

Cauchy-Schwarz: $|\mathbf{u}^\top \mathbf{v}| \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \|\mathbf{v}\|$.

Spectral norm: $\|A\| := \max_{\|\mathbf{v}\|=1} \|A\mathbf{v}\|$.

Mean-value theorem: If $a < b$ and $h : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous and differentiable in (a, b) , then there exists $c \in (a, b)$ such that

$$h'(c) = \frac{h(b) - h(a)}{b - a}.$$

Fundamental theorem of calculus: If $a < b$ and h differentiable on an open domain (a, b) and h' continuous on $[a, b]$, then

$$h(b) - h(a) = \int_a^b h'(t) dt.$$

Differentiable: $f : \text{dom}(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, where $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is differentiable at \mathbf{x} if there exists $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ and an error function $r : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ defined in some neighborhood of $\mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that for all \mathbf{y} in the neighborhood of \mathbf{x} ,

$$f(\mathbf{y}) = f(\mathbf{x}) + A(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) + r(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}),$$

where

$$\lim_{\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}} \frac{\|r(\mathbf{v})\|}{\|\mathbf{v}\|} = \mathbf{0}.$$

A is then the Jacobian of f at \mathbf{x} .

$$\frac{1}{y} - \frac{1}{x} = \frac{x - y}{x \cdot y}.$$

B-Lipschitz: f is B -Lipschitz if

$$\|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})\| \leq B\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|,$$

which is equivalent to bounded gradients on open domains (in closed domains, only \Leftarrow holds)

$$\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\| \leq B.$$

Hölder's inequality: TODO

Cosine theorem: $2\mathbf{v}^\top \mathbf{w} = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{w}\|^2 - \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{w}\|^2$.

Parallelogram law: $2\|\mathbf{x}\|^2 + 2\|\mathbf{y}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2$.

Titu's lemma: $\frac{(\sum_{i=1}^d u_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^d v_i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{u_i^2}{v_i}, \forall \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^d$.

2 Convexity

Domain must be convex. Strict convexity if inequalities become strict inequalities. Equivalent definitions $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \text{dom}(f)$:

- $f(\lambda \mathbf{x} + (1 - \lambda)\mathbf{y}) \leq \lambda f(\mathbf{x}) + (1 - \lambda)f(\mathbf{y})$.
- First-order exists: $f(\mathbf{y}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})$.
- First-order exists: $(\nabla f(\mathbf{y}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}))^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) \geq 0$.
- Second-order exists: $\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x}) \succeq 0$.

Intuition: f is above its tangential hyperplane at $(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}))$.

Jensen's inequality: If f convex, and $\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$, then

$$f\left(\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \mathbf{x}_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f(\mathbf{x}_i).$$

The other direction holds for concave functions ($-f$ is convex).

Preserving convexity: Max, sum, and multiplication with positive scalars preserve convexity. $f \circ g$ is convex on $\text{dom}(f \circ g) := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m \mid g(\mathbf{x}) \in \text{dom}(f)\}$ if g is affine.

Local minimum: A point \mathbf{x} , such that there exists $\epsilon > 0$ with

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \leq f(\mathbf{y}), \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in \text{dom}(f) \text{ satisfying } \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| < \epsilon.$$

Global minimum: A point \mathbf{x} such that

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \leq f(\mathbf{y}), \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in \text{dom}(f).$$

If f is convex and differentiable over an open domain, then $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ if and only if \mathbf{x} is a global minimum.

Sublevel set: Let f be continuous (not convex). If there exists a nonempty and bounded sublevel set $f^{\leq \alpha}$, then f has a global minimum.

TODO: Convex programs.

3 Gradient descent

f must be differentiable, then we use the update rule:

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} := \mathbf{x}_t - \gamma_t \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t).$$

Vanilla analysis: Assuming only convexity, we get a bound on the summed error

$$\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^*) \leq \frac{\gamma_t}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\gamma_t} \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2.$$

Proof by using first-order convexity on \mathbf{x}_t and \mathbf{x}^* , and rewrite the gradient descent update rule.

Lipschitz functions ($\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon^2)$): Setting $\gamma := R/B\sqrt{T}$, we get

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} (f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^*) \leq \frac{RB}{\sqrt{T}}.$$

Using bound $\|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\| \leq R$.

