



Chapter 1

Reconstruction and Free Plantation Labor



The Civil War eliminated slavery but left undecided the question of what agrarian labor system would replace it in the devastated South. Peter Kolchin's essay "Free Plantation Labor" describes how Alabama freedmen (former slaves) and their erstwhile masters established relationships to maintain the productivity of the land. As you read, consider the aspirations, fears, and misunderstandings that governed the behavior of blacks, Southern whites, and Southern-based representatives of the federal government working for the Freedmen's Bureau. Although salaried agricultural labor and tenant farming made an appearance on Alabama plantations, it was sharecropping that came to dominate agriculture in that state and much of the rest of the South. Sharecropping ultimately proved an unproductive system of land management, crushing black farmers and their families under a yoke of debt and poverty for generations to come. Yet, as Kolchin's essay points out, both blacks and whites initially found the system attractive. Why?

SOURCE: *First Freedom: The Responses of Alabama Blacks to Emancipation and Reconstruction*, 30-48, by Peter Kolchin. Copyright © 1972 by Greenwood Press. Reproduced with permission of Greenwood Publishing Group, Inc., Westport, CT.

Despite the migration of Negroes to Alabama's towns and cities, the most important question to blacks in 1865 concerned the role of the rural freedmen. The end of the Civil War found general confusion as to their status.

I

Peter Kolchin
Free Plantation Labor

ESSAY

Beginning in the 1890s, the freedmen lost the rights and opportunities they had won during the ten years following the Civil War, as Southern whites began systematically to disfranchise blacks and to institutionalize segregationist and discriminatory practices. Whites prohibited blacks from voting, segregated them in public institutions and to discriminate blacks and to institutionalize segregationist and discrimination. Although blacks never accepted these conditions as permanent, "colored schools." Although blacks never accepted these conditions as permanent, over half a century would pass before their march toward full equality resumed with life, denied them justice in the courts, and placed their children in underfunded

What actions did the freedmen take to achieve their objectives? Freedmen's Bureau and several private philanthropic agencies. What do these documents and the Kolchin essay indicate about the goals of the newly freed blacks? Freedmen's Bureau and several private philanthropic agencies. What are the auspices of the were among the hundreds who traveled south after the war under the auspices of the

The third document consists of letters from two Northern schoolteachers, who

Reconstruction ended.

store for Southern blacks after 1877, when the last federal troops left the South and by the Republican-controlled federal government. It also provides clues to the fate in part of the motivation for the passage of the Reconstruction amendments and laws Codes amounted to nothing less than the continuation of slavery? The code explains document supports the claim of some Northern Radicals Republicans that the Black meant is the Black Code of St. Landry's Parish, Louisiana. To what extent does this politicians established Black Codes to ensure white supremacy. The second docu-

mers could accept the freedmen as social and political equals. In 1865-1866 Southerners finished and that the South needed a new system of labor, few white Southern speakers eloquently of the conditions and humiliations that he had endured in the past and also of the better life that he has built for himself. How would you describe the general tone of the letter?

The first document is a letter from a free slave to his former master. The letter

"You have been told by the Yankees and others that you are free," one planter declared to his Negroes in April 1865. "This may be so! I do not doubt that you will be freed in a few years. But the terms and time of your ultimate freedom is not yet fully and definitely settled. Neither you nor I know what is to be the final result." Even if free, the Negroes' position in society remained to be determined. Presumably they would continue to till the land, for agriculture, especially cotton, was the mainstay of the state's economy and would continue as such for years. But it was not clear under what new system the land would be cultivated.

In the spring of 1865, before the arrival of Freedmen's Bureau officials, Union officers played the greatest role in establishing the new order. Throughout the state, they informed whites that the Negroes really were free and gathered blacks together to tell them of their new rights. "All persons formerly held as slaves will be treated in every respect as entitled to the rights of freedmen, and such as desire their services will be required to pay for them," announced Lieutenant Colonel C. T. Christensen in a typical statement from Mobile.

The army also served as the precursor of the Freedmen's Bureau in establishing the new agricultural labor system, according to which freedmen were to work under yearly contracts with their employers, supervised by federal officials. Varieties of this contract system had already been tested in certain Union-occupied portions of the South before the end of the war, and in April Thomas W. Conway, general superintendent of freedmen for the Department of the Gulf, arrived in Montgomery to inaugurate it in Alabama. But it was late summer before the Freedmen's Bureau was fully established throughout the state, and until then the task of supervising relations between planters and freedmen rested primarily with the army. Officers advised blacks to remain on their plantations "whenever the persons by whom they are employed recognize their rights and agree to compensate them for their services." Similar circulars, although not always so friendly in tone, were issued from other parts of the state. Brevet Major General R. S. Granger ordered that all contracts between freedmen and planters must be in writing. He added bluntly that "[t]hose found unemployed will be arrested and set to work." But officers were usually vague in recommending what the compensation of the freedmen, or their working relations with planters, should be. Conditions varied widely from one location to another during the first few months after the war as individual army officers, Freedmen's Bureau officials, and planters exercised their own discretion.

Observers generally noted a demoralization of labor during the spring and summer of 1865, which they frequently associated with the early migration of freedmen. Upon his arrival in Montgomery, Conway noted a "perfect reign of idleness on the part of the negroes." Other Bureau officials joined planters in declaring that blacks either would not work or would at

Southern whites, long accustomed to thinking of their slaves as faithful and docile servants, were quick to blame outsiders for any trouble. As early as April 1862, a north Alabama planter had noted that the Union soldiers "to a great extent demoralized the negroes. . . . The negroes were delighted with them and since they left enough can be seen to convince one that the Federal

II

up the plantation and subject individual lots to Negro families.

