

- 4
- > Review of Recursive algo correctness - Rec Bin Search
 - additional precondition: A is non-empty
 - Rec Bin Search starts by checking if one element (base case)
 - then divides non-one problem into halves, focus on target half
 - how do we prove correctness? iterate over length of A
 - complete induction because $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \leq n-1 \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$
(need all values below n covered)
 - Claim: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P(n)$ holds.
 - Base $n=1$; we know $n = e+1-s \therefore$ when $n=1, e=s$.
 \therefore if case at line 1 true \therefore two cases:
 - A] $A[s] == x \therefore$ line 3 executed, Rec Bin Search terminates returning s , which is correct output
 - B] $A[s] != x \therefore$ line 5 executed, Rec Bin Search terminates and returns -1 , which is correct because A doesn't contain x .
 - \therefore conclude $P(1)$ holds in all circumstances.
 - Let k be an arbitrary natural number, ≥ 1 (I.I.) Assume $P(j)$ holds $\forall 1 \leq j < k$. We must show that $P(k)$ holds.
 \therefore lines 1, 6, 7 are executed $\therefore m = \lfloor \frac{s+e}{2} \rfloor \therefore s \leq m \leq e$
 - A] $A[m] \geq x \therefore$ line 9 executed \therefore RBS called on input with $n' = m+1-s < e+1-s = n \therefore$ this recursive call covered under IH \therefore returns (terminates) with correct t (via IH)
 - B] $A[m] < x \therefore$ line 10, 11 executes \therefore RBS called on input where $n' = e+1-m-1 = e-m < e+1-m \leq e+1-s = n \therefore$ covered under IH \therefore this recursive call returns correct answer t (via IH) for $A[m], e$ \therefore this higher call terminates with correct answer for $A[s, e]$

7 • Loop invariant: assertion true before entering loop & at the end of every iteration

8 • loop measure must be strictly decreasing

9 $LI(k): k \leq \text{len}(A) \rightarrow \text{sum}_k = \sum_{j=0}^{i_k-1} A[j] \wedge 0 \leq i_k = k \leq \text{len}(A)$

Claim $LI(k)$ holds $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$. Prove via induction.

Base $n=0$: $LI(0)$: "If $0 \leq \text{len}(A)$ then $\sum_{j=0}^{i_0-1} A[j] = \text{sum}_0 \wedge 0 \leq i_0 \leq \text{len}(A)$ "

via precondition A nonempty $\therefore 0 \leq \text{len}(A)$; }

via algo itself $\text{sum}_0 = 0$; via algo $i_0 = 0$; } $\therefore LI(0)$ holds
 $\sum_{j=0}^{-1} = 0$ via conventions

Let k be arbitrary natural number & let us assume that $P(k)$ holds.

$\text{sum}_{k+1} = \text{sum}_k + A[i_k] = \sum_{j=0}^{i_k-1} A[j] + A[i_k] = \sum_{j=0}^{i_{k+1}-1} A[j] \therefore \text{sum}_{k+1}$ holds

$i_{k+1} = i_k + 1$