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**Abstract**

We present an atlas of starburst galaxy emission lines spanning 15 orders of magnitude in ionizing flux and 10 orders of magnitude in hydrogen number density. Coupling SEDs from Starburst99 with photoionization calculations from Cloudy, we track 96 emission lines from 977 Å to 205 μm which are common to nebular regions, have been observed in H II regions, and serve as useful diagnostic lines. Each simulation grid displays equivalent widths and contains ~1.5x104 photoionization models calculated by supplying a spectral energy distribution, chemical abundances, dust content, and gas metallicity (ranging from 0.2 *Z*⊙ to 5.0 *Z*⊙). Our simulations will prove useful in starburst emission line data analysis, especially regarding local starburst galaxies. Lastly, we present an application of our atlas, predicting that C III λ977 and C IV λ1549 will serve as useful diagnostic emission lines for coming *James Webb Space Telescope* high*-z* observations. Under the conditions present in the redshift range 4 < *z <* 8*,* we predict their peak equivalent widths to be approximately 100 Å and 316 Å respectively. [REVISIT LATER]

**1. Introduction**

Starburst galaxies, also known as H II galaxies or star-forming galaxies, feature strong emission lines primarily due to newly formed massive stars. The emission line diagnostic diagram displaying [O III] λ5007/ Hβ vs. [N II] λ6584/ Hα, the BPT diagram (Baldwin et al. 1981; hereafter BPT), has been remarkably successful in empirically dividing extreme star-forming galaxies from their extreme AGN counterparts. Later work added theoretical upper (Kewley et al. 2001) and lower limits for identifying star-forming galaxies along with a line on the BPT diagram dividing AGN from LINERS (Kauffman et al. 2003).

As mentioned above, along the extreme “wings” of the BPT diagram, AGN and star-forming galaxies are easily distinguished from one another. However, while starlight is often the dominant source of excitation in star-forming galaxies, several other excitation mechanisms can provide additional contribution to the production of emission lines. The most intensely star forming galaxies along the far left wing of the BPT diagram are indeed often interacting or merging (Robaina et al. 2009). Strong shocks excite the gas in galaxies along the left wing, adding an additional source of emission lines.

Similarly, at lower ionization, classifying galaxies as star-forming or AGN becomes difficult. For these galaxies, excitation and ionization of gaseous clouds could likely be the result of starlight, non-thermal sources, or a combination of the two. Historically, the presence of a radiation field hard enough to generate photons higher than 50 eV signified excitation from an AGN. However, modern models of stellar radiation fields that incorporate Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars produce a significant number of EUV photons capable of ionizing heavy elements through many states.

However, the presence of high ionization emission lines signifying AGN activity stands in conflict with typical classification schemes. NGC 3621 provides an example of an optically classified star-forming galaxy at low redshift that emits [Ne V] in the infrared (Satyapal et al. 2007). In the local (*z* ~ 0) neighborhood, several star-forming galaxies show weak nebular [O IV] emission with AGN activity ruled out by spatial resolved spectroscopy around the size of a starburst region (Lutz et al. 1998). Similarly, Sharzi and Brinchman (2012) found a significant number of optically classified star-forming galaxies with strong He II λ4686 emission within the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) at *z ~* 0-0.4.

Indeed, local star-forming galaxies that exhibit characteristics of Lyman break galaxies (LBGs) can diverge from the common sequence of starburst galaxies along the BPT diagram (Stanway et al. 2014). For example, at higher redshifts of *z* ~ 1-3, emission line galaxies with high [O III] / Hβ ratios are frequently located in regions of the BPT diagram that are typically unoccupied by nearby galaxies, limiting our understanding regarding the level of contribution from star formation (Liu et al. 2008; Steidel et al. 2014). Local analogs for high-*z* galaxies provide crucial case studies for understanding these galaxies that have higher electron densities and ionization parameters than those in the local universe (Brinchmann et al. 2008; Bian et al. 2016).

Modeling star-forming galaxies with spectral synthesis codes provides the key link to understanding the gas conditions and excitation mechanisms that are necessary to reproduce high ionization emission in starburst galaxies. A common technique for modeling star-forming galaxy spectra involves coupling a spectral energy distribution predicted from a population synthesis code with a photoionization code that predicts the observed spectrum. This technique has been used in a large number of previous studies (e.g. Abel et al. 2008; Levesque et al. 2010; Stark et al. 2014; Richardson et al. 2013).

The overlapping goal of many of these studies pertains to understanding the physical parameters responsible for the variation in the emission line spectrum of the objects within a given sample. One of the most frequently used methods for fitting star-forming galaxy spectra involves assuming a single spectral energy distribution (SED) and electron density while varying the gas metallicity, *Z*, and ionization parameter, *U*, defined as:

(1)

with *φ*H representing hydrogen ionization photon flux [cm-2 s-1] , and *n*H representing the hydrogen number density (Kewley et al. 2001). Other work (Mas-Hesse & Kunth 1999, Charlot & Longhetti 2001, Levesque et al. 2010) has included a sensitivity study to document the effects of an aging starburst on the traditional emission line ratios assuming *ne* = 100 cm-3, log(*U*) = -2.2. The prescription of varying the cloud *U* and *Z* for a single age starburst has proven useful in fitting galaxy spectra with small He II / Hβ values observed in the local universe (Shirazi & Brinchmann 2012) but fails to fit to largest star forming galaxy He II / Hβ ratios (Guseva et al. 2000).

A relatively low *U* value consistent with H II regions in our own galaxy provides a reasonably accurate model of spectra for most nearby galaxies; however, to construct a model that represents an even greater fraction of local galaxies, one must also include the higher *U* values (Richardson et al. 2013) necessary for the [O III] / Hβ ratios found in local analogs. Incorporating higher ionization parameters (log(*U*) > 0.0) in plasma simulations has been successful in explaining infrared [Ne V], [Ne III] and [O IV] emission (Abel et al. 2008) along with [N IV] emission in the UV (Raiter et al. 2010).

A more recent interpretation for understanding star formation has resulted from applying a locally optimally emitting cloud (LOC) model to reproduce a large number of emission line ratios (Richardson et al. 2014). The central idea behind an LOC model comes from the fact that emission seen from a distant observer reflects the *cumulative* emission of all clouds around a central ionization source. As first shown by Baldwin et al. (1995), this results in powerful selection effects: we observe the emission from clouds that are optimally tuned to emit them. Since the different emission lines optimally emit under vastly different physical conditions, the locally optimally emitting cloud (LOC) model incorporates a wide range of ionizing fluxes and densities.

LOC modeling was first developed to understand the selection effects present in the broad line region (BLR) of quasars. In particular, Korista et al. (1997; hereafter K97) provided an atlas of equivalent widths (*W*λ) over the LOC plane for many prominent emission lines present in quasars. This work emphasized the selection effects inherent to the BLR and set a solid foundation for subsequent modeling, including the coupling of the accretion disk to the inner torus (Goad, Korista & Ruff 2012) and the estimation of central black hole masses (Negrete et al. 2012).

In this paper, we use an LOC methodology to focus on the sensitivity to typical photoionization model parameters in producing higher ionization emission lines and notoriously weak emission lines. Our results will provide observers with an understanding of what conditions could produce anomalous emission in star-forming galaxies in the low-*z* universe, aid in distinguishing between possible excitation mechanisms, supply baseline grids for LOC integration modeling (Richardson et al. 2016), and inform next generation surveys about the best possible emission line wavelengths to probe starburst galaxies.

We follow in the footsteps of K97 in documenting the selection effects associated with observations by providing an atlas of starburst galaxy equivalent widths. Specifically, we are guided by the following questions: 1. *What are the inherent selection effects present in unresolved starburst galaxy observations?* 2. *What physical conditions are necessary to produce strong high ionization emission lines assuming photoionization via starlight?* 3. *To what degree can star clusters contaminate emission line observations of galaxies classified as AGN?*

To probe the answers to our guiding questions, we present a massive suite of plasma simulations that are based on a LOC methodology and feature only photoionization. Our simulations span a large range of *U*, *Z,* grain abundance and star-formation history (SFH). Unlike previous work, we do not explicitly specify the ionization parameter in our calculations. Instead, our standard simulation grids include a vast range of *φ*H and *n*H, which reveals variations in the emission line properties present in clouds with similar ionization parameters. We do not limit our study to low-*z* or typical Orion conditions, but also explore the more extreme conditions prevalent in earlier epochs. We thus present equivalent widths for 96 emission lines covering wavelengths from EUV to the FIR. A full list of the 167 emission lines predicted in our simulations is given in Appendix A. Our choice in emission lines is guided by observations at *z ~* 0.0-6.0, along with lines that have diagnostic value (e.g. *n*e, *Te,* SFR, etc.).