When Watson finally returned from Germany to take charge of matters of the crop.

"When Watson finally returned from Germany to take charge of matters

it with their marks." The modified contract granted the laborers one-eighth of the hiring of hands or the care of the plantation. Hagan, in turn, later broke

the hired to rent the plantation to overseer Hagan and "have nothing to do with masters." Finding such a state of affairs more than he could tolerate, he de-

ided to rent the plantation to overseer Hagan and "have nothing to do with

idle, doing nothing, insisting that they shall be fed and are eating off their

planned, "but forget that they agreed to do anything on theirs and are all

of their masters full and complete compunction on their part," he com-

himself, he was totally disgusted with what he found. The Negroes "claim

where he "modified the contract in the negroes' favor" as made them sign

agent had arrived in Greensboro. Parrish brought him to the plantation,

where a federal garrison was stationed. Meanwhile, a Freedmen's Bureau

universality ugly"—and seventeen of them left for nearby Uniontown,

ably consented, "but soon they once again objected—"their complications were

firmlly that they must submit to the overseer's authority, at first they "ami-

ported that "they have again rebelled." Six days later Parrish re-

are having a good time, not doing half work." All hands

and that Mr. Hagan [sic] should have no authority over them. . . . All hands

would give them part of the crop, they should be clothed and fed as usual,

the negroes," Parrish reported, "agreeing that if they would remain he

tempt to put things in order. "He made a sort of compromise bargain with

induced a friend of Watson's, J. A. Wemyss, to go to the plantation and at-

fused to work and demanded his removal. As Parrish was ill at the time, he

groes rebelled against the authority" of the overseer George Hagan. They ne-

months after the war, "About the first of June," wrote John Parrish to his

brother-in-law Henry Watson, who was vacationing in Germany, "Your ne-

county of Greene, illustrate the behavior of freedmen during the first few

Events on the Henry Watson plantation, a large estate in the black belt

organized violence did occasionally occur.

abolitionists frequently confounded black "impudence" with outright revolt, but

and unless something is done very soon I fear the consequences." White Al-

the local militia warned that "[t]he negroes are becoming very impudent

were "impudent and defiant." In one Piedmont county, the commander of

some Northern ones as well, complained that Negroes refused to work and

best make feeble symbolic gestures toward work. Southern whites, and

army[,] the negroes and white Southern people cannot inhabit the same country." After the war, planters continued to complain about the harmful influence of the army. The presence of black troops was especially unpalatable to former slave owners. "[N]egroes will *not work* surrounded [by] black troops encouraging them to insubordination," complained one outraged resident of a blackbelt community.

Although Alabama whites were deeply humiliated by the presence of Yankees and black troops in their midst, there was little foundation to the complaints about outside agitation. Indeed, federal officials often cooperated directly with planters and local authorities in attempting to keep blacks in line. Army officers urged Negroes to stay on their plantations. Freedmen's Bureau agents frequently assisted in keeping order, too. "My predecessors here worked with a view to please the white citizens, at the expense of, and injustice to, the Freedmen," complained a shocked Bureau assistant superintendent shortly after his arrival in Tuskegee. "They have invariably given permission to inflict punishment for insolence or idleness, and have detailed soldiers to tie up and otherwise punish the laborers who have, in the opinion of the employers, been *refractory*." [Freedmen's Bureau] Commissioner [O. O.] Howard later explained that the Bureau "came to the assistance of the Planters" and succeeded in making the blacks "reliable laborers under the free system." He added that "[t]he good conduct of the millions of freedmen is due to a large extent to our officers of the Army and the Bureau."

A more substantial cause of the demoralization of labor was the mistrust existing between freedman and planter. Where this mistrust was minimal—that is, where planters and freedmen had relatively close ties and where planters readily acknowledged the changed condition of their relations—Negroes continued to work well. More often than not it was the small planter, who worked in the field beside his employees and knew them personally, who managed to remain on good terms with them. But few planters were willing to accept all the implications of the overthrow of slavery. "Thus far," pronounced the state's leading newspaper [*Daily Selma Times*] in October, "we are sorry to say that experience teaches that the negro in a free condition will not work on the old plantations." Another newspaper agreed that freedom had made the blacks "dissatisfied, listless, improvident, and unprofitable drones." Throughout the state, whites refused to believe that Negroes would work without the compulsion of slavery.

Some planters continued to hope that emancipation could either be rescinded or delayed, and "consequently told the negroes they were not free." Others recognized the de jure passing of slavery and concentrated on making the condition of the freedmen as near as possible to that of slaves. Upon his arrival in Montgomery, Conway noted that "the Planters appeared disinclined to offer employment, except with guarantees that would practically reduce the Freedmen again to a state of bondage."

crop. In other cases shares varied from one-quarter to one-sixth of the crop. This more than fifty adult blacks, which promised them one-eighth of the summer of 1865. More typical was the contract between Henry Watson and laborers one-quarter of the crop, but such liberality was rare during the summer.

