Uniformly Convergent Orthonormal Expansions for Positive Definite Functions

BY STEPHEN CROWLEY

stephencrowley214@gmail.com

September 16, 2024

Abstract

A method for deriving uniformly convergent orthonormal expansions for positivedefinite functions in the context of covariance functions of stationary(translationinvariant) Gaussian processes is presented.

Table of contents

1	Introduction: Stationary Gaussian Processes	1
	1.1 Polynomials Orthogonal wth Respect to the Spectral Density1.2 Null Space of the Inner Product Operator	
2	Orthonormal Expansion for the Kernel	3
3	Appendix	6
	3.1 Proofs & Lemmas	
R	ihliography	8

1 Introduction: Stationary Gaussian Processes

1.1 Polynomials Orthogonal wth Respect to the Spectral Density

Let C(x) be the covariance function of a stationary Gaussian process on $[0, \infty)$ which by definition must be positive definite. The integral covariance operator T is defined by:

$$(Tf)(x) = \int_0^\infty C(x - y) f(y) dy$$
 (1)

Let $S(\omega)$ be the spectral density related to C(x) by the Wiener-Khinchin theorem:

$$C(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega x} S(\omega) d\omega$$
 (2)

$$S(\omega) = \int_0^\infty C(x) e^{-ix\omega} dx$$
 (3)

Consider polynomials $\{p_n(\omega)\}\$ orthogonal with respect to $S(\omega)$:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(\omega) \, p_m(\omega) \, S(\omega) \, d\omega = \delta_{nm} \tag{4}$$

1.2 Null Space of the Inner Product Operator

Define $r_n(x)$ as the inverse Fourier transforms of $p_n(\omega)$:

$$r_n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(\omega) e^{i\omega x} d\omega$$
 (5)

Lemma 1. The functions $r_n(x)$ form the null space of the kernel inner product:

$$\int_0^\infty C(x) r_n(x) dx = 0$$
 (6)

Proof. Let C(x) and $r_n(x)$ be defined as:

$$C(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{iax} S(a) \, \mathrm{d}a \tag{7}$$

$$r_n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(b) e^{ibx} db$$
 (8)

where S(a) is the spectral density and $p_n(b)$ are orthogonal polynomials with respect to S(a). Note that C(x) and $r_n(x)$ are even functions, as they depend on the difference between two variables.

Substitute the definitions of C(x) and $r_n(x)$, and apply Fubini's theorem:

$$\int_0^\infty C(x) r_n(x) dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{iax} S(a) da \int_{-\infty}^\infty p_n(b) e^{ibx} db dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty p_n(b) S(a) \int_0^\infty e^{i(a+b)x} dx db da$$

Since C(x) and $r_n(x)$ are even functions, we can write:

$$\int_0^\infty C(x) r_n(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty C(x) r_n(x) dx$$
(9)

Now we have:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} C(x) r_n(x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(b) S(a) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(a+b)x} dx db da$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(b) S(a) \delta(a+b) db da$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(-a) S(a) da$$

By the orthogonality of $p_n(a)$ with respect to S(a), we conclude:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(-a) S(a) da = 0 \tag{10}$$

Thus,
$$\int_0^\infty C(x) r_n(x) dx = 0 \forall n$$
.

2 Orthonormal Expansion for the Kernel

By orthogonalizing the null space $\{r_n(x)\}$ to form its orthogonal complement, a pointwisely coverging expansion for C(x) is obtained

$$r_n^{\perp}(y) = \psi_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{nk} r_k(x) = r_n(y) - \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \frac{\langle r_n(y), r_m^{\perp}(y) \rangle}{\langle r_m^{\perp}(y), r_m^{\perp}(y) \rangle} r_m^{\perp}(y)$$

where the coefficients a_{nk} are given by:

$$a_{nk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k = n \\ -\sum_{j=k}^{n-1} a_{nj} \langle r_n, \psi_j \rangle & \text{if } k n \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n \end{cases}$$
 (11)

Lemma 2. The eigenvalues of the integral covariance operator (1) are given by

$$\lambda_n = \int_0^\infty C(z) \, \psi_n(z) \, \mathrm{d}z \tag{12}$$

Theorem. The expansion

$$C(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \psi_k(x)\lambda_k \tag{13}$$

converges uniformly.

Example 3. Let the kernel function be given by $K(t, s) = J_0(t - s)$ then identify the orthogonal polynomial sequence associated with the spectral density

$$S(\omega) = \int_0^\infty J_0(x) e^{ix\omega} dx = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \omega^2}} & \omega \in (-1, 1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
 (14)

as being the orthogonality measure of the Type-I Chebyshev polynomials $T_n(x)$ so that the orthogonal polynomial sequence is identified as

$$S_n(x) = T_n(x) \tag{15}$$

so that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} S_n(\omega) S_m(\omega) S(\omega) d\omega = \begin{cases} 0 & n \neq m \\ 2\pi & n = m = 0 \\ \pi & n = m \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(16)$$

The finite Fourier transforms of the Chebyshev polynomials[1] are just the usual infinite Fourier transforms with the integration restricted to the range -1...1 since $T_n(x) = 0 \forall x \notin [-1, 1]$). Equivalently, the spectral density function can be extended to take the value 0 outside the interval [-1, 1].