3 Smooth functions

L -smooth with equivalent definitions $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X$:

- $f(\mathbf{y}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2$.
- Lemma 3.3: $\frac{L}{2} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x} - f(\mathbf{x})$ is convex.
- Lemma 3.5: $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{y})\| \leq L\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$.
- Lemma 6.1: $\|\nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})\| \leq L$ (\Leftarrow only if X is open).
- TODO: Add more definitions/implications.

Intuition: f is below a not-too-steep tangential paraboloid at $(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}))$.

Affine functions (Lemma 3.4): $f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^\top Q\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}^\top \mathbf{x} + c$ is smooth with parameter $2\|Q\|$ if Q is symmetric.

Sufficient decrease (Lemma 3.7): Choosing $\gamma := 1/L$, gradient descent satisfies

$$f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2, \quad t \geq 0.$$

(Already holds if f is L -smooth over line segment connecting \mathbf{x}_t and \mathbf{x}_{t+1} .) Proof by first definition of smoothness, cosine theorem, and gradient descent update rule.

Convergence ($\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon)$) (Theorem 3.8): Choosing $\gamma := 1/L$, gradient descent yields

$$f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f^* \leq \frac{L}{2T} \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2, \quad T > 0.$$

Proof by starting from vanilla analysis and bounding gradient sum with sufficient decrease.

Accelerated gradient descent achieves $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{\epsilon})$ by using an intermediate variable.

3 Strongly convex functions

μ -strongly convex with equivalent definitions $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X$:

- $f(\mathbf{y}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2$.

- Lemma 3.11: $f(\mathbf{x}) - \frac{\mu}{2} \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{x}$ is convex.

- TODO: Add more definitions/implications.

Intuition: f is above a not-too-flat tangential paraboloid at $(\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x}))$.

Strict convexity (Lemma 3.12): If f is μ -strongly convex, then f is strictly convex.

Geometrically decreasing distances (Theorem 3.14): Choosing $\gamma := 1/L$, gradient descent satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L}\right) \|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proof by rewriting vanilla analysis with first definition of strong convexity and sufficient decrease.

Convergence $\mathcal{O}(\log 1/\epsilon)$ (Theorem 3.14): Choosing $\gamma := 1/L$, gradient descent yields

$$f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f^* \leq \frac{L}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L}\right)^T \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2, \quad T > 0.$$

Proof by using geometrically decreasing distances and smoothness with $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$.

4 Projected gradient descent

Optimization within closed convex subset $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}_{t+1} &:= \mathbf{x}_t - \gamma \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) \\ \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &:= \Pi_X(\mathbf{y}_{t+1}) := \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in X} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_{t+1}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

After every step, project back onto X .

Projection properties (Fact 4.1): $\mathbf{x} - \Pi_X(\mathbf{y})$ and $\mathbf{y} - \Pi_X(\mathbf{y})$ form an obtuse angle,

- $(\mathbf{x} - \Pi_X(\mathbf{y}))^\top (\mathbf{y} - \Pi_X(\mathbf{y})) \leq 0$.
- $\|\mathbf{x} - \Pi_X(\mathbf{y})\|^2 + \|\mathbf{y} - \Pi_X(\mathbf{y})\|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2$.

Lipschitz functions ($\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon^2)$) (Theorem 4.2): Same bound as gradient descent. Proof by replacing \mathbf{x}_{t+1} by \mathbf{y}_{t+1} in the vanilla analysis and using the second projection property with $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^*$ and $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{y}_{t+1}$.

Sufficient decrease (Lemma 4.3): If f is L -smooth, choosing step-size $\gamma := 1/L$, we get

$$f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2 + \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{y}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}_{t+1}\|^2.$$

Proof by the same as gradient descent, but then with projection step.

Smooth functions ($\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon)$) (Theorem 4.4): Same result as in gradient descent. Proof by compensating for the extra term in sufficient decrease by the vanilla analysis.

Strongly convex ($\mathcal{O}(\log 1/\epsilon)$) (Theorem 4.5): Decreasing distances still holds, but extra term in convergence bound when choosing $\gamma := 1/L$,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f^* &\leq \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)\| \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L}\right)^{T/2} \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\| \\ &\quad + \frac{L}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L}\right)^T \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This is due to the fact that we cannot use $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathbf{0}$ in the constrained case.

5 Coordinate descent

Update only one coordinate of \mathbf{x}_t at a time, meaning that we only need to compute the gradient of one coordinate of $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)$.

PL inequality: f has a global minimum \mathbf{x}^* . Definition $\forall \mathbf{x} \in X$:

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\|^2 \geq \mu(f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^*)).$$

Strong convexity \Rightarrow PL inequality (Lemma 5.2).

Coordinate-wise smoothness: f is coordinate-wise smooth with $\mathcal{L} = [L_1, \dots, L_d] \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$ if $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X, i \in [d]$:

$$f(\mathbf{x} + \lambda \mathbf{e}_i) \leq f(\mathbf{x}) + \lambda \nabla_i f(\mathbf{x}) + \frac{L_i}{2} \lambda^2.$$

This gives a more fine-grained picture of f than smoothness. It might be the case that all L_i are significantly smaller than the best possible L -smoothness.

Update rule:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{choose an active coordinate } i \in [d] \\ \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &:= \mathbf{x}_t - \gamma_i \nabla_i f(\mathbf{x}_t) \mathbf{e}_i. \end{aligned}$$

Coordinate-wise sufficient decrease (Lemma 5.5): With stepsize $\gamma_i = 1/L_i$, coordinate descent satisfies

$$f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \frac{1}{2L_i} |\nabla_i f(\mathbf{x}_t)|^2.$$

Randomized coordinate descent convergence (Theorem 5.6): f is coordinate-wise smooth with L and satisfies PL-inequality with μ . Choosing $\gamma_i = \frac{1}{L}$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f^*] \leq \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{dL}\right)^T (f(\mathbf{x}_0) - f^*).$$

Proof by using coordinate-wise sufficient decrease and taking expectation with respect to i on both sides. Then, expectation over \mathbf{x}_t to remove condition.

Importance sampling convergence (Theorem 5.7): Sample i with probability $L_i / \sum_{j=1}^d L_j$. Let $\bar{L} = 1/d \sum_{i=1}^d L_i$. Choosing $\gamma_i = 1/L_i$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f^*] \leq \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{d\bar{L}}\right)^T (f(\mathbf{x}_0) - f^*).$$

Proof by the same method as randomized coordinate descent.

Steepest coordinate descent convergence (Corollary 5.8): Choose index with largest absolute gradient. Same conditions as randomized coordinate descent. Then, we get

$$f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f^* \leq \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{dL}\right)^T (f(\mathbf{x}_0) - f^*).$$

TODO: Strong convexity with respect to ℓ_1 -norm.

Greedy coordinate descent: Choose the index by one of the above methods, but then perform a line search over that coordinate and minimize by solving a 1-dimensional optimization problem (easy). This does not require f to be differentiable. But, this does not always return the global minimum, since there are functions with points where it can make no progress.

Theorem 5.11: Let f be of the form $f(\mathbf{x}) := g(\mathbf{x}) + h(\mathbf{x})$ with $h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_i h_i(x_i)$, h_i convex, and g convex and differentiable. If \mathbf{x} is a point that greedy coordinate descent cannot make progress in any coordinate, then \mathbf{x} is a global minimum of f .

6 Nonconvex functions

For nonconvex functions, gradient descent may get stuck in a local minimum, stuck in a saddle point (flat region), or infinitely decrease, but never reach a critical point (e.g. $1/e^x$).

Gradient convergence (Theorem 6.2): f is L -smooth. Choosing $\gamma := 1/L$, then

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2 \leq \frac{2L}{T} (f(\mathbf{x}_0) - f^*).$$

In particular, $\|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2 \leq \frac{2L}{T}(f(\mathbf{x}_0) - f^*)$ for some $t \in [T - 1]$, and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)\|^2 = 0$. This does not mean that it converges to a critical point, since it may never reach a point with 0 gradient, but only move toward it asymptotically. Proof by sufficient decrease, which does not require convexity.

$\gamma := 1/L$ **does not overshoot critical points** (Lemma 6.3).

TODO: Trajectory analysis.

