

# *An example of world and game making for Powered By the Apocalypse<sup>1</sup>*

*Cromlyn Games*

*September 11, 2018*

<sup>1</sup> Inspired by the amazing SimpleWorld of Avery Alder

This document describes some ways to make a Powered By the Apocalypse game. It should support reskins, GM's looking for setting ideas, and help building a custom class. It also supports deep hacks that play with the fundamentals of the game.

There's three ways to use this document. One is around a table and build the game the table wants to play together. Another is to pick and choose bits from it to help you explore an idea. I like to pick randomly at each stage, forcing just the right amount of constraints that there can only be one solution for each thing from stat to archetype to individual move, taking me outside where I can get normally.

## *Size*

Choose one:

Tight game: one themed additional mechanic<sup>2</sup>

Balanced tension game: two themed additional mechanics<sup>3</sup>

Focused big game: one themed additional mechanic, second theme present as a stat.<sup>4</sup>

You need a fast, nuanced strong hit / weak hit / fail generator<sup>5</sup> and a theme and a genre. This will give you an Agenda and Principles. These will give you GM moves.

Choose at least two and normally four or five: Stats, Basic Moves, Playbooks, Development, Scenario Moves, Inserts, Tags.

<sup>2</sup> An example is Apocalypse World, which ties everything to destruction

<sup>3</sup> An example is Urban Shadows, which introduces Debts and Corruption

<sup>4</sup> An example is the Sprawl, which adds synth as a stat for cyberwear

<sup>5</sup> The standard method is two six-sided dice with margins set at -6, 7-9 and 10+. This can be easily mapped to other types of dice. Cards are slower but can work. Dream Askew used tokens. I'm intrigued by rock/paper/scissors but there are game flow implications

## *Genre*

What genre (or mashup) do you all want to play in? You may know, if not pick one or two from each below:

ancient legends	steampunk	cold war
high fantasy	victorina	diesel punk
low fantasy	colonial	urban fantasy
grim fantasy	1920s pulp	slice-of-life
age of sail	superhero comic	alternate history
medieval	weird world war	dystopia
pike and musket	biopunk	hard sci fi

solarpunk	post-apocalypse
cyberpunk	space opera

*(Optional) Party is*

circus	smugglers	operatives
first responders	traders	rebels
mercenaries	investigators	police
city gaurds	boarding school	refugees
criminal gang	university dept	outsiders
merchant convoy	neighbours	beaureocrats
monster hunters	village	

### *Theme*

What feeling or thing is this game about? Choose one or two, eg  
 "What would you do for X" or "Is X more important then Y?"

balance	friendship	pain
belief	glory	revenge
change	honour	unity
comfort	hunger	survival
destruction	justice	violence
corruption	knowledge	wealth
family	law/tradition	.....
freedom	love	.....

If you aren't sure, take a read of the Theme Deconstruction Section at the end of the document.

### *Stats*

Aim for the fewest number you need. Keep them simple, memorable, and immediately highlighting the difference in how different characters approach challenges. A good example is Versed, Young, Gendered, Wyrd from Sagas of the Icelanders. It clearly spells out what is the important differences between two characters.

Choose one set and rename for your genre, or mix and match between sets:

1. Fighter, Thief, Wizard
2. Social Circles, Danger Triangles, True Squares, Stitched Crosses
3. Assertive, Persuasive, Curious, Methodical, Confident<sup>6</sup>

Or choose them by Genre, either on skills, common environments or to support basic skills you expect all players to need:

<sup>6</sup> this one is loosely based on the Big Five Personality model

- a. Space, Robots, Lasers, Feelings
- b. Honour, Rice, Ki
- c. Fate, Power, Control

### *Allocating stats*

WIP

### *If no stats*

If you are not having stats, there's three ways to handle it

1. Just roll and rely on the nature of the moves for variety.
2. Mark each move for basic/good/advanced. Basically treat each move as it's own stat, similar to a skill based game engine. Example Exo-adventurers or the John Harper hack that isn't called Blades in the Portals
3. Use ephemeral stats like Roll+bodies searched or Roll+Hands free. Be careful with this. If it is going to be tracked from scene to scene (like number of eyes) just make it a stat.

### *Basic Moves*

Ideally start players with seven or less moves to remember. I tend to split it as five basic moves, two playbook moves. I tend to have one basic move per stat. The core of a basic move should be "Take thematic action"<sup>7</sup>. Examples of thematic basic moves are offered in the Theme deconstruction sections. All Basic moves should be thematic. They should also support play. Often a player character will want a genre (not generic) version of:

- a. Get information
- b. Persuade/seduce
- c. Help/Interfere with another player
- d. Buy/get things
- e. Mortal peril<sup>8</sup>

<sup>7</sup> EG Apocalypse World "Open your brain" to the maelstrom to shift the status quo, while Urban Shadows has you "let it out", risking probable corruption in return for supernatural power

<sup>8</sup> well, they might not want it, but they may need it

### *Get information*

This is often in the format of roll+stat and get hold, spend hold to ask questions. The use of hold allows players to develop lines of inquiry as more information becomes available in the scene, rather than the distraction of forcing rerolling many times. The questions available to be asked are often listed and the choice of what can be asked is important, it tells the player what their character thinks is important about the genre. The Sprawl, ( mission based cyber-punk), has "Read A Situation", Urban Shadows (political urban fantasy) has

"Figure Someone Out". Players will act on the information they get. If you make them ask thematic questions they often end up taking thematic action.

### *Persuade/Seduce*

The challenge with this move is balancing the requirements of the genre/theme (in a Noir game, the Femme Fatale must be able to manipulate the other archetypes) and , zooming out a little, balance the social contract of the table and the expectation that players have of their own agency. Storyteller-type players might be happy to sacrifice character agency in return for the ability to add plot twists or elements of world building. Actor-type players might be happy to give up all power outside their character's internal thoughts and decisions, but will hate anything that intrudes on the territory that they do control.

There are a few options:

1) Make the move apply to NPCs only. Get players to negotiate if their characters act on each other. This is only going to be ok in games where the player characters are supposed to be aligned team-workers and where persuasion isn't a big thing in genre, like Fellowship<sup>9</sup>.

<sup>9</sup> citation needed

2) Make two moves, one for manipulating NPCs and one for manipulating PCs. This is typically set as a standard move for NPCs and offers an extra carrot/stick for PC players to go along with your plan. This can work well for cinematic games where the player may want to get their character into thematic trouble. The Regiment has a move called "Impose Will" which uses only stick, but who the hell plays an Army themed game and doesn't expect to need to take orders?

3) Make one move, ignore PC agency. This will earn you some hate-mail, but can work well in games where players have other ways to influence the story then just their player actions.

### *Help/Interfere with another player*

The basic form is "When you help someone or hinder them, roll your relationship stat with that person. On a 10+ add or subtract 2 from their roll. On a 7-9, the MC will name a cost, if you accept this cost, then add or subtract two from their roll.

The exact nature of the relationship stat shifts between games, normally plugging into other mechanics. In Apocalypse World the Hx stat is constantly changing and is a source of character advancement. In the Sprawl the Links stat is mostly static, but sets up the initial threat clocks of different mega-corps. In Urban Shadows it is faction based, but also driven by the powerful Debt moves.

In a PBTA game, I think it is best to avoid allowing players to 'defend' against each other by making opposing rolls. It makes the format of moves break down and some complex snarls in the fiction to develop which distract from moving the story forwards. It's like the tennis ball hitting the net instead of being passed back and forth in a volley. Instead, the help/interfere move of the second player alters the chance of the first player changing the fiction the way they want to.

### *Buy/get things*

I have included it here, because most PBTA rulebooks do address it, but as story driven themed games, shopping and equipment and gear tends to be of secondary importance. Examples of thematically addressing it are The Sprawl's move for getting additional cyberware installed where you have to choose between dangerous back alley docs or villainous megacorps. Apocalypse World has a barter move to cover sourcing 'some particular thing where it's not obvious you should be able to go buy it just like that', as a way to convey the Scarcity theme of the world, but allowing the players to perhaps drive the story further than a blanket 'no, you can't buy that.'. Both moves are all about giving players what they want, perhaps at a cost, and giving the GM some more hooks or levers to pull to put the characters into more interesting situations.

### *Mortal Peril*

There are two branches to this move. The first is a catch-all "Take action under pressure" move that can be the main point of weakness in a game if the GM is forced to rely on it too much. The second branch is the move that kicks in should a player character actually die. It tends not to be something that comes up often in pbta games but when it does it should be important to the story, and the rules often support a gm making a big deal of it. Urban Shadows gives each playbook it's own 'in case of death' move. Dungeon World gives the player the option to become 'Death's Own', accepting that that character WILL die, but at the climax of a particular narrative arc. The common basic move for 'running out of health' allows you to choose between taking a new character, changing playbook or taking a permanent hit to your character ( normally a stat reduction).

