.

```
jj <- matrix(0.0, 12, 3)
 tt <- 1:12
 yy < -exp(-0.1*x[3]*tt)
 zz <- 100.0/(1+10.*x[2]*yy)
 jj[tt,1]
            <-
                 zz
 jj[tt,2]
                -0.1*x[1]*zz*zz*yy
            <-
          <- 0.01*x[1]*zz*zz*yy*x[2]*tt
 jj[tt,3]
 attr(jj, "gradient") <- jj</pre>
 jj
}
cat("try nlfb\n")
## try nlfb
st <- c(b1=1, b2=1, b3=1)
low <- -Inf
up <- Inf
ans1 <- nlfb(st, shobbs.res, shobbs.jac, trace=TRUE)</pre>
## no weights
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## Start:lamda: 1e-04 SS= 10685 at b1 = 1 b2 = 1 b3 = 1 1 / 0
## lamda: 0.001 SS= 177800 at b1 = 7.1383 b2 = 9.1157 b3 = 3.7692 2 / 1
## lamda: 0.01 SS= 19087 at b1 = 1.4903 b2 = 3.072 b3 = 4.9249 3 / 1
## <<lamda: 0.004 SS= 1273.6 at b1 = 0.79487 b2 = 2.0743 b3 = 4.761 4 / 1
## lamda: 0.04 SS= 4265 at b1 = 1.0624 b2 = 1.9667 b3 = 2.3468 5 / 2
## <<lamda: 0.016 SS= 946.57 at b1 = 0.96631 b2 = 2.7886 b3 = 3.5088 6 / 2
## <lamda: 0.0064 SS= 43.28 at b1 = 1.3414 b2 = 4.0037 b3 = 3.5588 7 / 3
## <lamda: 0.00256 SS= 17.386 at b1 = 1.5631 b2 = 4.485 b3 = 3.3895 8 / 4
## <<lamda: 0.001024 SS= 6.6368 at b1 = 1.759 b2 = 4.6938 b3 = 3.2472 9 / 5
## <1amda: 0.0004096 SS= 3.0719 at b1 = 1.8946 b2 = 4.8321 b3 = 3.1681 10 / 6
## <<lamda: 0.00016384 SS= 2.5977 at b1 = 1.9503 b2 = 4.8957 b3 = 3.1413 11 / 7
## <lamda: 6.5536e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 1.961 b2 = 4.9082 b3 = 3.1362 12 / 8
## <<lamda: 2.6214e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 1.9618 b2 = 4.9091 b3 = 3.1357 13 / 9
## <lamda: 1.0486e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 1.9619 b2 = 4.9092 b3 = 3.1357 14 / 10
## <<lamda: 4.1943e-06 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 1.9619 b2 = 4.9092 b3 = 3.1357 15 / 11
## lamda: 4.1943e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 1.9619 b2 = 4.9092 b3 = 3.1357 16 / 12
summary(ans1)
## $residuals
   [1] 0.011900 -0.032755 0.092030 0.208782 0.392634 -0.057594 -1.105728
   [8] 0.715786 -0.107648 -0.348396 0.652593 -0.287568
##
##
## $sigma
## [1] 0.53617
##
## $df
## [1] 3 9
##
## $cov.unscaled
##
            b1
                               b3
                     b2
## b1 0.044472 0.047835 -0.025281
```

```
## b2 0.047835 0.099167 -0.017629
## b3 -0.025281 -0.017629 0.016386
##
## $param
##
     Estimate Std. Error t value
                                   Pr(>|t|)
      1.9619 0.113069 17.351 3.1667e-08
## b1
       4.9092 0.168844 29.075 3.2836e-10
## b2
       3.1357 0.068633 45.688 5.7676e-12
## b3
##
## $resname
## [1] "ans1"
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 2.5873
##
## $nobs
## [1] 12
##
## $ct
## [1] " " " " "
##
## $mt
## [1] " " " " "
##
## $Sd
## [1] 130.1160 6.1654
                          2.7353
##
## $gr
##
               [,1]
## [1,] -3.9574e-08
## [2,] -6.9302e-08
## [3,] 8.8409e-08
##
## $jeval
## [1] 12
##
## $feval
## [1] 16
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "summary.nlsr"
## No jacobian function -- use internal approximation
ans1n <- nlfb(st, shobbs.res, trace=TRUE, control=list(watch=FALSE)) # NO jacfn
## no weights
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## Using default jacobian approximation
## Start:lamda: 1e-04 SS= 10685 at b1 = 1 b2 = 1 b3 = 1 1 / 0
## lamda: 0.001 SS= 177800 at b1 = 7.1383 b2 = 9.1157 b3 = 3.7692 2 / 1
## lamda: 0.01 SS= 19087 at b1 = 1.4903 b2 = 3.072 b3 = 4.9249 3 / 1
## <<lamda: 0.004 SS= 1273.6 at b1 = 0.79487 b2 = 2.0743 b3 = 4.761 4 / 1
```

```
## lamda: 0.04 SS= 4265 at b1 = 1.0624 b2 = 1.9667 b3 = 2.3468 5 / 2
## <lamda: 0.016 SS= 946.57 at b1 = 0.96631 b2 = 2.7886 b3 = 3.5088 6 / 2
## <lamda: 0.0064 SS= 43.28 at b1 = 1.3414 b2 = 4.0037 b3 = 3.5588 7 / 3
## <<lamda: 0.00256 SS= 17.386 at b1 = 1.5631 b2 = 4.485 b3 = 3.3895 8 / 4
## <lamda: 0.001024 SS= 6.6368 at b1 = 1.759 b2 = 4.6938 b3 = 3.2472 9 / 5
## <1amda: 0.0004096 SS= 3.0719 at b1 = 1.8946 b2 = 4.8321 b3 = 3.1681 10 / 6
## <lamda: 0.00016384 SS= 2.5977 at b1 = 1.9503 b2 = 4.8957 b3 = 3.1413 11 / 7
## <<lamda: 6.5536e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 1.961 b2 = 4.9082 b3 = 3.1362 12 / 8
## <<lamda: 2.6214e-05 SS= 2.5873
                                  at b1 = 1.9618 b2 = 4.9091 b3 = 3.1357 13 / 9
## <<lamda: 1.0486e-05 SS= 2.5873
                                  at b1 = 1.9619 b2 = 4.9092 b3 = 3.1357
                                                                           14 / 10
## <<lamda: 4.1943e-06 SS= 2.5873
                                  at b1 = 1.9619 b2 = 4.9092 b3 = 3.1357 15 / 11
## <<lamda: 1.6777e-06 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 1.9619 b2 = 4.9092 b3 = 3.1357 16 / 12
summary(ans1n)
## $residuals
  [1] 0.011900 -0.032755 0.092030 0.208782 0.392634 -0.057594 -1.105728
   [8] 0.715786 -0.107648 -0.348396 0.652593 -0.287568
## $sigma
## [1] 0.53617
##
## $df
## [1] 3 9
## $cov.unscaled
##
            b1
                     b2
## b1 0.044472 0.047835 -0.025281
## b2 0.047835 0.099167 -0.017629
## b3 -0.025281 -0.017629 0.016386
##
## $param
##
     Estimate Std. Error t value
                                  Pr(>|t|)
       1.9619 0.113069 17.351 3.1667e-08
## b1
## b2
              0.168844 29.075 3.2836e-10
       4.9092
## b3
       3.1357
               0.068633 45.688 5.7676e-12
##
## $resname
## [1] "ans1n"
## $ssquares
## [1] 2.5873
##
## $nobs
## [1] 12
##
## $ct
## [1] " " " " "
##
## $mt
## [1] " " " " "
##
## $Sd
## [1] 130.1160
                 6.1654
                         2.7353
##
```

```
## $gr
##
               [,1]
## [1,] -1.9799e-10
## [2,] -2.2944e-11
## [3,] 1.4275e-10
##
## $jeval
## [1] 12
##
## $feval
## [1] 16
##
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "summary.nlsr"
## difference
coef(ans1)-coef(ans1n)
            b1
                        b2
## -1.9613e-09 -4.0744e-09 7.1863e-10
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
```

### nlxb

Given a nonlinear model expressed as an expression of the form lhs ~ formula\_for\_rhs and a start vector where parameters used in the model formula are named, attempts to find the minimum of the residual sum of squares using the Nash variant (Nash, 1979) of the Marquardt algorithm, where the linear sub-problem is solved by a qr method. The process is to develop the residual and Jacobian functions using model2rjfun, then call nlfb.

```
# Data for Hobbs problem
ydat <- c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443,
            38.558, 50.156, 62.948, 75.995, 91.972) # for testing
tdat <- seq along(ydat) # for testing
start1 <- c(b1=1, b2=1, b3=1)
eunsc <- y \sim b1/(1+b2*exp(-b3*tt))
weeddata1 <- data.frame(y=ydat, tt=tdat)</pre>
anlxb1 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=start1, trace=TRUE, data=weeddata1))
## formula: y \sim b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## $watch
## [1] FALSE
##
## $phi
## [1] 1
##
## $lamda
## [1] 1e-04
##
## $offset
## [1] 100
```

```
##
## $laminc
## [1] 10
##
## $lamdec
## [1] 4
##
## $femax
## [1] 10000
##
## $jemax
## [1] 5000
## $rofftest
## [1] TRUE
##
## $smallsstest
## [1] TRUE
## Finished masks check
## datvar:[1] "y" "tt"
## Data variable y : [1] 5.308 7.240 9.638 12.866 17.069 23.192 31.443 38.558 50.156 62.948
## [11] 75.995 91.972
## Data variable tt : [1] 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
## trjfn:
## function (prm)
## {
##
      if (is.null(names(prm)))
##
          names(prm) <- names(pvec)</pre>
##
      localdata <- list2env(as.list(prm), parent = data)</pre>
##
      eval(residexpr, envir = localdata)
## }
## <bytecode: 0x56443a100230>
## <environment: 0x56443ad27f00>
## no weights
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## Start:lamda: 1e-04 SS= 23521 at b1 = 1 b2 = 1 b3 = 1 1 / 0
## lamda: 0.001 SS= 24356 at b1 = 50.886 b2 = -240.72 b3 = -372.91 2 / 1
## lamda: 0.01 SS= 24356 at b1 = 50.183 b2 = -190.69 b3 = -334.2 3 / 1
## lamda: 0.1 SS= 24356 at b1 = 46.97 b2 = -25.309 b3 = -194.81 4 / 1
## lamda: 1 SS= 24356 at b1 = 38.096 b2 = 29.924 b3 = -64.262 5 / 1
## lamda: 10 SS= 24353 at b1 = 18.798 b2 = 3.551 b3 = -2.9011 6 / 1
\#\# << lamda: 4 SS= 21065 at b1 = 4.1015 b2 = 0.86688 b3 = 1.3297 7 / 1
## <lamda: 1.6 SS= 16852 at b1 = 10.248 b2 = 1.2302 b3 = 1.0044 8 / 2
## lamda: 16 SS= 24078 at b1 = 20.944 b2 = 2.9473 b3 = -0.40959 9 / 3
## <lamda: 6.4 SS= 15934 at b1 = 11.771 b2 = 1.3084 b3 = 0.98087 10 / 3
## <<lamda: 2.56 SS= 14050 at b1 = 15.152 b2 = 1.6468 b3 = 0.80462 11 / 4
## <lamda: 1.024 SS= 10985 at b1 = 22.005 b2 = 2.6632 b3 = 0.45111 12 / 5
## <1amda: 0.4096 SS= 6427.1 at b1 = 35.128 b2 = 4.7135 b3 = 0.50974 13 / 6
## <<lamda: 0.16384 SS= 4725 at b1 = 52.285 b2 = 9.2948 b3 = 0.31348 14 / 7
## <lamda: 0.065536 SS= 1132.2 at b1 = 76.446 b2 = 15.142 b3 = 0.41095 15 / 8
## <<lamda: 0.026214 SS= 518.76 at b1 = 96.924 b2 = 24.422 b3 = 0.35816 16 / 9
## <<lamda: 0.010486 SS= 79.464 at b1 = 122.18 b2 = 35.262 b3 = 0.36484 17 / 10
```

```
## <<lamda: 0.0041943 SS= 26.387 at b1 = 141.55 b2 = 42.387 b3 = 0.35215 18 / 11
## <<lamda: 0.0016777 SS= 11.339 at b1 = 160.02 b2 = 45.519 b3 = 0.33738 19 / 12
## <1amda: 0.00067109 SS= 5.2767 at b1 = 176.92 b2 = 47.037 b3 = 0.32443 20 / 13
## <<lamda: 0.00026844 SS= 2.9656 at b1 = 189.12 b2 = 48.279 b3 = 0.31712 21 / 14
## <<lamda: 0.00010737 SS= 2.6003 at b1 = 194.72 b2 = 48.92 b3 = 0.31429 22 / 15
## <<lamda: 4.295e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.04 b2 = 49.075 b3 = 0.31364 23 / 16
## <<lamda: 1.718e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.18 b2 = 49.091 b3 = 0.31357 24 / 17
## <<lamda: 6.8719e-06 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 25 / 18
## <<lamda: 2.7488e-06 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 26 / 19
## lamda: 2.7488e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 27 / 20
summary(anlxb1)
## $residuals
   [1] 0.011900 -0.032755 0.092030 0.208782 0.392634 -0.057594 -1.105728
   [8] 0.715786 -0.107648 -0.348396 0.652593 -0.287568
## attr(,"gradient")
##
              b1
                      b2
                               b3
   [1,] 0.027117 -0.10543
##
                           5.1756
## [2,] 0.036737 -0.14142 13.8849
## [3,] 0.049596 -0.18837 27.7424
## [4,] 0.066645 -0.24858 48.8137
## [5,] 0.089005 -0.32404 79.5373
## [6,] 0.117921 -0.41568 122.4383
## [7,] 0.154635 -0.52241 179.5225
## [8,] 0.200186 -0.63986 251.2937
## [9,] 0.255106 -0.75941 335.5263
## [10,] 0.319083 -0.86828 426.2517
## [11,] 0.390688 -0.95133 513.7254
## [12,] 0.467334 -0.99482 586.0466
##
## $sigma
## [1] 0.53617
##
## $df
## [1] 3 9
##
## $cov.unscaled
##
            b1
                     b2
## b1 444.72299 47.835371 -0.25280589
## b2 47.83537 9.916742 -0.01762908
## b3 -0.25281 -0.017629 0.00016386
##
## $param
##
      Estimate Std. Error t value
## b1 196.18626 11.3069385 17.351 3.1667e-08
## b2 49.09164 1.6884366 29.075 3.2836e-10
## b3
       ##
## $resname
## [1] "anlxb1"
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 2.5873
##
```

```
## $nobs
## [1] 12
##
## $ct
  [1] " " " " " "
##
##
## $mt
## [1] " " " " "
##
## $Sd
  [1] 1.0108e+03 4.6047e-01 4.7144e-02
##
## $gr
##
             [,1]
## b1 -1.6364e-09
## b2 -1.6560e-08
## b3 1.7901e-06
##
## $jeval
## [1] 20
##
## $feval
## [1] 27
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "summary.nlsr"
```

