

# Homework 2 of Numerical Analysis

刘陈若 3200104872  
信息与计算科学 2001

## Theoretical questions

### Problem I

**Solution.** It's not difficult to have

$$p_1(f; x) = -\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{3}{2} \quad (1)$$

which passes through points  $(1, 1)$  and  $(2, 0.5)$ . then we calculate

$$f(x) - p_1(f; x) = \frac{x^2 - 3x + 2}{2x} = \frac{f''(\xi(x))}{2}(x-1)(x-2) \implies f''(\xi(x)) = \frac{1}{x} \quad (2)$$

According to **Theorem 2.7**, noted that this is not a derivation of composite function. Instead we can get

$$\frac{2}{\xi^3(x)} = \frac{1}{x} \implies \xi(x) = \sqrt[3]{2x} \quad (3)$$

Furthermore, obviously function  $\xi(x) = \sqrt[3]{2x}$  is monotonic increasing, and  $f''(\xi(x)) = 1/x$  is monotonic decreasing in the interval  $[1, 2]$ . Thus we have, in  $[1, 2]$ ,

$$\max \xi(x) = \sqrt[3]{4} \quad \min \xi(x) = \sqrt[3]{2} \quad \max f''(\xi(x)) = 1 \quad (4)$$

□

### Problem II

**Solution.** As  $f_i \geq 0$ , by **Theorem 2.5**, there exists a unique polynomial  $g \in \mathbb{P}_n$  such that  $g(x_i) = f_i^{\frac{1}{2}}$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

Set  $p(x) = g^2(x)$ , then it's easy to show that  $p \in \mathbb{P}_{2n}^+$  as  $g^2(x) \geq 0$ . Additionally,  $p(x_i) = g^2(x_i) = f_i$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore we successfully find the proper  $p$ . □

### Problem III

**Solution.** For  $n = 1$ , the equation reduces to  $f[t, t + 1] = (e - 1)e^t$ , which can be easily concluded as

$$f[t, t + 1] = \frac{f[t + 1] - f[t]}{t + 1 - t} = e^{t+1} - e^t = (e - 1)e^t \quad (5)$$

Suppose the equation holds. For the inductive step, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f[t, t + 1, \dots, t + n + 1] &= \frac{f[t + 1, \dots, t + 1 + n] - f[t, \dots, t + n]}{n + 1} \\ &= \frac{\frac{(e-1)^n}{n!}e^{t+1} - \frac{(e-1)^n}{n!}e^t}{n + 1} \\ &= \frac{(e - 1)^n}{(n + 1)!}e^t(e - 1) \\ &= \frac{(e - 1)^{n+1}}{(n + 1)!}e^t \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

thus finishing the proof.

Furthermore, if  $t = 0$ , we have

$$f[0, 1, \dots, n] = \frac{(e - 1)^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{n!}f^{(n)}(\xi) \quad (7)$$

Similar to Problem I, that implies

$$e^{\xi^{(n)}} = (e - 1)^n \implies \xi(n) = n \ln(e - 1) \quad (8)$$

As  $\ln(e - 1) > \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\xi > \frac{n}{2}$  respectively. □

### Problem IV

**Solution.** By *Definition2.18*, we can construct the following table divided difference,

|   |    |    |   |      |
|---|----|----|---|------|
| 0 | 5  |    |   |      |
| 1 | 3  | -2 |   |      |
| 3 | 5  | 1  | 1 |      |
| 4 | 12 | 7  | 2 | 0.25 |

By *Definition2.14*, the interpolating polynomial is generated from the main diagonal and the first

column of the above table as follows.

$$p_3(f; x) = 5 - 2x + x(x - 1) + 0.25x(x - 1)(x - 3) \quad (9)$$

Furthermore, calculating the first-order derivative of  $p_3$  we have

$$p_3'(f; x) = 0.75x^2 - 2.25 \quad (10)$$

Then we can find its root in the interval  $(1, 3)$ , which is  $\sqrt{3}$ . Therefore we can estimate the location of the minimum as  $x_{min} = \sqrt{3}$ .  $\square$

