Modification of replication analysis for cluster validation of symbolic data

Formally, replicating clusters proceeds as follows (see Breckenridge [2000], 262-263; Milligan [1996], 368-369; Gordon [1999], 184):

- 1. The data are randomly divided into two samples: sample A (primary set) and sample B (replication set). Data must exist on the same set of variables in both samples.
- 2. Sample A is clustered into u clusters $A = \{A_1, ..., A_u\}$ via optimization method: SClust, DClust, pam or hierarchical method: single, complete, average, mcquitty, median, centroid, Ward, diana (see e.g. Bock, Diday [2000]; Diday, Noirhomme-Fraiture [2008]). Once clusters have been identified, the medoids of u clusters are selected.
 - 3. Sample B is clustered, using the same clustering procedure, into u clusters $B = \{B_1, ..., B_u\}$.
- 4. The distances between sample B objects and the medoids of sample A are determined (see e.g. Bock, Diday [2000]; Diday, Noirhomme-Fraiture [2008]). Each element of sample B is assigned to the nearest medoid determined in step 2 (this provides a partition of sample B into no more than u clusters). This produces a clustering of sample B based on characteristics of sample A: $B^* = \{B_1^*, ..., B_u^*\}$.
 - 5. The steps 1-4 are repeated S times (S the number of simulations).
- 6. A measure of agreement (average value of adjusted Rand index) between two clusterings B and *B are computed for S simulations. The level of agreement between the two partitions reflects the stability of the clustering in the data. Adjusted Rand index takes values of $(-\infty,1]$ and its value close to 1 means relatively stable division of objects set into u clusters.

References

Breckenridge, J.N. (2000), *Validating cluster analysis: consistent replication and symmetry*, "Multivariate Behavioral Research", 35 (2), pp. 261-285.

Gordon, A.D. (1999), Classification, Chapman and Hall/CRC, London.

Hubert, L., Arabie, P. (1985), Comparing Partitions, "Journal of Classification", no. 1, pp. 193-218.

Milligan, G.W. (1996), Clustering Validation: Results and Implications for Applied Analyses, In P. Arabie, L.J. Hubert, G. de Soete (Eds.), \emph{Clustering and classification}, World Scientific, Singapore, pp. 341-375.

Bock H.H., Diday E. (Eds.) (2000), Analysis of Symbolic Data. Explanatory methods for extracting statistical information from complex data, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

Diday E., Noirhomme-Fraiture M. (Eds.) (2008), *Symbolic Data Analysis with SODAS Software*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester.