

How to do validation for AS Global Perspectives

Samples to see elements and ideas

Notice that the evidence is cited properly and is relevant to the understanding of the credibility of the sources to the paper.

Although it's evident that not all the authors have a diverse background as the lead author (Tofalo *et al*, 2019), and their preference only write about the side they believe, because all the relevant authors are from the place where the study took place, being educated at reputable schools, and having all written several respectable journals, the source is good. (Gardini *et al*, 2019) Interpreting further, the authors exemplify attributes that allow one to understand the study, their qualifications, and further their proficiency. Further evaluation confirms this: without these factors, the evidence in the source would be invalid and not conducive for quality research. Final judgment about the source: because these authors are qualified and dedicated to the journal, the source is good despite the few shortcomings.

Even though none of these authors work or have experience in politics in discussing the government's role in food access, and two of the authors are from Canada (not the country of discussion), they have quality characteristics that contribute to the discussion of food access (Niebylski *et al*, 2015). The other authors of this article are from the United States and work in the Office of Chief Executives in the World Hypertension League, an organization that promotes salt reduction to keep people safe and healthy, and one of the authors of this source is also a licensed doctor and understands the effects lack of food access cause (Niebylski *et al*, 2015). Therefore, the author's experience in the food health industries have proven this source credible to discuss the subject of food insecurity.

The two authors of this source are both independent consultants are not associated with a university and their research was provided by physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine, meaning the authors might have the urge to lean towards a certain point of view (Collins *et al*, 2017). However, these authors are supported by the Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine, a non-profit organization which promotes healthy eating habits, which would not support these authors if they had the incorrect information (Physicians Committee for Responsible Medicine, 2019). These authors also both work for Abt. Associates which is a research foundation with one of their focus areas being food insecurity (Abt. Associates, 2019), and in the end of the source, the authors put forth all information that could be potential conflicts (Collins *et al*, 2017). To evaluate, while there might be issues of outside pressures, they have proven to be a viable source through their connections with a trusted association.

Although Hemananthani Sivanandam doesn't specialize in the prices of food and doesn't have knowledge on how other basic goods make the prices of food more affordable, she is the Senior Writer at Star Media Group Berhad, has degrees in communication, and her specialties are in politics in Malaysia (Sivanandam, 2019). Since Sivanandam a senior writer at a news outlet in Malaysia with her interests in politics this reinforces the content validity. The evidence supports the argument in a way that clears the path for understanding the perspective.

Looking at the evidence one must understand that although the journal is short and lacks different perspectives (Dogra *et al*,2019), because the authors originate from the study's locale, the lead author authoring several related journals (Dogra,2019) and all authors being associated with reputable organizations. (Dogra *et al*, 2019), it's evident that these authors are qualified have conducted this study. Evaluating further it's clear that these authors contain many qualities that allow them to provide the information for this study. Coming to a judgment concerning the authors it's with great clarity for me to see that these authors can conduct this study due to their highly noteworthy qualifications.

Stephen Devereux is a Research Fellow at the Centre for Social Protection, Institute of Development Studies, University of Sussex and is from the United Kingdom (Devereux, 2019), however he is a development economist with 25 years of experience in food security in 13 African countries, he was awarded a SA-UK Research Chair in Social Protection for Food Security by the National Research Foundation, and has worked for numerous international agencies, provided policy advice to many governments and led evaluations of 16 projects and programs in Africa (Devereux, 2019). This study is full of information that contributes to the argument. The perspective is represented in a way that speaks for people of Sub-Saharan Africa. The author's voice indicates a valid point of view on the argument that government should control the price of food.