

2 A Sources B and C disagree about the reaction of the people of Lawrence to the attack by the Border Ruffians.

Source B was written by Sara T.L. Robinson, a wife of the free State Governor of Kansas. She seemed to think that the attacks were stopped by some brave young men. Source B seemed to give the side of the people of Lawrence's point of view. She talked about how it was "prudent for women and children to leave the town."

Source C, ~~described~~ on the other hand, seems to be from an outside looking in on the situation. Source C was more harsh towards the men of Lawrence. They didn't like the fact that the men had left their wives and children. Source C seemed to have a more harsh reaction towards the Border Ruffians calling them "Half Hearted border ruffians", while Source B did call them names at all. Source C seemed to have wanted the men to stay and fight, "could have kept at bay an army of thousands". Source C also called the men cowards. Not only do they disagree about the men leaving the town, they also disagree about how the Border Ruffians took over the city. Source C says they took "possession of the city without

2 A

firing a gun. Source B on the other hand says that the "Hotel was fired." "the free states office was first destroyed."

Overall, Source B seemed to have justified the men & leaving their wife and children while Source C disagrees with them doing such. Source C had a harsher reaction than Source B did.

2 B

Sources D and A agree to the assertion that a civil war had commenced in Kansas while Sources B and C seem to be on the neutral side. and Source C disagreed.

Source D agreed with this assertion by stating "a Civil War had commenced". Source A seemed to have agreed with the statement by saying this became apparent when David Atchison says things like "draw your revolvers" "Tear down their boasted Free State Hotel". This seems to indicate that they will not stop until the city is destroyed thus leading to a civil war.

Source B seems to be on the neutral side because it never really hints at a Civil War starting but it never really says that the Civil War isn't a possibility. It does say that some brave men were fighting back but which could lead to a civil war but it never really went

Question Part

farther than that, ~~since~~ since there are only a few men left ~~in~~ in the City it would not be ~~more~~ much of a war.

Source B C however, seemed to doubt any Civil War happening. Source C called the men cowards, leaving their families "entirely unprotected." It even says ~~at~~ less than five hundred men took possession of the city without ~~fights~~ firing a ~~single~~ gun indicating that there was no fighting, no harm, no one to fight against, and no Civil War ahead.

In Summary: Sources A and D agree that a Civil War had commenced while Sources ~~A~~ B and C seemed to have disagreed with B leaning more in the middle than Source C.