## Problem V

**Solution.** The Hermite interpolation problem can be expressed as

$$f_0 = 0, \quad f_1 = 1, \quad f_1' = 7, \quad f_1'' = 42, \quad f_2 = 128, \quad f_2' = 448 \quad (11)$$

And the table of divided difference has the form

|   |     |     |     |     |     |    |  |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|--|
| 0 | 0   |     |     |     |     |    |  |
| 1 | 1   | 1   |     |     |     |    |  |
| 1 | 1   | 7   | 6   |     |     |    |  |
| 1 | 1   | 7   | 21  | 15  |     |    |  |
| 2 | 128 | 127 | 120 | 99  | 42  |    |  |
| 2 | 128 | 448 | 321 | 201 | 102 | 30 |  |

The table shows that  $f[0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2] = 30$ .

Furthermore, we know that the divided difference is expressible in terms of  $\frac{1}{5!}f^{(5)}(\xi)$ . Therefore, by solving the equation

$$\frac{1}{5!}f^{(5)}(\xi) = 30 \quad (12)$$

we have  $\xi = \sqrt{\frac{10}{7}}$ .  $\square$

## Problem VI

**Solution.** Similar to the question above, the table of the divided differences has the form

$$\begin{array}{c|cccccc}
0 & 1 & & & & & \\
1 & 2 & 1 & & & & \\
1 & 2 & -1 & -2 & & & \\
3 & 0 & -1 & 0 & \frac{2}{3} & & \\
3 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{5}{36} & 
\end{array}$$

so we know from the table that

$$p_4(f; x) = 1 + x - 2x(x-1) + \frac{2}{3}x(x-1)^2 - \frac{5}{36}x(x-1)^2(x-3) \quad (13)$$

Set  $x = 2$  in  $p_4$ , we estimate  $f(2)$  as  $p_4(f; 2) = \frac{11}{18}$ .

Furthermore, according to **Theorem 2.35**, we have

$$R_4(f; x) = \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!}x(x-1)^2(x-3)^2 \quad (14)$$

So, using the condition  $|f^{(5)}(x)| \leq M$  on  $[0, 3]$ , we have

$$|R_4(f; 2)| = \left| \frac{1}{60}f^{(5)}(\xi) \right| \leq \frac{M}{60} \quad (15)$$

Hence we estimate the maximum error of the above answer as  $\frac{M}{60}$ . □

## Problem VII

**Solution.** We prove these by inductions.

For the first equation, when  $k = 0$ , obviously  $LHS = RHS = f(x) = f(x_0)$  as  $0! = 1$ . Suppose the equation holds. For the inductive step, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta^{k+1}f(x) &= \Delta^k f(x+h) - \Delta^k f(x) \\
&= k!h^k(f[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}] - f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k]) \\
&= k!h^k(x_{k+1} - x_0)f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}] \\
&= (k+1)!h^{k+1}f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}]
\end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

thus finishing the proof.

For the second equation, when  $k = 0$ , obviously  $LHS = RHS = f(x) = f(x_0)$  as  $0! = 1$ . Suppose

the equation holds. For the inductive step, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{k+1} f(x) &= \nabla^k f(x) - \nabla^k f(x - h) \\
&= k!h^k (f[x_0, x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-k}] - f[x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \dots, x_{-k-1}]) \\
&= k!h^k (x_0 - x_{-k-1}) f[x_0, x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-k-1}] \\
&= (k+1)!h^{k+1} f[x_0, x_{-1}, \dots, x_{-k-1}]
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

thus finishing the proof.  $\square$

### Problem VIII

**Solution.** Using the definition of partial derivative, the continuity of divided difference, **Corollary 2.15** and **Theorem 2.17**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f[x_0 + h, x_1, \dots, x_n] - f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f[x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0 + h] - f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, x_0 + h] \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f[x_0, x_0 + h, x_1, \dots, x_n] \\
&= f[x_0, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n]
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

thus finishing the proof. Similarly, for any  $x_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n] &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i + h, \dots, x_n] - f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n]}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_i + h] - f[x_i, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n]}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f[x_i, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n, x_i + h] \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i, x_i + h, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n] \\
&= f[x_0, x_1, \dots, x_i, x_i, \dots, x_n]
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$\square$

### Problem IX

**Solution.** Without loss of generality, we translate interval  $[a, b]$  to  $[-m, m]$ , where  $m$  satisfies  $m = (b - a)/2$ .

