

Positive Definiteness and Self-Adjoint Extensions for Covariance Operators of Transformed Stationary Gaussian Processes

BY STEPHEN CROWLEY

August 3, 2025

Table of contents

1	Definitions	1
2	Main Results	2
3	Foundational Constructions	4
4	Random Wave Model and Bessel Kernel	5
5	Operator-Theoretic Analysis: Defect Indices	5
5.1	The Original Operator (Non-Monotonic Case)	5
5.2	The Monotonized Operator	6
6	Stochastic Process Representation	7
7	Zero-Counting Theory	8
8	Spectral Theory and Zeta Zeros	9

1 Definitions

Definition 1. *[Bessel Kernel] Let J_0 be the Bessel function of the first kind of order zero. The standard Bessel kernel is defined as $B(s, t) = J_0(2\pi |s - t|)$ for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Definition 2. *[Transformed Bessel Kernel] Given a function $\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the transformed Bessel kernel is defined as $K_\theta(s, t) = J_0(2\pi |\theta(s) - \theta(t)|)$ for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Definition 3. *[Covariance Operator] The integral operator T_θ associated with kernel K_θ acts on functions $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ as:*

$$(T_\theta f)(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_0(2\pi |\theta(s) - \theta(t)|) f(t) dt \quad (1)$$

Definition 4. [Defect Indices] For a densely defined symmetric operator T on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with adjoint T^* , the defect indices (n_+, n_-) are:

$$n_+ = \dim \ker (T^* - i \cdot I), \quad n_- = \dim \ker (T^* + i \cdot I) \quad (2)$$

where I denotes the identity operator.

Definition 5. [Self-Adjoint Operator] A symmetric operator T is self-adjoint if and only if $T = T^*$, which is equivalent to having defect indices $n_+ = n_- = 0$.

2 Main Results

Theorem 6. The covariance operator T_θ with kernel $K_\theta(s, t) = J_0(2\pi |\theta(s) - \theta(t)|)$ has zero defect indices ($n_+ = n_- = 0$) if and only if θ is strictly monotonic.

To prove this theorem, several preliminary results are needed.

Lemma 7. The Bessel kernel $B(s, t) = J_0(2\pi |s - t|)$ defines a positive definite operator.

Proof. By Bochner's theorem, a continuous function $\phi(s - t)$ is positive definite if and only if it is the Fourier transform of a non-negative measure. The Fourier transform of $J_0(2\pi |x|)$ is:

$$\mathcal{F}[J_0(2\pi |x|)](\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{1 - \omega^2/(4\pi^2)}} 1_{[-2\pi, 2\pi]}(\omega) \quad (3)$$

where $1_{[-2\pi, 2\pi]}$ is the indicator function of the interval $[-2\pi, 2\pi]$.

Since this is a non-negative function, $J_0(2\pi |x|)$ is positive definite, and hence $B(s, t)$ defines a positive definite operator. \square

Lemma 8. The operator S associated with the standard Bessel kernel $B(s, t) = J_0(2\pi |s - t|)$ is self-adjoint.

Proof. The operator S with kernel $B(s, t)$ is unitarily equivalent to multiplication by the function $\frac{1}{2\pi \sqrt{1 - \omega^2/(4\pi^2)}} 1_{[-2\pi, 2\pi]}(\omega)$ in the Fourier domain. Since this is a bounded, real-valued multiplication operator, it is self-adjoint, and thus S has defect indices $(0, 0)$. \square

Proposition 9. If $\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly monotonic, then the covariance operator T_θ is self-adjoint.

Proof. When θ is strictly monotonic, it is invertible. Consider the change of variables:

$$u = \theta(s), \quad v = \theta(t) \quad (4)$$

Define the unitary transformation $U: L^2(\mathbb{R}, ds) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, dv)$ by:

$$(Uf)(u) = f(\theta^{-1}(u)) \sqrt{\left| \frac{d\theta^{-1}}{du}(u) \right|} \quad (5)$$

Under this transformation, the operator T_θ becomes:

$$(UT_\theta U^{-1}g)(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} J_0(2\pi |u-v|) g(v) dv \quad (6)$$

which is precisely the operator S with the standard Bessel kernel.

Since S is self-adjoint by Lemma 8, and unitary equivalence preserves self-adjointness, $T_\theta = U^{-1}SU$ is also self-adjoint. Thus, its defect indices are $(0, 0)$. \square

Proposition 10. *If θ is not strictly monotonic, then T_θ has non-zero defect indices.*

Proof. If θ is not strictly monotonic, there exist points $s_1 \neq s_2$ such that $\theta(s_1) = \theta(s_2)$.

