ADVANCED TOPICS IN POMP

AARON A. KING

Contents

1.	Accelerating your codes: vectorizing rprocess and using native codes	1
2.	Accumulator variables	8
3.	The low-level interface	9
4	Other examples	10

This document discusses accelerating pomp by vectorizing your codes and/or using native (C or FOR-TRAN) codes. It also introduces pomp's low-level interface for code developers.

1. ACCELERATING YOUR CODES: VECTORIZING RPROCESS AND USING NATIVE CODES

In the "Introduction to pomp" vignette, we used *plug-ins* provided by the package to specify the rprocess component of partially-observed Markov process models. The rprocess plug-ins require you to write a simulator for a single realization of the process, for a single set of parameters, from one time to another. pomp then calls this code many times—using potentially many different parameter values, states, and times—whenever it simulates the process, computes likelihood via Monte Carlo integration, etc. The inference methods implemented in pomp are quite computationally intensive, which puts a premium on the speed of your codes. Sometimes, you can realize substantial speed-up of your code by vectorizing it. This necessitates foregoing the relative simplicity of the plug-in-based implementation and writing rprocess "from scratch". Here, we'll develop a vectorized version of rprocess in R code, then we'll see what the same thing looks like coded in C. We'll compare these different versions in terms of their speed at simulation.

We'll use a discrete-time bivariate AR(1) process with normal measurement error as our example. In this model, the state process $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfies

$$X_t = \alpha X_{t-1} + \sigma \varepsilon_t. \tag{1}$$

The measurement process is

$$Y_t = \beta X_t + \tau \xi_t. \tag{2}$$

In these equations, α and and β are 2×2 constant matrices. ξ_t and ε_t are mutually-independent families of i.i.d. bivariate standard normal random variables. σ is a lower-triangular matrix such that $\sigma\sigma^T$ is the variance-covariance matrix of $X_{t+1}|X_t$. We'll assume that each component of X is measured independently and with the same error, τ , so that the variance-covariance matrix of $Y_t|X_t$ has τ^2 on the diagonal and zeros elsewhere.

An implementation of this model is included in the package as a pomp object; load it by executing data(ou2).

Date: April 5, 2012, pomp version 0.41-2.

An unvectorized implementation using R code only. Before we set about vectorizing the codes, let's have a look at what a plug-in based implementation written entirely in R might look like.

```
data(ou2)
ou2.dat <- as.data.frame(ou2)
pomp(
     data=ou2.dat[c("time", "y1", "y2")],
     times="time",
     t0=0,
     rprocess=discrete.time.sim(
       step.fun=function (x, t, params, ...) {
         eps <- rnorm(n=2,mean=0,sd=1) # noise terms
         xnew \leftarrow c(
                    x1=params["alpha.1"]*x["x1"]+params["alpha.3"]*x["x2"]+
                        params["sigma.1"]*eps[1],
                    x2=params["alpha.2"]*x["x1"]+params["alpha.4"]*x["x2"]+
                        params["sigma.2"]*eps[1]+params["sigma.3"]*eps[2]
         names(xnew) <- c("x1", "x2")
         xnew
     ) -> ou2.Rplug
```

Notice how we specify the process model simulator using the rprocess plug-in discrete.time.sim. The latter function's step.fun argument is itself a function that simulates one realization of the process for one timestep and one set of parameters. When we vectorize the code, we'll do many realizations at once.

Vectorizing the process simulator using R code only. Now, to write a vectorized rprocess in R, we must write a function that simulates nrep realizations of the unobserved process. Each of these realizations may start at a different point in state space and each may have a different set of parameters. Moreover, this function must be capable of simulating the process over an arbitrary time interval and must be capable of reporting the unobserved states at arbitrary times in that interval. We'll accomplish this by writing an R function with arguments xstart, params, and times. About these inputs, we must assume:

- (1) xstart will be a matrix, each column of which is a vector of initial values of the state process. Each state variable (matrix row) will be named.
- (2) params will be a matrix, the columns of which are parameter vectors. The parameter names will be in the matrix column-names.
- (3) times will be a vector of times at which realizations of the state process are required. We will have times $[k] \le times[k+1]$ for all indices k, but we cannot assume that the entries of times will be unique.
- (4) The initial states xstart are assumed to obtain at time times[1].