From Chebyshev Theorem we know

$$\min_{x \in [-1, 1]} \max |a_0 x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n| = \frac{|a_0|}{2^{n-1}} \quad (20)$$

Therefore, by a linear transformation  $x \rightarrow mx$ , we have

$$\min_{x \in [-m, m]} \max |a_0 x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n| = \frac{|a_0| m^n}{2^{n-1}} \quad (21)$$

And from this we finally determine

$$\min_{x \in [a, b]} \max |a_0 x^n + a_1 x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n| = \frac{|a_0| \left(\frac{b-a}{2}\right)^n}{2^{n-1}} = \frac{|a_0| (b-a)^n}{2^{2n-1}} \quad (22)$$

□

### Problem X

**Solution.** By **Theorem 2.42**,  $T_n(x)$  assumes its extrema  $n + 1$  times at points  $x'_k$  defined in (2.44) in textbook. Suppose  $\|\hat{p}_n\|_\infty \leq \|p\|_\infty$  does not hold. Then it implies that

$$\exists p \in \mathbb{P}_n^a \quad s.t. \quad \|p\|_\infty \leq \|\hat{p}_n\|_\infty \quad (23)$$

Consider the polynomial  $Q(x) = \hat{p}_n(x) - p(x)$ , then

$$Q(x'_k) = \frac{(-1)^k}{T_n(a)} - p(x'_k) \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n \quad (24)$$

By the condition  $\|p\|_\infty \leq \|\hat{p}_n\|_\infty$ ,  $Q(x)$  has alternating signs at these  $n + 1$  points. Hence  $Q(x)$  must have  $n$  zeros. Additionally,  $Q(a) = 1 - 1 = 0$ , so  $Q$  has at least  $n + 1$  zeros.

However, by the construction of  $Q(x)$ , the degree of  $Q(x)$  is at most  $n$ . Therefore,  $Q(x) \equiv 0$ , which means  $p(x) = \hat{p}_n(x)$ . This is a contradiction to the assumption, thus finishing the proof. □

## Problem XI

**Solution.** Firstly, based on Binomial expansion we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}(t) = (t + 1 - t)^n = 1 \quad (25)$$

Secondly, based on the equation above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{k}{nt} b_{n,k}(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k}{nt} b_{n,k}(t) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{kn!}{nt(n-k)!k!} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n C_{n-1}^{k-1} t^{k-1} (1-t)^{(n-1)-(k-1)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n b_{n-1,k-1}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n-1,k}(t) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{k=0}^n k b_{n,k}(t) = nt$ .

Thirdly, similar with the proof of (2.50c), we first have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{k(k-1)}{n(n-1)t^2} b_{n,k}(t) &= \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{k(k-1)}{n(n-1)t^2} b_{n,k}(t) \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{k(k-1)n!}{n(n-1)t^2(n-k)!k!} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^n C_{n-2}^{k-2} t^{k-2} (1-t)^{(n-2)-(k-2)} \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^n b_{n-2,k-2}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n-2,k}(t) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Therefore,  $\sum_{k=0}^n k(k-1)b_{n,k}(t) = n(n-1)t^2$ . Then, according to all the euqations above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=0}^n (k-nt)^2 b_{n,k}(t) &= \sum_{k=0}^n [k(k-1) + k - 2nkt + n^2t^2] b_{n,k}(t) \\
 &= n(n-1)t^2 + nt - 2n^2t^2 + n^2t^2 \\
 &= nt - nt^2 = nt(1-t)
 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

□