### print.nlsr

Print summary output (but involving some serious computations!) of an object of class nlsr from nlxb or nlfb from package nlsr.

```
### From examples above
print(weedux)
## nlsr object: x
## residual sumsquares = 2.5873 on 12 observations
##
       after 6
                   Jacobian and 7 function evaluations
##
     name
                     coeff
                                     SE
                                              tstat
                                                                    gradient
                                                                                JSingval
                                                          pval
## b1
                    196.186
                                                                   -1.334e-10
                                     11.31
                                                17.35
                                                       3.167e-08
                                                                                      1011
## b2
                    49.0916
                                     1.688
                                                29.08
                                                       3.284e-10
                                                                   -3.589e-09
                                                                                   0.4605
                                                45.69
                                  0.006863
                                                       5.768e-12
                                                                                  0.04714
## b3
                    0.31357
                                                                     4.09e-07
print(ans1)
## nlsr object: x
## residual sumsquares = 2.5873
                                   on 12 observations
##
       after 12
                    Jacobian and
                                   16 function evaluations
##
     name
                     coeff
                                     SE
                                              tstat
                                                         pval
                                                                    gradient
                                                                                JSingval
```

resgr, resss

1.96186

4.90916

3.1357

## b1

## b2

## b3

17.35

3.167e-08

29.08 3.284e-10

45.69 5.768e-12

-3.957e-08

-6.93e-08

8.841e-08

130.1

6.165

2.735

0.1131

0.1688

0.06863

For a nonlinear model originally expressed as an expression of the form lhs  $\sim$  formula\_for\_rhs assume we have a resfn and jacfn that compute the residuals and the Jacobian at a set of parameters. This routine computes the gradient, that is, t(Jacobian) %\*% residuals.

```
computes the gradient, that is, t(Jacobian) %*% residuals.

## Use shobbs example
RG <- resgr(st, shobbs.res, shobbs.jac)
RG

## [1] -10091.3 7835.3 -8234.2

SS <- resss(st, shobbs.res)
SS

## [1] 10685

####nlsSimplify and related functions####

Simplifications to render derivative expresssions more usable.

The related tools are: newSimplification, sysSimplifications, isFALSE, isZERO, isONE, isMINU-
```

The related tools are: newSimplification, sysSimplifications, isFALSE, isZERO, isONE, isMINU-SONE, isCALL, findSubexprs, sysDerivs.

nlsSimplify simplifies expressions according to rules specified by newSimplification.

findSubexprs finds common subexpressions in an expression vector so that duplicate computation can be avoided.

```
## nlsSimplify
nlsSimplify(quote(a + 0))
nlsSimplify(quote(exp(1)), verbose = TRUE)
## Simplifying exp(1)
## Applying simplification:
## $expr
## exp(a)
##
## $argnames
## [1] "a"
##
## $test
## is.numeric(a)
##
## $simplification
## exp(a)
##
## $do_eval
## [1] TRUE
## [1] 2.7183
nlsSimplify(quote(sqrt(a + b))) # standard rule
## sqrt(a + b)
## sysSimplifications
# creates a new environment whose parent is emptyenu() Why??
str(sysSimplifications)
```

```
## <environment: 0x5644395f25d0>
myrules <- new.env(parent = sysSimplifications)</pre>
## newSimplification
newSimplification(sqrt(a), TRUE, a^0.5, simpEnv = myrules)
nlsSimplify(quote(sqrt(a + b)), simpEnv = myrules)
## (a + b)^0.5
## isFALSE
print(isFALSE(1==2))
## [1] TRUE
print(isFALSE(2==2))
## [1] FALSE
## isZERO
print(isZERO(0))
## [1] TRUE
x <- -0
print(isZERO(x))
## [1] TRUE
x <- 0
print(isZERO(x))
## [1] TRUE
print(isZERO(~(-1)))
## [1] FALSE
print(isZERO("-1"))
## [1] FALSE
print(isZERO(expression(-1)))
## [1] FALSE
## isONE
print(isONE(1))
## [1] TRUE
x <- 1
print(isONE(x))
## [1] TRUE
print(isONE(~(1)))
## [1] FALSE
print(isONE("1"))
## [1] FALSE
```

```
print(isONE(expression(1)))
## [1] FALSE
## isMINUSONE
print(isMINUSONE(-1))
## [1] TRUE
x <- -1
print(isMINUSONE(x))
## [1] TRUE
print(isMINUSONE(~(-1)))
## [1] FALSE
print(isMINUSONE("-1"))
## [1] FALSE
print(isMINUSONE(expression(-1)))
## [1] FALSE
## isCALL ?? don't have good understanding of this
x <- -1
print(isCALL(x,"isMINUSONE"))
## [1] FALSE
print(isCALL(x, quote(isMINUSONE)))
## [1] FALSE
## findSubexprs
findSubexprs(expression(x^2, x-y, y^2-x^2))
## {
##
       .expr1 <- x^2
##
       expression(.expr1, x - y, y^2 - .expr1)
## }
## sysDerivs
# creates a new environment whose parent is emptyenv() Why??
str(sysDerivs)
## <environment: 0x56443c47f868>
summary.nlsr
```

Provide a summary output (but involving some serious computations!) of an object of class nlsr from nlxb or nlfb from package nlsr. Examples have been given above under **nlxb** and **nlfb**.

### wrapnlsr

Given a nonlinear model expressed as an expression of the form

```
lhs ~ formula_for_rhs
```

and a start vector where parameters used in the model formula are named, attempts to find the minimum of the residual sum of squares using the Nash variant (Nash, 1979) of the Marquardt algorithm, where the linear sub-problem is solved by a qr method.

```
# Data for Hobbs problem
ydat <- c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443,
            38.558, 50.156, 62.948, 75.995, 91.972) # for testing
tdat <- seq_along(ydat) # for testing
start1 <- c(b1=1, b2=1, b3=1)
eunsc <- y \sim b1/(1+b2*exp(-b3*tt))
weeddata1 <- data.frame(y=ydat, tt=tdat)</pre>
## The following attempt with nls() fails!
anls1 <- try(nls(eunsc, start=start1, trace=TRUE, data=weeddata1))
             (2.08e+00): par = (1 \ 1 \ 1)
## 23521.
## Error in nls(eunsc, start = start1, trace = TRUE, data = weeddata1) :
     singular gradient
## But we succeed by calling nlxb first.
anlxb1 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=start1, trace=TRUE, data=weeddata1))
## formula: y \sim b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## $watch
## [1] FALSE
## $phi
## [1] 1
##
## $lamda
## [1] 1e-04
##
## $offset
## [1] 100
##
## $laminc
## [1] 10
##
## $lamdec
## [1] 4
## $femax
## [1] 10000
##
## $jemax
## [1] 5000
##
## $rofftest
## [1] TRUE
##
## $smallsstest
## [1] TRUE
##
## Finished masks check
## datvar:[1] "y" "tt"
```

```
## Data variable y : [1] 5.308 7.240 9.638 12.866 17.069 23.192 31.443 38.558 50.156 62.948
## [11] 75.995 91.972
## Data variable tt : [1] 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
## trjfn:
## function (prm)
## {
##
      if (is.null(names(prm)))
          names(prm) <- names(pvec)</pre>
##
      localdata <- list2env(as.list(prm), parent = data)</pre>
##
##
      eval(residexpr, envir = localdata)
## }
## <bytecode: 0x56443a100230>
## <environment: 0x56443ca44568>
## no weights
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## Start:lamda: 1e-04 SS= 23521 at b1 = 1 b2 = 1 b3 = 1 1 / 0
## lamda: 0.001 SS= 24356 at b1 = 50.886 b2 = -240.72 b3 = -372.91 2 / 1
## lamda: 0.01 SS= 24356 at b1 = 50.183 b2 = -190.69 b3 = -334.2 3 / 1
## lamda: 0.1 SS= 24356 at b1 = 46.97 b2 = -25.309 b3 = -194.81 4 / 1
## lamda: 1 SS= 24356 at b1 = 38.096 b2 = 29.924 b3 = -64.262 5 / 1
## lamda: 10 SS= 24353 at b1 = 18.798 b2 = 3.551 b3 = -2.9011 6 / 1
## < lamda: 4 SS= 21065 at b1 = 4.1015 b2 = 0.86688 b3 = 1.3297 7 / 1
## <<lamda: 1.6 SS= 16852 at b1 = 10.248 b2 = 1.2302 b3 = 1.0044 8 / 2
## lamda: 16 SS= 24078 at b1 = 20.944 b2 = 2.9473 b3 = -0.40959 9 / 3
## <lamda: 6.4 SS= 15934 at b1 = 11.771 b2 = 1.3084 b3 = 0.98087 10 / 3
## <<lamda: 2.56 SS= 14050 at b1 = 15.152 b2 = 1.6468 b3 = 0.80462 11 / 4
## <lamda: 1.024 SS= 10985 at b1 = 22.005 b2 = 2.6632 b3 = 0.45111 12 / 5
## <<lamda: 0.4096 SS= 6427.1 at b1 = 35.128 b2 = 4.7135 b3 = 0.50974 13 / 6
## <lamda: 0.16384 SS= 4725 at b1 = 52.285 b2 = 9.2948 b3 = 0.31348 14 / 7
## <<lamda: 0.065536 SS= 1132.2 at b1 = 76.446 b2 = 15.142 b3 = 0.41095 15 / 8
## <<lamda: 0.026214 SS= 518.76 at b1 = 96.924 b2 = 24.422 b3 = 0.35816 16 / 9
## <<1amda: 0.010486 SS= 79.464 at b1 = 122.18 b2 = 35.262 b3 = 0.36484 17 / 10
## <<lamda: 0.0041943 SS= 26.387 at b1 = 141.55 b2 = 42.387 b3 = 0.35215 18 / 11
## <<lamda: 0.0016777 SS= 11.339 at b1 = 160.02 b2 = 45.519 b3 = 0.33738 19 / 12
## <<lamda: 0.00067109 SS= 5.2767 at b1 = 176.92 b2 = 47.037 b3 = 0.32443 20 / 13
\#\# << lambda: 0.00026844 SS= 2.9656 at b1 = 189.12 b2 = 48.279 b3 = 0.31712 21 / 14
## <<lamda: 0.00010737 SS= 2.6003 at b1 = 194.72 b2 = 48.92 b3 = 0.31429 22 / 15
\#\# <<lamda: 4.295e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.04 b2 = 49.075 b3 = 0.31364
## <<lamda: 1.718e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.18 b2 = 49.091 b3 = 0.31357 24 / 17
## <<lamda: 6.8719e-06 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 25 / 18
## <<lamda: 2.7488e-06 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 26 / 19
## lamda: 2.7488e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 27 / 20
st2 <- coef(anlxb1)
anls2 <- try(nls(eunsc, start=st2, trace=TRUE, data=weeddata1))</pre>
            (2.94e-08): par = (196.19 49.092 0.31357)
## 2.5873
## Or we can simply call wrapnlsr
anls2a <- try(wrapnlsr(eunsc, start=start1, trace=TRUE, data=weeddata1))
## wrapnls call with lower=[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## and upper=[1] Inf Inf Inf
## formula: y \sim b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
```

```
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## $watch
## [1] FALSE
## $phi
## [1] 1
##
## $lamda
## [1] 1e-04
## $offset
## [1] 100
##
## $laminc
## [1] 10
##
## $lamdec
## [1] 4
##
## $femax
## [1] 10000
##
## $jemax
## [1] 5000
## $rofftest
## [1] TRUE
##
## $smallsstest
## [1] TRUE
##
## Finished masks check
## datvar:[1] "y" "tt"
## Data variable y : [1] 5.308 7.240 9.638 12.866 17.069 23.192 31.443 38.558 50.156 62.948
## [11] 75.995 91.972
## Data variable tt : [1] 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
## trjfn:
## function (prm)
## {
##
       if (is.null(names(prm)))
           names(prm) <- names(pvec)</pre>
##
       localdata <- list2env(as.list(prm), parent = data)</pre>
##
##
       eval(residexpr, envir = localdata)
## <bytecode: 0x56443a100230>
## <environment: 0x56443c522880>
## no weights
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## Start:lamda: 1e-04 SS= 23521 at b1 = 1 b2 = 1 b3 = 1 1 / 0
## lamda: 0.001 SS= 24356 at b1 = 50.886 b2 = -240.72 b3 = -372.91 2 / 1
## lamda: 0.01 SS= 24356 at b1 = 50.183 b2 = -190.69 b3 = -334.2 3 / 1
## lamda: 0.1 SS= 24356 at b1 = 46.97 b2 = -25.309 b3 = -194.81 4 / 1
```

```
SS= 24356
                           b1 = 38.096 b2 = 29.924
                                                      b3 = -64.262
                        at
                         at b1 = 18.798 b2 = 3.551
                                                      b3 = -2.9011
## lamda: 10 SS= 24353
                             b1 = 4.1015 b2 = 0.86688
              SS= 21065
                          at
                                                         b3 = 1.3297
                 SS= 16852
                                b1 = 10.248 b2 = 1.2302
                                                         b3 = 1.0044
## <<lamda: 1.6
                            at
## lamda: 16
              SS= 24078
                         at
                             b1 = 20.944
                                          b2 = 2.9473
                                                       b3 = -0.40959
                                                         b3 = 0.98087
  <<lamda: 6.4
                SS= 15934
                            at
                                b1 = 11.771
                                            b2 = 1.3084
                                                                        10 / 3
  <<lamda: 2.56
                  SS= 14050
                             at
                                 b1 = 15.152 b2 = 1.6468
                                                          b3 = 0.80462
## <<lamda: 1.024
                   SS= 10985
                             at b1 = 22.005 b2 = 2.6632 b3 = 0.45111 12 / 5
  <<lamda: 0.4096
                    SS= 6427.1
                                at
                                   b1 = 35.128 b2 = 4.7135 b3 = 0.50974 13 / 6
                                  b1 = 52.285 b2 = 9.2948 b3 = 0.31348
## <<lamda: 0.16384
                    SS= 4725
                              at
## <<lamda: 0.065536
                      SS= 1132.2
                                  at
                                      b1 = 76.446
                                                   b2 = 15.142
                                                                b3 = 0.41095
## <<lamda: 0.026214
                      SS= 518.76
                                      b1 = 96.924
                                  at
                                                   b2 = 24.422
                                                                b3 = 0.35816
## <<lamda: 0.010486
                      SS= 79.464
                                      b1 = 122.18
                                                   b2 = 35.262
                                                                b3 = 0.36484
                                                                               17 / 10
                                  at
                      SS= 26.387
                                                                 b3 = 0.35215
## <<lamda: 0.0041943
                                   at
                                       b1 = 141.55
                                                   b2 = 42.387
## <<lamda: 0.0016777
                                       b1 = 160.02
                                                    b2 = 45.519
                                                                 b3 = 0.33738
                       SS= 11.339
                                   at
                                                                                19 / 12
## <<lamda: 0.00067109
                        SS= 5.2767
                                        b1 = 176.92
                                                     b2 = 47.037
                                                                  b3 = 0.32443
                                                                                20 / 13
                                    at
## <<lamda: 0.00026844
                        SS= 2.9656
                                        b1 = 189.12
                                                     b2 = 48.279
                                                                  b3 = 0.31712
                                                                                21 / 14
                                    at
## <<lamda: 0.00010737
                        SS = 2.6003
                                        b1 = 194.72
                                                     b2 = 48.92
                                                                 b3 = 0.31429
                                    at
## <<lamda: 4.295e-05
                       SS= 2.5873
                                       b1 = 196.04
                                                    b2 = 49.075
                                                                 b3 = 0.31364
                                                                                23 / 16
                                   at
## <<lamda: 1.718e-05
                       SS = 2.5873
                                   at.
                                       b1 = 196.18
                                                    b2 = 49.091
                                                                 b3 = 0.31357
## <<lamda: 6.8719e-06
                        SS= 2.5873
                                    at.
                                        b1 = 196.19
                                                    b2 = 49.092
                                                                 b3 = 0.31357
                                                                                 25 / 18
## <<lamda: 2.7488e-06
                        SS= 2.5873
                                        b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357
                                    at
## lamda: 2.7488e-05 SS= 2.5873
                                 at
                                      b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 27 / 20
  nlsr object: x
  residual sumsquares =
                          2.5873
                                  on
                                     12 observations
##
       after
              20
                    Jacobian and
                                  27 function evaluations
##
                     coeff
                                    SE
                                                                               JSingval
     name
                                             tstat
                                                        pval
                                                                  gradient
## b1
                    196.186
                                    11.31
                                               17.35
                                                      3.167e-08
                                                                  -1.636e-09
                                                                                    1011
## b2
                    49.0916
                                    1.688
                                               29.08
                                                                 -1.656e-08
                                                                                  0.4605
                                                      3.284e-10
## b3
                    0.31357
                                 0.006863
                                               45.69
                                                      5.768e-12
                                                                    1.79e-06
                                                                                 0.04714
## newstart:
                   b1
                             b2
                                       b3
## 196.18626
             49.09164
                         0.31357
## nls call with no bounds
             (2.94e-08): par = (196.19 49.092 0.31357)
## 2.5873
```

# Particular features

#### weighted nonlinear regression

Weighted regression alters the sum of squares of the parameters prm from

```
sum_{i} (residual_i(prm)^2)
to
sum_{i} (wts_i * residual_i(prm)^2)
```

where wts is the vector of weights. This is equivalent to multiplying each residual or row of the Jacobian by the square root of the respective weight.

While nls() has provision for (fixed) weights, the example given in the documentation of nls() for weighted regression actually uses a functional form. Moreover, the weights are the square roots of the **predicted** or model values, so are not fixed. Here we replace these weights with the fixed values of the quantity we are trying to predict, namely the rate variable in a kinetic model. In our example below we first use the documentation example with predicted values; note that the name of the result has been changed from that in the nls() documentation. This result gives different estimates of the parameters from the fixed weights used afterwards.

Note that neither nlsr::nlxb nor nlsr::nlfb can use the weighted.MM function directly. This is one of the more awkward aspects of nonlinear least squares and nonlinear optimization.

```
Treated <- Puromycin[Puromycin$state == "treated", ]</pre>
weighted.MM <- function(resp, conc, Vm, K)</pre>
{
 ## Purpose: exactly as white book p. 451 -- RHS for nls()
 ## Weighted version of Michaelis-Menten model
 ## -----
 ## Arguments: 'y', 'x' and the two parameters (see book)
 ## -----
 ## Author: Martin Maechler, Date: 23 Mar 2001
 pred <- (Vm * conc)/(K + conc)</pre>
 (resp - pred) / sqrt(pred)
## Here is the estimation using predicted value weights
Pur.wtnlspred <- nls( ~ weighted.MM(rate, conc, Vm, K), data = Treated,
              start = list(Vm = 200, K = 0.1))
summary(Pur.wtnlspred)
##
## Formula: 0 ~ weighted.MM(rate, conc, Vm, K)
##
## Parameters:
     Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
## Vm 2.07e+02 9.22e+00 22.42 7.0e-10 ***
## K 5.46e-02 7.98e-03
                         6.84 4.5e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 1.21 on 10 degrees of freedom
## Number of iterations to convergence: 5
## Achieved convergence tolerance: 3.85e-06
## nlxb cannot use this form
Pur.wtnlxbpred <- try(nlxb( ~ weighted.MM(rate, conc, Vm, K), data = Treated,
              start = list(Vm = 200, K = 0.1))
## Error in deriv.default(residexpr, names(pvec)) :
    Function 'weighted.MM' is not in the derivatives table
## and the structure is wrong for nlfb. See wres.MM below.
Pur.wtnlfbpred <- try(nlfb(resfn=weighted.MM(rate, conc, Vm, K), data = Treated,
              start = list(Vm = 200, K = 0.1))
## Error in weighted.MM(rate, conc, Vm, K) : object 'Vm' not found
## Now use fixed weights and the weights argument in nls()
Pur.wnls <- nls( rate ~ (Vm * conc)/(K + conc), data = Treated,
                 start = list(Vm = 200, K = 0.1), weights=1/rate)
summary(Pur.wnls)
##
## Formula: rate ~ (Vm * conc)/(K + conc)
##
```

```
## Parameters:
     Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                9.01e+00
## Vm 2.10e+02
                          23.27 4.9e-10 ***
## K 6.07e-02
                8.39e-03
                            7.23 2.8e-05 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 1.11 on 10 degrees of freedom
##
## Number of iterations to convergence: 7
## Achieved convergence tolerance: 9.32e-07
## nlsr::nlxb should give essentially the same result
library(nlsr)
## Note that we put in the dataframe name to explicitly specify weights
Pur.wnlxb <- nlxb( rate ~ (Vm * conc)/(K + conc), data = Treated,</pre>
                start = list(Vm = 200, K = 0.1), weights=1/Treated$rate)
summary(Pur.wnlxb)
## $residuals
## [1] -2.755920 0.725597 0.734149 -0.267735 1.091190 -0.330634 0.420283
## [8] 0.997627 -0.136479 -0.838386 -0.580807 -0.095909
## attr(,"gradient")
##
              Vm
                      K
## [1,] 0.24797 -644.41
## [2,] 0.24797 -644.41
## [3,] 0.49729 -863.88
## [4,] 0.49729 -863.88
## [5,] 0.64458 -791.67
## [6,] 0.64458 -791.67
## [7,] 0.78388 -585.42
## [8,] 0.78388 -585.42
## [9,] 0.90227 -304.70
## [10,] 0.90227 -304.70
## [11,] 0.94774 -171.15
## [12,] 0.94774 -171.15
##
## $sigma
## [1] 1.1078
##
## $df
## [1] 2 10
##
## $cov.unscaled
##
            Vm
## Vm 66.089006 4.7937e-02
## K 0.047937 5.7385e-05
##
## $param
       Estimate Std. Error t value
                                    Pr(>|t|)
## Vm 209.596815 9.0058767 23.2733 4.8540e-10
       0.060654 0.0083919 7.2276 2.8322e-05
## $resname
```

## [1] "Pur.wnlxb"

```
##
## $ssquares
## [1] 12.272
##
## $nobs
## [1] 12
##
## $ct
## [1] " " " "
##
## $mt
## [1] " " " "
## $Sd
## [1] 210.28204
                 0.12301
##
## $gr
             [,1]
## Vm -1.3445e-13
## K -7.8870e-12
##
## $jeval
## [1] 9
## $feval
## [1] 10
##
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "summary.nlsr"
## check difference
coef(Pur.wnls) - coef(Pur.wnlxb)
           Vm
## -2.2577e-05 -2.7041e-08
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
## Residuals Pur.wnls -- These are weighted
## resid(Pur.wnlxb) # ?? does not work -- why?
print(res(Pur.wnlxb))
  [1] -2.755920 0.725597 0.734149 -0.267735 1.091190 -0.330634 0.420283
## [8] 0.997627 -0.136479 -0.838386 -0.580807 -0.095909
## attr(,"gradient")
##
              Vm
## [1,] 0.24797 -644.41
## [2,] 0.24797 -644.41
## [3,] 0.49729 -863.88
## [4,] 0.49729 -863.88
## [5,] 0.64458 -791.67
## [6,] 0.64458 -791.67
## [7,] 0.78388 -585.42
## [8,] 0.78388 -585.42
```

```
## [9,] 0.90227 -304.70
## [10,] 0.90227 -304.70
## [11,] 0.94774 -171.15
## [12,] 0.94774 -171.15
## Those from nls() are NOT weighted
resid(Pur.wnls)
## [1] 24.0255 -4.9745 -7.2305
                                     2.7695 -12.1019
                                                       3.8981 -5.2996 -12.2996
## [9]
        1.8862 11.8862 8.3564
                                    1.3564
## attr(,"label")
## [1] "Residuals"
## Try using a function, that is nlsr::nlfb
stw <- c(Vm = 200, K = 0.1)
wres.MM <- function(prm, rate, conc) {</pre>
     Vm <- prm[1]</pre>
     K <- prm[2]</pre>
      pred <- (Vm * conc)/(K + conc)</pre>
    (rate - pred) / sqrt(rate) # NOTE: NOT pred here
}
# test function first to see it works
print(wres.MM(stw, rate=Treated$rate, conc=Treated$conc))
## [1] 4.8942 1.9935 2.2338 3.0936 1.6445 2.9040 1.7051 1.1761 1.5414 2.2079
## [11] 1.6449 1.1785
Pur.wnlfb <- nlfb(start=stw, resfn=wres.MM, rate = Treated$rate, conc=Treated$conc,
                  trace=FALSE) # Note: weights are inside function already here
summary(Pur.wnlfb)
## $residuals
## [1] 2.755920 -0.725597 -0.734149 0.267735 -1.091190 0.330634 -0.420283
## [8] -0.997627 0.136479 0.838386 0.580807 0.095909
##
## $sigma
## [1] 1.1078
##
## $df
## [1] 2 10
##
## $cov.unscaled
##
            Vm
## Vm 66.089008 4.7937e-02
## K 0.047937 5.7385e-05
##
## $param
       Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## Vm 209.596815 9.0058769 23.2733 4.8540e-10
## K
        0.060654 0.0083919 7.2276 2.8322e-05
##
## $resname
## [1] "Pur.wnlfb"
##
## $ssquares
```

```
## [1] 12.272
##
## $nobs
## [1] 12
## $ct
## [1] " " " "
##
## $mt
## [1] " " " "
## $Sd
## [1] 210.28202
                  0.12301
##
## $gr
##
               [,1]
## [1,] -1.3397e-13
## [2,] -2.4158e-12
##
## $jeval
## [1] 9
##
## $feval
## [1] 10
##
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "summary.nlsr"
print(Pur.wnlfb)
## nlsr object: x
## residual sumsquares = 12.272 on 12 observations
##
       after 9
                   Jacobian and 10 function evaluations
     name
                     coeff
                                              tstat
                                                          pval
                                                                    gradient
                                                                                 JSingval
## Vm
                    209.597
                                     9.006
                                                23.27
                                                        4.854e-10
                                                                    -1.34e-13
                                                                                     210.3
## K
                  0.0606538
                                  0.008392
                                                7.228 2.832e-05
                                                                   -2.416e-12
                                                                                     0.123
## check estimates with nls() result
coef(Pur.wnls) - coef(Pur.wnlfb)
## -2.2794e-05 -2.7308e-08
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
## and with nlxb result
coef(Pur.wnlxb) - coef(Pur.wnlfb)
## -2.1700e-07 -2.6637e-10
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
wres0.MM <- function(prm, rate, conc) {</pre>
      Vm <- prm[1]</pre>
```

```
K <- prm[2]</pre>
      pred <- (Vm * conc)/(K + conc)</pre>
    (rate - pred) # NOTE: NO weights
}
Pur.wnlfb0 <- nlfb(start=stw, resfn=wres0.MM, rate = Treated$rate, conc=Treated$conc,
                  weights=1/Treated$rate, trace=FALSE) # Note: explicit weights
summary(Pur.wnlfb0)
## $residuals
## [1] 4.8942 1.9935 2.2338 3.0936 1.6445 2.9040 1.7051 1.1761 1.5414 2.2079
## [11] 1.6449 1.1785
##
## $sigma
## [1] 2.6317
##
## $df
## [1] 2 10
## $cov.unscaled
            Vm
## Vm 70.607853 -3.5304e-02
## K -0.035304 1.7652e-05
##
## $param
## Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## Vm 200.0 22.114175 9.044 3.9605e-06
## K
         0.1 0.011057 9.044 3.9606e-06
##
## $resname
## [1] "Pur.wnlfb0"
## $ssquares
## [1] 69.261
##
## $nobs
## [1] 12
##
## $ct
## [1] " " " "
##
## $mt
## [1] " " " "
##
## $Sd
## [1] 7.4995e+08 1.1901e-01
##
## $gr
              [,1]
## [1,]
           3119894
## [2,] 6239784883
##
## $jeval
## [1] 1
##
```

```
## $feval
## [1] 14
##
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "summary.nlsr"
print(Pur.wnlfb0)
## nlsr object: x
## residual sumsquares = 69.261 on 12 observations
                   Jacobian and 14 function evaluations
##
                     coeff
                                     SE
                                              tstat
     name
                                                                    gradient
                                                                                 JSingval
                                                          pval
## Vm
                                                9.044 3.961e-06
                         200
                                     22.11
                                                                      3119894
                                                                                 749947494
## K
                         0.1
                                   0.01106
                                                9.044 3.961e-06
                                                                     6.24e+09
                                                                                     0.119
## check estimates with nls() result
coef(Pur.wnls) - coef(Pur.wnlfb0)
          Vm
                     K
## 9.596793 -0.039346
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
## and with nlxb result
coef(Pur.wnlxb) - coef(Pur.wnlfb0)
##
          Vm
                     K
## 9.596815 -0.039346
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
wss.MM <- function(prm, rate, conc) {</pre>
  ss <- as.numeric(crossprod(wres.MM(prm, rate, conc)))</pre>
}
library(optimx)
osol <- optimr(stw, fn=wss.MM, gr="grnd", method="Nelder-Mead", control=list(trace=0),
            rate=Treated$rate, conc=Treated$conc)
print(osol)
## $par
##
           Vm
                       K
## 209.596996
               0.060653
##
## $value
## [1] 12.272
## $counts
## function gradient
##
         69
                  NA
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
## $message
## NULL
```

```
## difference from nlxb
osol$par - coef(Pur.wnlxb)
```

```
## Vm K
## 1.8037e-04 -1.2162e-06
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
```

# How QR decomposition is used to effect the Levenberg-Marquardt stabilization

If the nonlinear least squares problem is defined by minimizing the sum of squares of a vector of residuals that are functions of a vector of parameters  $\mathbf{x}$ 

```
r = f(x)
```

Then the minimization of  $S(x) = r^T r$  is our goal.

nls() attempts to minimize a sum of squared residuals by a Gauss-Newton method. If we compute a Jacobian matrix J and a vector of residuals r from a vector of parameters x, then we can define a linearized problem

```
J^T J \ \ delta = - J^T r
```

This leads to an iteration where, from a set of starting parameters x0, we compute

```
x_{i+1} = x_i + \det
```

This is commonly modified to use a step factor step

```
x_{i+1} = x_i + step * \delta
```

It is in the mechanisms to choose the size of step and to decide when to terminate the iteration that Gauss-Newton methods differ. Indeed, though I have tried several times, I find the very convoluted code behind nls() very difficult to decipher. Unfortunately, its authors have now (as far as I am aware) all ceased to maintain the code.

Both nlsr::nlxb() and minpack.lm::nlsLM use a Levenberg-Marquardt stabilization of the iteration. (Nash (1979)), solving

```
(J^T J + \lambda D) \wedge delta = - J^T r
```

where D is some diagonal matrix and lambda is a number of modest size initially. Clearly for \lambda = 0 we have a Gauss-Newton method. Typically, the sum of squares of the residuals calculated at the "new" set of parameters is used as a criterion for keeping those parameter values. If so, the size of \lambda is reduced. If not, we increase the size of \lambda and compute a new \delta. Note that a new 'J', the expensive step in each iteration, is NOT required.

As for Gauss-Newton methods, the details of how to start, adjust and terminate the iteration lead to many variants, increased by different possibilities for specifying D. See Nash (1979).

We could implement the methods using the equations above. However, the accumulation of inner products in J^T J occasions some numerical error, and it is generally both safer and more efficient to use matrix decompositions. In particular, if we form the QR decomposition of J

```
QR = J
```

where Q is an orthonormal matrix and R is Right or Upper triangular, we can easily solve

```
R \neq Q^T r
```

But how do we get the Marquardt stabilization?

If we augment J with a square matrix sqrt(\lambda D) whose diagonal elements are the square roots of \lambda times the diagonal elements of D, and augment the vector r with n zeros where n is the column dimension of J and D, we achieve our goal.