In §2 we present our spectral energy distributions that were generated by a population synthesis code and were used as input for our plasma simulations. In §3 we present our baseline model along with the physical characteristics of clouds in the LOC plane. We follow this up with a comprehensive set of equivalent widths, covering a large range of wavelengths, and discuss many of the prominent features. We elaborate on the differences across the LOC plane associated with gas metallicity, SFH, and dust content in §4. The implications of our results on local and high-z galaxies are presented in §5; and finally, in §6, we summarize our results, outline avenues for future work, and make predictions regarding *James Webb Space Telescope* observations.

**2. Population Synthesis Synthetic Spectra**

For generating our incident spectral energy distributions, we use the code Starburst99 (Leitherer et al. 1999). We explore the Padova track evolutionary sequence with Asymptotic Giant Branch (AGB) stars (Bressan et al. 1993) and the Geneva evolutionary sequence with zero rotation and 40% break up velocity (Leitherer et al. 2014). For each track, we include the Pauldrach/Hillier model atmospheres (Pauldrach et al. 2001; Hillier & Miller 1998). We assumed a Kroupa broken power law initial mass function (IMF; Kroupa 2001) with mass intervals of 0.1 M⊙ to 0.5 M⊙ and 0.5 M⊙ to 100 M⊙, which are the default values for a Starburst99 simulation.

Each evolutionary sequence of Starburst99 uses either a continuous or instantaneous SFH. Our instantaneous starbursts assume a fixed mass of 106 M⊙, while our continuous starbursts assumed a star formation rate of 1 M⊙ yr-1, both of which are the default parameters for a Starburst99 simulation.

We investigate the sensitivity of the SED to two additional parameters: SFH (including stellar population age) and stellar metallicity. The greatest effect comes from the SFH (Figure 1), with stellar metallicity introducing only small changes to the overall spectrum. The effects of stellar metallicity were especially small when the Geneva track continuous evolution model was adopted (Figure 2).

One of the primary goals of this paper is to determine the conditions necessary to produce high ionization emission lines in simulations; as such, we have chosen the hardest SED possible for our baseline model. Figure 1 displays the spectra from star clusters with instantaneous SFHs in the left panel and the spectra from star clusters with continuous SFHs in the right panels. The upper two rows of spectra are distinguished by differences in stellar rotation following the Geneva evolutionary track, while the bottom row features spectra following the Padova AGB evolutionary track.

As evident in Figure 1, stellar rotation affects the radiation field in a number of ways. Specifically, rotating stars will spend a longer amount of time on the main sequence, and will have higher effective temperatures and luminosities than non-rotating stars (Levesque et al. 2012). Rotation also increases the number of WR stars by enhancing mass loss, which allows stars of lower mass to enter a WR phase.

Despite these effects, the overall hardness of the ionizing spectrum from solar metallicity stars is fairly similar for non-rotating and rotating stars as shown in Figure 1. At subsolar metallicities however, the effects of rotation become much more apparent. Figure 2 displays the Padova AGB track and Geneva Rotation track spectra for both SFHs, however the Padova track star clusters have solar metallicity while the Geneva track star clusters have subsolar metallicities (0.1 *Z*⊙ and 0.4 *Z*⊙). At lower metallicity, the star cluster takes 10-20% longer to reach steady state (Leitherer et al. 2014). At 0.4 *Z*⊙, the effects of rotation on the hardness of the spectrum become much more apparent. As the star cluster becomes even more metal poor, stars begin to skip the WR phase and thus the hardness of the spectrum deceases, relative to the spectrum emitted from 0.4 *Z*⊙ stars (Figure 2). In spite of rotation resulting in a greater number of higher energy photons, the steady state Padova AGB track SED at 5 Myr or older produces the hardest ionizing spectrum, which can by seen by comparing the FUV and EUV intensities in Figure 1 and Figure 2. We note that binary evolution also significantly increases EUV intensities (Stanway et al. 2014); however, we have only included secular evolution in this work for simplicity.

**3. Baseline Model**

Our baseline model assumes characteristic values for various parameters of starburst regions in Cloudy (Ferland et al. 2013). Below, we justify these choices as well as discuss the characteristics of the emission lines produced by adopting such parameters. We first detail the input parameters of our model, including the assumed abundances and boundary conditions. Next, we explain features of the model, including temperature contours and grain sublimation points. Lastly, we explore the variation of emission line contours across our grid (in UV, optical, and IR) for emission lines that show to positive equivalent widths in our baseline model.

3.1 Input Parameters

*3.1.1 Spectral Energy Distribution*

As discussed in the introduction, we are interested in reproducing observed high ionization potential emission lines and probing the conditions inferred by high-*z* surveys (e.g. Kewley et al. 2013, Raiter et al. 2010, Shapley et al. 2003, Stanway et al. 2014). We are guided by the findings of Abel & Satyapal (2008) and Shirazi & Brinchmann (2012), who investigated local starburst galaxies (*z* < 0.6) and found [Ne V] 14.3 µm and He II λ4686 emission lines respectively. In order to select the best star-formation history (SFH) for such a study, we compare the peak *W*λ of high ionization potential emission lines across the LOC plane.

We find that the peak *W*λ of high ionization potential emission lines, like [Ne V] λ3426 is about 5 times greater for the Padova continuous evolution track than the Padova instantaneous evolution track. We note that the Geneva track instantaneous evolution model at *Z =* 0.008 *Z*⊙ and 5 Myr results in marginally more emission from most emission lines (e.g. [O II] λ3727 and [O III] λ5007 emission is slightly higher, peaking at around 1.25 times the baseline model peak value), but less emission from high ionization emission lines. In particular, the Padova continuous model at 5 Myr predicts about 2.5 times more [Ne V] λ3426 emission than the Geneva track instantaneous evolution model at *Z* = 0.008 *Z*⊙ at 5 Myr with rotation. Since observations of higher ionization emission lines spurred the generation of this atlas, we adopt the Padova AGB continuous evolution track SED at 5 Myr as our baseline model.

*3.1.2 Boundary Conditions*

Our simulations begin at the illuminated face of the cloud. The two main stopping conditions we adopt are total hydrogen column density, *N*(H), and electron temperature, *Te*. Our simulations stop when *N*(H) exceeds 1023 cm-2 because Cloudy becomes optically thick to Compton scattering. In a later section (§ 4.1), we explore the sensitivity of relaxing this condition. Additionally, we stop our models when the *T*efalls below 4000 K because gas hotter than 4000 K is required to produce collisionally excited optical and UV lines.

We note that in our high metallicity simulations, we observed a pocket of little emission in the bottom left corner of the LOC plane (low *φ*H and low *n*H) for many of the emission lines we track when the two above stopping conditions were imposed. To ensure that we were reaching the ionization front of the cloud in our high-metallicity simulations, we thus removed the temperature stopping condition. Instead, we stop our high metallicity simulations when the ratio of electron to total hydrogen density fell below 0.5.

*3.1.3 Abundances*

Since dust is a ubiquitous feature of H II regions, we include it in our baseline model. Carbonaceous and silicate grains are included in the grid wherever dust sublimation does not occur, with both dust and gas phase abundances given in Baldwin et al. 1991. Full dust abundances are based on Orion (Baldwin et al. 1991) and given by number relative to hydrogen (Table 1). In grid locations where total dust sublimation occurs, solar abundances are adopted (Grevesse et al. 2010). For the dust free models, we adopt solar abundances across the plane without any grains, which are given by number relative to hydrogen in Table 1.