Alas, it was almost always very small. W.C. Penick agreed to pay his

slavery, it was the shares received in 1865 varied con-

siderably, [and] medical attendance in case of sickness.",

gives Negroes, in addition to their share of the crop, "quarters, fuel, necessities combined with the shortage of currency, insured that early contracts would suffer or the weak are obliged to purchase at unjust rates what they must in food and medical attendance, lest the improved leave their families to labor regulations. One was that "[p]art of the compensation is required to be shortly after his arrival in Montgomery, Wayne drew up a list of proposed items, this provision also concerned to the wishes of the Freedmen's Bureau. planter. In addition to being a continuation of the old plantation paternalistic specified that food and medical care would be provided by the partly for the same reason and partly from tradition, most early con-

tract mode of paying freedmen without any money transactions.

efficient currency to pay laborers in cash. Crop-ping provided a convenient adoption of sharecropping was simple: the defeated South did not have sufficient months after the war. The initial reason for the immediate widespread been little or no experimentation with wage labor during the first few than not, it always involved a share of the crop. There seems to have been little or no experimentation with wage labor during the first few months after the war. The initial reason for the immediate widespread

When some compensation was provided, as was the case more often promises to work for an indefinite time for nothing but his board and clothes, and the white man agrees to do nothing." W.C. Gossweil, "In which the negroes working under (some of them since last April) are merely a paper drawn up Waggoner: "We find that the agreements they [the freedmen] have been pay. The practice was summarized in a report to Assistant Commissioner of the year, such being the federal law," Sarah Espy of the mountain county of contracts were made in other regions, and numerous Freedmen's Bureau officials reported upon arrival at their posts that Negroes were working without compensation of their patches], and they are to proceed as heretofore." Similar the proceeds of their patches], and they are to proceed as heretofore." Cherokee wrote in her diary in July, "I give them their victuals and clothing, the year, such being the federal law," Sarah Espy of the mountain county of condition, "Today I contracted with Jane and Dick to serve the remainder of their former slaves into signing contracts that essentially perpetuated their easy, before the Freedmen's Bureau was firmly established, for planters to freedmen to continue as they had, without recompense. It was also relatively easy in fact, if not in name. Some planters reached "verbal agreements" with whites in the possibility of free black labor and their desire to maintain slaves

In addition to appropriating the greater portion of the freedmen's labor, planters were concerned with maintaining control over their lives. "I look upon slavery as gone, gone, gone, beyond the possibility of help," lamented one planter. He added reassuringly, however, that "we have the power to pass stringent police laws to govern the negroes—This is a blessing—For they must be controlled in some way or white people cannot live amongst them." Such an outlook did not necessarily represent a conscious effort to thwart the meaning of freedom, for whites had been conditioned by years of slavery to look upon subservience as the only condition compatible with Negro, or any plantation, labor. Nevertheless, the effect was the same. Early contracts often included provisions regulating the behavior of laborers. A typical one provided that "all orders from the manager are to be promptly and implicitly obeyed under any and all circumstances" and added "[i]t is also agreed that none of the said negroes will under any circumstances leave the plantation without a written permission from the manager." If any of them quit work before the expiration of the contract, he was to forfeit all his wages. Some contracts gave planters authority to whip refractory Negroes.

It is only as a response to such attempts to perpetuate slave conditions that the seeming demoralization of black labor can be understood. Although whites pointed at idle or turbulent Negroes and repeated that they did not comprehend the meaning of freedom, the lack of comprehension was on the part of Alabama's whites. Blacks lost little time in demonstrating their grasp of the essentials of freedom and the tactical flexibility their new condition provided. Just as many felt compelled to leave their old plantations immediately after the war to prevent old relations from being perpetuated, so did they find it necessary to establish at the outset that they would not labor under conditions that made them free in name but slave in fact.

III

In December 1865 events reached something of a crisis as planters continued to strive for a return to the methods of prewar days and blacks continued to resist. Planter-laborer relationships were tense during the summer and fall, but with contracts entered into after the war due to expire on 31 December, the approach of the new year heralded an especially difficult time. Negroes now had the experience of over half a year as freedmen in dealing with planters. They also had the backing of the Freedmen's Bureau, which, if generally ambivalent about the precise position of the freedman in Southern society, refused to sanction his essential re-enslavement. The culmination of the demoralization of labor and the mass migrations of 1865 was the refusal of many blacks to contract for the following year.

One reason Negroes were slow to contract was that many of them expected the plantations of their ex-masters to be divided among them at the start of the year. While this idea proved to be a total misconception, it was neither so ludicrous nor so far-fetched a notion as white Alabamians

[“my negroes have never done so well as they are doing now.”]”
 as to merit the praise and approbation of the Planters. Planters say to me
 agent boasted that, “the Freedmen have commenced work with such a zeal
 white Alabamians agreed. From Tuskegee, the local Freedmen’s Bureau
 working much better than any one predicted they would work.” Other
 recorded a surprised planter, “the negroes, who are hired are farming and
 complained so bitterly vanished in a matter of days. “One thing is obvious,”
 spouse. The demoralizing effects of emancipation about which whites had
 Selma Morning Times in an editorial that typified the general white re-
 praiseworthy conduct of the negroes has surprised many”, declared the
 tract during the first few days of 1866 and then settled down to work. “The
 trouble. To the astonishment and relief of whites, freedmen rushed to con-
 came evident when New Year’s day passed without the slightest hint of
 came of insurrection consisted chiefly of groundless rumors be-