This function must return a rank-3 array, which has the realized values of the state process at the requested times. This array must have rownames. Here is one implementation of such a simulator.

```
ou2.Rvect.rprocess <- function (xstart, times, params, ...) {
  nrep <- ncol(xstart)  # number of realizations
  ntimes <- length(times)  # number of timepoints
  ## unpack the parameters (for legibility only)</pre>
```

```
alpha.1 <- params["alpha.1",]
alpha.2 <- params["alpha.2",]
alpha.3 <- params["alpha.3",]</pre>
alpha.4 <- params["alpha.4",]</pre>
sigma.1 <- params["sigma.1",]</pre>
sigma.2 <- params["sigma.2",]</pre>
sigma.3 <- params["sigma.3",]</pre>
## x is the array of states to be returned: it must have rownames
x <- array(0,dim=c(2,nrep,ntimes))</pre>
rownames(x) <- rownames(xstart)</pre>
## xnow holds the current state values
x[,,1] \leftarrow xnow \leftarrow xstart
tnow <- times[1]</pre>
for (k in seq.int(from=2,to=ntimes,by=1)) {
  tgoal <- times[k]
  while (tnow < tgoal) {</pre>
                                            # take one step at a time
     eps <- array(rnorm(n=2*nrep,mean=0,sd=1),dim=c(2,nrep))</pre>
     tmp <- alpha.1*xnow['x1',]+alpha.3*xnow['x2',]+</pre>
       sigma.1*eps[1,]
     xnow['x2',] <- alpha.2*xnow['x1',]+alpha.4*xnow['x2',]+</pre>
       sigma.2*eps[1,]+sigma.3*eps[2,]
     xnow['x1',] <- tmp</pre>
     tnow <- tnow+1</pre>
  7
  x[,,k] \leftarrow xnow
}
X
```

We can put this into a pomp object that is the same as ou2. Rplug in every way except in its rprocess slot by doing

```
ou2.Rvect <- pomp(ou2.Rplug,rprocess=ou2.Rvect.rprocess)</pre>
```

Let's pick some parameters and simulate some data to see how long it takes this code to run.

Doing 1000 simulations of ou2.Rvect took 0.1 secs. Compared to the 2.34 secs it took to run 1000 simulations of ou2.Rplug, this is a 24-fold speed-up.

Using R's byte compiler. From version 2.13, R has provided byte-compilation facilities, in the base package compiler. Let's see to what extent we can speed up our codes by byte-compiling the components of our pomp object.

```
require(compiler)
pomp(
     ou2.Rplug,
     rprocess=discrete.time.sim(
       step.fun=cmpfun(
         function (x, t, params, ...) {
           eps <- rnorm(n=2,mean=0,sd=1) # noise terms</pre>
           xnew <- c(
                      x1=params["alpha.1"]*x["x1"]+params["alpha.3"]*x["x2"]+
                      params["sigma.1"]*eps[1],
                      x2=params["alpha.2"]*x["x1"]+params["alpha.4"]*x["x2"]+
                      params["sigma.2"]*eps[1]+params["sigma.3"]*eps[2]
           names(xnew) <- c("x1", "x2")
           xnew
         },
         options=list(optimize=3)
     ) -> ou2.Bplug
```

Doing these 1000 simulations of ou2.Bplug took 1.93 secs. This is a 1.2-fold speed-up relative to the plug-in code written in R.