*3.1.4 Hydrogen Density*

The limits for *n*H in our baseline grid are based on the low density limit (LDL) and the critical density, *n*crit, values of the emission lines we tracked. The LDL for most emission lines is ~100 cm-3, so we chose this as our lowest *n*H value. [FILL IN HIGH DENSITY JUSTIFICATION]

*3.1.5 Incident Ionizing Flux [REVISE]*

The incident ionizing flux, *φ*H, typically is not defined explicitly in photoionization simulations, but instead is indirectly incorporated by using *Q*H, the number of ionizing photons emitted by the central emitting object per second, and *r,* radius from the central emitting object, or by a version of ionization parameter, where *q = c U*, with a given density. This method arrives at *φ*H through the relationship,

(2)

Stasinska and Leitherer (1996) give the theoretical range of 2.7 × 1047 < *Q*H < 7.4 × 1055, however a typical H II region simulation includes a more modest range 1048.89 s−1 < *Q*H < 1049.23 s−1 (Vacca, Garmany & Shull 1996, Hanson et al. 1997) while using *r ~* 1018 cm as in the case of Orion (Pellegrini et al. 2007). Assuming the radius from Pellegrini et al. (2007), translates to a theoretical range of 2.15 × 1010 < *φ*H  < of 5.89 × 1018, given that caveat that this range may extend even further by few orders of magnitude since H II regions are not uniform in size. Thus, another method for supplying *φ*H is through:

(3)

Leveque et al. (2010) adopt a range of 7 ≤ log(*q*) ≤ 8.6, which, when including their range of hydrogen density, 1 ≤ log(*n*H) ≤ 2, translates to8 ≤ log(*φ*H) ≤ 10.6. In our simulations grids, our lower limit to *φ*H is set by the lower limit of Levesque et al. (2010), while the upper limit is set by assuming the theoretical maximum *Q*H and a radius 102 closer than Orion. All together, this sets our simulation grid at 8 ≤ log(*φ*H) ≤ 22, which is much broader than any other studies, but is appropriate given our focus on high ionization emission lines.

*3.2 Physical Conditions Across the LOC Plane*

We next analyze the dependence of electron temperature on location in the *n*H andH plane. In Figure 3, we have plotted contours of *T*e at the face of the gas cloud. The red lines represent increments of 0.2 dex, while the black lines represent increments of 1 dex. Note that though in Figure 3, we show temperatures that fall below the cut-off temperature of 4000 K, these have negligible contributions to the spectrum overall because they are stopped after one zone.

In Figure 3, we also show a sample of ionization parameter contours in teal. Since the ionization parameter is dependent on both *φ*H and *n*H (as described in §3.1.4), contours of the ionization parameter create constant sloped lines on our grid. As seen in Figure 3, temperature increases with increasing *U*, reaching a peak of 107 K. It should be noted that there is not a strong relationship between *n*H and *T*e, but that certain heating and cooling mechanisms do change slightly along constant *U*values (K97). This produces the slight variation in temperature along the *U*contour lines.

Though the general trend exhibited by the temperature contours is consistent with the literature (e.g. Richardson et al. 2014, K97), we find a dip in our temperature contours around log(*n*H) = 1 where our models include grains. Tracking the heating at log(*φ*H) = 12, we find that at log(*n*H) = 0, the heating is dominated by grains and He I. However at log(*n*H) = 1, He II and H I dominate the heating. This trend continues as 2 ≤ log(*n*H) ≤ 4. The cooling at log(*n*H ) = 0 is dominated by O VI and dust, but then at log(*n*H) = 1, Ne V and C IV are the dominant cooling mechanisms. Then, from 2 ≤ log(*n*H) ≤ 4, O IV and O III dominate cooling again. This suggests that a change in the dominant cooling mechanism may be causing the fluctuation in temperature across the LOC plane. While interesting, this temperature fluctuation is quite minor and thus does not raise any concerns.

In the bottom left of this figure, we superimpose the parameter space explored by three other studies: depicted in grey is the study of Levesque et al. 2010, in blue is Kewley et al. 2001, and in yellow is Moy et al. 2001. We show these studies overlaid on our grid to emphasize the breadth of our parameter space. Note that the three studies listed were interested in low-*z* galaxies and thus explored a parameter space that represents local H II regions. We, on the other hand, do not limit our study to low-*z* or to typical Orion conditions; we also explore the more extreme conditions likely prevalent in earlier epochs.

**3.3 Equivalent Width Predictions**

*General Features*

Many of the emission lines emit in a narrow range of ionization parameters. There is little emission in the bottom right corner of our grids (low *U*: high *n*H, low *φ*H) where the gas is under-ionized, and even less in the top left corner (high *U:* low *n*H, high *φ*H) where the gas is over-ionized.

Another way to understand these general trends in emission is by analyzing optical depth at 912Å. On our grids, some of the optically thin clouds are located in the upper left corner where the temperature is 105 < *Te* < 107 K (Figure 3). Most of the emission lines we present do not emit at such high temperatures; consequently, there is little emission by most clouds in this region of our grids. Another set of optically thin clouds are located in the lower right corner of the grids, with temperatures 102 < *Te* < 103 K. Note that our simulations are truncated at temperatures below 4000 K (§3.1.2). While some optical and infrared emission lines emit in these extreme regions, the efficiency of reprocessing is generally very low making emission lines weak.

Contrarily, optically thick clouds are located diagonally across the grids from the lower left corner to the upper right. The reprocessing efficiency in optically thick clouds is much higher for most of our emission lines. This is because ionizing photons in optically thick clouds face a greater probability of absorption before escaping, which leads to emission lines emitting more strongly. Notably, along the top of the ridge (when moving from the optically thick to the optically thin region), the optical depth drops off severely, sometimes by 6 orders of magnitude. This corresponds to 1 < log(*U*) < 2. Along the bottom of the ridge (log(*U*) ≈ -4), this drop off is much less severe. Finally, though located diagonally across the LOC plane, the divisions between optically thin and thick clouds are not along constant ionization contour lines. The optically thick region broadens with higher *n*H and *φ*H values.

*3.3.1 UV Emission Lines*

Figure 4a displays the equivalent widths across the LOC plane for selected UV emission lines. Collisionally excited lines, such as C IV λ1549 (Figure 4a, row e), generally show the most efficient reprocessing of the spectrum along constant ionization parameter lines, which span from low *n*H and low *φ*H values to high *n*H and high *φ*H values. In our simulations, this corresponds to alog(*U*) ≈ -1.5. When moving perpendicularly to the constant log(*U)*≈ -1.5, there is a sharp decrease in *W*λ because *U* becomes either too low (when moving orthogonally downwards) or too high (when moving orthogonally upwards). For column densities of 1023 cm-2, one of the stopping criterion of our simulations, clouds with log(*U*) ≳ 0.5 are optically thin to He+ photons and so they reprocess little of the incident continuum. Many other UV emission lines exhibit these same trends, bands of emission along constant *U* lines with sharp declines perpendicular to the constant *U* lines.

The ratio of C III λ2297 to C IV λ1549, a dielectric recombination line and a collisionally excited line respectively, is a temperature indicator (Osterbrock & Ferland 2006, hereafter AGN3). When this ratio is low, the temperature in the nebula is high. Our baseline model predicts very little C III λ2297 (peaking at 0.3 dex; Figure 4a, row g) emission and substantial C IV λ1549 (around 2.0 dex where C III λ2297 peaks; Figure 4a, row e), meaning that the ratio of these two emission lines is < 10-2. The temperatures predicted are thus between 10000 K and 15000 K, which is consistent with Figure 3. Alternatively, the ratio of [C III] λ1907 to C III] λ1909 is a *ne* probe (AGN3; Figure 4a, row g). The lower the ratio between these two emission lines, the higher the *n*H. This ratio is around 0.5 on our grids in the moderate density range in which [C III] λ1907 emits, but drops significantly with increased density (in the region where [C III] λ1907 ceases to emit but C III] λ1909 emits most strongly).

C IV λ1549 can be contrasted with lower ionization emission lines that are still collisionally excited, such as Mg II λ2798 (Figure 4a, row h). Since Mg II λ2798 is a lower ionization emission line, its peak of log(*W*λ) = 3.6 is higher than that of C IV λ1549, which peaks at log(*W*λ) = 2.9. Additionally, the peak *W*λ is shifted to a lower *U* than that of C IV λ1549.