That the fears of rebellion of the negroes had been groundless was soon apparent.
 to plant at all without them.”
 vigorously demanded contracts there was danger they would not undertake
 For this reason, the assistant commissioner [Swayne] reported, Planters “so
 litigation, and at neither of these is the freedman a match for his Employer.”
 of the abilities of the newly freed slaves, “[Contractors] multiply bargaining and
 Swayne wrote, with what turned out to be something of an underestimation
 tunity for whites to take advantage of illiterate and ignorant blacks. As
 vision, the contract system threatened to become little more than an oppor-
 experience of the past half-year. Without careful Freedmen’s Bureau super-
 a rebellion, but merely expressed their reluctance to repeat their unhappy
 rebellion of the negroes to contract in December in no way presented

The refusal of the freedmen to contract in December in no way presented
 regulations concerning the colored people.”
 dently for no other purpose than to serve as a pretext for annoying police
 Schuyler rumors were “spread about impeding Negro insurrections evi-
 however, denied any threat of an uprising, and according to [reformer] Carl
 selves against an imagined Negro insurrection. Other observers,
 and in at least one area whites organized armed patrols to defend them-
 bring a black uprising. They complained of Negroes arming themselves,
 every minor incident, and predicting ominous that New Year’s would
 ing the extent to which the freedmen expected confrontation, laying up
 White Alabamians responded to the black desire for land by exaggerat-

not to be given land and advised them on contract for moderate wages.
 Freedmen’s Bureau officially explained to Negroes that they were
 possession to the original owners. As the end of the year approached,
 of 1865 that the Bureau reversed itself and began restoring the lands in its
 when President Johnson directly countermanded this policy in the autumn
 over confiscated and abandoned lands to the freedmen, and it was only
 cation of their lands. Commissioner Howard had originally intended to turn
 portayed it. Southern whites themselves had contributed greatly to the ex-
 pectation by warning the freedmen that defeat would result in the confis-

But if planters rejoiced that their laborers were hard at work, the freedmen had won a signal victory that was noticed by the more perceptive whites. "I think the negro hire was very high," complained future Democratic Governor George S. Houston; "[I] never had any idea of paying that much for negroes." He was right. Gone were the days when a typical contract gave the laborers one-eighth of the crop, or merely bed and board. By refusing to contract until the last moment, the freedmen had thrown their prospective employers into a panic and forced a significant alteration in the terms of the ultimate settlement. Although neither so well concerted nor organized, the process had essentially the same effect as a massive general strike.

Aside from the presence of the Freedmen's Bureau, which made blatant cheating by planters more difficult, the prevailing shortage of labor proved an inestimable boon to the freedmen. In 1866, as throughout most of the early postwar period, the pressure was on the planter to find laborers rather than on the Negro to find employment. Freedmen could feel relatively free in refusing to contract on what they regarded as unsatisfactory terms or in leaving employers with whom they were unhappy. Labor stealing, or enticing freedmen to change employers for higher wages, was a persistent complaint among planters. Occasionally, blacks were even able to strike for higher wages, as in the mountain county of Cherokee, "where they bound themselves together, under a penalty of fifty lashes, to be laid on the naked back, not to contract to work for any white man during the present harvest, for less than two dollars per day."

As had been the case in 1865, the terms of working arrangements varied widely among plantations. Both the lower and upper limits of the pay scale, however, were substantially higher than they had been. Half, or perhaps slightly more than half, of the contracts provided for a division of the crop. In such cases, the laborer almost always received a larger share than he had in 1865. Although there are examples of freedmen receiving as little as one-sixth of the crop, the prevailing portion—when, as was usual in 1866, the laborer provided nothing but his own labor—was one-quarter. For the first time, many planters contracted to pay their employees money wages rather than a portion of the crop. A typical small planter recorded that he paid his eight field hands an average of ten dollars per month for men and fifty dollars a year for women, in addition to food. In other cases where Negroes worked for wages, the rate of compensation usually ranged from seven to fifteen dollars per month for men, and somewhat less for women.

IV

The economic disadvantage of sharecropping to the Negro became evident in 1866 as the bright prospects of winter and spring faded in the summer. By August the cotton crop, which once seemed so promising, had been reduced by unseasonal rains to half its usual size, and autumn saw the second

though a very few planters and freedmen continued, despite the shortage of
there was an almost universal return to sharecropping in 1867, al-
satisfaction.

ing better than they did last year," reported the *Daily Selma Messenger* with
and reliability. "The freedmen, according to universal testimony, are work-
over Alabama's good fortune and praising her Negroes for their hard work
both sides than they had the previous year. By spring, whites were rejoicing
planters to come to agreements more quickly and with less bitter fighting on
illusions over the possibility of land confiscation enabled most blacks and
ence of being cheated out of their share of the crop, the absence of any
lucrant to contract. Although many of them now had the additional experi-
variations the following year. In December 1866, blacks once again were re-

The cyclical pattern established in 1865-1866 was repeated with some
later some payment, many injustices went unnoticed or unredressed.

