

Phys 760: PS 1 Solutions

Carter Rhea

September 6, 2017

1 Problem 1

Explain why a positive definite inner product is non-degenerate

Proof: Assume we have a positive definite inner product (\cdot, \cdot) . Then given some function g we have $(g, g) = 0 \Leftrightarrow g = 0$.

Claim: Given any function f such that $(f, g) = 0$, then $g = 0$.

By Contradiction: Assume $g \neq 0$. Then there exists some f such that $f = g$ where $(f, g) = 0$ and $g \neq 0$ which contradicts the definition of a positive definite inner product. Therefore $g = 0$, as required.

2 Problem 2

Show that a non-negative inner product with the property that $(f, f) = 0$ implies that $\forall g(g, f) = 0$

Proof: Assume we have a non-negative inner product ($\forall f(f, f) \geq 0$).

Claim: $(f, f) = 0 \rightarrow \forall g(g, f) = 0$

By Contradiction: Assume $\exists g.s.t.(g, f) \neq 0$. However, if we allow $f = g$ then by the definition of a non-negative inner product $(g, f) = (g, g) = 0$ which is a contradiction to the initial assumption. Therefore $\forall g(g, f) = 0$.

3 Problem 3

Show that if a linear operator is represented by a hermitian matrix in an orthonormal basis, then $(g, Af) = (Ag, f)$.

Proof: Assume we have a linear operator A such that A is a hermitian matrix ($A = A^\dagger$) in an orthonormal bases ($A * A^T = 0$). Then we have the following:

$$(g, Af) = (g, A^\dagger f) = (Ag, AA^\dagger f) = (Ag, f)$$

4 Problem 4

Show The Epsilon-Delta Correspondence

Claim:

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{inm} = \delta_{jn} \delta_{km} - \delta_{jm} \delta_{kn}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{inm} = \epsilon_{1jk} \epsilon_{1nm} + \epsilon_{2jk} \epsilon_{2nm} + \epsilon_{3jk} \epsilon_{3nm} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = n \text{ \& } k = m \\ -1 & j = m \text{ \& } k = n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta_{jn} \delta_{km} - \delta_{jm} \delta_{kn} = \begin{cases} 1 & j = n \text{ \& } k = m \\ -1 & j = m \text{ \& } k = n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

5 Problem 5

5.1 Part A

Non-singular $N \times N$ matrices form a vector space of dimension N^2

This is false because the 0 matrix is singular and thus not a part of the set created from non-singular matrices.

5.2 Part B

Singular $N \times N$ matrices form a vector space of dimension N^2

False. The addition of two singular matrices is not always singular!

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

5.3 Part C

Complex numbers form a vector space of dimension 2

True!! It is very easily shown that the addition of two complex numbers is a complex number, the scalar multiplication of a complex number is still complex, and the number 0 is a complex number (albeit a very boring one!).

5.4 Part D

Polynomial functions of x form an infinite-dimensional vector space

True

5.5 Part e

Series $\{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_N\}$ for which $\sum_{n=0}^N |a_n|^2 = 1$ form an N -dimensional vector space.

False. It is simply not possible to have the zero vector (or zero series) satisfying the summation.

6 Problem 6

6.1 Part A

If A is Hermitian and U is unitary then $U^{-1}AU$ is Hermitian

Proof: Assume A is Hermitian and U is unitary. Then we have the following properties:

$$A = A^\dagger$$

$$UU^\dagger = I \Rightarrow U = U^{-\dagger} \Rightarrow U^{-1} = U^\dagger$$

Hence we can do the following...

$$\begin{aligned}
U^{-1}AU &= \sum_{jk} u_{ij}^{-1} a_{jk} u_{kl} \\
&= u_{ji}^* a_{kl}^* u_{kl} \quad (\text{by properties above}) \\
&= u_{ji}^* a_{kj}^* u_{lk}^{-*} \quad (\text{by properties above}) \\
&= (UAU^{-1})^* \\
&= (U^{-1}AU)^\dagger
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Therefore

$$U^{-1}AU = (U^{-1}AU)^\dagger$$

6.2 Part B

If \mathbf{A} is antiHermitian then $i\mathbf{A}$ is Hermitian

Note: Definition of antiHermitian $:= a_{ij} = -a_{ji}^*$.

Let $a_{ij} = c + di$. By definition of skew-hermitian we have, $a_{ij} = -aji^*$.

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{ji} = c + di &\rightarrow -a_{ji}^* = c + di \\
&\rightarrow a_{ji}^* = -c - di \\
&\rightarrow a_{ji} = -c + di
\end{aligned}$$

Let now $B = iA \leftarrow b_{ij} = ci - d$ Hence we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
b_{ij} = ci - d &\rightarrow b_{ji} = ci - d \quad (\text{from definition of } a_{ji}) \\
&\rightarrow b_{ji}^* = ci - d
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Therefore $B = iA$ is Hermitian!

6.3 Part C

The product of two Hermitian matrices \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} is Hermitian iff \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} commute

(\Rightarrow) Assume the product of two matrices Hermitian matrices is Hermitian.

By Contradiction: Assume $AB \neq BA$. By definition of Hermitian we have:

$$\sum_{k=1}^N a_{ik} b_{kj} = AB = (AB)^\dagger = \left(\sum_k a_{ik} b_{kj} \right)^\dagger = \left(\sum_k a_{ik}^* b_{kj}^* \right)^T = \left(\sum_k b_{jk}^* a_{ki}^* \right)$$

However we must note that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_{ik} b_{kj} &\neq b_{kj} a_{ik} \quad (\text{by definition of non-commuting}) \\
&= b_{jk}^* a_{ik}^* \quad (\text{by definition of Hermitian})
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have a contradiction

(\Leftarrow) Assume $AB = BA$ and A, B are Hermitian matrices.