*3.3.2 Optical Emission Lines*

Figure 4b displays the equivalent widths across the LOC plane for selected optical emission lines. Many of the H0, He0, and He+ recombination lines are emitted over a wider area on the LOC plane than the other optical emission lines, including at low *φ*H and high *n*H regions, because H I, He I, and He II have *n*crit ~ 1015 cm-3 and thus are usually in LDL. At high *U* values, however, the high *Te* causes the recombination coefficient to decrease making recombination less likely and causing large declines in *W*λ of the Balmer lines, He I λ5876, and He II λ4686. These two emission lines exhibit peaks at similarly high densities, but different *φ*H values. Note also that our simulations do not predict particularly strong He II λ4686 emission, but create enough emission to be detectable by current SDSS spectrographs (Figure 4b, row e) provided the delicate separation of the nebular and WR features is possible. A strong He II λ4686 line is indicative of more He+ ionizing photons and simple photoionization models often under-predict the line in relation to the rest of the optical spectrum (Ferguson, Korista, & Baldwin 1997, Ferland & Osterbrock 1986).

BPT diagrams constructed with the ratios of [O III] λ5007 / Hβ λ4861 and [N II] λ6584 / Hα λ6563 have been useful in separating H II region galaxies from active galaxies. Our study supports recent work that has shown that selectively emphasizing different parts of the LOC plane gives different emission line ratios, which, in turn, give different results when using the BPT diagram (Richardson et al. 2016). Both [O III] λ5007 and [N II] λ6584 show emission on our grids from the bottom left along a constant ionization parameter (Figure 4b, rows f and h). [O III] λ5007 emits at higher *φ*H and *n*H values, whereas [N II] λ6584 emission stops around the center of the constant ionization parameter (no emission when *φ*H ≥ 17 and *n*H≥ 9). Their peak *W*λ are similar, only 0.2 dex different (2.9 for [O III] λ5007 and 2.7 for [N II] λ6584), but the peak *W*λ of [O III] λ5007 is located at a slightly higher *φ*H value (13.2 and 10.5 respectively). Additionally, O III] λ5007 peaks at *n*H = 4.8 whereas [N II] λ6584 peaks at *n*H = 3.9. Lastly, [N II] λ6584 emits along a broader range of ionization parameters than [O III] λ5007. Both Hα λ6563 and Hβ 4861 emit along a broad range of ionization parameters (Figure 4b, rows h and f). The only regions in which they do not emit are the optically thin regions (upper left and lower right corners). It is thus clear that emission lines from metals, as well as many others, emit differently in different parts of our grid.

As with UV emission lines, there are various indicators of physical conditions among the optical emission lines. For example, the ratio of [O III] (λ4959 + λ5007) / λ4363 is an electron temperature indicator (Figure 4b, rows f and d). A smaller ratio indicates a higher electron temperature. However, as Richardson et al. (2014) note, at high densities, [O III] (λ4959 + λ5007) / λ4363 further decreases, reflecting mainly a drop in [O III] λ5007 due to collisional quenching and steady emission from [O III] λ4363. Consequently, at these high densities, this ratio does not serve as an accurate temperature indicator. Other such temperature indicators include [O I] (λ6300 + λ6364) / λ5577 (Figure 4b, rows g, h, and f). The ratios of various collisionally de-excited lines can provide an *n*H probe. Two examples of lines that can be used to determine *n*H are [O II] λ3729 / λ3726 (Figure 4b, rows b).

Finally, we examine the double peaks evident in the contours of the optical emission lines, a curious feature not noted in K97 or Ferguson, Korista, & Baldwin (1997). There are two clear local maxima evident in the plots of [Ne III] λ3869 and [O I] λ5577 (Figure 4b, rows b and f). In the optical range, [S II] λ4070, [S II] λ4074, [S II] λ4078, [N II] λ5755 and [O I] λ6363 also seem to exhibit double peaks but their local maxima are not as distinguishable (Figure 4b, rows c, d, g, and h). The double peak feature is more evident in the higher metallicity simulations (§4.2 for further discussion about other metallicity effects) and the dust-free simulations. In the regions of the second, smaller peak, there is an ionization jump experienced by the elements that are exhibiting this double peak feature. This ionization jump creates strong emission in these regions, causing the double peak feature that we have noted.

*3.3.3 IR Emission Lines*

Figure 4c displays the equivalent widths across the LOC plane for selected IR emission lines. Although grains influence IR emission, grains in H II regions are not as important as in PDR regions where photoelectric heating serves as the dominant excitation source (AGN3). However, the IR emission lines that we track emit most efficiently in low *n*H and low *φ*H regions and their emission cuts off conspicuously close to where we phase out grains. Because of this trend, we decided to compare our baseline dusty model with a dust-free model. We found that grains did not make much meaningful difference in the peak equivalent widths, which means that they do not influence the strength of the IR emission lines that we are tracking. For example, for [N II] 122 µm, the peak log(*W*λ) = 1.6 for the dusty case and log(*W*λ) = 1.5 for the dust-free case (Figure 4c, rows c and d) and the peak *W*λ of [O III] 52 µm was only twice as high in the dust-free case than in the dusty case (Figure 4c, rows a and b).

Most of the infrared emission in our study is constrained to the bottom left of our grids, a parameter space that corresponds to low *n*H and low *φ*H values. Since we verified that this was not an effect of dust, we determined that most of our emission lines reach their critical densities when log(*n*H) > 5 and thus they do not emit efficiently in regions with log(*n*H) > 5 because they are collisionally suppressed. This is due primarily due to IR lines typically having lower Einstein coefficients, *Aij*,compared to shorter wavelength emission lines, although exceptions do exist. For example, log(*n*crit([N II] 122 µm)) = 2.56 and it most efficiently emits around log(*n*H) = 3 (Figure 4c, row h). Similarly, the log(*n*crit[O III] 52 µm) = 3.25. This is a few orders of magnitude lower than its optical equivalent, [O III] λ5007, whose log(*n*crit) = 6.43 (Rubin 1989). Clearly, the [O III] 52 µm emission line still emits when log(*n*H) > 3.4 but the region it emits most efficiently is log(*n*H) = 2 (Figure 4c, row g; Rubin 1989).

Various IR fine-structure emission lines can also be used to predict electron temperatures and densities. Such predictions can be made using ratios of [O I] 52 µm, [O III] 88 µm, and [O III] λ5007 from the optical lines. The IR emission lines (e.g. [O I] 52 µm and [O III] 88 µm) have much lower excitation potentials than their optical counterpart ([O III] λ5007). The ratio of [O I] 52 µm / [O III] 88 µm strongly depends on density but not on temperature, however, the [O III] λ5007 / [O III] 88 µm ratio does depend on both temperature and density. Consequently, by measuring both these ratios, we can determine the average values of both *T* and *n*H (AGN3). Taking these ratios on our grids indicate that our Cloudy simulations predict electron temperatures around 104 K with log(*n*H) ~ 3.0, which is consistent with Figure 3.

Abel and Satyapal (2008) study [Ne V] emission in what they expect to be starburst galaxies, determining that it is almost always due to unobserved AGN activity. Our grids do predict some [Ne V] 14.3 µm and [Ne V] 24.3 µm emission; however, this emission is minimal, peaking at 0.6 dex and 0.7 dex respectively (Figure 4a, rows e and f) both at very low *n*H. This seems to confirm their predications that starbursts produce little [Ne V], and strong [Ne V] emission is likely due to AGN activity or fast shocks, however the simple presence of [Ne V] emission should not attributed to non-thermal excitation. Observations of [Ne V] above 0.5 dex should serve as a red flag that other excitation sources must be at play rather than solely starlight.

Lastly, various infrared fine-structure cooling lines have been found to be reliable tracers of star formation rate (SFR). De Looze et al. (2014) finds that the [O I] 63 µm and [O III] 88 µm emission lines show the strongest correlation with the SFR, while the relationship between [C II] 158 µm emission and the SFR is less certain. Interestingly, they also report that [C II] 158 µm emission is much more abundant in high-*z* galaxies than either [O I] 63 µm or [O III] 88 µm emission. We discuss the metallicity sensitivity of these particular lines further in the sensitivity studies section (§4.2).

**4. Sensitivity Studies**

In this section, we will discuss the sensitivity of our model to column density, gas metallicity, star-formation history, and dust. For our baseline model, we made assumptions about these values. Here, we explore the results of relaxing these assumptions.