7 The Frank-Wolfe algorithm

Constrained optimization algorithm without projection (which can be very complex) by making use of linear minimization oracle:

$$\text{LMO}_X(\mathbf{g}) := \underset{\mathbf{z} \in X}{\operatorname{argmin}} \mathbf{g}^\top \mathbf{z}.$$

The algorithm is then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{s}_t &:= \text{LMO}_X(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)) \\ \mathbf{x}_{t+1} &:= (1 - \gamma_t)\mathbf{x}_t + \gamma_t \mathbf{s}_t. \end{aligned}$$

Reduces non-linear constrained optimization to linear optimization over the same set. Rationale is that the gradient defines the best linear approximation of f at \mathbf{x}_t .

Properties: (1) iterates are always feasible, i.e., in X , (2) projection-free, which can be very complex, and (3) iterates have a simple sparse representation, i.e., \mathbf{x}_t is always a convex combination of \mathbf{x}_0 and the minimizers $\mathbf{s}_{1:t-1}$.

Let $X = \operatorname{conv}(\mathcal{A})$, then every $\mathbf{s} := \text{LMO}_X(\mathbf{g}) \in \operatorname{conv}(X)$ is a convex combination of atoms, $\mathbf{s} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{a}_i$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$. Furthermore, there is always an atom in \mathcal{A} that minimizes the LMO.

ℓ_1 -ball: The LMO for $X = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq 1\}$ is given by

$$\text{LMO}_X(\mathbf{g}) = -\operatorname{sign}(g_i)\mathbf{e}_i \text{ with } i := \underset{i \in [d]}{\operatorname{argmax}} |g_i|.$$

TODO: Spectahedron.

Duality gap (Lemma 7.2): We can easily compute an upper bound of the optimality gap,

$$g(\mathbf{x}) := \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^\top (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}) - f^*,$$

with $\mathbf{s} := \text{LMO}_X(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}))$. At any optimal point \mathbf{x}^* , $g(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0$. Proof by using $\nabla f(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{s} \leq \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^\top \mathbf{x}^*$, and the first-order characterization of convexity.

Descent (Lemma 7.4): For a step $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} := \mathbf{x}_t - \gamma_t(\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{x}_t)$ with stepsize $\gamma_t \in [0, 1]$, it holds that

$$f(\mathbf{x}_{t+1}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}_t) - \gamma_t g(\mathbf{x}_t) + \gamma_t^2 \frac{L}{2} \|\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{x}_t\|^2,$$

with $\mathbf{s} := \text{LMO}_X(\nabla f(\mathbf{x}))$. Proof by first definition of smoothness and duality gap.

Convergence analysis ($\mathcal{O}(1/\epsilon)$) (Theorem 7.3): f is L -smooth and convex. With $\gamma_t = 2/(t+2)$, Frank-Wolfe yields

$$f(\mathbf{x}_T) - f^* \leq \frac{2L\operatorname{diam}(X)^2}{T+1}.$$

Proof by duality gap and descent lemma, and then induction.

Linear search stepsize: Choose $\gamma_t \in [0, 1]$ such that the progress is maximized,

$$\gamma_t := \underset{\gamma \in [0, 1]}{\operatorname{argmin}} f((1 - \gamma)\mathbf{x}_t + \gamma \mathbf{s}).$$

The descent lemma still holds for this stepsize, since this stepsize can only be better than a predetermined stepsize. And, thus the convergence also holds.

TODO: Gap-based stepsize.

TODO: Affine invariance.

TODO: Curvature constant.

Subgradient method

More general notion of the gradient for functions that are non-smooth.

Subgradient: \mathbf{g} is a subgradient of f at \mathbf{x} if

$$f(\mathbf{y}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{g}^\top (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}), \quad \forall \mathbf{y} \in \operatorname{dom}(f).$$

$\partial f(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ is called the subdifferential and $\mathbf{g} \in \partial f(\mathbf{x})$.

If f is differentiable at \mathbf{x} , then $\partial f(\mathbf{x}) \subseteq \{\nabla f(\mathbf{x})\}$.

Convexity characterization:

- If f is convex, then $\partial f(\mathbf{x}) \neq \emptyset$ for all \mathbf{x} in the relative interior of $\operatorname{dom}(f)$.
- If $\operatorname{dom}(f)$ is convex and $\partial f(\mathbf{x}) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{dom}(f)$, then f is convex.

Optimality condition: If $\mathbf{0} \in \partial f(\mathbf{x})$, then \mathbf{x} is a global minimum.

Subgradient calculus:

- Conic combination: Let $h(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha f(\mathbf{x}) + \beta g(\mathbf{x})$ with $\alpha, \beta > 0$, then

$$\partial h(\mathbf{x}) = \alpha \partial f(\mathbf{x}) + \beta \partial g(\mathbf{x}).$$

- Affine transformation: Let $h(\mathbf{x}) = f(A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$, then

$$\partial h(\mathbf{x}) = A^\top \partial f(A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b}).$$

- Pointwise maximum: Let $h(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{i \in [m]} f_i(\mathbf{x})$, then

$$\partial h(\mathbf{x}) = \operatorname{conv}(\{\partial f_i(\mathbf{x}) \mid f_i(\mathbf{x}) = h(\mathbf{x})\}).$$

Subgradient method update rule: $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \Pi_{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{x}_t - \gamma_t \mathbf{g}_t)$, $\mathbf{g}_t \in \partial f(\mathbf{x}_t)$.

“Descent” lemma: If f is convex, then for any optimal solution \mathbf{x}^* , we have

$$\|\mathbf{x}_{t+1} - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 \leq \|\mathbf{x}_t - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 - 2\gamma_t(f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^*) + \gamma_t^2 \|\mathbf{g}_t\|^2.$$

Proof: Update rule, remove projection, cosine theorem, convexity.

Convergence:

$$\min_{t \in [T]} f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^* \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 + \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma_t^2 \|\mathbf{g}_t\|^2}{2 \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma_t}.$$

- If $\gamma := R/B\sqrt{T}$, then the subgradient method satisfies

$$\min_{t \in [T]} f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^* \leq \frac{BR}{\sqrt{T}}.$$

To achieve ϵ -optimality, need $\mathcal{O}(B^2 R^2 / \epsilon^2)$ iterations.

- If μ -strongly convex and $\gamma := 2/(\mu(t+1))$, then the subgradient method satisfies

$$\min_{t \in [T]} f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^* \leq \frac{2B^2}{\mu(T+1)}.$$

To achieve ϵ -optimality, need $\mathcal{O}(B^2/\mu\epsilon)$ iterations.

The above is much worse than gradient descent and cannot be improved.

Mirror descent

Norm $\|\cdot\|$ definition:

- (Positive definiteness) $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.
- (Positive homogeneity) $\|\alpha \mathbf{x}\| = |\alpha| \|\mathbf{x}\|$.
- (Subadditivity) $\|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{y}\|$.

Dual norm $\|\cdot\|_*$ definition: Satisfies the properties of a norm and

$$\|\mathbf{y}\|_* := \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\| \leq 1} \langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle.$$

For $p \geq 1$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$, we have the following norms with their dual norms:

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d |x_i|^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \|\cdot\|_{p,*} = \|\cdot\|_q.$$

We have the following inequalities between norms:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_\infty \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_2 \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|_1 \leq \sqrt{d} \|\mathbf{x}\|_2.$$

Bregman divergence definition: Let ω be continuously differentiable and 1-strongly convex w.r.t. some norm $\|\cdot\|$. The Bregman divergence V_ω is then defined as:

$$V_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) := \omega(\mathbf{x}) - \omega(\mathbf{y}) - \nabla \omega(\mathbf{y})^\top (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}).$$

Properties:

1. (Non-negativity) $V_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \geq 0$.
2. (Convexity) $V_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is convex in \mathbf{x} .
3. (Positivity) $V_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 0$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$.
4. $V_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|^2$.
5. (Three-point identity) $V_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) = V_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) + V_\omega(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) - \langle \nabla \omega(\mathbf{z}), \nabla \omega(\mathbf{y}), \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle$.