mediated between planters and freedmen and were able to secure for the
was sold. Although in some instances Bureau officials, or even the crop
jority of cases without cause" and sending them to sit in jail until the crop
men who employed them [freedmen] are arresting them . . . in a large ma-
in the blackbelt, a Freedmen's Bureau agent reported "I find many, many
by the day or week to finish up any remaining work. From Greene County,
compensation whatsoever. Temporary laborers could then be hired either
relatively secure, sharecroppers could be discharged and deprived of any
with some technical violation of contract. Unlike wage earners, who were
planters would drive them or when it came time to divide the crop,
main labor on the crop was done or when it came time to divide the crop,
The most common complaint of the freedmen was that either after the

even if it involved cheating, violence, and brutality.
receiving the maximum possible labor from the freedmen at minimal cost,
former faithful slave." Most planters, however, were primarily interested in
sioner for advice. "I am willing to put myself to some trouble to protect my
me," begged the distressed planter in a letter asking the assistant commis-
employ him for the next year for far less than he is worth. . . . Please write to
was "about to be imposed upon by an unprincipled man, who is about to
had left him after the war "because he would not 'feel free' if he did not"
after former slaves. One white wrote to Swayne that an ex-slave of his who
s. True, there were occasional touchings instances when planters looked
between planters and freedmen—especially sharecroppers—in time of cri-
The contract system provided innumerable opportunities for friction

stubborn and lazy. "What is the matter with the freedmen?" it queried.
had labored satisfactorily during the winter and spring, now they seemed
all. The Clarke County Journal, for example, noted that although freedmen
across the state began to decide that free blacks were not working well after
straight crop failure. As the extent of the disaster became clear, whites

currency, to experiment with wages. Some Freedmen's Bureau officials, who felt that Negroes fared better economically on wages, and some white Alabamians, who supported the system under which blacks were most carefully supervised, continued to advocate wage labor. With very few exceptions, however, planters and freedmen ignored their pleas. Arrangements granting the laborers one-quarter of the crop were most widespread, although in a few instances freedmen contracted to provide their own food and receive half the crop.

Sharecropping triumphed because both planters and freedmen favored the system. To the average planter it continued to be a more feasible labor system than wages, if for no other reason than the shortage of currency. In addition, many whites felt that shares gave blacks an interest in the crop, thus providing them with an incentive to work. Most blacks apparently preferred cropping, despite the economic disadvantages, because it allowed them greater control of their own lives. Because of his interest in the crop, the sharecropper required less supervision. In contrast to the wage laborer, who was a hired hand clearly in a subordinate position to his employer, the cropper was the partner of the landowner in a joint business venture that provided the freedman with opportunities for greater individual discretion, dignity, and self-respect. For this reason, Negroes considered the cropper a notch above the wage laborer in the social scale. "I am not working for wages," declared one freedman to his employer, as he explained why he had a right to leave the plantation at will to attend political meetings, "but am part owner of the crop and as I have all the rights that you or any other man has I shall not suffer them abridged."

V

As in 1866, the cotton crop of 1867 was a poor one. By fall, planters had once again begun to complain about the inefficiencies of freedmen as laborers. "The cause of the cotton crop being so inferior is the inefficiency of labor and the bad season [is] more on account of labor than anything else," lamented George Hagan, the ex-overseer who had rented Henry Watson's plantation. "There has been a few of the old negroes that lived on the place before that have worked very well but the younger ones are worth nothing." A correspondent of the *Union Springs Times* proclaimed free labor a failure.

Once again, planters drove freedmen from their homes without pay. "Negroes are now being dismissed from the plantations[,] there being nothing more for them to do," explained one blackbelt resident. He added calmly that "[t]hey will all be turned loose without homes[,] money or provisions[;] at least no meat." From the northwest corner of the state, 114 Negroes appealed for assistance to Major General John Pope, who in April had

Technique Life and Property. (Eds.)

Tennessee excepted—into five military districts, each under a commander responsible for providing life and property.

*Provisions of the First Reconstruction Act of 1867 divided the former Confederacy—

universal reaction to poor crops and low profits was to plan to cut back on to contract, but rather that planters were unwilling or unable to plant. Their turn in February. The problem was no longer that freedmen were reluctant to attend Convention in December and the election to ratify the new constitutionally considerable tension because of the meeting of the Radical Constitutional Convention in December and early winter of 1867-1868, a period of increasing evidence during the late autumn and early winter of 1867-1868, a cumulative effect of three years of standard crops became in-

every such settlement, many other grievances undoubtedly went unheeded.

that he had "so far been fortunate, to prevent any injustice to be done." But for understanding, or a settlement," explained one Bureau official. He reported parts concerned to appear at this office together, and try either to effect an arrangement, or a settlement, between laborers and planters. "I notify the freedmen back to their plantations and told them to stay there. In other locations, officials tried to mediate between laborers and planters. Freedmen chase justice." The sub-assistant commissioner at Huntsville sent discharged consequences they submit to the swindle simply because they cannot pay a fee: consequently they have no means] to pay a one Bureau agent. "The freedmen as a general thing have no means] to pay a law, there stands in the way the difficulty of obtaining counsel," explained ever, this method proved unsatisfactory. "[B]esides the slow process of the to dispose of them. Some turned cases over to the civil courts. In general, however, too few agents for the job. Furthermore, since the procedure for handling grievances was not clear, Bureau representatives were not sure how best simply too few agents for the job. Furthermore, since the procedure for handling grievances was not clear, Bureau representatives were not sure how best to come to the assistance of persecuted blacks, but there were numerous other cases, freedmen were less fortunate. Freedmen's Bureau agents tried to enlist the aid of the Bureau representation of persons in whom we able to enlist the aid of the Freedmen's Bureau and resist arbitrary dis-

In numerous other cases, freedmen were less fortunate. Freedmen's Bureau agents tried to enlist the aid of the Bureau representation of persons in whom we

necessary discipline of the plantation."