4.1 Column Density

We begin by exploring the effects of relaxing the column density criteria. For our baseline model, the stopping condition is either when the simulation converges or when *N*(H) = 1023 cm-2 is reached. When the column density criteria is no longer supplied, Cloudy has difficulty converging upon a solution with the calculations log(*φ*H) > 21. Because our simulation grid ranges from 8 < log(*φ*H) < 23, it is necessary to include the *N*(H) stopping criteria. However, if we take the restriction off, we find, for the most part, that there is no significant difference in the strength of the emission lines with log(*φ*H) < 21. However, these simulations are not able to capture many of the peak equivalent widths for emission lines that are peaking at high log(*n*H) and high log(*φ*H) because Cloudy was unable to handle these conditions. We find that the column density stopping criteria is necessary for our simulations to capture many of the peak *W*λ, and that not having this criteria may only affect emission line strengths in the most extreme conditions.

4.2 Metallicity

We have also explored the impacts of varying the metallicity from *Z* = 0.2 *Z*⊙ to *Z* = 5.0 *Z*⊙ in the cloud. Since varying both the *Z* of the SED and of the cloud simultaneously would not allow us to interpret the effects of each independently, we chose to only study the effects of varying metallicity of the cloud.

We have also explored the impacts of varying the metallicity from *Z* = 0.2 *Z*⊙ to

*Z* = 5.0 *Z*⊙ in the cloud. Since varying both the *Z* of the SED and of the cloud simultaneously would not allow us to interpret the effects of each independently, we chose to only study the effects of varying metallicity of the cloud.

To adopt alternate metallicities for the cloud region, we linearly scale all of the metal number abundance by a scale factor ξ, the notable exception being nitrogen, which scales as *Z2* due to secondary nitrogen production when N is synthesized from C and O (Baldwin et al. 1991, K97). Once ξ is input, we calculate the metallicity according to the following relation (Hamann et al. 2002):

(4)

Assuming ∆*Z* = ∆*Y* as determined by Baldwin et al. (1991) we can determine the hydrogen, helium, and metals abundances by mass fraction since *X* + *Y* + *Z* = 1. Calculating the helium number abundance scale factor is then straightforward and ξ ≈ *Z / Z*⊙ for small deviations from *Z*⊙*.* For the subsolar case (*Z* = 0.2 *Z*⊙), we also add cosmic rays around log(*n*H) = 7 because the gas becomes partly molecular, which can contribute to excitation, however their inclusion had a negligible effect on emission line strengths. In the following section we discuss the general effects of different metallicities on the strengths of the emission lines.

*General Features*

First, we note that despite having adopted a different stopping condition to ensure that most of our simulations reach the ionization front (as described in §3.1.2), some emission lines still show a pocket of no emission in the bottom left of the LOC plane (e.g. C IV λ1549 in Figure 5a, row d and [O III] λ5007 in Figure 5b, row c). This pocket of no emission was neither present in our solar simulations nor in our subsolar simulations, but at higher metallicity, however, there are more metals to absorb that incident radiation field. This decreases the overall ionization of the gas and makes it difficult for high ionization potential emission lines to emit, like C IV λ1549, with few ionization photons available above 47.9 eV. Interestingly, we do not see this pocket of no emission for C III λ977 but we do this for [O III] λ5007, despite each line requiring photons with approximately the same ionization energy. This is because continuum pumping and recombination contribute to C III λ977 emission, whereas no such mechanisms contribute to the emission of [O III] λ5007.

Second, the effects of our step function to reflect dust sublimation (§3.2) become increasingly pronounced with increasing metallicity. The ridge of emission at 17 < log(H) < 18 is much more distinct at 5.0 Z⊙ than at solar metallicities.

Finally, increasing metallicity makes the islands of emission evident in the optical emission lines more prominent. In the regions of the second, smaller peak, there is an ionization jump experienced by the elements that are exhibiting this double peak feature. This ionization jump creates strong emission in these regions, causing the double peak feature that we have noted in §3.3.2. Specifically, the island of emission feature is most evident in optical emission lines [O III] λ5007, [O I] λ6300, [S III] λ6312, and [N II] λ6584 (Figure 5b, rows c-f), as well as in IR emission lines [Ar III] λ7135, [O II] λ7325, [S III] λ9069, and [O I] 63 µm (Figure 5c, rows a-d).

*4.2.1 UV Emission Lines*

Figure 5a displays the equivalent widths across the LOC plane for selected UV emission lines as function of metallicity. In general, we observe that with increasing metallicity, most of the UV emission lines increase in peak emission and some begin to emit over a wider range of ionization parameter (Figure 5a, rows a-c). We observe that a few UV emission lines, e.g. H I λ1216, O I λ1304, N IV λ1485, He II λ1640, and C IV λ1549, decrease in strength. Following Ferland et al. (1996) and K97, we will specifically discuss the relationships between oxygen, nitrogen, carbon, and helium.

Since we scale nitrogen with *Z2* due to secondary nitrogen production, our grids also show that N III λ991 increases 25 fold to log(*W*N III) = 2.4 at 5.0 *Z*⊙ (Figure 5a, row a). Similarly, they show that N V λ1240 increases with increasing *Z* butmuch less drastically than N III λ991: the strength of N V λ1240 at 5.0 *Z*⊙ is only 13 times the strength of N V λ1240 at 0.2 *Z*⊙ (Figure 5a, row c).

The effects of the increase in nitrogen abundance can be observed in the strengths of carbon and oxygen. As Ferland et al. (1996) note, the sum of N V λ1240 and C IV λ1549 emission remains fairly constant with metallicity changes because the two lines together dominate the cooling in the more ionized regions of the cloud. We find this consistent with our simulations since the sum hovers around 5.0 dex. However, as metallicity is increased, the nitrogen abundance exceeds the carbon abundance resulting in nitrogen carrying much of the total cooling. Since the cooling shifts from carbon and oxygen to nitrogen, the emission of C IV λ1549 is suppressed. On our grids, the peak log(*W*C IV) decreases 0.4 dex from 0.2 *Z*⊙ to 5.0 *Z*⊙ (Figure 5a, row d), while the peak log(*W*N V) emission increases 1.1 dex (Figure 5a, row c).

Additionally, as Ferland et al. (1996) discuss, He II λ1640 decreases with increasing *Z* due to the increased abundance of heavy elements which contribute to an increasing fraction of the total gas opacity and absorb much of the helium-ionizing radiation*.* Accordingly, our grids show that at 5.0 *Z*⊙ He II emission is approximately 0.6 the He II emission at 0.2 *Z*⊙ (Figure 5a, row e).

*4.2.2 Optical Emission Lines*

Figure 5b displays the equivalent widths across the LOC plane for selected optical emission lines as function of metallicity. Many of the optical emission lines decrease in strength with increasing metallicity. For example, the emission of [Ar IV] λ4740 with high metallicity is 0.4 of its emission at low metallicity (Figure 5b, row c). This general trend can be explained through the thermostat effect: though metal abundances increase when metallicity is increased, the amount of coolants also increases (especially in the case of nitrogen) and the cloud decreases in electron temperature. Emission line strengths are more strongly dependent on electron temperature than abundance, so the increase in coolants decreases the strength of the emission lines. In addition to decreasing in strength with increasing metallicity, the emission of our optical emission lines becomes more concentrated towards the center of the grids, along log *U* = 0.

As expected, due to our scaling of nitrogen, the nitrogen emission lines increase in strength. The peak equivalent width of [N II] λ6584 is nearly 40 times higher on the supersolar grids than the subsolar (Figure 5b, row f). We also see [O III] λ5007 decrease in strength with increasing metallicity (Figure 5b, row c). It should also be noted that ([O II] λ3727 + [O III] λλ4959, 5007)/Hβ acts as a metallicity indicator (Pagel et al. 1979, McGaugh 1991, Kewley and Ellison 2008). However, it does not give a unique solution because at low metallicities the ratio increases with increasing metallicity, and, at high metallicities, the ratio decreases because the cooling by the IR lines becomes more efficient. Thus, ([O II] + [O III])/Hβ should be analyzed considering additional metallicity-indicating line ratios (Nagao, Maiolino and Marconi, 2006, Raiter et al. 2010).

*4.2.3 IR Emission Lines*

Figure 5c displays select IR emission lines’ equivalent widths across the LOC as function of metallicity. IR emission line strengths generally increase with increasing metallicity. This is because when the electron temperature of the cloud is low, as in the case of high metallicity, the cooling is shifted from the UV and optical lines. As the metallicity continues to increase, the IR lines are able to act as more efficient coolants. Consequently, [Ar III] λ7135 emission nearly quadrupled, [O II] λ7325 emission was over 1.5 times as strong, and [S III] λ9069 tripled with the higher metallicity simulation (Figure 5c, rows a, b, and c).

Our simulations show that the peak emission of [O III] 88 µm increased (Figure 5c, rows e-f). As discussed earlier (§3.3.3), De Looze et al. (2014) show that [O III] 88 µm emission show an especially strong correlation with SFR. As noted above, however, we have not found a strong correlation with metallicity. This emission line does show significant variation with *φ*H and *n*H and thus observes should be cautious about using it a SFR indicator when the physical conditions are widely varying.

4.3 Star-formation History

We previously discussed the spectral energy distribution we have adopted (§3.1.1), however here we explore the effects of varying the star-formation history (SFH) on the peak equivalent width predications. Figures 6a-c show the effects of adopting continuous and instantaneous Padova tracks and continuous and instantaneous Geneva rotation tracks on select emission lines from the UV to the IR at ages 0 Myr, 2 Myr, 4 Myr, 5 Myr, 6 Myr, 8 Myr, In these figures, the peak equivalent widths of each emission line are tracked with age. It is worth noting that the peaks of the emission line presented may occur at different *φ*H and *n*H values with different ages. Though this information is contained in the LOC plane, it is not presented as part of Figures 6a-c.

*General Features*

Nearly all the peak equivalent widths of the emission lines we track decrease with time when we adopt any of the four evolutionary tracks. This is unsurprising considering the general decrease of high-energy photons with later ages (discussed in §3.1.1) Since the model atmospheres for the continuous star formation show little change in spectral slope as a function of cluster age, the continuous star formation models give similar results to zero-age instantaneous models. However, the instantaneous models, as evident in Figure 2, give few high-energy photons at ages greater than 6 Myr, and consequently, emission lines’ peaks decrease.

When comparing only the two continuous evolution tracks, there is little observable difference. High ionization emission lines are the main exceptions to this trend. For example, [Ne V] λ3426 only emits when the Padova tracks are adopted; specifically, [Ne V] λ3426 emission dies off after 5 Myr with the Padova instantaneous track but continuous to emit past 5 Myr with the Padova continuous track (Figure 6b).

We also observe that when adopting either of the instantaneous evolution tracks most emission lines die off after 5 – 8 Myr. The Geneva instantaneous track tends to produce stronger emission than the Padova instantaneous track, likely due to its incorporation of rotation. Nonetheless, the Padova instantaneous track produces more emission of high ionization emission lines. For example, though the optical lines [O 1] λ5577, [N II] λ5755, [O III] λ5007, and [S II] λ6720 are all stronger with the Geneva track, while [N V] λ3426 is stronger with the Padova continuous track (Figure 6b). Like Leitherer (2004), let us now analyze the SED trends by age.

*4.3.1. Age of 0 to 3 Myr*

It is thought that dust obscuration makes the first few Myr after stellar birth inaccessible to detailed age-dating; however, we know that in these first few million years, O-type stars tend to dominate the luminosity of starburst galaxies. In our simulations, there is not much observable difference in emission lines’ peak equivalent widths between the first few Myr for different evolutionary tracks since all of our tracks start similarly. While most emission lines strengths remain constant, optical high ionization emission lines undergo some change in emission over this period of time (Figure 6b). For example, Ne III 3343, He II 4686, and [Ar IV] 4740 all change substantially (ranging from a decrease of 0.75 dex and 0.4 dex between 0 and 2 Myr).

*4.3.2. Age of 4 to 5 Myr*

As the hot, young starburst ages to 4-5 Myr, stellar wind lines (e.g. C IV λ1550 and Si IV λ1400) dominate the emission in the wavelength region from 1200 to 2000 Å. These also include UV carbon and oxygen emission lines (Schaerer 2000). Generally, the optical and IR region lack features from the stellar atmospheres but the UV emission lines tend to remain strong. In our simulations of the Padova instantaneous track, the UV emission lines decrease on the order of 0.5-1 dex from 4-6 Myr (Figure 6a). The optical, IR, and IR fine structure line emission (for the same SFH) decrease on the order of 1.0-1.5 dex (Figures 6b and c). The Padova and Geneva continuous tracks, however, do not show much difference between bands of emission lines through age.

The equivalent widths of many of the strong hydrogen recombination lines like Hα, Hβ, or Brγ can be used as age indicators because they measure the ratio of the young, ionizing over the old, non-ionizing stellar population. Our simulations fit this trend since our Hα and Hβ emission decreases about an order of magnitude with both instantaneous evolution tracks (Figure 6b). The effect of age is much more pronounced with the Padova instantaneous evolution track than with the Geneva.

*4.3.3 Age of 6 to 8 Myr*

After 5 Myr, the most massive stars in the starburst cool off and form Red Super Giants (RSGs). At 8 Myr, these RSGs dominate the near-IR portion of the stellar spectrum. When adopting the Geneva instantaneous track, emission line strengths begin falling off rapidly beyond 6 Myr (approximately 0.5 – 1.0 dex lower at 8 Myr than 6 Myr), especially in the case of the optical, most IR, and IR fine structure lines (Figure 6b and 6c). When adopting the Geneva and Padova continuous tracks, however, the emission line strengths remain constant across the 6-8 Myr range.

4.4 Dust

Though our baseline model includes grains with a dust sublimation function adopted (§3.1.3), we have not yet analyzed the sensitivity of our LOC model to dust. Figures 7a - c displays the equivalent widths across the LOC plane for selected UV, optical, and IR emission lines comparing our baseline model to an entirely dust-free model. Note that for Figures 7a- c, we have changed the contour plot scale from 8 ≤ log(*φ*H) ≤ 22 to 8 ≤ log(*φ*H) ≤ 17 due to the effects of dust sublimation (discussed in §3.2). This revised scale allows us to only highlight the regions where we adopt full dust abundances (log(*φ*H) < 18).

*General Features*

Most of the emission lines we track maintain their shape across the LOC plane, with the range of ionization parameters over which they emit broadening slightly with the removal of dust. Generally, the effects of dust are most prominent with the UV emission lines and some of the shorter wavelength optical emission lines. This observation is consistent with other studies about the effects of dust on the UV emission lines coming from the gas clouds within starburst galaxies (e.g. Heckman et al 1998).

Overall, when comparing the dusty and dust-free simulations, we find the electron temperature across the LOC plane higher when dust is included. Ionized hydrogen and dust grains contribute equally to the heating of the cloud. However, the dust-free simulations have more coolants, since metals are not locked up in grains, making the overall electron temperature decrease. Due to the thermostat effect and the absence of photoelectric heating, this would typically lead to a decrease in metal emission line strengths (Shields and Kennicutt 1995); however, [Ne V] λ3426, and [Ar IV] λ4740 all show greater emission with the removal of dust (Figures 7a-c). The physical reason for this apparent contradiction is that dust makes a substantial contribution to the overall opacity in our dusty simulations, which decreases the availability of high-energy photons to ionize and excite the gas.

*4.4.1 UV emission lines*

Many of the equivalent widths of UV emission lines increase with the removal of dust since dust absorption peaks in the UV. Specifically, with the removal of grains, the peak equivalent width of N V λ1240 increases 0.4 dex, C IV λ1549 increases 0.6 dex, He II λ1640 increases 0.2 dex, and Si II] λ2335 increases 0.5 dex (Figure 7a, columns c, d, and a). One of the most drastic changes among the UV emission lines is shown by [O V] λ1218, which increases 0.4 dex with the removal of dust, while the region it emits across the LOC plane essentially disappears (Figure 7a, column b). [CHECK THIS WITH NEW FIGURES]

*4.4.2 Optical emission lines*

Overall, when dust is removed, many of the detached islands of emission evident in our dust free models either get incorporated into the larger emission region in the plane or disappear, best seen with [S II] λ4078 and [S II] λ6720 (Figure 7b, columns c and d) since an ionization jump no longer occurs. The most drastic change in the optical emission lines is shown by [Ne V] λ3426 which increases 0.5 dex with the removal of dust and [Ar IV] λ4740 which increases 0.8 dex with dust removal (Figure 7b, columns a and d). [O II] λ3727 decreases 0.4 dex with dust removal, while [O III] λ5007 increases 0.4 dex with dust removal (Figure 7b, columns b and a).

