

## Significance of R:

In the context of LQR (Linear Quadratic Regulator) controller design, the  $(R)$  matrix plays a crucial role in determining the cost associated with the control input  $(u(t))$ . The LQR cost function typically has the form:

$$J = \int_0^{\infty} (y(t) - y_{\text{des}})^T Q (y(t) - y_{\text{des}}) + u(t)^T R u(t) dt$$

where:

- $(y(t))$  is the state vector (e.g., position and velocity of the pendulum).
- $(y_{\text{des}})$  is the desired state vector (e.g., the target position and velocity).
- $(u(t))$  is the control input vector.
- $(Q)$  is a positive semi-definite matrix that penalizes deviations in the state vector from the desired state.
- $(R)$  is a positive definite matrix that penalizes the magnitude of the control input.

## ### Effect of Changing the $(R)$ Matrix

The  $(R)$  matrix determines how much importance is placed on minimizing the control effort  $(u(t))$ . It is a weighting

matrix that influences the cost of using control inputs to correct the system's state. Here's how changing the  $\mathbf{R}$  matrix affects the controller and system behavior:

1. **Increasing the Elements of  $\mathbf{R}$ :**

- **Higher Weight on Control Effort:** Increasing the diagonal elements of  $\mathbf{R}$  increases the cost associated with using large control inputs. The LQR controller will be more conservative in its use of control inputs, aiming to minimize control effort.
- **Less Aggressive Control:** With a higher  $\mathbf{R}$ , the controller becomes less aggressive, applying smaller control actions to avoid large penalties associated with control effort. This may result in slower convergence to the desired state or reduced control precision.

2. **Decreasing the Elements of  $\mathbf{R}$ :**

- **Lower Weight on Control Effort:** Decreasing the diagonal elements of  $\mathbf{R}$  reduces the penalty for using control inputs. The controller can afford to use larger control inputs to quickly correct deviations from the desired state.
- **More Aggressive Control:** A lower  $\mathbf{R}$  leads to more aggressive control actions, as the cost of control effort is less emphasized. This can result in faster response times and more precise control but may also lead to higher energy consumption or actuator wear.

### 3. **Balancing Control and State Costs**:

- **Trade-Off Considerations**: The choice of  $(R)$  reflects a trade-off between minimizing the state error and minimizing control effort. A well-chosen  $(R)$  balances the need for efficient control with the desire to minimize deviations from the desired state.
- **Impact on Stability and Performance**: While a lower  $(R)$  can enhance control precision, it may also increase the risk of instability or excessive control activity, especially in the presence of noise or model inaccuracies. Conversely, a higher  $(R)$  can lead to more stable but potentially less responsive control.

### 4. **Design Considerations**:

- **Physical Constraints and Costs**: In practical systems, the selection of  $(R)$  often reflects physical constraints (e.g., maximum actuator force) or operational costs (e.g., energy consumption). The LQR design allows these considerations to be incorporated into the control law.

In summary, the  $(R)$  matrix in the LQR design allows you to shape the control system's behavior by specifying the cost associated with control effort. Adjusting  $(R)$  changes the trade-off between minimizing state error and minimizing

control effort, impacting the system's aggressiveness, efficiency, stability, and overall performance.

### Significance of Q

In the LQR (Linear Quadratic Regulator) controller design, the matrix  $Q$  is a key component that defines the cost function to be minimized. The cost function generally has the form:

$$J = \int_0^{\infty} (y(t) - y_{\text{des}})^T Q (y(t) - y_{\text{des}}) + u(t)^T R u(t) dt$$

where:

- $y(t)$  is the state vector (e.g., position and velocity of the pendulum).
- $y_{\text{des}}$  is the desired state vector (e.g., the target position and velocity).
- $u(t)$  is the control input vector.
- $Q$  is a positive semi-definite matrix that penalizes deviations in the state vector from the desired state.
- $R$  is a positive definite matrix that penalizes the magnitude of the control input.

### ### Effect of Changing the $(Q)$ Matrix

The  $(Q)$  matrix determines how much importance is placed on minimizing the state errors. It is a weighting matrix that can emphasize certain components of the state vector over others. Here's how changing the  $(Q)$  matrix affects the controller and system behavior:

#### 1. **Increasing the Elements of $(Q)$ :**

- **Higher Weight on State Errors:** Increasing the diagonal elements of  $(Q)$  associated with certain state variables (like angle or velocity) increases the cost associated with deviations in those states. The LQR controller will act more aggressively to minimize errors in those specific state variables.

- **More Aggressive Control:** A higher  $(Q)$  value often leads to a more aggressive control action, as the controller prioritizes minimizing the state error more strongly.

#### 2. **Decreasing the Elements of $(Q)$ :**

- **Lower Weight on State Errors:** Decreasing the diagonal elements of  $(Q)$  reduces the importance of minimizing deviations in the corresponding state variables. The controller will be less aggressive in correcting errors in these states.

- **Less Aggressive Control**: Lower values in  $(Q)$  lead to less aggressive control actions, potentially allowing for smoother but slower convergence to the desired state.

### 3. **Different Weights for Different States**:

- **Balancing Priorities**: By adjusting individual elements of  $(Q)$ , you can prioritize certain aspects of the system's behavior. For example, you might set a higher weight on the angular position error than on the angular velocity error if reaching a specific position is more critical than minimizing velocity.

### 4. **Effect on System Stability and Performance**:

- **Stability Considerations**: While  $(Q)$  can be used to fine-tune the system's response, it is important to ensure that the chosen weights do not lead to instability or undesired oscillatory behavior. Typically, a balance between  $(Q)$  and  $(R)$  is needed to ensure both effective control and efficient use of control effort.

In summary, the  $(Q)$  matrix in the LQR design allows you to shape the desired behavior of the control system by specifying how much to penalize deviations in the state variables. Adjusting  $(Q)$  changes the trade-off between state error minimization and control effort, impacting the system's responsiveness, stability, and overall performance.