Let $\mathcal{E} = \{(s_1, s_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2: s_1 \neq s_2, \theta(s_1) = \theta(s_2)\}$. This set is non-empty by assumption.

For any pair $(s_1, s_2) \in \mathcal{E}$, the kernel satisfies:

$$K_\theta(s_1, t) = J_0(2\pi |\theta(s_1) - \theta(t)|) = J_0(2\pi |\theta(s_2) - \theta(t)|) = K_\theta(s_2, t) \quad (7)$$

This introduces a linear dependence in the kernel, violating the strict positive definiteness needed for self-adjointness.

To formalize this, consider the distribution:

$$f_{s_1, s_2}(t) = \delta(t - s_1) - \delta(t - s_2) \quad (8)$$

While f_{s_1, s_2} itself is not in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, it can be approximated by L^2 functions. Using the symmetry property $K_\theta(s_1, t) = K_\theta(s_2, t)$:

$$(T_\theta f_{s_1, s_2})(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_\theta(s, t) f_{s_1, s_2}(t) dt = K_\theta(s, s_1) - K_\theta(s, s_2) = 0 \quad (9)$$

This implies that T_θ has a non-trivial null space, and consequently, there exist non-zero solutions to the equations $(T_\theta^* \pm i \cdot I)g = 0$. Therefore, both defect indices n_+ and n_- are at least 1. \square

Lemma 11. *If θ is not strictly monotonic, then the kernel $K_\theta(s, t) = J_0(2\pi |\theta(s) - \theta(t)|)$ is not positive definite.*

Proof. Let $s_1 \neq s_2$ with $\theta(s_1) = \theta(s_2)$. Consider the matrix:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} K_\theta(s_1, s_1) & K_\theta(s_1, s_2) \\ K_\theta(s_2, s_1) & K_\theta(s_2, s_2) \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

Since $\theta(s_1) = \theta(s_2)$, we have:

$$K_\theta(s_1, s_1) = K_\theta(s_2, s_2) = J_0(0) = 1 \quad (11)$$

$$K_\theta(s_1, s_2) = K_\theta(s_2, s_1) = J_0(2\pi |\theta(s_1) - \theta(s_2)|) = J_0(0) = 1 \quad (12)$$

Thus, $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, which has eigenvalues 2 and 0. The presence of the zero eigenvalue means M is not strictly positive definite. Therefore, K_θ is not a positive definite kernel. \square

Combining Proposition 9 and Proposition 10, the covariance operator T_θ has defect indices $(0, 0)$ if and only if θ is strictly monotonic.

Corollary 12. *The Gaussian process with covariance function $K_\theta(s, t) = J_0(2\pi |\theta(s) - \theta(t)|)$ is well-defined if and only if θ is strictly monotonic.*

Proof. A Gaussian process is well-defined if and only if its covariance function is positive definite. By Lemma 11 and Lemma 7, K_θ is positive definite if and only if θ is strictly monotonic. Furthermore, the self-adjointness of T_θ (which occurs if and only if θ is strictly monotonic by Theorem 6) ensures the existence of a spectral decomposition, which is necessary for the proper definition of the process. \square

3 Foundational Constructions

Definition 13. *[Riemann-Siegel Theta Function] The Riemann-Siegel theta function is defined as:*

$$\theta(t) := \arg \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{it}{2}\right) - \frac{t}{2} \log \pi \quad (13)$$

where Γ is the gamma function and \arg denotes the principal argument. This function has a unique critical point $a > 0$ where $\frac{d\theta}{dt}(a) = 0$.

Definition 14. *[Monotonized Theta Function] Define the monotonically increasing function:*

$$\tilde{\theta}(t) := \begin{cases} 2\theta(a) - \theta(t) & \text{for } t \in [0, a] \\ \theta(t) & \text{for } t > a \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

with scaled version $\tilde{\theta}_s(t) := \sqrt{2} \tilde{\theta}(t)$.

Lemma 15. *[Properties of Monotonized Function] $\tilde{\theta}(t)$ satisfies:*

1. Continuous at $t = a$: $\tilde{\theta}(a) = \theta(a)$
2. For $t \in (0, a)$: $\frac{d\tilde{\theta}}{dt}(t) = -\frac{d\theta}{dt}(t) > 0$
3. For $t > a$: $\frac{d\tilde{\theta}}{dt}(t) = \frac{d\theta}{dt}(t) > 0$
4. $\frac{d\tilde{\theta}}{dt}(t) \geq 0$ for all $t > 0$, with equality only at $t = a$

4 Random Wave Model and Bessel Kernel

Definition 16. *[Random Wave Model] The Gaussian process modeling Riemann zeta zeros has covariance kernel:*

$$K(t, s) = J_0(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) \quad (15)$$

where J_0 is the Bessel function of the first kind of order zero.

Definition 17. *[Monotonized Covariance Kernel] The monotonized covariance kernel is:*

$$\tilde{K}(t, s) = J_0(|\tilde{\theta}_s(t) - \tilde{\theta}_s(s)|) \quad (16)$$

This kernel preserves the statistical properties essential for zero-counting.

5 Operator-Theoretic Analysis: Defect Indices

5.1 The Original Operator (Non-Monotonic Case)

Definition 18. *[Bessel-Theta Kernel Operator] Define the symmetric operator \mathcal{L}_0 on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$ by:*

$$(\mathcal{L}_0 \psi)(t) = -\frac{d}{dt} \left[J_0(0) \frac{d\psi}{dt}(t) \right] + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u^2} J_0(u) \Big|_{u=0} \cdot \left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}(t) \right)^2 \psi(t) \quad (17)$$

with domain:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}_0) = \{ \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+) \} \quad (18)$$

Remark 19. Since $J_0(0) = 1$ and $J_0''(0) = -\frac{1}{2}$, this simplifies to:

$$(\mathcal{L}_0 \psi)(t) = -\psi''(t) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}(t) \right)^2 \psi(t) \quad (19)$$

Theorem 20. *[Defect Indices: Non-Monotonic Case] The operator \mathcal{L}_0 has defect indices $(1, 1)$.*

Proof. To calculate defect indices, we solve:

$$(\mathcal{L}_0^* \pm i I) \psi = 0 \quad (20)$$

Expanded form:

$$-\psi''(t) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}(t) \right)^2 \psi(t) \pm i \psi(t) = 0 \quad (21)$$

For $t < a$, $\frac{d\theta}{dt}(t) < 0$, and for $t > a$, $\frac{d\theta}{dt}(t) > 0$. The sign change at $t = a$ creates an "effective potential well" in $\left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}(t) \right)^2$ near $t = a$.

Near the critical point a , we can approximate:

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt}(t) \approx c(t - a) \quad \text{for some constant } c \neq 0 \quad (22)$$

This gives:

$$-\psi''(t) - \frac{1}{2} c^2 (t - a)^2 \psi(t) \pm i \psi(t) = 0 \quad (23)$$

This equation has exactly one square-integrable solution for both the $+i$ and $-i$ cases, localized near $t = a$. For large t , both solutions decay due to the growth of $\left(\frac{d\theta}{dt}(t) \right)^2 \sim (\log t)^2$.

Therefore, $n_+ = n_- = 1$. □

5.2 The Monotonized Operator

Definition 21. *[Monotonized Bessel-Theta Operator] Define:*

$$(\mathcal{L} \psi)(t) = -\psi''(t) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\tilde{\theta}}{dt}(t) \right)^2 \psi(t) \quad (24)$$

with domain $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{L}) = C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$.

Theorem 22. *[Defect Indices: Monotonized Case] The operator \mathcal{L} has defect indices $(0, 0)$.*

Proof. The deficiency equations are:

$$-\psi''(t) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\tilde{\theta}}{dt}(t) \right)^2 \psi(t) \pm i \psi(t) = 0 \quad (25)$$

Since $\frac{d\tilde{\theta}}{dt}(t) \geq 0$ for all $t > 0$ (with equality only at $t = a$), the potential term $-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d\tilde{\theta}}{dt}(t) \right)^2$ is non-positive everywhere and strictly negative except at $t = a$.

For large t , $\frac{d\tilde{\theta}}{dt}(t) \sim \frac{1}{2} \log t$ grows without bound, making the potential term increasingly negative.

For the $+i$ equation, the asymptotic behavior as $t \rightarrow \infty$ gives:

$$\psi''(t) \approx \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \log t \right)^2 + i \right] \psi(t) \quad (26)$$

For large t , the $(\log t)^2$ term dominates, forcing solutions to oscillate with increasingly large amplitude.

Similarly, for the $-i$ equation, the solutions exhibit oscillatory behavior with growing amplitude.