### *Agenda*

The GM Agenda is always:

- Play to find out what happens
- Don't waste your players time
- Deliver the world according to the genre setting
- Deliver action in line with the theme

In other words, don't railroad your players but do respect the logic of the story world, the fiction. Don't pre-write a story that ignores them effecting the world and don't space out or make them grind for the cool moments 'just beacuse it feels like they haven't worked hard enough.' It can be a weird temptation as a GM, perhaps becuae the creativity required can feel tiring and you just want them to roll grinding dice checks for 15 min while you take a break. We'll cover techniques to help avoid that tiring feeling in the GM principles section.

### *GM Principles*

- ├ sprinkle evocative details everywhere
- ├ make the world seem real
- ├ name everyone, make everyone human
- └ build a bigger world through play
- ├ create interesting dilemmas not interesting plots
- ├ address yourself to the characters not players
- ├ be a fan of the players characters
- └ destroy your creations, don't protect them.
- ├ make your move but misdirect
- ├ make your move, but never speak its name
- ├ ask provocative questions and build on the answers
- ├ sometimes, reflect a question back upon the players
- └ think off screen too
- + Additional from the theme (see Theme Deconstruction section)

Rewrite these to suit your genre. An example is "Barf Forth Apocalyptica" replacing "sprinkle evocative details everywhere." The word choice isn't critical, but you want to tone of the writing to help get the GM in the mood.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>10</sup> "getting you in the mood" is why I think Apocalypse World calls the Games Master the Master Of Ceremonies. You are not about controlling the situation. You are about introducing each player character as an act that gets their own time in the spotlight and keeping the show moving.

It may seem weak that the GM principles are so similar between different genre games and experiences, but that's because the first four are about world building, the next four are about managing your ego (MC, not GM!) and the last five are about ways to improvise that aren't as tiring as thinking up a plausible monster biology every five minutes. The final one of course, will vary with theme.

I am not going to go into a deep explanation of them all here, as I am kind of assuming you've played a few PBTA games before trying to write one. If you really need help, buy and read *Apocalypse World* as it has a strong guide with many examples of getting it right, or how to correct if you feel you have got it wrong.

### *GM moves*

- | put the spotlight on someone
- | separate them
- | put them together
- | make their lives complicated now
- | give them a difficult decision to make
- | offer an opportunity, with or without a cost
- | offer stuff that's painfully expensive but good
- | tell them the possible consequences and ask
- | turn a failed move back on them
- | use up their resources
- | activate stuff's bad side
- | use a front or threat move
- | + Additional from the theme (see Theme Deconstruction section)

In the same way, I am not going into detail the GM moves or how to use the standard ones. The first four moves are about ways to move player characters about. There's no reason to have them all in the same place or working in perfect agreement all the time. Think of the beautiful jump-cuts of a heist movie where a team, separated, work together versus the intimate squabbling of a sitcom. It's something that many players have been trained out of or picked from cultural osmosis (never split the party!), and the onus is on you to shuffle them around to keep their characters uncomfortable and the players grinning. The next four moves are all about giving your player's

agency, opportunities to make meaningful decisions. My favourite one I ever managed was a cyberpunk game where the player was hanging off a crashed skyrail. "You see someone tumbling towards you, that tough with a hook hand prosthetic. You can try to grab him as he goes past, but you can't quite be sure which of his flailing hands you'll get. Otherwise he's a deadman. What do you do?" This has obvious overlaps too with the next four items: setting up clear consequences. Generally, players won't mind horrific consequences if they knew the risk going in. "you fail to close the portal, in fact it rips wide open, it's now far too wide for just the three of you to stop anyone coming out." In this vein, reminding them that stuff has a bad side makes it seem less arbitrary when you activate it. "If you use grenades here, you risk destabilising the whole reactor." This also blurs back into "Tell the consequences and ask". The level of overlap here is your friend, don't get too worried about the specific move. Just stab your finger on the list somewhere and do that. The last two items are going to be more specific to your story, genre, setting and theme. They are in fact your primary ways as a GM (rather than game designer) to bring the theme out. Even if the enemy is as simple as a Giant Rat, the threat move it comes with should be different in a cyberpunk game and a low-fantasy one. What should each be?

