

# Assignment 4

Carter Rhea

April 19, 2016

## Problem 1

Consider the problem of solving the following system of second order ODEs with either your code for the system for the Runge\_kutta method or with one of the methods provided in `scipy.integrate.ode`:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2y}{dt^2} &= \sin(yz) + \cos(t)z \\ \frac{d^2x}{dt^2} &= \cos(yz) + e^t y\end{aligned}$$

**a)**

Rewrite as a first order system of four equations

First we can rewrite the variables as such:

$$\begin{aligned}y &= x_1 \\ y' &= x_2 \\ z &= x_3 \\ z' &= x_4\end{aligned}$$

Giving us the differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned}x_1' &= x_2 \\ x_2' &= \sin(x_1 x_3) + \cos(t)x_3 \\ x_3' &= x_4 \\ x_4' &= \cos(x_1 x_3) + e^t x_1\end{aligned}$$

**b)**

Now make it autonomous!

$$t = x_0$$

$$y = x_1$$

$$y' = x_2$$

$$z = x_3$$

$$z' = x_4$$

With the differential equations

$$x'_0 = 1$$

$$x'_1 = x_2$$

$$x'_2 = \sin(x_1 x_2) + \cos(x_0) x_3$$

$$x'_3 = x_4$$

$$x'_4 = \cos(x_1 x_3) + e^{x_0} x_1$$

## Problem 2

The simplest implicit method, sometimes useful for handling stiff equations, is the Backward Euler, which is based on the backward difference approximation of the derivative,

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{u(t) - u(t - h)}{h}$$

leading to

$$u(t + h) \approx u(t) + hf(t + h, u(t + h))$$

Thus we are solving

$$u_{i+1} = u_i + hf(t_{i+1}, u_{i+1})$$

**a)**

Solve this for the test equation  $du/dt = -Ku$ , and show that it never has the catastrophic geometric growth.

## SOLUTION

$$u_{i+1} = u_i + hku_{i+1}$$

$$u_{i+1}(1 - hk) = u_i$$

$$u_{i+1} = \frac{u_i}{1 - hk}$$

$$u_n = \frac{u_0}{(1 - hk)^n}$$

Thus if we consider the case where  $K < 0$  it is clearly seen that  $1 - hk > 0$  for all values of  $k$  and  $h$  since  $h > 0$  by default. Thus we never have geometric growth and only decay!

**b)**

Determine its order of accuracy.

$$u(t+h) = u(t) + hf(t+h, u(t+h))$$

So we need to check out the Taylor expansion for  $f(t+h, u(t+h))$ . For simplicity's sake let's call

$$K = u(t+h) - u(t) \approx u'h + u''h^2$$

$$f(t+h, u+k) \approx f(t, u) + \frac{df}{dt}h + \frac{df}{du}k + O(h^2 + k^2)$$

Note that

$$\frac{d}{dt}[f(t, u(t))] = \frac{d^2u}{dt^2} = \frac{df}{dt} + \frac{df}{du} \frac{du}{dt}$$

Thus with some substitution we get,

$$f(t+h, u+k) \approx f(t, u) + \frac{df}{dt}h + \frac{df}{du} \left( \frac{du}{dt}h + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2u}{dt^2}h^2 \right) + O(h^2 + k^2)$$

$$f(t+h, u+k) \approx f(t, u) + \left( \frac{df}{dt}h + \frac{df}{du} \frac{du}{dt}h \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{df}{du} \frac{d^2u}{dt^2}h^2 + O(h^2 + k^2)$$

$$f(t+h, u+k) \approx f(t, u) + \frac{d^2u}{dt^2}h + \frac{1}{2} \frac{df}{du} \frac{d^2u}{dt^2}h^2 + O(h^2 + k^2)$$

## Problem 4

Consider an implicit multistep method for the format

$$u_i = a_1 u_{i-1} + a_2 u_{i-2} + b_0 hf(t_i, u_i)$$

**a)**

Verify that this is second order accurate with the coefficient choices

$$a_1 = 4/3, a_2 = -1/3, b_0 = 2/3$$

## SOLUTION

$$u(t) \approx a_1 u(t-h) + a_2 u(t-2h) + b_0 hf(t, u(t))$$

So let's approximate  $u(t-h)$  and  $u(t-2h)$  around center  $t$  and in power of  $h$ .

$$u(t-h) \approx u(t) - hf(t, u(t)) + O(h^2)$$

$$u(t-2h) \approx u(t) - 2hf(t, u(t)) + O(h^2)$$

$$u(t) \approx \frac{4}{3} \left( u(t) - hf(t, u) + O(h^2) \right) - \frac{1}{3} \left( u(t) - 2hf(t, u) + O(h^2) \right) + \frac{2}{3} hf(t, u(t))$$

$$u(t) \approx \frac{4}{3}u(t) - \frac{4}{3}hf(t, u) + O(h^2) - \frac{1}{3}u(t) + \frac{2}{3}hf(t, u) + O(h^2) + \frac{2}{3}hf(t, u(t))$$

$$u(t) \approx u(t) + O(h^2)$$

## Problem 5

If  $A$  is any  $6 \times 6$  matrix with the eigenvalues  $-8, -3, 1, 2, 5, 7$ , determine which eigenvalues each of the following methods will find:

- (a) The Power Method
- (b) The inverse power method
- (c) The shifted inverse power method with shift  $s = 4$

## Solution

- (a) The power method finds the eigenvalue with the largest absolute value so it would find  $\lambda = -8$
- (b) The inverse power method finds the eigenvalue with the smallest absolute value so it would find  $\lambda = 1$
- (c) The shifted inverse power method with shift  $s = 4$  would go four from the smallest (1) and thus pick up  $\lambda = 5$ .

In [ ]: