

# Formalizing Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra for propositional logic in Cubical Agda

Caroline Roos

Supervisor: Anders Mörtberg

Degree project

Department of Mathematics  
Stockholm University

June 13, 2023

# Formalizing mathematics

## Why formalize?

Benefits of formalizing mathematics:

- Ensure correctness
- Detect errors in traditional mathematical proofs

There are many proof assistants out there  
Coq, **Agda**, Lean, Idris, ...

# Propositions as types

The propositions-as-types interpretation is the direct relationship between computer programs and mathematical proofs.

Prop	Type
$\top$	unit
$\perp$	void
$\phi_1 \wedge \phi_2$	$\tau_1 \times \tau_2$
$\phi_1 \supset \phi_2$	$\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2$
$\phi_1 \vee \phi_2$	$\tau_1 + \tau_2$

Because of strong typing and dependent types, Agda makes a good proof assistant.

# Agda proof assistant

Defining a datatype in Agda

```
data Bool : Type where
  true  : Bool
  false : Bool
```

**Bool** is the name of the datatype, and **true** and **false** are its constructors.

# Agda proof assistant

Functions over datatypes can be defined using pattern matching.

```
not : Bool → Bool
not true = false
not false = true
```

The type of **not** is defined as a function from **Bool** to **Bool**. The function is then defined by pattern matching on the arguments.

# Agda proof assistant

A **dependent type** is a type that depends on elements of another type.

For example, the polymorphic identity function:

```
id : (A : Type) → A → A
id A x = x
```

In Agda it is possible to use implicit arguments.

```
id' : {A : Type} → A → A
id' x = x
```

Agda will try to infer the type for us.

# Cubical Agda

Cubical Agda is an extension of Agda that incorporates features of cubical type theory.

It has native support for set quotients!

**Note:** We are using the agda/cubical library, which is the standard library for Cubical Agda.

# Formalizing propositional logic

#TODO

- Formulas and context?



# Formalizing propositional logic

Choosing logical connectives

$$\top \perp \wedge \vee \neg$$

# Formalizing propositional logic

$$\begin{aligned}\wedge\text{-I} : & \{ \Gamma : \text{ctxt} \} \{ \phi \ \psi : \text{Formula} \} \\ & \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \phi \\ & \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \psi \\ & \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \phi \wedge \psi\end{aligned}$$

Inference rules

All rules follow this  
general shape.

$$\begin{aligned}\wedge\text{-E}_1 : & \{ \Gamma : \text{ctxt} \} \{ \phi \ \psi : \text{Formula} \} \\ & \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \phi \wedge \psi \\ & \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \phi\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\wedge\text{-E}_2 : & \{ \Gamma : \text{ctxt} \} \{ \phi \ \psi : \text{Formula} \} \\ & \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \phi \wedge \psi \\ & \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \psi\end{aligned}$$

# Formalizing propositional logic

## Law of excluded middle

The law of excluded middle states that for every proposition, either the proposition or its negation is true.

That is, for all formulas  $\phi$ ,

$$\vdash \phi \vee \neg \phi$$

This makes it a classical logic.

In Agda:

```
LEM : { $\phi$  : Formula}  
       $\rightarrow \emptyset \vdash \phi \vee \neg \phi$ 
```

# Formalizing propositional logic

There are three common structural rules:

- Weakening
- Exchange
- Contraction

Only weakening and exchange are needed.

A logic that rejects contraction is an affine logic.

# Biprovability relation

## Definition

$\phi \sim \psi$  if and only if  $\Gamma, \phi \vdash \psi$  and  $\Gamma, \psi \vdash \phi$ .

The relation is defined as a pair, so we define it as a product in Agda:

```
 $\sim$  : Formula  $\rightarrow$  Formula  $\rightarrow$  Type  
 $\phi \sim \psi = \Gamma :: \phi \vdash \psi \times \Gamma :: \psi \vdash \phi$ 
```

This is an equivalence relation!

# Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra

- The Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra for propositional logic is the quotient algebra obtained by quotienting the algebra of formulas by the equivalence relation  $\sim$ .
- The algebraic operations in the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra are derived from the logical connectives present in the underlying logical system.
- These operations allow for the manipulation of formulas within the algebraic structure.

# Formalizing Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra

Define Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra in Cubical Agda using the existing definition of set quotients.

```
LindenbaumTarski : Type
LindenbaumTarski = Formula / _~_
```

# Formalizing Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra

Operations on the equivalence classes

$$\wedge / \quad \vee / \quad \neg /$$

To define these operations in Agda we made use of already existing definitions in the `agda/cubical` library.



# Formalizing Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra

$\_ \wedge \_ : \text{LindenbaumTarski} \rightarrow \text{LindenbaumTarski} \rightarrow \text{LindenbaumTarski}$   
 $A \wedge B = \text{setQuotBinOp } \sim\text{-refl } \sim\text{-refl } \_ \wedge \_ \sim\text{-respects-}\wedge A B$

If  $\phi \sim \phi'$  and  $\psi \sim \psi'$  then  $\phi \wedge \psi \sim \phi' \wedge \psi'$ .

$\sim\text{-respects-}\wedge : \forall (\phi \phi' \psi \psi' : \text{Formula})$

$\rightarrow \phi \sim \phi'$

$\rightarrow \psi \sim \psi'$

$\rightarrow (\phi \wedge \psi) \sim (\phi' \wedge \psi')$

$\sim\text{-respects-}\wedge \phi \phi' \psi \psi' (x_1, x_2) (y_1, y_2) =$

$\wedge\text{-I } (\text{cut } (\wedge\text{-E}_1 (\text{axiom Z})) x_1) (\text{cut } (\wedge\text{-E}_2 (\text{axiom Z})) y_1) ,$

$\wedge\text{-I } (\text{cut } (\wedge\text{-E}_1 (\text{axiom Z})) x_2) (\text{cut } (\wedge\text{-E}_2 (\text{axiom Z})) y_2)$

Disjunction and negation are defined similarly.

# The Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra is a Boolean algebra

## #TODO

- A Boolean algebra is a complemented distributive lattice
- LT is complemented distributive lattice
- Formalizing this in Cubical Agda (+superweakening)

# Applications

#TODO

- Soundness?
- Usefulness of doing algebra on logic?