



## King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1145/3587103.3594173](https://doi.org/10.1145/3587103.3594173)

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Xiao, H., Spring, J., Kuzminykh, I., & Cortellazzi, J. (2023). Inclusive Group Work Assessment for Cybersecurity. In *ITICSE 2023 - Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education* (pp. 652). (Annual Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education, ITICSE; Vol. 2). Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3587103.3594173>

### Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact [librarypure@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:librarypure@kcl.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Inclusive Group Work Assessment for Cybersecurity

Hannan Xiao  
hannan.xiao@kcl.ac.uk  
Department of Informatics  
King's College London  
London, UK

Ievgeniia Kuzminykh  
ivegeniia.kuzminykh@kcl.ac.uk  
Department of Informatics  
King's College London  
London, UK

Joseph Spring  
j.spring@herts.ac.uk  
Department of Computer Science  
University of Hertfordshire  
Hatfield, UK

Jacopo Cortellazzi  
jacopo.cortellazzi@kcl.ac.uk  
Department of Informatics  
King's College London  
London, UK

## ABSTRACT

This poster presents an ongoing study that takes a diverse and inclusive approach to designing a practical group work assessment for an undergraduate cybersecurity course delivered to third year university cohorts. Students were given the choice to either work individually or as part of a group to complete the assignment in virtual laboratories. The study evaluates how student grouping preferences change when the teaching structure adapts in response to the Covid-19 pandemic and how grouping preference impacts upon academic performance. Students reflected positively on the assignment and demonstrated a preference for treating group information as private. No disputes regarding group marks or contributions from different group members arose despite the number of groups involved. Students have taken responsibility for their choices and have accepted the outcomes of their teamwork.

## CCS CONCEPTS

• Social and professional topics → Computer science education; Student assessment; • Security and privacy → Network security.

## KEYWORDS

diversity and inclusion, group work assessment, cybersecurity education, virtual laboratory

A recent literature review on cybersecurity education [4] found that exactly half of the 64 teaching papers reviewed involved students working in pairs or groups, such as peer mentoring [2] and peer instruction [1]. However, these studies did not focus on group work assessment for cybersecurity education. In response to the promotion of EDI in higher education, this study seeks to investigate this issue in relation to curriculum design [3], embedding EDI in the design of group work assessment for a cybersecurity course. Our research questions (RQs) focus on the following:

**RQ1:** How do we design a group work assignment that includes practical tasks, that is diverse, inclusive and fit, for large groups of students in cybersecurity education?

**RQ2:** What preference, if any, do students have between working individually and working in a group, given that the students are able to choose the size of the group that they work in from 1 (working individually) up to a capped maximum of 4?

**RQ3:** Do student preferences have an impact on their results, and if so, what is the impact upon their results?

To answer the above research questions, an assignment called network attack and defense was designed. Two types of virtual laboratory were built enabling students to complete the assignment either as part of a group or individually. Questionnaires were used to collect feedback on student experience. Such an approach integrated the idea of EDI into the design of the assessment itself as it does not force students to join a group, thus providing students who may be hesitant to contribute in a verbal context greater flexibility in response to their needs.

Our analysis of the first two-year of data demonstrates that a higher percentage of students chose to complete the assignment alone in 2020-21 (26% of N=206) when the pandemic situation was more severe than in 2021-22 (18% of N=182). In 2020-21, the mean score of groups of size 1 ( $68.4377 \pm 20.8.025$ ) was statistically, significantly lower than that of groups of size 2 ( $86.84 \pm 19.18$ ,  $p = 0.004 (< 0.05)$ ) and size 4 ( $86.05 \pm 12.17$ ,  $p = 0.007 (< 0.05)$ ). For 2021-22, no correlation was established linking student grouping preferences to their academic performance.

This study is of particular interest to the cybersecurity education community and to colleagues working on group work assignments, diversity and inclusion.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Pranita Deshpande, Cynthia B. Lee, and Irfan Ahmed. 2019. Evaluation of Peer Instruction for Cybersecurity Education. In *Proceedings of the 50th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education* (Minneapolis, MN, USA) (SIGCSE '19).
- [2] Vandana P. Janeja, Abu Zaher Md Faridee, Aryya Gangopadhyay, Carolyn Seaman, and Amy Everhart. 2018. Enhancing Interest in Cybersecurity Careers: A Peer Mentoring Perspective. In *Proceedings of the 49th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education* (Baltimore, Maryland, USA) (SIGCSE '18).
- [3] Juuso Henrik Nieminen. 2022. Assessment for Inclusion: rethinking inclusive assessment in higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education* (2022), 1–19.
- [4] Valdemar Švábenský, Jan Vykopal, and Pavel Čeleda. 2020. What Are Cybersecurity Education Papers About? A Systematic Literature Review of SIGCSE and ITiCSE Conferences. In *Proceedings of the 51st ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education* (Portland, OR, USA) (SIGCSE '20).

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

ITiCSE 2023, July 8–12, 2023, Turku, Finland

© 2023 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0139-9/23/07.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3587103.3594173>