Typically we can use D = 1\_n (the identidy of order n), but Marquardt (1963) showed that using the diagonal elements of J^T J for D. Nash (1977) pointed out that on computers with limited arithmetic (which now are rare since the IEEE 754 standard appeared in 1985), underflow might cause a problem of very small elements in D and proposed adding \phi 1\_n to the diagonals of J^T J before multiplying by \lambda in the Marquardt stabilization. This avoids some awkward cases with very little extra overhead. It is accomplished with the QR approach by appending sqrt(\phi \* \lambda) times a unit matrix 1\_n to the matrix already augmented matrix. We must also append n zeros to the augmented r.

### Relative offset convergence criterion

In October 2020, I suggested a patch to the stats::nls() function to avoid a zero-divide in the relative offset convergence criterion. The explanation of this in the proposed manual file 'nls.Rd' is

### "Warning

### The default settings of nls generally fail on small-residual problems.

The nls function uses a relative-offset convergence criterion that compares the numerical imprecision at the current parameter estimates to the residual sum-of-squares. To avoid a zero-divide in computing the test value, a positive constant convTestAdd should be added to the sum-of-squares. This quantity is set via nls.control(), as in the example below."

### Missing capabilities

### Multi-line function expressions

Multi-line functions present a challenge. This is in part because the chain rule may have to be applied backwards (last line first), but also because there may be structures that are not always differentiable, such as *if* statements.

Note that the functional approach to nonlinear least squares – accessible via function  $\mathtt{nlfb}$  – does let us prepare residuals and Jacobians of complexity limited only by the capabilities of  $\mathbf{R}$ .

#### Automatic differentiation

This is the natural extension of Multi-line expressions. The authors would welcome collaboration with someone who has expertise in this area.

# Vector parameters

We would like to be able to find the Jacobian or gradient of functions that have as their parameters a vector, e.g., prm. At time of writing (January 2015) we cannot specify such a vector within nlsr. ?? is this true?

### Examples of capabilities and weaknesses

The following (rather long) script shows things that work or fail. In particular, it shows that the calls needed to get derivatives need to be set up precisely. This is not unique to R – the same sort of attention to (syntax specific) detail is present in other systems like Macsyma/Maxima.

First we set up the Hobbs weed problem. Initially it seemed a good idea to put the data WITHIN the residual function so that it would not have to be passed to the function. In retrospect, this is a bad idea because y and t are needed. To make the use of the data explicit, it is saved in the variables tdat for time and ydat for the weed data.

```
# tryhobbsderiv.R
ydat<-c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443, 38.558, 50.156, 62.948,
       75.995, 91.972)
tdat<-1:12
# try setting t and y here
t <- tdat
y <- ydat
# now define a function
hobbs.res<-function(x, t, y){ # Hobbs weeds problem -- residual
  # This variant uses looping
# if(length(x) != 3) stop("hobbs.res -- parameter vector n!=3")
# if(abs(12*x[3])>50) {
   res < -rep(Inf, 12)
# } else {
   res < -x[1]/(1+x[2]*exp(-x[3]*t)) - y
# }
}
# test it
start1 < c(200, 50, .3)
## residuals at start1
r1 <- hobbs.res(start1, t=tdat, y=ydat)
print(r1)
## [1] -0.050502 -0.207795 -0.260868 -0.412475 -0.616907 -1.605254 -3.364239
## [8] -2.430165 -4.287340 -5.630791 -5.675428 -7.447795
Now we try some of the derivative capabilities of nlsr.
## NOTE: some functions may be seemingly correct for R, but we do not
## qet the result desired, despite no obvious error. Always test.
require(nlsr)
# Try directly to differentiate the residual vector. r1 is numeric, so this should
# return a vector of zeros in a mathematical sense. In fact it gives an error,
# since R does not want to differentiate a numeric vector.
Jr1a <- fnDeriv(r1, "x")</pre>
## Error in codeDeriv(expr, namevec, do_substitute = FALSE, verbose = verbose, : Only single expression
\# Set up a function containing expression with subscripted parameters x[]
hobbs1 <- function(x, t, y){ res<-x[1]/(1+x[2]*exp(-x[3]*t)) - y }
# test the residuals
print(hobbs1(start1, t=tdat, y=ydat))
## [1] -0.050502 -0.207795 -0.260868 -0.412475 -0.616907 -1.605254 -3.364239
## [8] -2.430165 -4.287340 -5.630791 -5.675428 -7.447795
\# Alternatively, let us set up t and y
y<-c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443, 38.558, 50.156, 62.948,
       75.995, 91.972)
t<-1:12
# try different calls. Note that we need to include t and y data somehow
print(hobbs1(start1))
## Error in hobbs1(start1): argument "t" is missing, with no default
```

```
print(hobbs1(start1, t, y))
## [1] -0.050502 -0.207795 -0.260868 -0.412475 -0.616907 -1.605254 -3.364239
## [8] -2.430165 -4.287340 -5.630791 -5.675428 -7.447795
print(hobbs1(start1, tdat, ydat))
## [1] -0.050502 -0.207795 -0.260868 -0.412475 -0.616907 -1.605254 -3.364239
## [8] -2.430165 -4.287340 -5.630791 -5.675428 -7.447795
# We remove t and y, to ensure we don't get results from their presence
rm(t)
rm(y)
# Set up a function containing an expression with named (with numbers) parameters
# Note that we need to link these to the values in x
hobbs1m <- function(x, t, y){
  x001 <- x[1]
  x002 < - x[2]
  x003 < - x[3]
 res < -x001/(1+x002*exp(-x003*t)) - y
print(hobbs1m(x=start1, t=tdat, y=ydat))
## [1] -0.050502 -0.207795 -0.260868 -0.412475 -0.616907 -1.605254 -3.364239
## [8] -2.430165 -4.287340 -5.630791 -5.675428 -7.447795
# Function with explicit use of the expression()
hobbs1me <- function(x, t, y){
  x001 <- x[1]
  x002 <- x[2]
  x003 < -x[3]
  expression(x001/(1+x002*exp(-x003*t)) - y)
print(hobbs1me(start1, t=tdat, y=ydat))
## expression(x001/(1 + x002 * exp(-x003 * t)) - y)
# note failure (because the expression is not evaluated?)
# Now try to take derivatives
Jr11m <- fnDeriv(hobbs1m, c("x001", "x002", "x003"))</pre>
## Error in as.vector(x, "expression"): cannot coerce type 'closure' to vector of type 'expression'
# fails because expression is INSIDE a function (i.e., closure)
# try directly differentiating the expression
Jr11ex \leftarrow fnDeriv(expression(x001/(1+x002*exp(-x003*t)) - y)
                  , c("x001", "x002", "x003"))
# this seems to "work". Let us display the result
Jr11ex
## function (x001, x002, x003, t, y)
## {
##
       .expr1 < - -x003
##
       .expr2 <- .expr1 * t
##
       .expr3 <- exp(.expr2)</pre>
##
       .expr4 <- x002 * .expr3
```

```
##
       .expr5 <- 1 + .expr4
##
       .expr6 <- .expr5^2
##
       .value \leftarrow x001/(.expr5) - y
       .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 3L), list(NULL, c("x001",</pre>
##
##
       "x002", "x003")))
       .grad[, "x001"] <- 1/.expr5
##
       .grad[, "x002"] <- -(x001 * .expr3/.expr6)
##
       .grad[, "x003"] <- -(x001 * (x002 * (.expr3 * -t))/.expr6)
##
##
       attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad</pre>
##
       .value
## }
# Set the values of the parameters by name
x001 <- start1[1]
x002 <- start1[2]
x003 <- start1[3]
# and try to evaluate
print(eval(Jr11ex))
## function (x001, x002, x003, t, y)
## {
##
       .expr1 < - x003
##
       .expr2 <- .expr1 * t
##
       .expr3 <- exp(.expr2)</pre>
##
       .expr4 <- x002 * .expr3
##
       .expr5 <- 1 + .expr4
       .expr6 <- .expr5^2
##
##
       .value <- x001/(.expr5) - y
##
       .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 3L), list(NULL, c("x001",</pre>
##
       "x002", "x003")))
       .grad[, "x001"] <- 1/.expr5
##
##
       .grad[, "x002"] <- -(x001 * .expr3/.expr6)
       .grad[, "x003"] <- -(x001 * (x002 * (.expr3 * -t))/.expr6)
##
##
       attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad
##
       .value
## }
# we need t and y, so set them
t<-tdat
y<-ydat
print(eval(Jr11ex))
## function (x001, x002, x003, t, y)
## {
##
       .expr1 < - -x003
##
       .expr2 <- .expr1 * t
##
       .expr3 <- exp(.expr2)</pre>
##
       .expr4 <- x002 * .expr3
##
       .expr5 <- 1 + .expr4
##
       .expr6 <- .expr5^2
##
       .value <- x001/(.expr5) - y
##
       .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 3L), list(NULL, c("x001",</pre>
       "x002", "x003")))
##
##
       .grad[, "x001"] <- 1/.expr5
##
       .grad[, "x002"] <- -(x001 * .expr3/.expr6)
       .grad[, "x003"] <- -(x001 * (x002 * (.expr3 * -t))/.expr6)
##
```

```
##
       attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad
##
       .value
## }
# But there is still a problem. WHY???
#
# Let us try it piece by piece (column by column)
resx <- expression(x001/(1+x002*exp(-x003*t)) - y)
res1 <- Deriv(resx, "x001", do_substitute=FALSE)
## Error in Deriv(resx, "x001", do_substitute = FALSE): unused argument (do_substitute = FALSE)
## Error in eval(expr, envir, enclos): object 'res1' not found
col1 <- eval(res1)</pre>
## Error in eval(res1): object 'res1' not found
res2 <- Deriv(resx, "x002", do substitute=FALSE)
## Error in Deriv(resx, "x002", do_substitute = FALSE): unused argument (do_substitute = FALSE)
res2
## Error in eval(expr, envir, enclos): object 'res2' not found
col2 <- eval(res2)</pre>
## Error in eval(res2): object 'res2' not found
res3 <- Deriv(resx, "x003", do_substitute=FALSE)
## Error in Deriv(resx, "x003", do_substitute = FALSE): unused argument (do_substitute = FALSE)
res3
## Error in eval(expr, envir, enclos): object 'res3' not found
col3 <- eval(res3)</pre>
## Error in eval(res3): object 'res3' not found
hobJac <- cbind(col1, col2, col3)
## Error in cbind(col1, col2, col3): object 'col1' not found
print(hobJac)
```

## Error in print(hobJac): object 'hobJac' not found

Clearly there is still some work to do to make the mechanism for getting derivatives more easily, and with less chance of error. Work still to do????.

#### **Jacobians**

Jacobians, the matrices of partial derivatives of residuals with respect to the parameters, have a vector input (the parameters). nlsr attempts to generate the code for this computation. This is the first key improvement of nlsr over nls(). It will likely be a continuing effort to enlarge the set of functions and expressions that can be handled and to deal with them more efficiently. Also it would be nice to automate the generation of numerical approximations for those components of the Jacobian for which analytic derivatives are not available.

Note that the Jacobian inner product (J^T J) differs from the Hessian of the sum of squares by a matrix whose elements that are an inner product of the residuals with their second derivatives with respect to the parameters. This is a summation of m matrices each involving a residual times its (n by n) partial derivatives with respect to the parameters. Generally we treat this matrix as "ignorable" on the basis that the residuals should be "small", but clearly this is not always the case.

# Implementation of nonlinear least squares methods

### Gauss-Newton variants

Nonlinear least squares methods are mostly founded on some or other variant of the Gauss-Newton algorithm. The function we wish to minimize is the sum of squares of the (nonlinear) residuals r(x) where there are m observations (elements of r) and n parameters x. Hence the function is

```
f(x) = sum(r(k)^2)
```

Newton's method starts with an original set of parameters x[0]. At a given iteraion, which could be the first, we want to solve

```
x[k+1] = x[k] - H^{-}(-1) g
```

where H is the Hessian and g is the gradient at x[k]. We can rewrite this as a solution, at each iteration, of

```
H delta = -g
```

with

$$x[k+1] = x[k] + delta$$

For the particular sum of squares, the gradient is

```
g(x) = 2 * r(k)
```

and

$$H(x) = 2 (J'J + sum(r * Z))$$

where J is the Jacobian (first derivatives of r w.r.t. x) and Z is the tensor of second derivatives of r w.r.t. x). Note that J' is the transpose of J.

The primary simplification of the Gauss-Newton method is to assume that the second term above is negligible. As there is a common factor of 2 on each side of the Newton iteration after the simplification of the Hessian, the Gauss-Newton iteration equation is

```
J' J delta = - J' r
```

This iteration frequently fails. The approximation of the Hessian by the Jacobian inner-product is one reason, but there is also the possibility that the sum of squares function is not "quadratic" enough that the unit step reduces it. Hartley (1961) introduced a line search along delta, while Marquardt (1963) suggested replacing J' J with (J' J + lambda  $^*$  D) where D is a diagonal matrix intended to partially approximate the omitted portion of the Hessian.

Marquardt suggested D = I (a unit matrix) or D = (diagonal part of J' J). The former approach, when lambda is large enough that the iteration is essentially

```
delta = -g / lambda
```

we get a version of the steepest descents algorithm. Using the diagonal of J' J, we have a scaled version of this (see https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Levenberg%E2%80%93Marquardt\_algorithm)

Nash (1977) found that on low precision machines, it was common for diagonal elements of J' J to underflow. A very small modification to solve

```
(J' J + lambda * (D + phi * I)) * delta = - g
```

where phi is a small number (phi = 1 seems to work quite well) ?? check??.

In jncnm79, the iteration equation was solved as stated. However, this involves forming the sum of squares and cross products of J, a process that loses some numerical precision. A better way to solve the linear equations is to apply the QR decomposition to the matrix J itself. However, we still need to incorporate the lambda \* I or lambda \* D adjustments. This is done by adding rows to J that are the square roots of the "pieces". We add 1 row for each diagonal element of I and each diagonal element of D.

In each iteration, we reduce the lambda parameter before solution. If the resulting sum of squares is not reduced, lambda is increased, otherwise we move to the next iteration. Various authors (including the present one) have suggested different strategies for this. My current opinion is that a "quick" increase, say a factor of 10, and a "slow" decrease, say a factor of 0.2, work quite well. However, it is important to check that lambda has not got too small or underflowed before applying the increase factor. On the other hand, it is useful to be able to set lambda = 0 in the code so that a pure Gauss-Newton method can be evaluated with the program(s). The current code nlfb() uses the line

```
if (lamda<1000*.Machine$double.eps) lamda<-1000*.Machine$double.eps
```

to ensure we get an increase. To force a Gauss-Newton algorithm, the controls laminc and lamdec are set to 0.

The Levenberg-Marquardt adjustment to the Gauss-Newton approach is the second major improvement of nlsr (and also its predecessor nlmrt and the package minpack-lm) over nls().

### Termination and convergence tests

The success of many optimization codes often depends on knowing when no more progress can be made. For the present codes, one simple procedure increases the damping parameter lamda whenever the sum of squares cannot be decreased, with termination when the parameters are not altered by the addition of delta. While this "works", it does incur unnecessary computational effort.

A better approach is that of Bates and Watts (1981). Their relative offset criterion considers the potential progress that could be made in reducing the current residuals to the initial sum of squares. This is a sensible and very effective strategy for most situations, but is dangerous where the problem has very small or zero residuals, as in the case of an interpolation problem or nonlinear equations.