We can byte-compile the vectorized R code, too, and compare its performance:

```
ou2.Bvect <- pomp(ou2.Rplug,rprocess=cmpfun(ou2.Rvect.rprocess,options=list(optimize=3)))
tic <- Sys.time()
simdat.Bvect <- simulate(ou2.Bvect,params=theta,states=T,nsim=1000)
toc <- Sys.time()
etime.Bvect <- toc-tic</pre>
```

This code shows a 28-fold speed-up relative to the plug-in code written in R.

Accelerating the code using C: a plug-in based implementation. As we've seen, we can usually acheive big accelerations using compiled native code. A one-step simulator written in C for use with the discrete.time.sim plug-in is included with the package and can be viewed by doing

```
file.show(file=system.file("examples/ou2.c",package="pomp"))
```

The one-step simulator is in function ou2_step. Prototypes for the one-step simulator and other functions are in the pomp.h header file; view it by doing

```
file.show(file=system.file("include/pomp.h",package="pomp"))
```

We can put the one-step simulator into the pomp object and simulate as before by doing

```
),
statenames=c("x1","x2"),
obsnames=c("y1","y2")
)

tic <- Sys.time()
simdat.Cplug <- simulate(ou2.Cplug,params=theta,states=T,nsim=100000)
toc <- Sys.time()
etime.Cplug <- toc-tic
```

Note that ou2_step is written in such a way that we must specify paramnames, statenames, and obsnames. These 100000 simulations of ou2.Cplug took 1.92 secs. This is a 122-fold speed-up relative to ou2.Rplug.

A vectorized C implementation. The function ou2_adv is a fully vectorized version of the simulator written in C. View this code by doing

```
file.show(file=system.file("examples/ou2.c",package="pomp"))
```

This function is called in the following rprocess function. Notice that the call to ou2_adv uses the .C convention.

```
ou2.Cvect.rprocess <- function (xstart, times, params, ...) {
   nvar <- nrow(xstart)</pre>
   npar <- nrow(params)</pre>
   nrep <- ncol(xstart)</pre>
   ntimes <- length(times)</pre>
   array(
          .C("ou2_adv",
             X = double(nvar*nrep*ntimes),
             xstart = as.double(xstart),
            par = as.double(params),
             times = as.double(times),
             n = as.integer(c(nvar,npar,nrep,ntimes))
         dim=c(nvar,nrep,ntimes),
         dimnames=list(rownames(xstart), NULL, NULL)
         )
 }
The call that constructs the pomp object is:
 ou2.Cvect <- pomp(
                    ou2.Rplug,
                    rprocess=ou2.Cvect.rprocess
 tic <- Sys.time()
paramnames <- c(
                  "alpha.1", "alpha.2", "alpha.3", "alpha.4",
                  "sigma.1", "sigma.2", "sigma.3",
                  "tau",
                  "x1.0", "x2.0"
                  )
```

```
simdat.Cvect <- simulate(ou2.Cvect,params=theta[paramnames],nsim=100000,states=T)
toc <- Sys.time()
etime.Cvect <- toc-tic</pre>
```

Note that we've had to rearrange the order of parameters here to ensure that they arrive at the native codes in the right order. Doing 100000 simulations of ou2.Cvect took 2.22 secs, a 106-fold speed-up relative to ou2.Rplug.