### *Playbooks*

I normally aim for 6 to 12 playbooks, or do without them altogether. If you do that, then you can either let players pick from a common list of moves (Class Warfare for Dungeon World), have only shared basic moves (World of Dungeons), or use only custom moves awarded in response to player actions in that session or the previous session (Experimental, but could work?).

Generally speaking I advise using playbooks. As a designer they make it easier to balance characters and helps keep the game more genre aligned and thus produce stories that feel like the table is working together to tell it. For the player, a playbook lessens the amount of information to process before making those first choices and gives you an easy to understand initial role in the story. Confident players make moves. Why six to twelve? You want to give the last player to choose some choice, especially if they (or you) don't like two or more of the same playbook. I suggest you aim for everyone to have different playbooks as it feels more 'special' for the player to have something no-one else can do. If you are having playbooks then there's a bunch of ways you can space them out. The first is by writing down archetypes of the genre (so in Film Noir we have the Detective, the Mobster, the Suit, the Femme, the Rival Cop, and



then, playing on the genre time period and theme of guilt, maybe the Priest, the War Hero, the Waif, the Outsider). I like to do that as a first sketch. If I am using stats I'll write down a list of primary and secondary stats (eg Aa Ab Ac, Ba, Bb, Bc, Ca Cb Cc) and try to fix archetypes to it and fill the gaps. If you treat them as primary and secondary, then for N stats you get N squared slots (three stats is nine playbooks, four stats is sixteen). If you drop doubles that takes you to six and twelve respectively. If you keep doubles but instead treat Ab and Ba as the same then you get six and ten playbooks respectively (4+3+2+1). It's satisfying lonely fun. If I have other mechanics live in the game I might do the list with that. Cyber\_peripherals, for example, is about Gangs, Holdings, Favours and Cybermods, and each of those things is important enough to be in the core rules. I wasn't going to have sixteen playbooks. So the list started Gangs-gangs, Gangs-Holdings (the goon), Gangs-favours (the charity worker)ect, but I didn't fill in all the slots, just enough to represent everything in most four player games.

Then I'll along the list and assign the playbook a GM goody. Something the playbook brings to the table that helps the GM.

- start of session momentum triggers eg resource shortages
- Cast of NPCs eg regular customers
- cataliser of conflict eg Gunlugger
- expand map eg Driver
- Instigate threat eg Vampire Hunter
- fixed point to defend/orbit eg Hardholder
- need for supplies eg Savvyhead
- supports risky play eg Angel (medic)
- countdown urgency eg Vampire
- broadcast npc motivations eg observent<sup>11</sup>
- Mostly obedient entity eg Beast-master

<sup>11</sup> broadcasting motivations even to a single player normally means all other players hear it, and it helps bring the world to life a little more for everyone

Why go to this effort? It makes writing moves easier. If I know this playbook is about the Detective archetype, that it's primary stat is Street and secondary is Smarts and it engages with the Clue mechanic but not the Guilt or Resources mechanics, and brings the Start of Session goody for the GM, then I've got a good lead. You can do the same spacing out exercise inside the playbook too.

Lets say for the initial draft the Detective starts with one Street move and one start of session Clue move, and has options to learn another two Street moves (three total for primary), two Smarts moves and one more Clue move. That's seven moves which is a good set, often more than Apocalypse World playbooks get. Dungeon World by contrast, will often hit fifteen or more since frequent levelling up is a core part of the Hero's Journey theme. What are three characters should this playbook be able to build? The crumpled, world weary but insightful genius, the hardboiled ex cop simmering with anger, the flawed but charming (and patient) interrogator. The crow, the bull and the spider. Lets give the Crow the second Clue move (something to do with last minute insight?) and give the Bull and the Spider aspects one move each for Street and Smarts. Of course a player might mix those up and decide to focus on all the Smarts moves, or even, if you allow the option, learn a move from the Femme book and specialise in uncovering details in relationships. Building in a way to allow a move to be learnt from a different playbook goes a long way to allowing players to explore the exact character they are playing. It works as long as the playbooks are spaced enough to prevent 'obvious' choices.

The trick with this of approach is to constrain it just enough that as designer you can only see one obvious answer, not to give you a headache trying to fit some arbitrary, impossibly difficult combination together. When writing some hacks, I might generate each move for the playbook randomly, trusting to clumpiness to give each playbook an emergent theme.