By Contradiction: Assume $AB \neq (AB)^\dagger$. Hence,

$$\sum_k a_{ik} b_{kj} \neq b_{jk}^* a_{ki}^*$$

But since A and B are Hermitian we know,

$$a_{ij} = a_{ji}^* \text{ \& } b_{ij} = b_{ji}^*$$

Furthermore, since $AB = BA$ we can pick an arbitrary element such that we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k a_{ij} b_{jk} &= b_{kj} a_{ji} \text{ by definition of commutative} \\ &= b_{jk}^* a_{ji}^* \text{ since } A \text{ and } B \text{ are Hermitian} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

However this is a contradiction. Therefore AB must be Hermitian!

6.4 Part D

If S is a real antisymmetric matrix then $A = (1 - S)(1 + S)^{-1}$ is orthogonal

Note that $S^T = -S$ by definition of antisymmetric.

$$\begin{aligned} A^T A &= (1 + S)^{-T} (1 - S)^T (1 - S) (1 + S)^{-1} \\ &= (1 + S)^{-T} (1 - S^T) (1 - S) (1 + S)^{-1} \\ &= (1 + S)^{-T} (1 + S) (1 - S) (1 + S)^{-1} \\ &= (1 - S)^{-1} (1 - S^2) (1 + S)^{-1} \\ &= (1 - S)^{-1} (1 - S) (1 + S) (1 + S)^{-1} \\ &= I * I \\ &= I \end{aligned}$$

6.5 Part E

If K is antihermitian, then $V = (1 + K)(1 - K)^{-1}$ is unitary

Note $K^\dagger = -K$. Claim: $V^\dagger V = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} VV^\dagger &= (1 + K)(1 - K)^{-1} (1 - K^\dagger)^{-1} (1 + K^\dagger) \\ &= (1 - K^\dagger)(1 + K^\dagger)^{-1} (1 - K^\dagger)^{-1} (1 + K^\dagger) \\ &= I * I \\ &= I \end{aligned}$$

7 Problem 7

Two anticommuting matrices A and B satisfy $AB + BA = 0$. If $A^2 = B^2 = 1$ and $[A, B] = 2iC$

7.1 Prove $C^2 = 1$ and that $[B, C] = 2iA$

$$(AB - BA)^2 = (2iC)^2$$

$$ABAB - ABBA - BAAB - BABA = -4C^2$$

$$-1 - ABBA - BAAB - 1 = -4C^2 \mid ABAB = BABA = -1 \text{ through the anticommuting property}$$

$$-1 - 1 - 1 - 1 = -4C^2$$

$$1 = C^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
[B, C] &= BC - CB \\
&= B\left(\frac{AB - BA}{2i}\right) - \frac{AB - BA}{2i}B \\
&= \frac{BAB - BBA}{2i} - \frac{ABB - BAB}{2i} \\
&= \frac{1}{2i}(BAB - BBA - ABB + BAB) \\
&= \frac{1}{2i}(-BBA - BBA - BBA - BBA) \\
&= \frac{-4BBA}{2i} \\
&= 2iA
\end{aligned}$$

7.2 Evaluate $[[[A, B], [B, C]], [A, B]]$

$$\begin{aligned}
[[[A, B], [B, C]], [A, B]] &= [[2iC, 2iA], [2iC]] \\
&= [-4CA - 4AC, 2iC] \\
&= -8iCAC - 8iACC - 8iCCA - 8iCAC \\
&= -16iA - 16iCAC \\
&= -32iA
\end{aligned}$$

8 Problem 8

Show $x = (A^\dagger A)^{-1} A^\dagger y$ **is the minimization for our error norm** E

Let $E' = M * E^2$ for our sanity. Note that now we can define E' in the following manner:

$$E' = (y - Ax)^\dagger (y - Ax) = (y^\dagger - A^\dagger x^\dagger)(y - Ax) = (y^\dagger y - A^\dagger x^\dagger y - y^\dagger Ax + A^\dagger x^\dagger Ax)$$

Now our main goal will be the common minimization technique of taking the derivative and setting it equal to zero...

$$\frac{dE'}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ y^\dagger y - A^\dagger x^\dagger y - y^\dagger Ax + A^\dagger x^\dagger Ax \right\} = -y^\dagger A - y^\dagger A + 2x^\dagger A^\dagger A$$

$$y^\dagger A = x^\dagger A^\dagger A$$

$$x^\dagger = y^\dagger A (A^\dagger A)^{-1}$$

$$x = (y^\dagger A (A^{-1} A^{-1}))^\dagger = (A^\dagger A)^{-1} A^\dagger y$$

9 Problem 9

Find the SVD for our matrix... Using python this is trivial...

`numpy.linalg.svd(np.matrix([[0, -1], [1, 1], [-1, 0]]))` returns the following:

```

U is
[[ 4.08248290e-01  7.07106781e-01  5.77350269e-01]
 [ -8.16496581e-01 -2.22044605e-16  5.77350269e-01]
 [ 4.08248290e-01 -7.07106781e-01  5.77350269e-01]]
s is
[ 1.73205081  1.          ]
V is
[[-0.70710678 -0.70710678]
 [ 0.70710678 -0.70710678]]

```

10 Problem 10

I found all of the problems were quite reasonable and a good portion of my time was spend typing everything up!