

Ch. 2 Logic

Friday, June 3, 2016

7:50 PM

2.1 STATEMENTS

STATEMENT → declarative sentence that is T or F
→ don't need to know if T or F
→ usually represented with P, Q, R, S, T

OPEN SENTENCE → statement with variables, called domain

NEGATION, \sim $\sim T = F, \sim F = T$

AND, \wedge
OR, \vee

P	Q	$P \wedge Q$	$P \vee Q$
T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T
F	T	F	T
F	F	F	F

2.4 THE IMPLICATION

IMPLICATION, \rightarrow

↳ if P, then Q $\equiv P \rightarrow Q$

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

only false when P (hypothesis) is T and Q (conclusion) is false
if hypothesis is false, we don't care about conclusion, so assume T

note: $P \rightarrow Q \equiv \sim P \vee Q$

↳ theorem 2.17

$\sim(P \rightarrow Q) \equiv P \wedge \sim Q$

↳ theorem 2.21

ENGLISH EXAMPLES OF $P \rightarrow Q$

if P then Q
P implies Q
P is sufficient for Q
Whenever P, Q
P only if Q
Q if P
Q is a necessary for P

2.6 BICONDITIONAL

CONVERSE

↳ converse of $P \rightarrow Q$ is $Q \rightarrow P$

BICONDITIONAL, \leftrightarrow

↳ true when both implication & converse are true

$P \leftrightarrow Q \equiv (P \rightarrow Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow P)$

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	$Q \rightarrow P$	$P \leftrightarrow Q$
T	T	T	T	T

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$	$Q \rightarrow P$	$P \leftrightarrow Q$
T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F
F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T

→ True if both F or both T

ENGLISH

P is equivalent to Q

P if and only if Q

P is necessary and sufficient condition for Q

2.7 TAUTOLOGIES AND CONTRADICTIONS

→ **TAUTOLOGY** → statement that is true for all possible inputs

$$P \vee \neg P \equiv T$$

$$\neg Q \vee (P \rightarrow Q) \equiv T$$

→ **CONTRADICTION** → statement false for all possible inputs

$$P \wedge \neg P \equiv F$$

$$(P \wedge Q) \wedge (Q \rightarrow \neg P)$$

2.9 SOME FUNDAMENTAL PROPERTIES OF LOGICAL EQUIVALENCE

COMMUTATIVE LAWS $P \vee Q \equiv Q \vee P$, $P \wedge Q \equiv Q \wedge P$

ASSOCIATIVE LAWS $P \vee (Q \vee R) \equiv (P \vee Q) \vee R$

DISTRIBUTIVE LAWS $P \vee (Q \wedge R) \equiv (P \vee Q) \wedge (P \vee R)$

DEMORGAN'S LAWS $\neg(P \vee Q) \equiv \neg P \wedge \neg Q$

} same if you swap
V's and ^'s

2.10 QUANTIFIED STATEMENTS

QUANTIFICATION → method of converting open sentence into a statement

universal quantifier, \forall → "for all"

$\forall x \in S, P(x)$ → true if every x in S makes $P(x)$ true

↑ comma

existential quantifier, \exists → "there exists"

$\exists x \in S$ s.t. $P(x)$ → true if any x in S makes $P(x)$ true

↑ such that

note: it is bad form to use symbolic quantifiers in an English sentence

Negating Quantifiers

$$\neg(\forall x \in S, P(x)) \equiv \exists x \in S \text{ s.t. } \neg P(x)$$

$$\neg(\exists x \in S \text{ s.t. } P(x)) \equiv \forall x \in S, \neg P(x)$$