*4.4.3 IR emission lines*

There is very little change shown by any of the IR emission lines. [Ne V] 24.31 µm changes the most, its peak equivalent width increasing 0.4 dex with the removal of dust (Figure 7c, column c). Otherwise, many of the peak log(Wλ) of our IR emission lines and specifically, IR fine structure emission lines change by less than 0.2 dex.

**5. Analysis**

We begin by discussing the implications of our atlas on the local, low redshift galaxy literature presented in the introduction. Throughout this discussion, we will be referencing our high metallicity, dusty simulations. We then move to discussing our low-metallicity and dust-free simulations in the context of high-*z* literature. For specific comparisons of equivalent width predictions to observations, refer to Table 2 where we list both the peak equivalent width over the LOC plane and the equivalent width in gas conditions similar to local H II regions with log(*U*) = -2.0 and log(*n*H) = 1.0.

5.1. Comparisons to low-*z* galaxy literature

As discussed in Satyapal et al. (2007), NGC 3621, an optically classified star-forming galaxy at low redshift, emits [Ne V] 14 µm and 24 µm. In our simulations, [Ne V] 14 µm and 24 µm both get stronger with increasing metallicity. At solar metallicity, the peak log(W[Ne V]) of these two emission lines are 0.6 and 0.7 respectively, and in high metallicity (5.0 *Z*⊙) simulations they increase roughly by a factor of 2.5, climbing to 1.0 and 1.1, respectively. We note, however, that [Ne V] 14 µm and 24 µm emission on our grids begin at *U* ≈ 1.0, a higher ionization parameter than what is typically observed locally (-3 < log(*U) <* -1.5; Levesque et al. 2010). Thus, we agree with the conclusion that an additional excitation source, most likely an AGN, is needed for local [Ne V] 14 µm and 24 µm emission with this strength. However, weak [Ne V] emission could possibly become excited solely by starlight excitation in low-*z* galaxies.

Lutz et al. (1998) report observations of local star-forming galaxies that show weak nebular [O IV] 25.9 µm emission without any signs of AGN activity. In our dusty, 5.0 *Z*⊙ simulations, we find the peak log(W[O IV]) = 1.6, which is twice as strong as our baseline model emission and nearly 8 times as strong as our low-metallicity model; however, we again note that this [O IV] 25.9 µm emission only occurs when adopting the physical conditions typically present at higher-*z*, not those typical of the local universe.

Lastly, Shirazi and Brinchmann (2012) report a significant number of optically classified star-forming galaxies with strong He II λ4686 emission around *z ~* 0-0.4. They were able to recreate this emission assuming 0.2 *Z*⊙ in their simulations. We find that peak He II λ4686 emission does not change significantly as we vary from 0.2 to 5.0 *Z*⊙. The peak log(WHe II) varies from 1.2 with low metallicity and solar metallicity to 1.1 with high metallicity. We find, however, that this emission does not occur in the range of local galaxies. Adopting dusty conditions, we find that peak He II λ4686 emission occurs in top right corner of the LOC plane—a region of extreme density and photon flux. Nonetheless, we do see minimal (log(WHe II) = 0.2) emission in our 0.2 *Z*⊙ simulations around log(*U) <* -2 and low density. Thus, we suggest that perhaps there are low metallicity pockets within these local galaxies, which contribute to their overall He II λ4686 emission.

5.2 Applications to JWST [Rework this section for low-z]

Lastly, we evaluate our model’s predictions for *James Webb Space Telescope* (JWST) observations. JWST, scheduled to launch in 2018, is ideal for IR observations of high-*z* galaxies. Given the large influence of vigorous star formation on emission line production at early times in the universe (Madau & Dickinson 2014), JWST will be an ideal instrument to study high-*z* star-forming galaxies. JWST’s instruments work in the range of 0.6 – 28 µm and will conduct deep-wide surveys of galaxies of 1 ≤ *z* ≤ 6 in the rest-frame optical and near infrared.[[2]](#footnote-2)

Shapley et al.’s (2003) LBGs, around *z* ~ 3.0, fall within the range of JWST’s NIRCam. The emission lines they detect, O III] λ1661 and λ1666, get shifted to 0.6 – 0.8 µm range. NIRCam is sensitive in the 0.6 – 5 µm range, thus able to observe these emission lines. Additionally, He II λ1640, shifted to around 0.65 µm at *z* ~ 3, also falls in the range of NIRCam. Further, optical and IR emission lines become accessible to JWST in the 2 ≤ *z* ≤ 5 range. For example, He II λ4686, [O III] λ5007, and [N II] λ6584 are accessible to JWST’s NIRCam. We also expect that some IR emission lines, like [Ar III] λ7135 and [O II] λ7325 will be observable in this range. With peak log(Wλ) around 2-3, these emission lines should be bright enough as well.

With higher-*z* galaxies, many of the UV emission lines get shifted into the range of JWST. For example, the N IV] λ1486 emitter studied by Raiter et al. (2010) at *z* ~ 5.6 gets shifted into the range of NIRCam. In addition to NIRCam, JWST’s MIRI is sensitive in the 0.5 to 28.3 µm and will provide medium resolution spectroscopy (R~3000) over this range.

Many of our UV emission lines (accessible to JWST’s MIRI well into the high-*z* range) are stronger in dust-free simulations than those of the baseline model and could thus be used as dust diagnostics (Figure 7a). For example, N V λ1240 and Si II] λ2335 increases 0.4 dex and 0.5 respectively when dust is not included. [O V] λ1218 both emits more strongly and in a greater range on the LOC plane without dust.

We predict that C III λ977 and C IV λ1549 will be the most useful emission lines for JWST observations. Given the vigorous amounts of star formation at high redshift, moderate ionization potential (47.9 eV and 64.5 eV respectively), UV lines C III λ977 and C IV λ1549 should easily be formed.

C III λ977, a temperature-sensitive collisionally excited FUV line, has been used in AGN literature to discriminate between pure shock and pure photoionization models of excitation, while C IV λ1549, another collisionally excited FUV line, proves even more useful in this regard (Allen, Dopita & Tsvetanov 1998). In the conditions of the early universe, we expect there to be less dust, low metallicity, and little AGN contribution since there are fewer supernova remnants, less chemical enrichment (AGN3), and we are past the AGN epoch (z < 3) of galaxy evolution. C III λ977 and C IV λ1549 become stronger under these conditions (Figure 8).

Additionally, when adopting local nebular conditions, C III λ977 and C IV λ1549 are not strong lines; therefore, they should only be detectable for high-*z* galaxies with little dust and low metallicity. Their peak log(Wλ) in our dusty 5 *Z*⊙ simulations are 1.3 and 1.4 respectively while their peak log(Wλ) in our dust-free 0.2 *Z*⊙ simulations are 2.2 and 3.0 respectively (Figure 8). They both have strong emission at 8 ≤ *φ*H ≤ 12, and0 ≤ nH ≤ 4 in the dust-free case (1.0 < log(Wλ) < 2.0). Given the sensitivity of JWST’s MIRI instrument, we predict that these emission lines should be easily detectable in high-*z* starburst galaxies.

**6. Conclusion**

In this paper, we have compiled an atlas of predicted star-forming galaxy equivalent widths to be used by observers to constrain the physical conditions in the systems they observe. We sought to determine the extent to which we could reproduce high ionization emission lines seen by observers by only invoking photoionization via starlight; we do not employ photoionization by AGN or collisional ionization by shocks/turbulence. We thus began by asking what physical conditions are necessary in star-forming galaxies to produce these high ionization emission lines.

To address this question, we adopted a two-part methodology of simulating the star-forming region SED and then using a locally-optimally emitting cloud (LOC) methodology to investigate emission lines. Using Starburst99, we explored the parameter space of SFH and metallicity to determine what conditions would give the hardest spectrum. We considered the Geneva rotation tracks but found that the Padova AGB track SED with a continuous SFR produced the hardest ionizing spectrum. As we were investigating high ionization emission lines, we adopted this model as our baseline model. To account for the dust ubiquitous throughout H II regions, we consider dusty conditions while adopting a step function across the plane to account for sublimation. Finally, we adopt an LOC plane spanning 0 ≤ log(nH) ≤ 10 and 8 ≤ log(*φ*H) ≤ 22 to match a wide range of physical conditions.