"Yet on the place acting in utter and entire disobedience of orders as the situation, but a month later he complained to Swayne that the freedman being 'disobedient' and denied that politics had anything to do with the contract." The planter protested lamely that he objected to the Negro's stay there until the crop is matured,] gathered and divided according to and the advice of assistant Commissioner of [the] Freedmen's Bureau I shall landlord, "I shall not suffer myself to be turned off[,] and under legal advice charge. Bertrand Houston, a sharecropper on an Athens plantation, told his Occasionally, through unusual persistence or intelligence, blacks were able to enlist the aid of the Freedmen's Bureau and resist arbitrary dis-

ability to attend political meetings.

first time, many blacks were also fired for voting Republican or attending our affairs, we do not feel that justice will be done us." In 1867, for the can place the utmost confidence be appointed to superintend the settling up Georgia, and Florida. They explained that "unless some person in whom we assumed command of the Third Military District," comprising Alabama,

planting operations. Unemployment among Negroes threatened to reach serious proportions for the first time since the war. . . .

VI

Hidden behind the daily monotony of agricultural labor, significant changes occurred in the lives of black plantation workers during their first few years of freedom. These changes were evident both in their relations with their employers and in their relations with each other. All of them can, with little inaccuracy and only slight ambiguity, be called moves toward independence. These moves, as much class as racial in nature, represented not only the desire of blacks to be free of white control, but also of ex-slave plantation laborers to be free of planter control.

"Freedom has worked great changes in the negro, bringing out all his inherent savage qualities," proclaimed the Mobile *Daily Register* in 1869. Certainly a growing physical restlessness and self-consciousness among black plantation workers—reinforced by the political emancipation brought about under congressional Reconstruction—were very evident. They were no longer willing to be imposed upon by their former owners. From the end of the war laborers, such as those on the Henry Watson plantation, had revolted against working under their old overseers. But the increasing number of white complaints of Negro "impudence," "insolence," and "insubordination," and the increasing readiness of black laborers to resort to violence and organization when faced with an unpalatable situation, testified to their growing self-assertiveness and confidence. In December 1867, for example, planters in Russell County, who were forced to cut back on planting operations because of poor crops the previous year, complained that their laborers were "seizing and holding property upon some of the places. They are generally armed." A year later, a revolt in the same area had to be put down by military force.

This desire of agricultural laborers for independence, which led them to choose sharecropping over wages even though they usually fared better economically under a wage system, was one of the greatest causes of other changes in modes of life and labor on the plantation. Before the war, field hands on large plantations had usually lived in rows of cabins grouped together. They had worked together in a slave gang, under the authority of an overseer and perhaps a driver. Their lives had been, by and large, collective. After the war, black plantation laborers quickly indicated their preference for a more individual form of life. They objected to working under the control of an overseer. They also objected to the regimented nature of the work gang and the Negro quarters. These had been accepted "in the days of slavery, when laborers were driven by overseers by day, and penned like sheep at night, and not allowed to have any will of their own," reported one Freedmen's Bureau agent. "But now, being *free* to think and act for themselves, they feel their individual responsibility for their conduct, and the

By the late 1860s, then, old patterns of agricultural relationships had been irreparably shattered, and the outlines of new ones had emerged. The logical culmination of emancipation for the plantation workers—the acquisition of their own land—remained for the plantation system a distant illusion of the future. But within the continuities of the plantation system great changes had occurred in the lives of the black laborers. They themselves had helped bring about most of these changes by demonstrating that they were not willing to continue in a position of complete subservience to their former owners. As one white planter

Such changes in working and living conditions were sometimes forced by planters themselves. Some, like Henry Watson, found it impossible to adjust to a new situation in which they did not have total control over their labor force. Under such circumstances, it was tempting for them to adopt whatever system would permit the least contact between employer and laborer, even if it resulted in more of the very independence that so troubled them. A correspondent from the blackbelt county of Hale reported to the Mobile Daily Register in 1869 that "every thing appears perfectly farming, over to the negroes, and only require an amount of toll for the care of their land, refusing to superintend, direct, or even, in some cases, to suggest as to their management."

Although most black sharecroppers continued to provide only their labor and receive food and clothing in addition to their usual quarter of the crop, the late 1860s saw the introduction of a new cropping arrangement that would, in a matter of years, be widely adopted. Early in 1868, a Freedmen's Bureau official noted that there, "does not seem to be as much unfor- mity in the tenor of contracts as last year." He wrote that although "some give the freedmen one-fourth of the crop and provide rations as was cus- tomary last year . . . others give one third of [the] crop, and require the labor- ers to furnish their own rations; and some give one half, the laborers bearing an equal share of the expense." The result was to remove the crop- per still further from the wage laborer, and accentuate his role as a partner.

quarters and allow labourers to have individual huts, scattered across the plantations. The process was far from complete by the end of the 1860s, but the trend was unmistakable. As early as the spring of 1867, an article in the Montgomery Daily Advertiser described certain changes that had occurred in the appearance of one plantation community. "You do not see as large gangs together as of old times, but more frequently squads of five or ten in a place, working industriously without a driver," wrote the correspondent. "Several large land owners have broken up their old quarters, and have re-built the houses at selected points, scattered over the plantation. . . ."

importance of maintaining a good character." He noted thatights frequently broke out among Negroes forced to live among others againts their will.

lamented succinctly of the freedmen, “[T]hey wish to be free from restraint.” That wish was a potent one in the years immediately following the Civil War.