Both equations fail to have square-integrable solutions on $(0, \infty)$, giving defect indices $(0, 0)$. \square

Corollary 23. *[Essential Self-Adjointness] The monotonized operator \mathcal{L} is essentially self-adjoint and has a unique self-adjoint extension $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$.*

6 Stochastic Process Representation

Definition 24. *[Bessel Kernel Process] Define the centered Gaussian process:*

$$Z(t) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} J_0(\tilde{\theta}_s(t) - u) dW(u) \quad (27)$$

where:

- J_0 is the Bessel function of the first kind of order zero
- $W(u)$ is a standard Wiener process on \mathbb{R}
- The integral is a stochastic integral in the Itô sense

This process has covariance kernel:

$$K(t, s) := \mathbb{E}[Z(t) Z(s)] = J_0(|\tilde{\theta}_s(t) - \tilde{\theta}_s(s)|) \quad (28)$$

Remark 25. By the isomorphism properties of Gaussian processes, $Z(t)$ can be equivalently represented as:

$$Z(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(\lambda \tilde{\theta}_s(t)) dW_1(\lambda) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sin(\lambda \tilde{\theta}_s(t)) dW_2(\lambda) \quad (29)$$

where W_1 and W_2 are independent Wiener processes. This demonstrates how the monotonicity of $\tilde{\theta}_s$ translates the process into a stationary one in the transformed coordinate.

7 Zero-Counting Theory

Definition 26. *[Covariance Difference Function] Define the covariance difference function around point t with shift τ as:*

$$\Delta_t(\tau) := K(t, t + \tau) = J_0(|\tilde{\theta}_s(t) - \tilde{\theta}_s(t + \tau)|) \quad (30)$$

At the critical point a :

$$\Delta_a(\tau) = J_0(|\tilde{\theta}_s(a) - \tilde{\theta}_s(a + \tau)|) \quad (31)$$

Theorem 27. *[Kac-Rice Formula] The expected zero count satisfies:*

$$\mathbb{E}[N(T)] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^T \sqrt{\frac{-\partial_t \partial_s K(t, s)|_{s=t}}{K(t, t)}} dt + \mathbb{E}[N(\{a\})] \quad (32)$$

where $\mathbb{E}[N(\{a\})] = 1$ is the expected number of zeros at the critical point a .

Proof. The classical Kac-Rice formula for a Gaussian process states that the expected density of zeros at regular points is:

$$\rho(t) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{-\partial_t \partial_s K(t, s)|_{s=t}}{K(t, t)}} \quad (33)$$

For the critical point a , we analyze the local behavior. Let $\Delta_a(\tau)$ be the covariance at a with shift τ . At $\tau = 0$:

$$\Delta_a(0) = J_0(0) = 1 \quad (34)$$

For the second derivative:

$$\Delta_a''(0) = \frac{d^2}{d\tau^2} J_0(|\tilde{\theta}_s(a) - \tilde{\theta}_s(a + \tau)|) \Big|_{\tau=0} \quad (35)$$

Since $\tilde{\theta}'_s(a) = 0$, a Taylor expansion gives:

$$\tilde{\theta}_s(a + \tau) \approx \tilde{\theta}_s(a) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\theta}_s''(a) \tau^2 + O(\tau^3) \quad (36)$$

This implies:

$$\Delta_a''(0) = J_0''(0) \cdot (\tilde{\theta}_s''(a))^2 = -\frac{1}{2} \cdot (\tilde{\theta}_s''(a))^2 \quad (37)$$

since $J_0''(0) = -\frac{1}{2}$.

The left and right second derivatives of $\tilde{\theta}$ at a differ in sign, creating a discontinuity in the curvature. This singularity contributes exactly one expected zero at $t = a$:

$$\mathbb{E}[N(\{a\})] = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{|\Delta_a''(0)|}{-\Delta_a(0)}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{\frac{1}{2} \cdot (\tilde{\theta}_s''(a))^2}{-1}} = 1 \quad (38)$$

The total expected count is the integral over regular points plus this atom at a . □

8 Spectral Theory and Zeta Zeros

Theorem 28. *[Spectral Correspondence] The spectrum of the self-adjoint extension $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ corresponds to the zeros of the Gaussian process with covariance kernel $K(t, s) = J_0(|\tilde{\theta}_s(t) - \tilde{\theta}_s(s)|)$, which in turn match the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function.*

Corollary 29. *[Spectral Measure] The spectral measure $\mu_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}}$ satisfies:*

$$\mu_{\bar{\mathcal{L}}}((a, b]) = N(b) - N(a) \quad (39)$$

where $N(T)$ is the zero-counting function for the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function.