Having adopted the Padova AGB track SED at 5 Myr for our baseline model, we tracked 96 emission lines across the LOC plane. We found that collisionally excited UV emission lines reprocessed the spectrum along constant ionization parameter lines on the LOC plane. Many of our optical recombination lines emitted along a wider range of ionization parameter lines. We found that many of the optical emission lines that we tracked also exhibited an interesting double peak feature due to an ionization jump experienced by an element. This feature was even more evident in higher metallicity and dust-free simulations. We found that IR emission lines emit most efficiently in low nH and low *φ*H regions on account of their low critical densities.

We next analyzed our model’s sensitivity to metallicity, SFH, and dust. Nearly all the peak equivalent widths of the emission lines we track decrease with time when we adopt any of the four evolutionary tracks. There was little observable difference between continuous evolution models (except with high ionization emission lines of interest) and most emission lines die off after 5-8 Myr with the instantaneous models of evolution. We note that most of our emission lines maintain their shape across the LOC plane with a dust-free model, only changing slightly in their range of emission and peak log(Wλ). Lastly, dust effects are most noticeable with UV emission lines and some of the lower wavelength optical emission lines.

UV emission lines generally decreased slightly with age and increased with dust removal (as dust absorption peaks in the UV). Most UV emission lines also increased in emission with increasing metallicity. Optical emission lines decreased in emission with increasing metallicity, decreased slightly with age, and were not particularly sensitive to dust. IR emission lines increase in emission with increasing metallicity, decrease slightly with age, and showed very little change with the introduction of dust.

In the end, we find that our grids suggest a pocket of more extreme conditions or AGN activity when strong high ionization emission lines are present in the local universe. As we move to simulations with physical conditions more indicative of those found at higher redshift, we find our grids better at reproducing high ionization emission lines.

[Add a bit about JWST here.]
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**Appendix A. Complete list of tracked emission lines.**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Emission line | Wavelength | Notes |
| C III | 977 Å |  |
| N III | 991 Å |  |
| H I | 1026 Å |  |
| O IV | 1035 Å |  |
| Incident | 1215 Å |  |
| H I | 1216 Å |  |
| [O V] | 1218 Å |  |
| N V | 1239 Å |  |
| N V | 1240 Å |  |
| N V | 1243 Å |  |
| Si II | 1263 Å |  |
| O I | 1304 Å |  |
| Si II | 1308 Å |  |
| Si IV | 1397 Å |  |
| O IV] | 1402 Å |  |
| S IV | 1406 Å |  |
| N IV | 1485 Å |  |
| N IV | 1486 Å |  |
| Si II | 1531 Å |  |
| C IV | 1549 Å |  |
| He II | 1640 Å |  |
| O III | 1661 Å |  |
| O III] | 1665 Å |  |
| O III | 1666 Å |  |
| Al II | 1671 Å |  |
| N IV | 1719 Å |  |
| N III] | 1750 Å |  |
| Al III | 1860 Å |  |
| Si III] | 1888 Å |  |
| Si III | 1892 Å |  |
| C III] | 1907 Å |  |
| TOTL | 1909 Å | C III] 1908.73 + [C III] |
| C III | 2297 Å |  |
| [O III] | 2321 Å |  |
| C II] | 2326 Å |  |
| Si II] | 2335 Å |  |
| [O II] | 2471 Å |  |
| Al II] | 2665 Å |  |
| Mg II | 2798 Å |  |
| Mg II | 2803 Å |  |
| [Ne III] | 3343 Å |  |
| [Ne V] | 3426 Å |  |
| Ba C 0 |  | Balmer Cont. |
| Balmer | 3646 Å | Ba Jump |
| [O II] | 3726 Å |  |
| [O II] | 3727 Å |  |
| [O II] | 3729 Å |  |
| [Ne III] | 3869 Å |  |
| H I | 3889 Å |  |
| Ca II | 3933 Å |  |
| He I | 4026 Å |  |
| [S II] | 4070 Å |  |
| [S II] | 4070 Å |  |
| [S II] | 4078 Å |  |
| H I | 4102 Å |  |
| Ni 12 | 4231 Å |  |
| H I | 4340 Å |  |
| [O III] | 4363 Å |  |
| He II | 4686 Å |  |
| Ca B | 4686 Å | Case B He II |
| [Ar IV] | 4711 Å |  |
| [Ne IV] | 4720 Å |  |
| [Ar IV] | 4740 Å |  |
| Incident | 4860 Å |  |
| Hβ | 4861 Å |  |
| [O III] | 4959 Å |  |
| [O III] | 5007 Å |  |
| Co 11 | 5168 Å |  |
| [N I] | 5200 Å |  |
| Fe 14 | 5303Å |  |
| Ar 10 | 5534 Å |  |
| [O I] | 5577 Å |  |
| [N II] | 5755 Å |  |
| He I | 5876 Å |  |
| [O I] | 6300 Å |  |
| [S III] | 6312 Å |  |
| [O I] | 6363 Å |  |
| Hα | 6563 Å |  |
| [N II] | 6584 Å |  |
| [S II] | 6716 Å |  |
| [S II] | 6720 Å |  |
| [S II] | 6731 Å |  |
| Ar V | 7005 Å |  |
| [Ar III] | 7135 Å |  |
| [O II] | 7325 Å |  |
| [Ar IV] | 7331 Å |  |
| [Ar III] | 7751 Å |  |
| Mn 9 | 7968 Å |  |
| O I | 8446 Å |  |
| Ca II | 8498 Å |  |
| Ca II | 8542 Å |  |
| Ca II | 8662 Å |  |
| Ca II | 8579 Å |  |
| [S III] | 9069 Å |  |
| Pa 9 | 9229 Å |  |
| [S III] | 9532 Å |  |
| Pa ε | 9546 Å |  |
| S 8 | 9914 Å |  |
| H I | 1.005 μm |  |
| He I | 1.083 μm |  |
| H I | 1.094 μm |  |
| H I | 1.282 μm |  |
| H I | 1.875 μm |  |
| H I | 2.625 μm |  |
| H I | 4.051 μm |  |
| Na III | 7.320 μm |  |
| Ne VI | 7.652 μm |  |
| Ne II | 12.81 μm |  |
| [Ne V] | 14.3 μm |  |
| Ne III | 15.55 μm |  |
| Ne V | 24.31 μm |  |
| O IV | 25.88 μm |  |
| Ne III | 36.01 μm |  |
| O III | 51.80 μm |  |
| [N III] | 57.2 μm |  |
| [O I] | 63 μm |  |
| [O III] | 88 μm |  |
| N II | 121.7 μm |  |
| [O I] | 145.5 μm |  |
| C II | 157.6 μm |  |
| N II | 205.4 μm |  |
| Cr 8 | 1.011 m |  |
| S 9 | 1.252 m |  |
| V 7 | 1.304 m |  |
| S 11 | 1.393 m |  |
| Si 10 | 1.430 m |  |
| Ti 6 | 1.715 m |  |
| H I | 1.945 m |  |
| S 11 | 1.920 m |  |
| Si 6 | 1.963 m |  |
| H I | 2.166 m |  |
| Sc V | 2.310 m |  |
| Ca 8 | 2.321 m |  |
| Si 7 | 2.481 m |  |
| Si 9 | 2.584 m |  |
| Ar 11 | 2.595 m |  |
| Al 5 | 2.905 m |  |
| Mg 8 | 3.030 m |  |
| Ca IV | 3.210 m |  |
| Al 6 | 3.660 m |  |
| Al 8 | 3.690 m |  |
| S 9 | 3.754 m |  |
| Si 9 | 3.929 m |  |
| Ca V | 4.157 m |  |
| Mg 4 | 4.485 m |  |
| Ar 6 | 4.530 m |  |
| Mg 7 | 5.503 m |  |
| Mg 5 | 5.610 m |  |
| Al 8 | 5.848 m |  |
| Si 7 | 6.492 m |  |
| Ar II | 6.980 m |  |
| Ar V | 8.000 m |  |
| Na 6 | 8.611 m |  |
| Ar III | 9.000 m |  |
| Mg 7 | 9.033 m |  |
| Na 4 | 9.039 m |  |
| Al 6 | 9.116 m |  |
| S IV | 10.51 m |  |
| Ca V | 11.48 m |  |
| Ar V | 13.10 m |  |
| Mg 5 | 13.52 m |  |
| Na 6 | 14.40 m |  |
| S III | 18.67 m |  |
| Na 4 | 21.29 m |  |
| Ar III | 21.83 m |  |
| S III | 33.47 m |  |
| Si II | 34.81 m |  |
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