DOCUMENTS

A Letter “To My Old Master,” c. 1865

TO MY OLD MASTER, COLONEL P.H. ANDERSON,
BIG SPRING, TENNESSEE

Sir: I got your letter, and was glad to find that you had not forgotten Jourdon, and that you wanted me to come back and live with you again, promising to do better for me than anybody else can. I have often felt uneasy about you. I thought the Yankees would have hung you long before this, for harboring Rebels they found at your house. I suppose they never heard about your going to Colonel Martin’s to kill the Union soldier that was left by his company in their stable. Although you shot at me twice before I left you, I did not want to hear of your being hurt, and am glad you are still living. It would do me good to go back to the dear old home again, and see Miss Mary and Miss Martha and Allen, Esther, Green, and Lee. Give my love to them all, and tell them I hope we will meet in the better world, if not in this. I would have gone back to see you all when I was working in the Nashville Hospital, but one of the neighbors told me that Henry intended to shoot me if he ever got a chance.

I want to know particularly what the good chance is you propose to give me. I am doing tolerably well here. I get twenty-five dollars a month, with victuals and clothing; have a comfortable home for Mandy—the folks call her Mrs. Anderson—and the children—Milly, Jane, and Grundy—go to school and are learning well. The teacher says Grundy has a head for a preacher. They go to Sunday school, and Mandy and me attend church regularly. We are kindly treated. Sometimes we overhear others saying, “Them colored people were slaves” down in Tennessee. The children feel hurt when they hear such remarks; but I tell them it was no disgrace in Tennessee to belong to Colonel Anderson. Many darkeys would have been proud, as I used to be, to call you master. Now if you will write and say what wages you will give me, I will be better able to decide whether it would be to my advantage to move back again.

SOURCE: L. Maria Child, *The Freedmen's Book* (1865).

SOURCE: U.S. Congress, *Senate Executive Document No. 2 (Washington, D.C., 1865)*, 93-94.

Whereas slaves have become emancipated by the action of the ruling power-regulations for the police of slaves within the limits of the parish; and whereas it was formerly made the duty of the police jury to make suitable

St. Landry's Parish, 1865
The Black Code of

JOURDON ANDERSON
 FROM YOUR OLD SERVANT,

you when you were shooting at me.
 Say howdy to George Carter, and thank him for taking the pistol from your neighborhood. The great desire of my life now is to give my children an education, and have them form virtuous habits. Please state if there has been any schools opened for the colored children in your neighborhood—
 I would rather stay here and starve—and die, if it come to that—than have my girls brought to know how it was with poor Matilda and Catherine. I would rather stay here Millie and Jane, who are now grown up, and both good-looking girls. You in answering this letter, please state if there would be any safety for my day of reckoning for those who defraud the laborer of his hire.

for the Negroes any more than for the horses and cows. Surely there will be wages every Saturday night; but in Tennessee there was never any pay-day making us toil for you for generations without recompense. Here I draw my the wrongs which you and your fathers have done to me and my fathers, in your promises in the future. We trust the good Maker has opened your eyes to If you fail to pay us for faithful labors in the past, we can have little faith in sending the money by Adam's Express, in care of V. Winters, Esq., Dayton, Ohio. Mandy, and the balance will show what we are in justice entitled to. Please for our clothing, and three doctor's visits to me, and pulling a tooth for terest for the time our wages have been kept back, and deduct what you paid amount to eleven thousand six hundred and eighty dollars. Add to this the dollars a month for me, and two dollars a week for Mandy, our earnings would faithfully for thirty-two years, and Mandy twenty years. At twenty-five old scores, and rely on your justice and friendship in the future. I served you kindly; and we have concluded to test your sincerity by asking you to send us our wages for the time we served you. This will make us forget and forgive General of the Department of Nashville. Mandy says she would be afraid to go back without some proof that you were disposed to treat us justly and on that score, as I got my free papers in 1864 from the Provost-Marshal.

ers; and whereas it is necessary for public order, as well as for the comfort and correct deportment of said freedmen, that suitable regulations should be established by their government in their changed condition, the following ordinances are adopted, with the approval of the United States military authorities commanding in said parish, viz:

SECTION 1. *Be it ordained by the police jury of the parish of St. Landry,* That no negro shall be allowed to pass within the limits of said parish without a special permit in writing from his employer. Whoever shall violate this provision shall pay a fine of two dollars and fifty cents, or in default thereof shall be forced to work four days on the public road, or suffer from corporeal punishment as provided hereinafter.

SECTION 2. *Be it further ordained,* That every negro who shall be found absent from the residence of his employer after 10 o'clock at night, without a written permit from his employer, shall pay a fine of five dollars, or in default thereof, shall be compelled to work five days on the public road, or suffer corporeal punishment as hereinafter provided.

SECTION 3. *Be it further ordained,* That no negro shall be permitted to rent or keep a house within said parish. Any negro violating this provision shall be immediately ejected and compelled to find an employer; and any person who shall rent, or give the use of any house to any negro, in violation of this section, shall pay a fine of five dollars for each offence.

SECTION 4. *Be it further ordained,* That every negro is required to be in the regular service of some white person, or former owner, who shall be held responsible for the conduct of said negro. But said employer or former owner may permit said negro to hire his own time by special permission in writing, which permission shall not extend over seven days at any one time. Any negro violating the provisions of this section shall be fined five dollars for each offence, or in default of the payment thereof shall be forced to work five days on the public road, or suffer corporeal punishment as hereinafter provided.

