

**GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES AND RESEARCH**

**9239/03**

Paper 3 Team Project: Presentation and Reflective Paper

**May/June 2016**

**MARK SCHEME**

Maximum Mark: 35

**Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2016 series for most Cambridge IGCSE®, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.

This document consists of **5** printed pages.

<b>Page 2</b>	<b>Mark Scheme</b>	<b>Syllabus</b>	<b>Paper</b>
	<b>Cambridge International AS/A Level – May/June 2016</b>	<b>9239</b>	<b>03</b>

Team Project: Presentation and Reflective Paper

AO1: Research, analysis and evaluation – 20 AO2: Reflection – 5 AO3: Communication and collaboration – 10

Information:

- Each candidate submits two pieces of work: Presentation and Reflective Paper. Each is marked using the appropriate marking grid. The marks for the two must be added together to give a total mark out of 35.
- The running time for the Presentation must not exceed 8 minutes. Examiners will not credit material after the 8-minute limit. The presentation is marked out of 25 and assesses the candidate's ability to research, analyse and evaluate (AO1) and communicate their findings (AO3).
- The Reflective Paper must not exceed 800 words. Examiners will not credit material after the 800-word limit. The Reflective Paper is marked out of 10 and assesses the candidate's ability to reflect on their collaborative experience (AO2 and AO3).
- The marking criteria are presented within five different levels.
- Examiners will use the full mark range and look for the 'best fit', not a 'perfect fit', taking a holistic approach.

Page 3	Mark Scheme Cambridge International AS/A Level – May/June 2016	Syllabus 9239	Paper 03
--------	---	------------------	-------------

Presentation: Marking criteria

Level	Marks	Indicative descriptors
5	21–25	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The presentation <b>clearly defines</b> an issue which arises from <b>detailed and varied</b> research.</li> <li>The candidate's perspective is <b>sharply differentiated</b> from alternative team or research perspectives.</li> <li>The presentation is <b>logically</b> structured and <b>coherently</b> argued with <b>clear</b> lines of reasoning and <b>well-supported</b> judgements.</li> <li>The candidate's conclusion is <b>based logically</b> on the evidence and reasoning presented and proposes an <b>effective and innovative</b> solution to the issue.</li> <li><b>Appropriate</b> presentational methods are used <b>creatively and fully effectively</b> to communicate the candidate's arguments and ideas to the audience.</li> </ul>
4	16–20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The presentation <b>defines</b> an issue which arises from <b>detailed</b> research.</li> <li>The candidate's perspective is <b>differentiated</b> from alternative team or research perspectives.</li> <li>The presentation is <b>well-structured</b> and <b>well-argued</b> with <b>some</b> lines of reasoning and <b>some well-supported</b> judgements.</li> <li>The candidate's conclusion is <b>based</b> on the evidence and reasoning presented and proposes an <b>effective</b> solution to the issue.</li> <li><b>Appropriate</b> presentational methods are used <b>effectively and with some creativity</b> to communicate the candidate's arguments and ideas to the audience.</li> </ul>
3	11–15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The presentation <b>goes some way towards defining</b> an issue which arises from <b>some</b> research.</li> <li>The candidate's perspective shows <b>some differentiation</b> from alternative team or research perspectives.</li> <li>The presentation has <b>some</b> structure and contains <b>some well-argued</b> points, <b>some</b> lines of reasoning and <b>some</b> supported judgements.</li> <li>The candidate's conclusion is <b>mostly</b> based on the evidence and reasoning presented and <b>proposes</b> a solution to the issue.</li> <li>Presentational methods are used with <b>some effectiveness</b> to communicate the candidate's arguments and ideas to the audience.</li> </ul>
2	6–10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The presentation <b>attempts to define</b> an issue and <b>some</b> research has been done.</li> <li>The candidate's perspective <b>lacks clear differentiation</b> from alternative team or research perspectives.</li> <li>The presentation has <b>some</b> structure and contains <b>some</b> argued points, <b>some</b> lines of reasoning and <b>some</b> supported judgements.</li> <li>The candidate's conclusion is <b>partly</b> based on the evidence or reasoning presented and <b>begins to develop</b> a solution to the issue.</li> <li>Presentational methods are used, but <b>may lack effectiveness</b> in communicating the candidate's arguments and ideas to the audience.</li> </ul>

<b>Page 4</b>	<b>Mark Scheme</b>	<b>Syllabus</b>	<b>Paper</b>
	<b>Cambridge International AS/A Level – May/June 2016</b>	<b>9239</b>	<b>03</b>

Level	Marks	Indicative descriptors
1	1–5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The presentation <b>does not clearly define</b> an issue and <b>lacks</b> research.</li> <li>The candidate's perspective is limited and lacks differentiation from alternative team or research perspectives.</li> <li>The presentation <b>lacks</b> structure and makes arguments which are <b>limited</b>, with <b>limited</b> lines of reasoning and judgements which <b>lack</b> support.</li> <li>The candidate's conclusion is <b>limited</b> and <b>lacks</b> evidence or reasoning. It provides a <b>limited</b> solution to the issue.</li> <li>There is <b>limited</b> use of presentational methods, and they <b>lack effectiveness</b> in communicating the candidate's arguments and ideas to the audience.</li> </ul>
0	0	No creditworthy material has been submitted.

Page 5	Mark Scheme Cambridge International AS/A Level – May/June 2016	Syllabus 9239	Paper 03
--------	---	------------------	-------------

Reflective Paper: Marking criteria

Level	Marks	Indicative descriptors
5	9–10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The candidate engages in a <b>probing and critical</b> evaluation of their own practice in working with others to identify a local problem and explore possible solutions.</li> <li>The candidate reflects <b>fully</b> on how their personal standpoint and scope for future research have been affected by alternative team and research perspectives.</li> </ul>
4	7–8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The candidate engages in <b>some effective</b> evaluation of their own practice in working with others to identify a local problem and explore possible solutions.</li> <li>The candidate undertakes <b>some clear</b> reflection on how their personal standpoint and scope for future research have been affected by alternative team and research perspectives.</li> </ul>
3	5–6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The candidate evaluates <b>to some extent</b> their own practice in working with others to identify a local problem and explore possible solutions.</li> <li>The candidate undertakes <b>some</b> reflection on how their personal standpoint and scope for further research have been affected by alternative team or research perspectives.</li> </ul>
2	3–4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The candidate <b>attempts</b> to evaluate their own practice in identifying a local problem and exploring possible solutions, but <b>may lack</b> consideration of their work with others.</li> <li>The candidate <b>attempts</b> to reflect on their personal viewpoint or scope for further research, but <b>may lack</b> a consideration of alternative team or research perspectives.</li> </ul>
1	1–2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The candidate shows <b>limited</b> evaluation of their own practice and <b>lacks</b> consideration of their work with others.</li> <li>The candidate shows <b>limited</b> reflection on their personal viewpoint and scope for further research and <b>lacks</b> any consideration of alternative team or research perspectives.</li> </ul>
0	0	No creditworthy material has been submitted.