To illustrate this for zero residual problems, let us set up a simple test.

```
## test small resid case with roffset
tt <- 1:25
ymod <- 10 * exp(-0.01*tt) + 5
n <- length(tt)
evec0 <- rep(0, n)
evec1 <- 1e-4*runif(n, -.5, .5)
evec2 <- 1e-1*runif(n, -.5, .5)
y0 <- ymod + evec0
y1 <- ymod + evec1
y2 <- ymod + evec2
mydata <- data.frame(tt, y0, y1, y2)
st <- c(aa=1, bb=1, cc=1)</pre>
```

We provide three sizes of residuals here, but will only illustrate the consequences of zero residuals (the problem with y0). Let us run the nonlinear least squares problem to get the interpolating function, first with nls(), then with nlxb(). In the second case we have turned off the trace, as the approach "works" because we have taken care in the code to provide for problems with small residuals.

```
nlsfit0 <- try(nls(y0 ~ aa * exp(-bb*tt) + cc, start=st, data=mydata, trace=TRUE))</pre>
## 4092.5
             (2.74e+01): par = (1\ 1\ 1)
## 2651.2
              (1.59e+02): par = (0.15468 - 0.11928 2.576)
## 1690.9
              (9.19e+01): par = (-0.015565 -0.14535 5.7642)
              (3.46e+01): par = (-0.16284 \ 0.18151 \ 10.405)
## 305.56
## 32.728
              (1.83e+00): par = (1.7377 \ 3.463 \ 12.847)
## Error in numericDeriv(form[[3L]], names(ind), env, central = nDcentral) :
     Missing value or an infinity produced when evaluating the model
nlsfit0
## [1] "Error in numericDeriv(form[[3L]], names(ind), env, central = nDcentral) : \n Missing value or
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "try-error"
## attr(,"condition")
## <simpleError in numericDeriv(form[[3L]], names(ind), env, central = nDcentral): Missing value or an
library(nlsr)
nlsrfit0 <- try(nlxb(y0 ~ aa * exp(-bb*tt) + cc, start=st, data=mydata, trace=FALSE))
nlsrfit0
## nlsr object: x
## residual sumsquares = 7.8556e-23 on 25 observations
                     Jacobian and 47 function evaluations
     name
##
                      coeff
                                      SE
                                                tstat
                                                           pval
                                                                      gradient
                                                                                   JSingval
## aa
                          10
                                  1.622e-10
                                             6.166e+10 4.101e-224
                                                                      -2.614e-14
                                                                                        615.5
                        0.01
## bb
                                  1.854e-13 5.393e+10 7.792e-223
                                                                      -1.307e-12
                                                                                        3.198
                                  1.631e-10 3.065e+10 1.959e-217
                                                                       7.816e-14
                                                                                     0.008214
We can approximate what nls() is doing by running a simple Gauss-Newton method one step at a time. It
is (or was at the time of writing) easier to do this in functional form with explicit derivatives. Note that we
test these functions!
trf <- function(par, data) {</pre>
    tt <- data[,"tt"]</pre>
    res <- par["aa"]*exp(-par["bb"]*tt) + par["cc"] - y0
}
print(trf(st, data=mydata))
## [1] -13.533 -13.667 -13.655 -13.590 -13.506 -13.415 -13.323 -13.231 -13.139
## [10] -13.048 -12.958 -12.869 -12.781 -12.694 -12.607 -12.521 -12.437 -12.353
## [19] -12.270 -12.187 -12.106 -12.025 -11.945 -11.866 -11.788
trj <- function(par, data) {</pre>
    tt <- data[,"tt"]</pre>
    m <- dim(data)[1]</pre>
    JJ <- matrix(NA, nrow=m, ncol=3)</pre>
    JJ[,1] <- exp(-par["bb"]*tt)</pre>
    JJ[,2] <- - tt * par["aa"] * exp(-par["bb"]*tt)</pre>
    JJ[,3] <- 1
    JJ
}
Ja <- trj(st, data=mydata)
print(Ja)
                [,1]
                             [,2] [,3]
## [1,] 3.6788e-01 -3.6788e-01
```

```
[2,] 1.3534e-01 -2.7067e-01
    [3,] 4.9787e-02 -1.4936e-01
##
   [4,] 1.8316e-02 -7.3263e-02
  [5,] 6.7379e-03 -3.3690e-02
   [6,] 2.4788e-03 -1.4873e-02
##
  [7,] 9.1188e-04 -6.3832e-03
  [8,] 3.3546e-04 -2.6837e-03
  [9,] 1.2341e-04 -1.1107e-03
                                    1
## [10,] 4.5400e-05 -4.5400e-04
## [11,] 1.6702e-05 -1.8372e-04
## [12,] 6.1442e-06 -7.3731e-05
## [13,] 2.2603e-06 -2.9384e-05
## [14,] 8.3153e-07 -1.1641e-05
## [15,] 3.0590e-07 -4.5885e-06
## [16,] 1.1254e-07 -1.8006e-06
## [17,] 4.1399e-08 -7.0379e-07
## [18,] 1.5230e-08 -2.7414e-07
## [19,] 5.6028e-09 -1.0645e-07
## [20,] 2.0612e-09 -4.1223e-08
## [21,] 7.5826e-10 -1.5923e-08
## [22,] 2.7895e-10 -6.1368e-09
## [23,] 1.0262e-10 -2.3602e-09
## [24,] 3.7751e-11 -9.0603e-10
                                    1
## [25,] 1.3888e-11 -3.4720e-10
library(numDeriv)
Jn <- jacobian(trf, st, data=mydata)</pre>
print(Jn)
##
                [,1]
                             [,2] [,3]
    [1,] 3.6788e-01 -3.6788e-01
##
```

```
[2,]
         1.3534e-01 -2.7067e-01
##
   [3,] 4.9787e-02 -1.4936e-01
                                    1
    [4,]
         1.8316e-02 -7.3263e-02
                                    1
##
   [5,]
         6.7379e-03 -3.3690e-02
         2.4788e-03 -1.4873e-02
   [6,]
                                    1
   [7,]
         9.1188e-04 -6.3832e-03
##
                                    1
   [8,]
         3.3546e-04 -2.6837e-03
                                    1
##
   [9,]
         1.2341e-04 -1.1107e-03
## [10,]
         4.5400e-05 -4.5400e-04
                                    1
         1.6702e-05 -1.8372e-04
## [11,]
                                    1
## [12,]
         6.1442e-06 -7.3731e-05
                                    1
## [13,]
         2.2603e-06 -2.9384e-05
## [14,]
         8.3156e-07 -1.1641e-05
                                    1
## [15,]
         3.0599e-07 -4.5885e-06
         1.1246e-07 -1.8006e-06
## [16,]
                                    1
## [17,]
         4.1441e-08 -7.0390e-07
## [18,]
         1.5292e-08 -2.7413e-07
                                    1
## [19,]
         5.5106e-09 -1.0642e-07
                                    1
## [20,]
         2.0606e-09 -4.1195e-08
                                    1
## [21,]
         6.7789e-10 -1.5917e-08
         2.8422e-10 -6.0949e-09
## [22,]
                                    1
## [23,] 5.5252e-11 -2.3285e-09
                                    1
## [24,] -1.5802e-11 -8.2053e-10
                                    1
## [25,] 5.2006e-13 -3.5475e-10
```

```
print(max(abs(Jn-Ja)))
## [1] 1.0583e-10
ssf <- function(par, data){</pre>
  rr <- trf(par, data)</pre>
   ss <- crossprod(rr)</pre>
}
print(ssf(st, data=mydata))
##
          [,1]
## [1,] 4092.5
library(numDeriv)
print(jacobian(trf, st, data=mydata))
##
                 [,1]
                             [,2] [,3]
##
    [1,] 3.6788e-01 -3.6788e-01
                                     1
##
    [2,]
         1.3534e-01 -2.7067e-01
                                     1
##
   [3,]
         4.9787e-02 -1.4936e-01
                                     1
         1.8316e-02 -7.3263e-02
   [4,]
                                     1
   [5,] 6.7379e-03 -3.3690e-02
##
                                     1
    [6,] 2.4788e-03 -1.4873e-02
##
                                     1
##
   [7,] 9.1188e-04 -6.3832e-03
                                     1
         3.3546e-04 -2.6837e-03
##
   [8,]
                                     1
##
   [9,]
         1.2341e-04 -1.1107e-03
                                     1
## [10,]
         4.5400e-05 -4.5400e-04
                                     1
         1.6702e-05 -1.8372e-04
## [11,]
                                     1
  [12,]
         6.1442e-06 -7.3731e-05
                                     1
## [13,]
         2.2603e-06 -2.9384e-05
                                     1
## [14,] 8.3156e-07 -1.1641e-05
                                     1
## [15,] 3.0599e-07 -4.5885e-06
                                     1
## [16,] 1.1246e-07 -1.8006e-06
                                     1
## [17,] 4.1441e-08 -7.0390e-07
                                     1
## [18,]
         1.5292e-08 -2.7413e-07
                                     1
## [19,] 5.5106e-09 -1.0642e-07
                                     1
## [20,] 2.0606e-09 -4.1195e-08
                                     1
## [21,] 6.7789e-10 -1.5917e-08
                                     1
## [22,] 2.8422e-10 -6.0949e-09
                                     1
## [23,] 5.5252e-11 -2.3285e-09
                                     1
## [24,] -1.5802e-11 -8.2053e-10
                                     1
## [25,] 5.2006e-13 -3.5475e-10
                                     1
```

The traditional way to implement a Gauss Newton method was to form the sum of squares and cross-products matrix at in traditional linear regression, using the Jacobian as the data matrix. The right hand side uses the inner product of the Jacobian with the negative residuals. This approach increases the condition number of the problem, and a more direct QR decomposition of the Jacobian is now the preferred approach. However, let us try both to ensure we are getting similar answers. First the QR approach.

```
print(par)
   res <- resfn(par, data, ...)
   ssbest <- as.numeric(crossprod(res))</pre>
   cat("initial ss=",ssbest,"\n")
   par0 <- par
   kres <- 1
   kjac \leftarrow 0
   keepon <- TRUE
   while (keepon) {
      cat("kjac=",kjac," kres=",kres," SSbest now ", ssbest,"\n")
      print(par)
      JJ <- jacfn(par, data, ...)</pre>
      kjac <- kjac + 1
      QJ \leftarrow qr(JJ)
      delta <- qr.coef(QJ, -res)</pre>
      ss <- ssbest + offset*offset # force evaluation
      step <- 1.0
      if (as.numeric(max(par0+delta)+offset) != as.numeric(max(par0+offset)) ) {
         while (ss > ssbest) {
           par <- par0+delta * step</pre>
           res <- resfn(par, data, ...)
           ss <- as.numeric(crossprod(res))</pre>
           kres <- kres + 1
              cat("step =", step," ss=", ss," \n")
##
              tmp <- readline("continue")</pre>
           if (ss > ssbest) {
               step <- step * stepred</pre>
           } else {
               par0 <- par
               ssbest <- ss
         } # end inner loop
         if (kjac >= 4) {
            keepon = FALSE
             cat("artificial stop at kjac=4 -- we only want to check output")
      } else { keepon <- FALSE # done }</pre>
   } # end main iteration
} # seems to need this
} # end gnjne
fitgnjn0 <- gnjn(st, trf, trj, data=mydata)</pre>
## Another way
#- set lamda = 0 in nlxb, fix laminc, lamdec
library(nlsr)
nlx00 <- try(nlxb(y0 ~ aa * exp(-bb*tt) + cc, start=st, data=mydata, trace=TRUE,
                      control=list(lamda=0, laminc=0, lamdec=0, watch=TRUE)))
nlx00
```

The first iteration more or less matches the nls() result. The problem is quite ill-conditioned, and nls() is using a numerical approximation to the Jacobian, so deviations of this magnitude from the iterations are not unexpected.

Let us test with a traditional Gauss-Newton.

```
gnjn2 <- function(start, resfn, jacfn = NULL, trace = FALSE,</pre>
        data=NULL, control=list(), ...){
# simplified Gauss Newton
   offset = 1e6 # for no change in parms
   stepred <- 0.5 # start with this as per nls()
   par <- start
   cat("starting parameters:")
   print(par)
   res <- resfn(par, data, ...)
   ssbest <- as.numeric(crossprod(res))</pre>
   cat("initial ss=",ssbest,"\n")
   kres <- 1
   kjac <- 0
   par0 <- par
   keepon <- TRUE
   while (keepon) {
      cat("kres=",kres," kjac=",kjac," SSbest now ", ssbest,"\n")
      print(par)
      JJ <- jacfn(par, data, ...)</pre>
      kjac <- kjac + 1
      JTJ <- crossprod (JJ)
      JTr <- crossprod (JJ, res)
      delta <- - as.vector(solve(JTJ, JTr))</pre>
      ss <- ssbest + offset*offset # force evaluation
      step <- 1.0
      if (as.numeric(max(par0+delta)+offset) != as.numeric(max(par0+offset)) ) {
         while (ss > ssbest) {
           par <- par0+delta * step
           res <- resfn(par, data, ...)
           ss <- as.numeric(crossprod(res))</pre>
           kres <- kres + 1
             cat("step =", step," ss=",ss," best is",ssbest,"\n")
##
             tmp <- readline("continue")</pre>
##
           if (ss > ssbest) {
              step <- step * stepred
           } else {
              par0 <- par
              ssbest <- ss
           }
         } # end inner loop
         if (kjac >= 4) {
            keepon = FALSE
            cat("artificial stop at kjac=4 -- we only want to check output")
      } else { keepon <- FALSE # done }</pre>
  } # end main iteration
} # seems to need this
} # end qnjn2
fitgnjn20 <- gnjn2(st, trf, trj, data=mydata)</pre>
```

### Implementing the Marquardt stabilization in QR form

?? to add

#### Implementing a relative offset convergence test

#### Nonlinear equations

Solution of sets of nonlinear equations is generally NOT a problem that is commonly required for statisticians or data analysts. My experience is that the occasions where it does arise are when workers try to solve the first order conditions for optimality of a function, rather than try to optimize the function. If this function is a sum of squares, then we have a nonlinear least squares problem, and generally such problems are best approached my methods of the type discussed in this article.

Conversely, since our problem is, using the notation above, equivalent to

```
r(x) = 0
```

the solution of a nonlinear least squares problem for which the final sum of squares is zero provides a solution to the nonlinear equations. In my experience this is a valid approach to the nonlinear equations problem, especially if there is concern that a solution may not exist. Note that there are methods for nonlinear equations, some of which (??ref) are available in R packages.

# Function versus expression approach

In nlsr, we provide for modelling via R functions that generate the residuals and Jacobian, and we call the nonlinear least squares minimizer through nlfb. Alternatively, we can do the modelling via the nlxb function that takes a model expression as an argument and converts it to the functional form. The actual least squares minimization is then carried out by a common routine (nlfb). Generally nlxb is a lot less programming work, but it is also more limited, as we can only handle single line expressions, and some expressions may not be differentiable within the package, even if there are mathematically feasible ways to compute them analytically.

#### Providing extra data to expressions

Almost all statistical functions have exogenous data that is needed to compute residuals or likelihoods and is not dependent on the model parameters. (This section starts from Notes140806.)

```
model2rjfun does NOT have ... args.
```

Should it have? i.e., a problem where we are fitting a set of time series, 1 for each plant/animal, with some sort of start parameter for each that is NOT estimated (e.g., pH of soil, some index of health).