More on native codes and plug-ins. It's possible to use native codes for dprocess and for the measurement model portions of the pomp as well. In the "Introduction to pomp" vignette, we looked at the SIR model, which we implemented using an Euler-multinomial approximation to the continuous-time Markov process. Here is the same model implemented using native C codes:

```
pomp(
     data=data.frame(
       time=seq(from=1/52, to=4, by=1/52),
       reports=NA
       ),
     times="time",
     t0=0,
     ## native routine for the process simulator:
     rprocess=euler.sim(
       step.fun="_sir_euler_simulator",
       delta.t=1/52/20,
       PACKAGE="pomp"
       ),
     ## native routine for the skeleton:
     skeleton.type="vectorfield",
     skeleton="_sir_ODE",
     ## binomial measurement model:
     rmeasure="_sir_binom_rmeasure",
     dmeasure="_sir_binom_dmeasure",
     ## name of the shared-object library containing the
     ## native measurement-model routines:
     PACKAGE="pomp",
     ## the order of the observable assumed in the native routines:
     obsnames=c("reports"),
     ## the order of the state variables assumed in the native routines:
     statenames=c("S","I","R","cases","W"),
     ## the order of the parameters assumed in the native routines:
     paramnames=c(
       "gamma", "mu", "iota", "logbeta1", "beta.sd",
       "pop", "rho", "nbasis", "degree", "period"
     ## designate 'cases' as an accumulator variable
     ## i.e., set it to zero after each observation
     zeronames=c("cases"),
     comp.names=c("S","I","R"),
     parameter.transform="_sir_par_trans",
     parameter.inv.transform="_sir_par_untrans",
     initializer=function(params, t0, comp.names, ...) {
       ic.names <- paste(comp.names,".0",sep="")</pre>
       snames <- c("S","I","R","cases","W")</pre>
```

```
fracs <- params[ic.names]
x0 <- numeric(length(snames))
names(x0) <- snames
x0[comp.names] <- round(params['pop']*fracs/sum(fracs))
x0["cases"] <- 0
x0
}
) -> sir
```

The source code for the native routines <code>_sir_euler_simulator</code>, <code>_sir_ODE</code>, <code>_sir_binom_rmeasure</code>, and <code>_sir_binom_dmeasure</code> is provided with the package (in the examples directory). To see the source code, do

```
file.show(file=system.file("examples/sir.c",package="pomp"))
```

Also in the examples directory is an R script that shows how to compile sir.c into a shared-object library and link it with R. Note that the native routines for this model are included in the package, which is why we give the PACKAGE="pomp" argument to pomp. When you write your own model using native routines, you'll compile them into a dynamically-loadable library. In this case, you'll want to specify the name of that library using the PACKAGE argument. Again, refer to the SIR example included in the examples directory to see how this is done.

You can also use the R package inline to put C or FORTRAN codes directly into your R functions.

There is an important issue that arises when using native codes. This has to do with the order in which parameters, states, and observables are passed to these codes. pomp relies on the names (also rownames and column-names) attributes to identify variables in vectors and arrays. When you write a C or FORTRAN version of rprocess or dmeasure for example, you write a routine that takes parameters, state variables, and/or observables in the form of a vector. However, you have no control over the order in which these are given to you. Without some means of knowing which element of each vector corresponds to which variable, you cannot write the codes correctly. This is where the paramnames, statenames, covarnames, and obsnames arguments to pomp come in: use these arguments to specify the order in which your C code expects to see the parameters, state variables, covariates, and observables (data variables). pomp will match these names against the corresponding names attributes of vectors. It will then pass to your native routines index vectors you can use to locate the correct variables. See the source code to see how this is done.

Let's specify some parameters, simulate, and compute a deterministic trajectory:

2. ACCUMULATOR VARIABLES

Recall the SIR example discussed in the "Introduction to pomp" vignette. In this example, the data consist of reported cases, which are modeled as binomial draws from the true number of recoveries having occurred since the last observation. In particular, suppose the zero time for the process is t_0 and let t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n be the times at which the data y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n are recorded. Then the k-th observation $y_k = C(t_{k-1}, t_k)$ is the observed number of cases in time interval $[t_{k-1}, t_k)$. If $\Delta_{I \to R}(t_{k-1}, t_k)$ is the accumulated number of recoveries (I to R transitions) in the same interval, then the model assumes

$$y_k = C(t_{k-1}, t_k) \sim \text{binomial}(\Delta_{I \to R}(t_{k-1}, t_k), \rho)$$

where ρ is the probability a given case is actually recorded.