SECTION 5. *Be it further ordained,* That no public meetings or congregations of negroes shall be allowed within said parish after sunset; but such public meetings and congregations may be held between the hours of sunrise and sunset, by the special permission of writing of the captain of patrol, within whose beat such meetings shall take place. This prohibition, however, is not intended to prevent negroes from attending the usual church services, conducted by white ministers and priests. Every negro violating the provisions of this section shall pay a fine of five dollars, or in default thereof shall be compelled to work five days on the public road, or suffer corporeal punishment as hereinafter provided.

SECTION 6. *Be it further ordained,* That no negro shall be permitted to preach, exhort, or otherwise declaim to congregations of colored people, without a special permission in writing from the president of the police

five days after their publication in the *Ogallalaas Courier*.

SECTION 16. Be it further ordained, That these ordinances shall take effect within the limits of said Parish.

ferre within any municipal or military regulations inconsistent with them

SECTION 15. Be it further ordained, That these ordinances shall not interfere with any captain or chief of patrol who inflicts the penalty.

hours, and for such time within the aforesaid limit as shall be fixed by the practiced in the army, such confinement not to continue longer than twelve offender within a barrel placed over his or her shoulders, in the manner provided for in the foregoing sections shall consist in confining the body of the

SECTION 14. Be it further ordained, That the corporal punishment pro-

said fines shall be immediately handed over to the parish treasurer.

SECTION 13. Be it further ordained, That all sums collected from the aforesummarily enforced, and that it shall be the duty of the captains and chiefs of patrol to see that the aforesaid ordinances are promptly executed.

SECTION 12. Be it further ordained, That the aforesaid penalties shall be captain or chief of patrol.

sion of offenders, who shall be immediately handed over to the proper zen to act as a police officer for the detection of offenses and the apprehension to negroes of both sexes.

SECTION 11. Be it further ordained, That it shall be the duty of every citizen to pay a fine of five dollars, or in default thereof shall work five days on the public road, or suffer corporal punishment as hereinafter provided.

SECTION 10. Be it further ordained, That all the foregoing provisions shall provide any articles of merchandise or traffic within said parish without the change of any articles of merchandise or traffic within said parish without the special written permission of his employer, specifying the articles of sale, barter or traffic. Any one thus offending shall pay a fine of one dollar for each offense, and suffer the forfeiture of said articles, or in default of the payment of said fine shall pay a fine of five dollars, or in default thereof shall work five days on the public road, or suffer corporal punishment as hereinafter provided.

SECTION 9. Be it further ordained, That any negro found drunk within the said parish shall pay a fine of five dollars, or in default thereof shall work five days on the public road, or suffer corporal punishment as hereinafter provided.

SECTION 8. Be it further ordained, That no negro shall sell, barter, or exchange any articles of merchandise or traffic within said parish without the special written permission of his employer, specifying the articles of sale, barter or traffic. Any one thus offending shall pay a fine of five dollars, or in default of the payment of said fine shall pay a fine of five dollars, or in default thereof shall work five days on the public road, or suffer corporal punishment as hereinafter provided.

SECTION 7. Be it further ordained, That no negro who is not in the military service shall be allowed to carry fire-arms, or any kind of weapons, within the parish, without the special written permission of his employer, approved and endorsed by the nearest or most convenient chief of patrol. Any one violating the provisions of this section shall forfeit his weapons and pay a fine of five dollars, or in default of the payment of said fine, shall be forced to work ten days on the public road, or suffer corporal punishment as hereinafter provided.

SECTION 6. Any negro violating the provisions of this section shall pay a fine of ten dollars, or in default thereof shall be forced to work ten days on the public road, or suffer corporal punishment as hereinafter provided.

*Dedicated Teachers,
Determined Students, 1869*

RALEIGH, N.C., FEB 22, 1869

It is surprising to me to see the amount of suffering which many of the people endure for the sake of sending their children to school. Men get very low wages here—from \$2.50 to \$8 per month usually, while a first-rate hand may get \$10, and a peck or two of meal per week for rations—and a great many men cannot get work at all. The women take in sewing and washing, go out by day to scour, etc. There is one woman who supports three children and keeps them at school; she says, "I don't care how hard I has to work, if I can only sen[d] Sallie and the boys to school looking respectable." Many of the girls have but one decent dress; it gets washed and ironed on Saturday, and then is worn until the next Saturday, provided they do not tear it or fall in the mud; when such an accident happens there is an absent mark on the register. . . . One may go into their cabins on cold, windy days, and see daylight between every two boards, or feel the rain dropping through the roof; but a word of complaint is rarely heard. They are anxious to have the children "get on" in their books, and do not seem to feel impatient if they lack comforts themselves. A pile of books is seen in almost every cabin, though there be no furniture except a poor bed, a table and two or three broken chairs.

MISS M. A. PARKER

CHARLOTTESVILLE, VA., OCT. 17, 1866

Mrs. Gibbins (a colored native teacher) is very much liked by the colored people here. Her nature is so noble, that she is not so liable to stimulate petty jealousy among her people as many might under similar circumstances. . . . I think she is doing well in her new sphere of duty, especially in the matter of government. She has a kind of magnetism about her which is a good qualification for a teacher. She is really a fine reader of easy readings, and I should choose her to prepare scholars for me in that line, from among nine-tenths of those engaged in this work, so far as I have known her. She intends to pursue her studies in the evening with my help.

ANNA GARDNER

SOURCE: Edward L. Pierce, "The Freedmen at Port Royal," *Atlantic Monthly* 12 (September 1869): 306–307.