Difficulty in such a problem is that the residuals are then a matrix, and the nlfb rather than nlxb is a better approach. However, fitting 1 series would still need this data, and example nlsrtryextraparms.txt shows that the extra parm (ms in this case) needs to be in the user's globalenv.

```
eunsc <- y \sim b1/(1+b2*exp(-b3*tt))
cat("LOCAL DATA IN DATA FRAMES\n")
## LOCAL DATA IN DATA FRAMES
weeddata1 <- data.frame(y=ydat, tt=tdat)</pre>
cat("weeddata contains the original data\n")
## weeddata contains the original data
ms <- 2 # define the external parameter here
cat("wdata scales y by ms =",ms,"\n")
## wdata scales y by ms = 2
wdata <- data.frame(y=ydat/ms, tt=tdat)</pre>
wdata
           y tt
##
## 1 2.6540 1
## 2
     3.6200 2
## 3 4.8190 3
## 4 6.4330 4
## 5 8.5345 5
## 6 11.5960 6
## 7 15.7215 7
## 8 19.2790 8
## 9 25.0780 9
## 10 31.4740 10
## 11 37.9975 11
## 12 45.9860 12
cat("estimate the UNSCALED model with SCALED data\n")
## estimate the UNSCALED model with SCALED data
anlxbs <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=start1, trace=traceval, data=wdata))</pre>
## formula: y \sim b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## $watch
## [1] FALSE
##
## $phi
## [1] 1
##
## $lamda
## [1] 1e-04
##
## $offset
## [1] 100
##
## $laminc
## [1] 10
##
## $lamdec
## [1] 4
```

```
##
## $femax
## [1] 10000
##
## $jemax
## [1] 5000
## $rofftest
## [1] TRUE
##
## $smallsstest
## [1] TRUE
## Finished masks check
## datvar:[1] "y" "tt"
## Data variable y : [1] 2.6540 3.6200 4.8190 6.4330 8.5345 11.5960 15.7215 19.2790 25.0780
## [10] 31.4740 37.9975 45.9860
## Data variable tt : [1] 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
## trjfn:
## function (prm)
## {
##
      if (is.null(names(prm)))
##
          names(prm) <- names(pvec)</pre>
      localdata <- list2env(as.list(prm), parent = data)</pre>
##
##
      eval(residexpr, envir = localdata)
## <bytecode: 0x56443a100230>
## <environment: 0x56443abaf838>
## no weights
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## Start:lamda: 1e-04 SS= 5676.9 at b1 = 1 b2 = 1 b3 = 1 1 / 0
## lamda: 0.001 SS= 6088.9 at b1 = 25.443 b2 = -119.86 b3 = -185.95 2 / 1
## lamda: 0.01 SS= 6088.9 at b1 = 25.092 b2 = -94.85 b3 = -166.61 3 / 1
## lamda: 0.1 SS= 6088.9 at b1 = 23.492 b2 = -12.164 b3 = -96.948 4 / 1
## lamda: 1 SS= 6088.9 at b1 = 19.105 b2 = 15.514 b3 = -31.768 5 / 1
## lamda: 10 SS= 6078 at b1 = 9.6639 b2 = 2.3414 b3 = -1.0745 6 / 1
\#\# <<lamda: 4 SS= 5096.5 at b1 = 2.5089 b2 = 0.94659 b3 = 1.1409 7 / 1
## <<lamda: 1.6 SS= 4086.7 at b1 = 5.5395 b2 = 1.1277 b3 = 0.98661 8 / 2
## lamda: 16 SS= 5979.2 at b1 = 10.709 b2 = 2.4361 b3 = -0.35798 9 / 3
## <lamda: 6.4 SS= 3871.1 at b1 = 6.2757 b2 = 1.195 b3 = 0.95044 10 / 3
## <lamda: 2.56 SS= 3427.1 at b1 = 7.914 b2 = 1.4621 b3 = 0.76952 11 / 4
## <<lamda: 1.024 SS= 2714 at b1 = 11.243 b2 = 2.2923 b3 = 0.41244 12 / 5
## <<lamda: 0.4096 SS= 1624.3 at b1 = 17.581 b2 = 3.9784 b3 = 0.51333 13 / 6
## <lamda: 0.16384 SS= 1596 at b1 = 25.778 b2 = 7.8443 b3 = 0.25361 14 / 7
## <<lamda: 0.065536 SS= 389.99 at b1 = 36.58 b2 = 11.353 b3 = 0.40144 15 / 8
## <<lamda: 0.026214
                    SS= 227.36 at b1 = 47.307 b2 = 19.475 b3 = 0.32531 16 / 9
## <<lamda: 0.010486 SS= 30.768 at b1 = 61.38 b2 = 30.701 b3 = 0.35494 17 / 10
## <<lamda: 0.0041943 SS= 7.5356 at b1 = 71.376 b2 = 39.434 b3 = 0.3436 18 / 11
## < lamda: 0.0016777 SS= 2.4081 at b1 = 80.801 b2 = 44.729 b3 = 0.33443 19 / 12
## <<lamda: 0.00067109 SS= 1.1886 at b1 = 88.884 b2 = 47.007 b3 = 0.32377 20 / 13
## <<lamda: 0.00026844 SS= 0.72638 at b1 = 94.691 b2 = 48.297 b3 = 0.31699 21 / 14
## <<lamda: 0.00010737 SS= 0.6497 at b1 = 97.378 b2 = 48.922 b3 = 0.31428 22 / 15
## <1amda: 4.295e-05 SS= 0.64684 at b1 = 98.022 b2 = 49.075 b3 = 0.31364 23 / 16
```

```
## <<lamda: 1.718e-05 SS= 0.64682 at b1 = 98.09 b2 = 49.091 b3 = 0.31357 24 / 17
## <1amda: 6.8719e-06 SS= 0.64682 at b1 = 98.093 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 25 / 18
## <<lamda: 2.7488e-06 SS= 0.64682 at b1 = 98.093 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 26 / 19
\#\# << lamda: 1.0995e-06 SS= 0.64682 at b1 = 98.093 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 27 / 20
print(anlxbs)
## nlsr object: x
## residual sumsquares = 0.64682 on 12 observations
       after 20
                   Jacobian and 27 function evaluations
##
                     coeff
                                   SE
                                            tstat
                                                       pval
                                                                 gradient
                                                                             JSingval
## b1
                    98.0931
                                   5.653
                                              17.35 3.167e-08
                                                                 -4.55e-12
                                                                                 505.4
## b2
                    49.0916
                                    1.688
                                              29.08 3.284e-10 -1.618e-12
                                                                                0.2344
## b3
                    0.31357
                                0.006863
                                              45.69 5.768e-12
                                                                               0.04632
                                                                7.209e-10
escal <- y \sim ms*b1/(1+b2*exp(-b3*tt))
cat("estimate the SCALED model with scaling provided in the call (ms=0.5)\n")
## estimate the SCALED model with scaling provided in the call (ms=0.5)
anlxbh <- try(nlxb(escal, start=start1, trace=traceval, data=weeddata1, ms=0.5))
## Error in nlxb(escal, start = start1, trace = traceval, data = weeddata1, :
    unused argument (ms = 0.5)
print(anlxbh)
## [1] "Error in nlxb(escal, start = start1, trace = traceval, data = weeddata1, : \n unused argument
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "try-error"
## attr(,"condition")
## <simpleError in nlxb(escal, start = start1, trace = traceval, data = weeddata1,
                                                                                      ms = 0.5): unuse
cat("\n scaling is now using the globally defined value of ms=",ms,"\n")
##
## scaling is now using the globally defined value of ms= 2
anlxb1a <- try(nlxb(escal, start=start1, trace=traceval, data=weeddata1))
## formula: y \sim ms * b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## $watch
## [1] FALSE
##
## $phi
## [1] 1
## $lamda
## [1] 1e-04
##
## $offset
## [1] 100
##
## $laminc
## [1] 10
##
```

```
## $lamdec
## [1] 4
##
## $femax
## [1] 10000
##
## $jemax
## [1] 5000
##
## $rofftest
## [1] TRUE
## $smallsstest
## [1] TRUE
##
## Finished masks check
## datvar:[1] "y" "ms" "tt"
## Data variable y : [1] 5.308 7.240 9.638 12.866 17.069 23.192 31.443 38.558 50.156 62.948
## [11] 75.995 91.972
## Data variable ms :[1] 2
## Data variable tt : [1] 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
## trjfn:
## function (prm)
## {
##
      if (is.null(names(prm)))
##
          names(prm) <- names(pvec)</pre>
##
      localdata <- list2env(as.list(prm), parent = data)</pre>
##
      eval(residexpr, envir = localdata)
## }
## <bytecode: 0x56443a100230>
## <environment: 0x56443c383670>
## no weights
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## Start:lamda: 1e-04 SS= 22708 at b1 = 1 b2 = 1 b3 = 1 1 / 0
## lamda: 0.001 SS= 24356 at b1 = 25.472 b2 = -122.14 b3 = -187.69 2 / 1
## lamda: 0.01 SS= 24356 at b1 = 25.327 b2 = -113.2 b3 = -180.68 3 / 1
## lamda: 0.1 SS= 24356 at b1 = 24.283 b2 = -57.588 b3 = -135.68 4 / 1
## lamda: 1 SS= 24356 at b1 = 20.254 b2 = 14.461 b3 = -54.127 5 / 1
## lamda: 10 SS= 24355 at b1 = 10.077 b2 = 4.8657 b3 = -4.5699 6 / 1
\#\# << lamda: 4 SS= 20252 at b1 = 2.5977 b2 = 0.83113 b3 = 1.4117 7 / 1
## <lamda: 1.6 SS= 16143 at b1 = 5.7092 b2 = 1.3529 b3 = 0.86529 8 / 2
## lamda: 16 SS= 22536 at b1 = 11.269 b2 = 3.0589 b3 = -0.12239 9 / 3
\#\# << lamda: 6.4 SS= 15214 at b1 = 6.5093 b2 = 1.428 b3 = 0.86138 10 / 3
## <<lamda: 2.56 SS= 13336 at b1 = 8.2714 b2 = 1.7659 b3 = 0.74306 11 / 4
## <<lamda: 1.024 SS= 10290 at b1 = 11.801 b2 = 2.7987 b3 = 0.46561 12 / 5
## <<lamda: 0.4096 SS= 5999.3 at b1 = 18.477 b2 = 4.9891 b3 = 0.46298 13 / 6
## <lamda: 0.16384 SS= 3360.8 at b1 = 27.609 b2 = 9.7076 b3 = 0.35379 14 / 7
## <<lamda: 0.065536 SS= 872.75 at b1 = 40.302 b2 = 16.934 b3 = 0.38655 15 / 8
## <<lamda: 0.026214 SS= 308.99
                               at b1 = 51.624 b2 = 26.628 b3 = 0.36281 16 / 9
## <<lamda: 0.010486 SS= 64.882 at b1 = 63.651 b2 = 36.557 b3 = 0.35778 17 / 10
## <lamda: 0.0041943 SS= 19.992 at b1 = 73.76 b2 = 43.123 b3 = 0.3463 18 / 11
## <<lamda: 0.0016777 SS= 8.8066 at b1 = 83.053 b2 = 46.013 b3 = 0.33222 19 / 12
## <1amda: 0.00067109 SS= 4.1734 at b1 = 91.086 b2 = 47.556 b3 = 0.32103 20 / 13
```

```
## <<lamda: 0.00026844 SS= 2.7218 at b1 = 96.072 b2 = 48.622 b3 = 0.31554 21 / 14
## <<lamda: 0.00010737 SS= 2.5891 at b1 = 97.8 b2 = 49.023 b3 = 0.31386 22 / 15
## <1amda: 4.295e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 98.074 b2 = 49.087 b3 = 0.31359 23 / 16
## <lamda: 1.718e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 98.093 b2 = 49.091 b3 = 0.31357 24 / 17
## <<lamda: 6.8719e-06 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 98.093 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 25 / 18
## < lamda: 2.7488e-06 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 98.093 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 26 / 19
## <lamda: 1.0995e-06 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 98.093 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 27 / 20
print(anlxb1a)
## nlsr object: x
## residual sumsquares = 2.5873 on 12 observations
##
      after 20
                   Jacobian and 27 function evaluations
##
                    coeff
                                   SE
                                                                             JSingval
    name
                                            tstat
                                                                 gradient
                                                       pval
## b1
                   98.0931
                                   5.653
                                              17.35 3.167e-08 -1.136e-12
                                                                                  1011
## b2
                   49.0916
                                   1.688
                                              29.08 3.284e-10 -4.843e-13
                                                                                0.4687
## b3
                   0.31357
                                0.006863
                                              45.69 5.768e-12
                                                                 3.245e-10
                                                                               0.09263
ms <- 1
cat("\n scaling is now using the globally re-defined value of ms=",ms,"\n")
## scaling is now using the globally re-defined value of ms= 1
anlxb1b <- try(nlxb(escal, start=start1, trace=traceval, data=weeddata1))</pre>
## formula: y \sim ms * b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## $watch
## [1] FALSE
##
## $phi
## [1] 1
##
## $lamda
## [1] 1e-04
##
## $offset
## [1] 100
##
## $laminc
## [1] 10
## $lamdec
## [1] 4
##
## $femax
## [1] 10000
##
## $jemax
## [1] 5000
##
## $rofftest
## [1] TRUE
##
```

```
## $smallsstest
## [1] TRUE
##
## Finished masks check
## datvar:[1] "y" "ms" "tt"
## Data variable y : [1] 5.308 7.240 9.638 12.866 17.069 23.192 31.443 38.558 50.156 62.948
## [11] 75.995 91.972
## Data variable ms :[1] 1
## Data variable tt : [1] 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
## trjfn:
## function (prm)
## {
##
      if (is.null(names(prm)))
##
          names(prm) <- names(pvec)</pre>
##
      localdata <- list2env(as.list(prm), parent = data)</pre>
##
      eval(residexpr, envir = localdata)
## }
## <bytecode: 0x56443a100230>
## <environment: 0x56443d522c18>
## no weights
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## Start:lamda: 1e-04 SS= 23521 at b1 = 1 b2 = 1 b3 = 1 1 / 0
## lamda: 0.001 SS= 24356 at b1 = 50.886 b2 = -240.72 b3 = -372.91 2 / 1
## lamda: 0.01 SS= 24356 at b1 = 50.183 b2 = -190.69 b3 = -334.2 3 / 1
## lamda: 0.1 SS= 24356 at b1 = 46.97 b2 = -25.309 b3 = -194.81 4 / 1
## lamda: 1 SS= 24356 at b1 = 38.096 b2 = 29.924 b3 = -64.262 5 / 1
## lamda: 10 SS= 24353 at b1 = 18.798 b2 = 3.551 b3 = -2.9011 6 / 1
\#\# << lamda: 4 SS= 21065 at b1 = 4.1015 b2 = 0.86688 b3 = 1.3297 7 / 1
## <lamda: 1.6 SS= 16852 at b1 = 10.248 b2 = 1.2302 b3 = 1.0044 8 / 2
## lamda: 16 SS= 24078 at b1 = 20.944 b2 = 2.9473 b3 = -0.40959 9 / 3
## <lamda: 6.4 SS= 15934 at b1 = 11.771 b2 = 1.3084 b3 = 0.98087 10 / 3
## <<lamda: 2.56 SS= 14050 at b1 = 15.152 b2 = 1.6468 b3 = 0.80462 11 / 4
## <lamda: 1.024 SS= 10985 at b1 = 22.005 b2 = 2.6632 b3 = 0.45111 12 / 5
## <lamda: 0.4096 SS= 6427.1 at b1 = 35.128 b2 = 4.7135 b3 = 0.50974 13 / 6
## <lamda: 0.16384 SS= 4725 at b1 = 52.285 b2 = 9.2948 b3 = 0.31348 14 / 7
## <lamda: 0.065536 SS= 1132.2 at b1 = 76.446 b2 = 15.142 b3 = 0.41095 15 / 8
## <<lamda: 0.010486 SS= 79.464 at b1 = 122.18 b2 = 35.262 b3 = 0.36484 17 / 10
## <<lamda: 0.0041943 SS= 26.387 at b1 = 141.55 b2 = 42.387 b3 = 0.35215 18 / 11
## <<lamda: 0.0016777 SS= 11.339 at b1 = 160.02 b2 = 45.519 b3 = 0.33738 19 / 12
## <<lamda: 0.00067109 SS= 5.2767 at b1 = 176.92 b2 = 47.037 b3 = 0.32443 20 / 13
\#\# << lambda: 0.00026844 SS= 2.9656 at b1 = 189.12 b2 = 48.279 b3 = 0.31712 21 / 14
## <<lamda: 0.00010737 SS= 2.6003 at b1 = 194.72 b2 = 48.92 b3 = 0.31429 22 / 15
## <<lamda: 4.295e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.04 b2 = 49.075 b3 = 0.31364 23 / 16
                                at b1 = 196.18 b2 = 49.091 b3 = 0.31357
## <<lamda: 1.718e-05 SS= 2.5873
## <<lamda: 6.8719e-06 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 25 / 18
## <1amda: 2.7488e-06 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 26 / 19
## lamda: 2.7488e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 27 / 20
print(anlxb1b)
## nlsr object: x
## residual sumsquares = 2.5873 on 12 observations
```

