WTF Theory — Neutrino–Isotope Stability Notes

K. Nikitenko

12.11.2025

Decay-rate modification by external power feed

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta\lambda \quad & ¿\,\alpha \cdot \frac{P_{\text{in}}}{E_{\text{rest}}} \\
P_{\text{in}} \quad & ¿\,r \cdot E_{\text{event}} \cdot \eta \\
r \quad & ¿\,\frac{\Delta\lambda \cdot E_{\text{rest}}}{\alpha \cdot \eta \cdot E_{\text{event}}}
\end{aligned}$$

This defines the relationship between an external energy feed and the induced increase in decay probability. The parameters:

- α — sensitivity coefficient;
- η — efficiency of conversion of incoming energy to reaction probability;
- r — event rate (events per second per particle);
- E_{rest} — rest energy of particle;
- E_{event} — energy of one input event (e.g. neutrino cluster).

Equivalent energy–time relationship

$$t = \frac{E_{\text{rest}}}{P_{\text{rad}}} \approx 931 \cdot A \cdot \frac{\tau}{Q}$$

Here, t is the characteristic time to radiate rest energy, A is the mass number, and Q is the released energy per decay (in MeV).

Binding energy and stability

Greater binding energy per nucleon $\left(E_{\text{bind}}/A\right)$ implies longer characteristic lifetime t. At the Fe–Ni maximum ($\sim 8.7\,\text{MeV/nucleon}$) nuclei are effectively stable ($t \to \infty$).

Numerical example (proton)

Parameter	Value	Units
E_{rest}	938.272	MeV
$E_{\text{event,low}}$	0.7823	MeV
$E_{\text{event,up}}$	9.592	MeV

For $\alpha\eta = 1, \Delta\lambda = 1/\text{yr}$:

$$r \approx 3.8 \times 10^{-5}\,\text{s}^{-1}$$

For $\alpha\eta = 10^{-6}$:

$$r \approx 38\,\text{s}^{-1}$$

Flux equivalence

The event rate can also be expressed as

$$r_{\text{actual}} = \Phi \cdot \sigma$$

where Φ is the particle flux ($\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$) and σ is the effective interaction cross-section.

Example:

$$\Phi \approx 6 \times 10^{10}\,\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}, \sigma \approx 10^{-44}\,\text{cm}^2 \Rightarrow r_{\text{actual}} \approx 6 \times 10^{-34}\,\text{s}^{-1}.$$

Astrophysical flux comparison

Environment	Φ ($\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)	Comment
Reactor (near core)	10^{13}	Strong man-made source
Solar (Earth)	6×10^{10}	Continuous background flux
Supernova (1 kpc)	1.6×10^{13}	10 s burst
Stellar core (pre-SN)	10^{30}	Estimated extreme flux

Only stellar-core and near-supernova environments reach flux densities where r_{actual} becomes comparable to the r required for noticeable lifetime modification.

Required vs Achievable Event Rates

$\alpha\,\eta$	Target $\Delta\lambda$	E_{event} (MeV)	r_{req} (s^{-1})	σ (cm^{2})	Φ_{needed} ($\text{cm}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)
1.0	1/yr	0.7823	3.8×10^{-5}	10^{-38}	3.8×10^{33}
10^{-3}	1/yr	0.7823	3.8×10^{-2}	10^{-38}	3.8×10^{36}
10^{-6}	1/yr	0.7823	3.8×10^{1}	10^{-38}	3.8×10^{39}
1.0	1/yr	9.592	3.1×10^{-6}	10^{-38}	3.1×10^{32}

Interpretation

The required flux to induce noticeable decay modification is unrealistically high for normal conditions (solar, terrestrial), but feasible within stellar cores before supernova collapse.

Stability summary

- Observed particles (protons, electrons) show no measurable evolution of decay channels with time.
- Stability deviations are only plausible in extreme environments (stellar cores, supernovae).
- WTF hypothesis: neutrino cluster coupling may explain isotopic “islands of stability” and transition boundaries.

Summary: High-flux stellar environments provide natural conditions for phase transitions in nucleons and formation of isotopic stability regions. Under ordinary flux conditions, particles remain effectively eternal.

The Limit of Reversibility — Natural Boundary of Matter

Within the WTF framework, matter is described as a localized and stable mode of a universal wave field. The transition from the “wave” phase to the “material” phase occurs only when a minimal group of coherence is reached, and stability arises as a stationary resonance of work density within the field.

The reverse transition — from matter back into a coherent wave — is theoretically possible only under total phase synchronization, when every component of the field performs work in unison:

$$L_{\text{rev}} = \frac{\lim\limits_{E \to E_{\text{crit}}} dW}{dt} = 0$$

where E_{crit} is the critical energy of full coherence.

This state corresponds to the ultimate compression of information and marks the boundary beyond which no reversible work can occur. It requires an energy density and phase precision that exceed any reachable condition even inside stellar cores.

Thus, even for advanced civilizations, the full reverse conversion of matter into wave form is not achievable. Only partial manifestations are possible:

- radiation and decay — local loss of phase coherence,
- black hole formation — closure of phase space into non-observable work,
- neutrino emission — minimal coherent leakage of the system.

At this limit, the concept of “aging of stable particles” loses meaning: stability becomes the equilibrium between irreversible work and unreachable coherence.

Conclusion

The investigation confirms that the apparent eternity of matter is not a technical constraint but a natural consequence of the field structure itself. Every stable particle represents a terminal resonance of the universal work field — a standing wave whose phase cannot be globally restored.

Beyond this point, further progress is not downward toward deeper particles, but sideways, toward the understanding of how these resonant states interact, align, and shape the macroscopic phenomena of the Universe.

— End of the Line. Beyond this, only the topology of existence remains.

WTF Framework — Neutrino Cluster Interaction Hypothesis (2025)