Mirror descent: Update rule:

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{x} \in X} \{V_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_t) + \langle \gamma_t \mathbf{g}_t, \mathbf{x} \rangle\}, \quad \mathbf{g}_t \in \partial f(\mathbf{x}_t).$$

Lemma (TODO): Let f be convex, then:

$$\gamma_t (f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^*) \leq V_\omega(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_t) - V_\omega(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_{t+1}) + \frac{\gamma_t^2}{2} \|\mathbf{g}_t\|_*^2.$$

Convergence:

$$\min_{t \in [T]} f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^* \leq \frac{V_\omega(\mathbf{x}^*, \mathbf{x}_0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma_t^2 \|\mathbf{g}_t\|_*^2}{\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \gamma_t}.$$

Suppose f is B -Lipschitz continuous such that $|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})| \leq B \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|, \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in X$. Namely, $\|\mathbf{g}\|_* \leq B, \forall \mathbf{g} \in \partial f(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in X$. Furthermore, let $R^2 = \sup_{\mathbf{x}} V_\omega(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_0)$ and set

$$\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{2}R}{B\sqrt{T}}.$$

Then, we have convergence rate

$$\min_{t \in [T]} f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^* \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{BR}{\sqrt{T}}\right).$$

This is equivalent to the convergence rate of subgradient descent, but for a more general notion of norm. Thus, in special cases, it will result in faster convergence.

Smoothing

Conjugate function:

$$f^*(\mathbf{y}) = \sup_{\mathbf{x} \in \operatorname{dom}(f)} \{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y} - f(\mathbf{x})\}.$$

Properties:

1. (Duality) If f is continuous and convex, then $f^{**} = f$.
2. (Fenchel's inequality) $f(\mathbf{x}) + f^*(\mathbf{y}) \geq \mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y}$.

3. If f and g are continuous and convex, then $(f + g)^*(\mathbf{x}) = \inf_{\mathbf{y}} \{f^*(\mathbf{y}) + g^*(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})\}$.
4. If f is μ -strongly convex, then f^* is differentiable and $1/\mu$ -smooth.

Nesterov smoothing: Approximate non-smooth f by

$$f_\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \max_{\mathbf{y} \in \operatorname{dom}(f^*)} \{\mathbf{x}^\top \mathbf{y} - f^*(\mathbf{y}) - \mu \cdot d(\mathbf{y})\},$$

where d is a proximity function (1-strongly convex and non-negative). f_μ is $1/\mu$ -smooth and approximates f by

$$f(\mathbf{x}) - \mu D^2 \leq f_\mu(\mathbf{x}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}), \quad D^2 = \max_{\mathbf{y}} d(\mathbf{y}).$$

Applying accelerated gradient descent to optimize the smoothed problem, we get the following convergence rate:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_t) - f^* \leq \mathcal{O}\left(\mu D^2 + \frac{R^2}{\mu t^2}\right).$$

This is faster than applying subgradient descent.

Moreau-Yosida smoothing: Approximate non-smooth f by

$$f_\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{y}) + \frac{1}{2\mu} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 \right\}.$$

f_μ is the Moreau envelope of f . f_μ is $1/\mu$ -smooth and minimizes exactly, i.e., $\min_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}) = \min_{\mathbf{x}} f_\mu(\mathbf{x})$.

Proximal algorithms

Proximal operator: f is convex:

$$\operatorname{prox}_f(\mathbf{x}) := \operatorname{argmin}_{\mathbf{y}} \left\{ f(\mathbf{y}) + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2^2 \right\}.$$

Proximal point algorithm:

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\lambda_t f}(\mathbf{x}_t).$$

Convergence:

$$f(\mathbf{x}_{T+1}) - f^* \leq \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2}{2 \sum_{t=0}^T \lambda_t}.$$

If λ_t is constant, PPA achieves $\mathcal{O}(1/t)$ convergence.

Proximal gradient method: Assume convex composite optimization problem, where f and g are convex:

$$\min_{\mathbf{x}} F(\mathbf{x}) := f(\mathbf{x}) + g(\mathbf{x}).$$

Update rule:

$$\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\gamma_t g}(\mathbf{x}_t - \gamma_t \nabla f(\mathbf{x}_t)).$$

Convergence: Let f be L -smooth and convex and g convex. Let $\gamma_t = 1/L$, then

$$F(\mathbf{x}_t) - F^* \leq \frac{L \|\mathbf{x}_0 - \mathbf{x}^*\|_2^2}{2t}.$$

This is nearly the same convergence rate as GD, despite F being possibly non-smooth.