Now, it is easy to keep track of the cumulative number of recoveries when simulating the continuous-time SIR state process; one simply has to add each recovery to an accumulator variable when it occurs. The SIR simulator codes in the "Introduction to pomp" vignette do this, storing the cumulative number of recoveries in a state variable cases, so that at any time t,

 $cases(t) = cumulative number of recoveries having occurred in the interval <math>[t_0, t)$.

It follows that $\Delta_{I\to R}(t_{k-1},t_k) = \mathsf{cases}(t_k) - \mathsf{cases}(t_{k-1})$. Does this not violate the Markov assumption upon which all the algorithms in pomp are based? Not really. Straightforwardly, one could augment the state process, adding $\mathsf{cases}(t_{k-1})$ to the state vector at time t_k . The state process would then become a *hybrid* process, with one component (the S, I, R, and cases variables) evolving in continuous time, while the retarded cases variable would update discretely.

It would, of course, be relatively easy to code up the model in this way, but because the need for accumulator variables is so common, pomp provides an easier work-around. Specifically, in the pompobject constructing call to pomp, any variables named in the zeronames argument are assumed to be accumulator variables. At present, however, only the rprocess plug-ins and the deterministic-skeleton trajectory codes take this into account; setting zeronames will have no effect on custom rprocess codes.

3. The low-level interface

There is a low-level interface to pomp objects, primarily designed for package developers. Ordinary users should have little reason to use this interface. In this section, each of the methods that make up this interface will be introduced.

Getting initial states. The init.state method is called to initialize the state (unobserved) process. It takes a vector or matrix of parameters and returns a matrix of initial states.

```
data(ou2)
 true.p <- coef(ou2)</pre>
x0 <- init.state(ou2)
x0
   [,1]
x1
     -3
x2
new.p <- cbind(true.p,true.p,true.p)</pre>
new.p["x1.0",] <- 1:3
 init.state(ou2,params=new.p)
   [,1] [,2] [,3]
      1
            2
                  3
x1
x2
```

Simulating the process model. The rprocess method gives access to the process model simulator. It takes initial conditions (which need not correspond to the zero-time t0 specified when the pomp object was constructed), a set of times, and a set of parameters. The initial states and parameters must be matrices, and they are checked for commensurability. The method returns a rank-3 array containing simulated state trajectories, sampled at the times specified.

Note that the dimensions of x are nvars x nreps x ntimes, where nvars is the number of state variables, nreps is the number of simulated trajectories (which is the number of columns in the params and xstart matrices), and ntimes is the length of the times argument. Note also that x[,,1] is identical to xstart.

Simulating the measurement model. The rmeasure method gives access to the measurement model simulator:

```
x \leftarrow x[,,-1,drop=F]

y \leftarrow rmeasure(ou2,x=x,times=time(ou2),params=true.p)

dim(y)
```

Process and measurement model densities. The dmeasure and dprocess methods give access to the measurement and process model densities, respectively.

```
fp <- dprocess(ou2,x=x,times=time(ou2),params=true.p)
dim(fp)

[1] 1 99

fp[,36:40]

[1] 0.007364629 0.017328502 0.009985059 0.018265251

[5] 0.010566182

fm <- dmeasure(ou2,y=y[,1,],x=x,times=time(ou2),params=true.p)
dim(fm)

[1] 1 100

fm[,36:40]</pre>
```

[1] 0.15347701 0.04107398 0.05969625 0.05613216 0.08251383

All of these are to be preferred to direct access to the slots of the pomp object, because they do error checking on the inputs and outputs.

4. Other examples

There are a number of example pomp objects included with the package. These can be found by running

```
data(package="pomp")
```

The R scripts that generated these are included in the data-R directory of the installed package. The majority of these use compiled code, which can be found in the package source.

A. A. King, Departments of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology and Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109-1048 USA

 $E ext{-}mail\ address$: kingaa at umich dot edu URL: http:pomp.r-forge.r-project.org