### *Anatomy of a move*

WIP

### *Development*

WIP

### *Scenario Moves*

WIP

### *Inserts*

WIP

*Tags*

. WIP

*Theme Deconstruction**balance*

+ GM Principle: meaningful player character decisions shift the balance + GM Move: Worst imbalance reduces but new imbalance develops. Possible mechanic: split playbook experience and moves into balance/imbalance tracks

*belief*

+ GM Principle: not all belief is true. sometimes the players are right + GM Move trade loss for benefit (in accordance with belief) + Possible mechanic: ritual elements to reinforce character will or add details to world

*change*

+ GM Principle: What has changed since the player's last visited. What hasn't? + GM Move: Change one constraint on the situation, people or landscape + Possible Mechanic: push your luck for directed change of landscape or yourself ?

*comfort*

+ GM Principle: agree with players what comfort means. check in occasionally. +GM Move: Throw a feast, party or concert + Possible Mechanic: meet npcs comfort level to gain ally

*destruction*

+ GM Principle: First consider destroying npcs and your MC ideas + GM Move: "trade harm for harm (as established) or deal harm (as established)" + Possible mechanic: Detailed harm tags (messy, knockback, seige ect)

*corruption*

+GM Principle: corruption requires the player's character to feel guilt over their actions +GM Move: offer a conflict of interest. both good + Possible Mechanic: Corruption moves that can be activated on a specific trigger

*family*

+GM Principle: every parent was once a child +GM Move: announce a flashback + Possible Mechanic: inheritance of soft moves

*freedom*

+GM Principle: There is always another place to run to +GM Move: ask a silent player to describe location ahead. + Possible Mechanic: expansion of vehicles into mobile bases

*friendship*

+GM Principle: friendship spreads through interlocking circles cemented by favours +GM Move: an npc calls in a favour. reputation cost to say no + Possible Mechanic: do favours, receive debts. call in for favours

*glory*

+GM Principle: wherever they go, PCs should hear of great adventurers. sometimes, it might even be them +GM Move: create a competition for the players + Possible Mechanic: gained by beating more difficult challenges and spent to create more difficult challenges

*honour*

+GM Principle: always ask if an npc action is honourable. justify it +GM Move: deal harm to players honour, justified or sneaky + Possible Mechanic: honour only applies to certain ranks. it means that oaths carry weight but only for those ranks.

*hunger*

+GM Principle: always describe food, fatness, health first +GM Move: reveal an upcoming scarcity + Possible Mechanic: needs and consequences tag system

*justice*

+GM Principle: What typifies this situation: the scales, the blindfold or the sword? +GM Move: trade judgements, compassion or violence (as established) + Possible Mechanic: ask the abyss "is this just?"  
Mark bonuses at end of session

*knowledge*

+GM Principle: knowledge is the lever that player characters can use to magnify their actions +GM Move: trade knowledge for knowledge (as established) + Possible Mechanic: cash in knowledge for bonuses on quadratic scale

*law/tradition*

+GM Principle: everybody, everything has a couple of freely known behaviours +GM Move: Invoke tradition to create a new threat + Possible Mechanic: two polar stats to make quadrant block between four traditions.

*love*

+GM Principle: love is steadily rising level of intimacy +GM Move: spotlight a connection or triangle + Possible Mechanic: intimacy moves: "i'd like to X, may I?", "yes but"

*pain*

+GM Principle: Scars are not just physical. Damage is not just permanent +GM Move: deal pain, as established + Possible Mechanic: memory/pain as addiction

*revenge*

+GM Principle: NPCs will always be avenged by someone +GM Move: offer vendetta, offer ritual peace at cost + Possible Mechanic: detailed followers and bonds rules. fail to avenge one, loose others due to disgrace.

*unity*

+GM Principle: always introduce someone by their unit/faction/clan before any other detail +GM Move: create player-npc-unit triangle over a resource + Possible Mechanic: Faction tags on all players and NPCs that can be used as PC move triggers

*survival*

+GM Principle: respond with fuckery and intermittent rewards +GM Move: offer something, but make them roll the dice + Possible Mechanic: Crafting guidelines for making better stuff from crappy parts

*violence*

+GM Principle: Sometimes the direct solution is the correct one +GM Move: add violence not directed at pcs + Possible Mechanic: conflict escalation mechanic. disagreement to posturing to threats to violence

*wealth*

+GM Principle: currency is liquid. where does it flow? +GM Move: replace a source of wealth with another + possible Mechanic: investment schemes as missions

*References*