# MPC for Group Reconstruction Circuits

### Lúcás Críostóir Meier

June 8, 2022

#### **Abstract**

In this paper, we present a thing.

## 1 Introduction

Write the introduction

## 2 Background

Throughout this paper, we let  $\mathbb{G}$  denote a group of prime order q, with generators G and H. Let  $\mathbb{F}_q$  denote the scalar field associated with this group, and let  $\mathbb{Z}/(q)$  denote the additive group of elements in this field.

We make heavy use of group homomorphisms throughout this paper. We let

$$\varphi(P_1,\ldots,P_m):\mathbb{A}\to\mathbb{B}$$

denote a homomorphism from  $\mathbb{A}$  to  $\mathbb{B}$ , parameterized by some public values  $P_1, \ldots, P_m$ . Commonly  $\mathbb{A}$  will be a product of several groups  $\mathbb{G}_1, \ldots, \mathbb{G}_n$ , in which case we'd write:

$$\varphi(P_1,\ldots,P_m)(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$$

to denote the application of  $\varphi$  to an element  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$  of the product group. We also often leave the public values  $P_i$  implicit.

## 2.1 Pedersen Commitments

## 2.2 Sigma Protocols

## 2.3 Maurer's $\varphi$ -Proof

In [Mau09], Maurer generalized Schnorr's sigma protocol for knowledge of the discrete logarithm [Sch90] to a much larger class of relations. In particular, Maurer provided a sigma protocol for proving knowledge of the pre-image of a group homomorphism  $\varphi$ . We denote this protocol as a " $\varphi$ -proof", and recapitulate the scheme here.

Given a homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$ , and a public value  $X \in \mathbb{B}$ , the prover wants to demonstrate knowledge of a private value  $x \in \mathbb{A}$  such that  $\varphi(x) = X$ . The prover does this by means of Protocol 2.1:

Protocol 2.1: 
$$\varphi$$
-Proof

Prover
 $k \text{nows } x \in \mathbb{A}$ 

Verifier
 $k \text{nows } x \in \mathbb{A}$ 

public  $X \in \mathbb{B}$ 

$$k \overset{R}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{A}$$

$$K \leftarrow \varphi(k)$$

$$\overset{K}{\rightarrow} \qquad c \overset{R}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{Z}/(p)$$

$$\overset{c}{\leftarrow} \qquad s \leftarrow k + c \cdot x$$

$$\overset{s}{\rightarrow} \qquad \varphi(s) \overset{?}{=} K + c \cdot X$$

Here, p is chosen such that  $\forall B \in \mathbb{B}$ .  $p \cdot B = 0$ . In practice, we'll set p = q, which will work perfectly for the groups we use, which are all products of  $\mathbb{G}$  or  $\mathbb{Z}/(q)$ .

#### **Claim 2.1.** Protocol 2.1 is a valid sigma protocol.

Completeness follows directly from the fact that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism.

For the HVZK property, the simulator S(X, c) works by generating a random  $s \stackrel{R}{\leftarrow} \mathbb{A}$ , and then setting  $K := \varphi(S) - c \cdot X$ .

Finally, we prove 2-extractability. Given two verifying transcripts (K, c, s) and (K, c', s') sharing the first message, we extract a value  $\hat{x}$  satisfying  $\varphi(\hat{x}) = X$  as follows:

$$\varphi(s) - c \cdot X = K = \varphi(s') - c' \cdot X$$

$$\varphi(s) - \varphi(s') = c \cdot X - c' \cdot X$$

$$\frac{1}{c - c'} \cdot \varphi(s - s') = X$$

$$\varphi\left(\frac{s - s'}{c - c'}\right) = X$$

Thus, defining  $\hat{x} := (s-s')/(c-c')$ , we successfully extract a valid pre-image.

We conclude that the protocol is a valid sigma protocol.

Maurer's protocol can also work even in the case where the order of the groups are not known, but this makes the challenge generation a bit more complicated, and we don't need this functionality in this work.

## 2.4 UC Security and the Hybrid Model

## 2.5 Ideal Functionalities for Sigma Protocols

## Functionality 2.1: Zero-Knowledge Functionality $\mathcal{F}(ZK, \varphi)$

A functionality  $\mathcal{F}$  for parties  $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ .

On receiving (prove, sid, X, x) from  $P_i$ :

 $\mathcal{F}$  checks that sid has not been used by  $P_i$  before.

 $\mathcal{F}$  checks that  $\varphi(x) \stackrel{?}{=} X$ .

 $\mathcal{F}$  sends (proof, pid, sid, X) to every party  $P_i$ .

### 2.6 Broadcast Functionalities

### Functionality 2.2: Authenticated Broadcast Functionality C

A functionality C for parties  $P_1, \ldots, P_n$ .

On receiving (broadcast-in, sid, m) from  $P_i$ :

C checks that sid has not been used by  $P_i$  before.

C sends (broadcast-out, pid<sub>i</sub>, sid, m) to every party  $P_j$ .

- **3** Group Reconstruction Circuits
- 3.1 Formal Definition
- 3.2 Normalized Form
- 4 MPC Protocol for GRCs
- 5 Security Analysis
- 6 Applications
- 7 Limitations and Further Work
- 8 Conclusion

## **References**

- [Mau09] Ueli Maurer. Unifying Zero-Knowledge Proofs of Knowledge. In *AFRICACRYPT 2009*, volume 5580 of *LNCS*, pages 272–286. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009.
- [Sch90] C. P. Schnorr. Efficient Identification and Signatures for Smart Cards. In CRYPTO 1989, volume 435 of LNCS, pages 239–252, New York, NY, 1990. Springer.