Jacobian and 27 function evaluations

## name	coeff	SE	tstat	pval	gradient	JSingval
## b1	196.186	11.31	17.35	3.167e-08	-1.636e-09	1011
## b2	49.0916	1.688	29.08	3.284e-10	-1.656e-08	0.4605
## b3	0.31357	0.006863	45.69	5.768e-12	1.79e-06	0.04714

# Examples of use

#### Nonlinear least squares with expressions

We use the Hobbs Weeds problem (Nash, 1979 and Nash, 2014). Note that nls() fails from start1.

```
require(nlsr)
traceval <- FALSE
# Data for Hobbs problem
ydat <- c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443,
          38.558, 50.156, 62.948, 75.995, 91.972) # for testing
tdat <- seq_along(ydat) # for testing
# A simple starting vector -- must have named parameters for nlxb, nls, wrapnlsr.
start1 <- c(b1=1, b2=1, b3=1)
eunsc <- y \sim b1/(1+b2*exp(-b3*tt))
cat("LOCAL DATA IN DATA FRAMES\n")
## LOCAL DATA IN DATA FRAMES
weeddata1 <- data.frame(y=ydat, tt=tdat)</pre>
weeddata2 <- data.frame(y=1.5*ydat, tt=tdat)</pre>
anlxb1 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=start1, trace=TRUE, data=weeddata1,</pre>
                     control=list(watch=FALSE)))
## formula: y \sim b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## $watch
## [1] FALSE
##
## $phi
## [1] 1
##
## $lamda
## [1] 1e-04
##
## $offset
## [1] 100
##
## $laminc
## [1] 10
##
## $lamdec
## [1] 4
##
## $femax
## [1] 10000
```

```
##
## $jemax
## [1] 5000
##
## $rofftest
## [1] TRUE
## $smallsstest
## [1] TRUE
##
## Finished masks check
## datvar:[1] "y" "tt"
## Data variable y : [1] 5.308 7.240 9.638 12.866 17.069 23.192 31.443 38.558 50.156 62.948
## [11] 75.995 91.972
## Data variable tt : [1] 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
## trjfn:
## function (prm)
## {
##
      if (is.null(names(prm)))
##
          names(prm) <- names(pvec)</pre>
##
      localdata <- list2env(as.list(prm), parent = data)</pre>
      eval(residexpr, envir = localdata)
##
## }
## <bytecode: 0x56443a100230>
## <environment: 0x56443a566be8>
## no weights
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## Start:lamda: 1e-04 SS= 23521 at b1 = 1 b2 = 1 b3 = 1 1 / 0
## lamda: 0.001 SS= 24356 at b1 = 50.886 b2 = -240.72 b3 = -372.91 2 / 1
## lamda: 0.01 SS= 24356 at b1 = 50.183 b2 = -190.69 b3 = -334.2 3 / 1
## lamda: 0.1 SS= 24356 at b1 = 46.97 b2 = -25.309 b3 = -194.81 4 / 1
## lamda: 1 SS= 24356 at b1 = 38.096 b2 = 29.924 b3 = -64.262 5 / 1
## lamda: 10 SS= 24353 at b1 = 18.798 b2 = 3.551 b3 = -2.9011 6 / 1
\#\# << lamda: 4 SS= 21065 at b1 = 4.1015 b2 = 0.86688 b3 = 1.3297 7 / 1
## <lamda: 1.6 SS= 16852 at b1 = 10.248 b2 = 1.2302 b3 = 1.0044 8 / 2
## lamda: 16 SS= 24078 at b1 = 20.944 b2 = 2.9473 b3 = -0.40959 9 / 3
## <lamda: 6.4 SS= 15934 at b1 = 11.771 b2 = 1.3084 b3 = 0.98087 10 / 3
## <<lamda: 2.56 SS= 14050 at b1 = 15.152 b2 = 1.6468 b3 = 0.80462 11 / 4
## <<lamda: 1.024 SS= 10985 at b1 = 22.005 b2 = 2.6632 b3 = 0.45111 12 / 5
## <<lamda: 0.4096 SS= 6427.1 at b1 = 35.128 b2 = 4.7135 b3 = 0.50974 13 / 6
## <lamda: 0.16384 SS= 4725 at b1 = 52.285 b2 = 9.2948 b3 = 0.31348 14 / 7
## <lamda: 0.065536 SS= 1132.2 at b1 = 76.446 b2 = 15.142 b3 = 0.41095 15 / 8
## <<lamda: 0.026214 SS= 518.76 at
                                   b1 = 96.924 b2 = 24.422 b3 = 0.35816 16 / 9
## <<lamda: 0.010486 SS= 79.464 at
                                   ## <<lamda: 0.0041943 SS= 26.387
                                at b1 = 141.55 b2 = 42.387 b3 = 0.35215 18 / 11
## <<lamda: 0.0016777
                     SS= 11.339 at b1 = 160.02 b2 = 45.519 b3 = 0.33738 19 / 12
## <<lamda: 0.00067109
                     SS= 5.2767 at b1 = 176.92 b2 = 47.037 b3 = 0.32443 20 / 13
## <<lamda: 0.00026844
                      SS= 2.9656 at b1 = 189.12 b2 = 48.279 b3 = 0.31712 21 / 14
## <<lamda: 0.00010737
                      SS= 2.6003
                                 at
                                    b1 = 194.72 b2 = 48.92 b3 = 0.31429 22 / 15
## <<lamda: 4.295e-05 SS= 2.5873
                                at b1 = 196.04 b2 = 49.075 b3 = 0.31364
                                                                         23 / 16
## <<lamda: 1.718e-05 SS= 2.5873
                                at b1 = 196.18 b2 = 49.091 b3 = 0.31357 24 / 17
## <<lamda: 6.8719e-06 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 25 / 18
## <lamda: 2.7488e-06 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 26 / 19
```

```
## lamda: 2.7488e-05 SS= 2.5873 at b1 = 196.19 b2 = 49.092 b3 = 0.31357 27 / 20
print(anlxb1)
## nlsr object: x
## residual sumsquares = 2.5873 on 12 observations
                    Jacobian and 27 function evaluations
       after 20
##
    name
                     coeff
                                    SE
                                              tstat
                                                                   gradient
                                                                                JSingval
                                                         pval
## b1
                    196.186
                                    11.31
                                                17.35 3.167e-08 -1.636e-09
                                                                                     1011
## b2
                    49.0916
                                    1.688
                                                29.08 3.284e-10 -1.656e-08
                                                                                   0.4605
                                                45.69 5.768e-12
## b3
                    0.31357
                                 0.006863
                                                                    1.79e-06
                                                                                  0.04714
anlsb1 <- try(nls(eunsc, start=start1, trace=TRUE, data=weeddata1))</pre>
             (2.08e+00): par = (1\ 1\ 1)
## Error in nls(eunsc, start = start1, trace = TRUE, data = weeddata1) :
     singular gradient
print(anlsb1)
## [1] "Error in nls(eunsc, start = start1, trace = TRUE, data = weeddata1) : \n singular gradient\n"
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "try-error"
## attr(,"condition")
## <simpleError in nls(eunsc, start = start1, trace = TRUE, data = weeddata1): singular gradient>
A different start causes nlxb to return a large sum of squares. Note that nls() again fails.
startf1 <- c(b1=1, b2=1, b3=.1)
anlsf1 <- try(nls(eunsc, start=startf1, trace=TRUE, data=weeddata1))
## 23756.
             (4.65e+01): par = (1 \ 1 \ 0.1)
## Error in nls(eunsc, start = startf1, trace = TRUE, data = weeddata1) :
     singular gradient
print(anlsf1)
## [1] "Error in nls(eunsc, start = startf1, trace = TRUE, data = weeddata1) : \n singular gradient\n"
## attr(,"class")
## [1] "try-error"
## attr(,"condition")
## <simpleError in nls(eunsc, start = startf1, trace = TRUE, data = weeddata1): singular gradient>
library(nlsr)
anlxf1 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=startf1, trace=TRUE, data=weeddata1,
                     control=list(watch=FALSE)))
## formula: y \sim b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## $watch
## [1] FALSE
##
## $phi
## [1] 1
## $lamda
## [1] 1e-04
##
```

```
## $offset
## [1] 100
##
## $laminc
## [1] 10
##
## $lamdec
## [1] 4
##
## $femax
## [1] 10000
##
## $jemax
## [1] 5000
##
## $rofftest
## [1] TRUE
##
## $smallsstest
## [1] TRUE
##
## Finished masks check
## datvar:[1] "y" "tt"
## Data variable y : [1] 5.308 7.240 9.638 12.866 17.069 23.192 31.443 38.558 50.156 62.948
## [11] 75.995 91.972
## Data variable tt : [1] 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
## trjfn:
## function (prm)
## {
##
      if (is.null(names(prm)))
##
          names(prm) <- names(pvec)</pre>
##
      localdata <- list2env(as.list(prm), parent = data)</pre>
##
      eval(residexpr, envir = localdata)
## }
## <bytecode: 0x56443a100230>
## <environment: 0x56443d529910>
## no weights
## lower:[1] -Inf -Inf -Inf
## upper:[1] Inf Inf Inf
## Start:lamda: 1e-04 SS= 23756 at b1 = 1 b2 = 1 b3 = 0.1 1 / 0
## lamda: 0.001 SS= 24356 at b1 = 416.72 b2 = 821.43 b3 = -40.851 2 / 1
## lamda: 0.01 SS= 196562 at b1 = 162.93 b2 = 368.16 b3 = 7.5932 3 / 1
\#\# <<lamda: 0.004 SS= 10597 at b1 = 24.764 b2 = 108.11 b3 = 31.926 4 / 1
## <lamda: 0.0016 SS= 9205.5 at b1 = 35.486 b2 = 108.11 b3 = 31.926 5 / 2
## <<lamda: 0.00064 SS= 9205.4 at b1 = 35.532 b2 = 108.11 b3 = 31.926 6 / 3
## < lamda: 0.000256 SS= 9205.4 at b1 = 35.532 b2 = 108.11 b3 = 31.926 7 / 4
## lamda: 0.00256 SS= 9205.4 at b1 = 35.532 b2 = 108.11 b3 = 31.926 8 / 5
print(anlxf1)
## nlsr object: x
## residual sumsquares = 9205.4 on 12 observations
##
      after 5
                  Jacobian and 8 function evaluations
##
    name
                    coeff
                                   SE
                                            tstat
                                                       pval
                                                                 gradient
                                                                             JSingval
## b1
                   35.5321
                                      NA
                                                 NA
                                                            NA -6.684e-07
                                                                                 3.464
```

```
## b2 108.109 NA NA NA -1.464e-11 5.015e-11
## b3 31.9262 NA NA NA 1.583e-09 6.143e-27

# anlxb2 <- try(nlxb(eunsc, start=start1, trace=FALSE, data=weeddata2))
# print(anlxb2)
```

We can discover quickly the difficulty here by computing the Jacobian at this "solution" and checking its singular values.

```
cf1 <- coef(anlxf1)
print(cf1)

## b1 b2 b3
## 35.532 108.109 31.926
## attr(,"pkgname")
## [1] "nlsr"
jf1 <- anlxf1$jacobian
svals <- svd(jf1)$d
print(svals)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 3.4641e+00 5.0147e-11 6.1432e-27
```

Here we see that the Jacobian is only rank 1, even though there are 3 coefficients. It is therefore not surprising that our nonlinear least squares program has concluded we are unable to make further progress.

#### Nonlinear least squares with functions

We can run the same example as above using **R** functions rather than expressions, but now we need to have a gradient function as well as one to compute residuals. **nlsr** has tools to create these functions from expressions, as we shall see here. First we again set up the data and load the package.

```
weeddata1 <- data.frame(y=ydat, tt=tdat)
weedrj <- model2rjfun(modelformula=eunsc, pvec=start1, data=weeddata1)
weedrj</pre>
```

```
## function (prm)
## {
##    if (is.null(names(prm)))
##        names(prm) <- names(pvec)
##    localdata <- list2env(as.list(prm), parent = data)</pre>
```

```
##
       eval(residexpr, envir = localdata)
## }
## <bytecode: 0x56443a100230>
## <environment: 0x56443aa90b40>
rjfundoc(weedrj) # Note how useful this is to report status
## FUNCTION weedrj
## Formula: y \sim b1/(1 + b2 * exp(-b3 * tt))
## Code:
               expression({
       .expr3 \leftarrow exp(-b3 * tt)
##
##
       .expr5 < -1 + b2 * .expr3
##
       .expr10 <- .expr5^2
       .value <- b1/.expr5 - y
##
       .grad <- array(0, c(length(.value), 3L), list(NULL, c("b1",</pre>
##
##
           "b2", "b3")))
##
       .grad[, "b1"] <- 1/.expr5
       .grad[, "b2"] <- -(b1 * .expr3/.expr10)
##
       .grad[, "b3"] <- b1 * (b2 * (.expr3 * tt))/.expr10
##
       attr(.value, "gradient") <- .grad</pre>
##
##
       .value
## })
## Parameters:
                 b1, b2, b3
## Data:
                 y, tt
## VALUES
## Observations:
                     12
## Parameters:
## b1 b2 b3
## 1 1 1
## Data (length 12):
##
           y tt
       5.308 1
## 1
## 2
      7.240 2
## 3
      9.638 3
## 4 12.866 4
## 5 17.069 5
     23.192 6
## 6
## 7 31.443 7
## 8 38.558 8
## 9 50.156 9
## 10 62.948 10
## 11 75.995 11
## 12 91.972 12
check modelexpr() works with an ssgrfun ??
test model2rjfun vs model2rjfunx??
Why is ress difficult to use in optimization?
y <- c(5.308, 7.24, 9.638, 12.866, 17.069, 23.192, 31.443, 38.558,
50.156, 62.948, 75.995, 91.972)
tt <- seq_along(y) # for testing</pre>
mydata <- data.frame(y = y, tt = tt)
```

```
f \leftarrow y \sim 100*b1/(1 + 10*b2 * exp(-0.1 * b3 * tt))
p \leftarrow c(b1 = 1, b2 = 1, b3 = 1)
rjfn <- model2rjfun(f, p, data = mydata)</pre>
rjfn(p)
## [1]
          4.64386 3.64458
                              ## [8] -20.35397 -30.41538 -41.57497 -52.89343 -67.04642
## attr(,"gradient")
##
             b1
                      b2
## [1,] 9.9519 -8.9615 0.89615
## [2,] 10.8846 -9.6998 1.93997
## [3,] 11.8932 -10.4787 3.14361
## [4,] 12.9816 -11.2964 4.51856
## [5,] 14.1537 -12.1504 6.07520
## [6,] 15.4128 -13.0373 7.82235
## [7,] 16.7621 -13.9524 9.76668
## [8,] 18.2040 -14.8902 11.91213
## [9,] 19.7406 -15.8437 14.25933
## [10,] 21.3730 -16.8050 16.80496
## [11,] 23.1016 -17.7647 19.54122
## [12,] 24.9256 -18.7127 22.45528
myfn <- function(p, resfn=rjfn){</pre>
 val <- resss(p, resfn)</pre>
p \leftarrow c(b1 = 2, b2=2, b3=1)
a1 <- optim(p, myfn, control=list(trace=0))
a1
## $par
      b1
             b2
## 1.9618 4.9092 3.1358
## $value
## [1] 2.5873
##
## $counts
## function gradient
       200
##
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## NULL
We can also embed the function directly.
a2 <- optim(p, function(p,resfn=rjfn){resss(p,resfn)}, control=list(trace=0))
a2
## $par
      b1
              b2
                     b3
## 1.9618 4.9092 3.1358
```

```
##
## $value
## [1] 2.5873
##
## $counts
## function gradient
## 200 NA
##
## $convergence
## [1] 0
##
## $message
## NULL
```

Need more extensive discussion of Simplify??

# Using derivative functions to generate gradient functions

?? from a different vignette, need to integrate

One of the common needs for optimization computations is the availability of accurate gradients of the objective functions. While differentiation is relatively straightforward, it is tedious and error-prone.

At 2015-1-24, I have not determined how to automate the use the output of the derivatives generated by **nlsr** to create a working gradient function. However, the following write-up shows how such a function can be generated in a semi-automatic way.

We use an example that appeared on the R-help mailing list on Jan 14, 2015. Responses by Ravi Varadhan and others, along with some modification I made, gave the following negative log likelihood function to be minimized.

```
require(nlsr)
y=c(5,11,21,31,46,75,98,122,145,165,195,224,245,293,321,330,350,420) # data set

Nweibull2 <- function(x,prm){
    la <- prm[1]
    al <- prm[2]
    be<- prm[3]
    val2 <- la*be*(x/al)^(be-1)* exp( (x/al)^be+la*al*(1-exp((x/al)^be) ) )
    val2
}

LL2J <- function(par,y) {
    R = Nweibull2(y,par)
    -sum(log(R))
}</pre>
```

We want the gradient of LL3J() with respect to par, and first compute the derivatives of Nweibull2() with respect to the parameters prm

We start with the central expression in Nweibull2() and compute its partial derivatives. The expression is:

```
la*be*(x/al)^(be-1)* exp( (x/al)^be+la*al*(1-exp((x/al)^be) ) )
# Put in the main expression for the Nweibull pdf.
## we generate the three gradient components
gin <- nlsDeriv(~ la*be*(x/al)^(be-1)* exp( (x/al)^be+la*al*(1-exp((x/al)^be) ) ), "la")
gin</pre>
```

```
## la * be * (x/al)^(be - 1) * (exp((x/al)^be + la * al * (1 - exp((x/al)^be))) *
       (al * (1 - exp((x/al)^be)))) + be * (x/al)^(be - 1) * exp((x/al)^be +
##
       la * al * (1 - exp((x/al)^be)))
g2n \leftarrow nlsDeriv(\sim la*be*(x/al)^(be-1)* exp((x/al)^be+la*al*(1-exp((x/al)^be))), "al")
g2n
## la * be * (x/al)^(be - 1) * (exp((x/al)^be + la * al * (1 - exp((x/al)^be))) *
       (be * (x/al)^(be - 1) * -(x/al^2) + (la * al * -(exp((x/al)^be) *
##
##
           (be * (x/al)^(be - 1) * -(x/al^2))) + la * (1 - exp((x/al)^be))))) +
       la * be * ((be - 1) * (x/al)^(be - 1 - 1) * -(x/al^2)) *
##
##
           \exp((x/al)^be + la * al * (1 - \exp((x/al)^be)))
g3n \leftarrow nlsDeriv(\ la*be*(x/al)^(be-1)* exp((x/al)^be+la*al*(1-exp((x/al)^be))), "be")
g3n
## la * be * (x/al)^(be - 1) * (exp((x/al)^be + la * al * (1 - exp((x/al)^be))) *
       ((x/al)^be * log(x/al) + la * al * -(exp((x/al)^be) * ((x/al)^be *
##
           \log(x/al)))) + (la * be * ((x/al)^(be - 1) * log(x/al)) +
##
       la * (x/al)^(be - 1)) * exp((x/al)^be + la * al * (1 - exp((x/al)^be)))
By copying and pasting the output above into a function structure, we get Nwei2g() below.
Nwei2g <- function(x, prm){</pre>
 la <- prm[1]
 al <- prm[2]
  be<- prm[3]
g1v \leftarrow la * be * (x/al)^(be - 1) * (exp((x/al)^be + la * al * (1 - exp((x/al)^be))) *
    (al * (1 - exp((x/al)^be))) + be * (x/al)^(be - 1) * exp((x/al)^be +
    la * al * (1 - exp((x/al)^be)))
g2v \leftarrow la * be * (x/al)^(be - 1) * (exp((x/al)^be + la * al * (1 - exp((x/al)^be))) *
    (be * (x/al)^(be - 1) * -(x/al^2) + (la * al * -(exp((x/al)^be) *
        (be * (x/al)^(be - 1) * -(x/al^2))) + la * (1 - exp((x/al)^be))))) +
    la * be * ((be - 1) * (x/al)^(be - 1 - 1) * -(x/al^2)) *
        \exp((x/al)^be + la * al * (1 - \exp((x/al)^be)))
g3v \leftarrow la * be * (x/al)^(be - 1) * (exp((x/al)^be + la * al * (1 - exp((x/al)^be))) *
    ((x/a1)^be * log(x/a1) + la * al * -(exp((x/a1)^be) * ((x/a1)^be *
        \log(x/al)))) + (la * be * ((x/al)^(be - 1) * log(x/al)) +
    la * (x/al)^(be - 1)) * exp((x/al)^be + la * al * (1 - exp((x/al)^be)))
gg <- matrix(data=c(g1v, g2v, g3v), ncol=3)</pre>
}
We can check this gradient functionusing the grad() function from package numDeriv.
start1 <- c(lambda=.01,alpha=340,beta=.8)</pre>
start2 <- c(lambda=.01,alpha=340,beta=.7)</pre>
require(numDeriv)
ganwei <- Nwei2g(y, prm=start1)</pre>
require(numDeriv)
Nw <- function(x, y) {
   Nweibull2(y, x)
```

} # to allow grad() to work

```
gnnwei <- matrix(NA, nrow=length(y), ncol=3)</pre>
for (i in 1:length(y)){
   gnrow <- grad(Nw, x=start1, y=y[i])</pre>
   gnnwei[i,] <- gnrow</pre>
}
gnnwei
##
               [,1]
                            [,2]
                                        [,3]
         1.5080091
##
    [1,]
                     7.5763e-06 -4.4573e-02
##
    [2,]
         1.0470119
                     4.3477e-06 -2.1663e-02
##
    [3,]
         0.6473921
                    1.6572e-06 -7.3707e-03
         0.4021585 1.7784e-07 -9.4940e-04
##
    [4,]
    [5,]
         0.1635446 -1.0061e-06 3.5893e-03
##
    [6,] -0.0815624 -1.6713e-06
##
                                 6.0571e-03
##
    [7,] -0.1689654 -1.5386e-06
                                 5.8709e-03
    [8,] -0.2048835 -1.1819e-06
                                 5.0149e-03
##
    [9,] -0.2077443 -7.9701e-07
                                 4.0222e-03
## [10,] -0.1955391 -4.9171e-07
                                 3.1859e-03
## [11,] -0.1644138 -1.3523e-07
                                 2.1110e-03
## [12,] -0.1297028 8.2595e-08
                                 1.3249e-03
## [13,] -0.1055059
                     1.7196e-07
                                 9.0488e-04
## [14,] -0.0598567
                     2.2613e-07
                                 3.1939e-04
## [15,] -0.0406518
                     2.0242e-07
                                 1.4872e-04
## [16,] -0.0355949
                     1.9079e-07
                                 1.1187e-04
## [17,] -0.0261120
                     1.6182e-07
                                 5.3031e-05
## [18,] -0.0075317
                     6.8245e-08 -1.1995e-05
ganwei
##
               [,1]
                            [,2]
                                        [,3]
##
         1.5080091
                     7.5763e-06 -4.4573e-02
    [1,]
##
    [2,]
         1.0470119
                     4.3477e-06 -2.1663e-02
                     1.6572e-06 -7.3707e-03
##
    [3,]
         0.6473921
##
    [4,]
         0.4021585 1.7784e-07 -9.4940e-04
##
    [5,] 0.1635446 -1.0061e-06 3.5893e-03
    [6,] -0.0815624 -1.6713e-06
                                 6.0571e-03
##
##
    [7,] -0.1689654 -1.5386e-06
                                 5.8709e-03
    [8,] -0.2048835 -1.1819e-06
                                 5.0149e-03
    [9,] -0.2077443 -7.9701e-07
##
                                 4.0222e-03
## [10,] -0.1955391 -4.9171e-07
                                 3.1859e-03
## [11,] -0.1644138 -1.3523e-07
                                 2.1110e-03
## [12,] -0.1297028 8.2595e-08
                                 1.3249e-03
## [13,] -0.1055059
                     1.7196e-07
                                 9.0488e-04
## [14,] -0.0598567
                     2.2613e-07
                                 3.1939e-04
## [15,] -0.0406518
                     2.0242e-07
                                 1.4872e-04
## [16,] -0.0355949
                     1.9079e-07
                                 1.1187e-04
## [17,] -0.0261120
                     1.6182e-07
                                 5.3031e-05
## [18,] -0.0075317
                    6.8245e-08 -1.1995e-05
cat("max(abs(gnnwei - ganwei))= ",
                                    max(abs(gnnwei - ganwei)),"\n")
```

```
## max(abs(gnnwei - ganwei))= 2.8041e-11
```

Now we can build the gradient of the objective function. This requires an application of the chain rule to the summation of logs of the elements of the quantity R. Since the derivative of log(R) w.r.t. R is simply 1/R, this is relatively simple. However, I have not found how to automate this.

```
## and now we can build the gradient of LL2J
LL2Jg <- function(prm, y) {
   R = Nweibull2(y,prm)
   gNN <- Nwei2g(y, prm)
    print(str(qNN)
   gL <- as.vector( t(1/R) %*% gNN)
# test
gaLL2J <- LL2Jg(start1, y)</pre>
gaLL2J
## [1] 3365.78244
                    -0.01441 -18.63351
gnLL2J <- grad(LL2J, start1, y=y)</pre>
gnLL2J
## [1] 3365.78244 -0.01441 -18.63351
cat("max(abs(gaLL2J-gnLL2J))= ", max(abs(gaLL2J-gnLL2J)), "\n")
## max(abs(gaLL2J-gnLL2J))= 1.8254e-08
```

# Appendix A: Providing exogenous data

These examples show dotargs do NOT work for any of nlsr, nls, or minpack.lm. Use of a dataframe or local (calling) environment objects does work in all.

```
## Data variables:[1] "y" "tt"
## Are the variables present in the current working environment?
## y : present= TRUE
## tt : present= TRUE
## Data variables:[1] "y" "tt"
## Are the variables present in the current working environment?
## y : present= TRUE
## tt : present= TRUE
## dots:$v
## [1] 5.308 7.240 9.638 12.866 17.069 23.192 31.443 38.558 50.156 62.948
## [11] 75.995 91.972
##
## $tt
##
  [1] 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12
## names in dots:[1] "y" "tt"
## Data variables:[1] "y" "tt"
## Are the variables present in the dot args?
## y : present= TRUE
## tt : present= TRUE
## Nonlinear regression model
##
    model: y \sim 100 * b1/(1 + 10 * b2 * exp(-0.1 * b3 * tt))
##
     data: parent.frame()
    b1 b2 b3
##
## 1.96 4.91 3.14
  residual sum-of-squares: 2.59
```

```
## Number of iterations to convergence: 4
## Achieved convergence tolerance: 2.35e-07
## Nonlinear regression model
     model: y \sim 100 * b1/(1 + 10 * b2 * exp(-0.1 * b3 * tt))
##
      data: mydata
##
        b2 b3
## 1.96 4.91 3.14
## residual sum-of-squares: 2.59
##
## Number of iterations to convergence: 4
## Achieved convergence tolerance: 2.35e-07
## Nonlinear regression model
    model: y \sim 100 * b1/(1 + 10 * b2 * exp(-0.1 * b3 * tt))
##
##
     data: mydata
##
     b1
        b2
              b3
## 1.96 4.91 3.14
## residual sum-of-squares: 2.59
## Number of iterations to convergence: 4
## Achieved convergence tolerance: 2.35e-07
## nlsr object: x
## residual sumsquares = 2.5873 on 12 observations
##
      after 6 Jacobian and 7 function evaluations
##
                    coeff
                                    SE
                                         tstat
                                                        pval
                                                                  gradient
                                                                              JSingval
## b1
                    1.96186
                                   0.1131
                                             17.35 3.167e-08 -1.187e-11
                                                                                  130.1
                                              29.08 3.284e-10 -5.458e-13
## b2
                    4.90916
                                   0.1688
                                                                                  6.165
## b3
                     3.1357
                                  0.06863
                                              45.69 5.768e-12
                                                                 5.429e-12
                                                                                  2.735
## Error in nlxb(formula = hobsc, start = ste, y = ydata, tt = ttdata) :
    unused arguments (y = ydata, tt = ttdata)
## Try error
## Try error
## Nonlinear regression model
    model: y \sim 100 * b1/(1 + 10 * b2 * exp(-0.1 * b3 * tt))
##
     data: parent.frame()
        b2 b3
    h1
##
## 1.96 4.91 3.14
## residual sum-of-squares: 2.59
## Number of iterations to convergence: 4
## Achieved convergence tolerance: 1.49e-08
## Nonlinear regression model
##
     model: y \sim 100 * b1/(1 + 10 * b2 * exp(-0.1 * b3 * tt))
##
      data: mydata
    b1
         b2
##
              b3
## 1.96 4.91 3.14
## residual sum-of-squares: 2.59
## Number of iterations to convergence: 4
## Achieved convergence tolerance: 1.49e-08
```

```
## Nonlinear regression model
## model: y ~ 100 * b1/(1 + 10 * b2 * exp(-0.1 * b3 * tt))
## data: mydata
## b1 b2 b3
## 1.96 4.91 3.14
## residual sum-of-squares: 2.59
##
## Number of iterations to convergence: 4
## Achieved convergence tolerance: 1.49e-08
```

# References

Bates, Douglas M., and Donald G. Watts. 1981. "A Relative Off Set Orthogonality Convergence Criterion for Nonlinear Least Squares." *Technometrics* 23 (2): 179–83.

Hartley, H. O. 1961. "The Modified Gauss-Newton Method for Fitting of Nonlinear Regression Functions by Least Squares." *Technometrics* 3: 269–80.

Marquardt, Donald W. 1963. "An Algorithm for Least-Squares Estimation of Nonlinear Parameters." SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics 11 (2): 431–41.

Nash, J. C. 1979. Compact Numerical Methods for Computers: Linear Algebra and Function Minimisation. Book. Hilger, Bristol:

Nash, John C. 1977. "Minimizing a Nonlinear Sum of Squares Function on a Small Computer." *Journal of the Institute for Mathematics and Its Applications* 19: 231–37.