$$\hat{T}_{n}(y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixy} T_{n}(x) \, dy = \int_{-1}^{1} e^{-ixy} T_{n}(x) \, dx
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e_{2}^{-ixy} F_{1} \begin{pmatrix} n, -n \\ \frac{1}{2} | \frac{1}{2} - \frac{x}{2} \end{pmatrix} dx
= \frac{i}{y} (e^{-iy} F_{n}^{+}(y) - e^{i(\pi n + y)} F_{n}^{-}(y))$$
(17)

where

$$F_n^{\pm}(y) = {}_{3}F_{1} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1, & n, & -n \\ & & \frac{1}{2} & | \frac{\pm iy}{2} \end{array} \right)$$
 (18)

the spectral polynomials S_n are given by the Type-I Chebyshev polynomials

$$S_n(x) = T_n(x) \tag{19}$$

and their normalization is

$$Y_{n}(y) = \frac{\hat{T}_{n}(y)}{|\hat{T}_{n}|}$$

$$= \frac{i}{y} \left(\frac{e^{-iy} F_{n}^{+}(y) - e^{i(\pi n + y)} F_{n}^{-}(y)}{\sqrt{\frac{4(-1)^{n} \pi - (2n^{2} - 1)}{4n^{2} - 1}}} \right)$$
(20)

where the L^2 norm of $\hat{T}_n(y)$ is given by

$$|\hat{T}_n| = \sqrt{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{T}_n(y)^2 \, \mathrm{d}y}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{4(-1)^n \pi - (2n^2 - 1)}{4n^2 - 1}}$$
(21)

Definition 4. Let $j_n(x)$ is the spherical Bessel function of the first kind,

$$\begin{split} j_{n}(z) &= \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2\,z}}\,J_{n+\frac{1}{2}}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{z}}\left(\sin{(z)}\,R_{n,\frac{1}{2}}(z) - \cos{(z)}\,R_{n-1,\frac{3}{2}}(z)\right) \end{split} \tag{22}$$

where $R_{n,v}(z)$ are the (misnamed) Lommel polynomials

$$R_{n,v}(z) = \frac{\Gamma(n+v)}{\Gamma(v)} \left(\frac{2}{z}\right)_{2}^{n} F_{3}\left(\left[-\frac{n}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{n}{2}\right]; [v, -n, 1 - v - n]; -z^{2}\right)$$
(23)

where $_2F_3$ is a generalized hypergeometric function. The "Lommel polynomials" are actually rational functions of z, not polynomial; but rather "polynomial in $\frac{1}{z}$ ".

Theorem 5. The expansion

$$J_{0}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n} Y_{n}^{\perp}(x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{2n + \frac{1}{2}}{\pi}} (n+1)^{2}_{-\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{n} \sqrt{\frac{8n+2}{\pi}} j_{2n}(x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{4n+1}{\pi} (n+1)^{2}_{-\frac{1}{2}} (-1)^{n} j_{2n}(x)$$
(24)

converges uniformly $\forall x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ where $\lim_{x \to 0} = J_0(x) = 1$.

Proof. The proof is left as an excercise for the reader.

Remark 6. It would fantastic to know if the orthonormal basis for $J_0(x)$ could be used to find a Mercer expansion as in [3]

3 Appendix

3.1 Proofs & Lemmas

3.1.1 Unique Uniform Convergence of Eigenfunctions to Kernel

Theorem 7. Let $K(\alpha, \gamma)$ be a symmetric kernel defined on $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)$, and let $\{\phi_n(\alpha)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the set of orthonormal eigenfunctions of the integral equation

$$\phi(\alpha) = \lambda \int_0^\infty K(\alpha, \xi) \, \phi(\xi) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \tag{25}$$

with corresponding eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. The eigenfunctions satisfy the orthonormality condition:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \phi_{n}(\alpha) \, \phi_{m}(\alpha) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha = \delta_{nm} \tag{26}$$

where δ_{nm} is the Kronecker delta. Then, if the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n(\alpha) \,\phi_n(\gamma)}{\lambda_n} \tag{27}$$

is uniformly convergent for $0 \le \alpha, \gamma < \infty$, we have

$$K(\alpha, \gamma) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n(\alpha) \,\phi_n(\gamma)}{\lambda_n} \tag{28}$$

Proof. Let

$$S(\alpha, \gamma) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n(\alpha) \,\phi_n(\gamma)}{\lambda_n} \tag{29}$$

Consider the action of $S(\alpha, \gamma)$ on an eigenfunction $\phi_m(\gamma)$:

$$\int_0^\infty S(\alpha, \gamma) \, \phi_m(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma = \int_0^\infty \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\phi_n(\alpha) \, \phi_n(\gamma)}{\lambda_n} \, \phi_m(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma \tag{30}$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n(\alpha)}{\lambda_n} \int_0^{\infty} \phi_n(\gamma) \, \phi_m(\gamma) \, d\gamma$$
 (31)

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n(\alpha)}{\lambda_n} \delta_{nm} \tag{32}$$

$$=\frac{\phi_m(\alpha)}{\lambda_m} \tag{33}$$

The interchange of summation and integration is justified by the uniform convergence of the series. For the eigenfunction $\phi_m(\alpha)$:

$$\phi_m(\alpha) = \lambda_m \int_0^\infty K(\alpha, \gamma) \, \phi_m(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma \tag{34}$$

Comparing this with our result for $S(\alpha, \gamma)$, we see that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} S(\alpha, \gamma) \, \phi_{m}(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma = \int_{0}^{\infty} K(\alpha, \gamma) \, \phi_{m}(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma \tag{35}$$

for all eigenfunctions $\phi_m(\alpha)$. For any square-integrable function $f(\alpha)$:

$$f(\alpha) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m \, \phi_m(\alpha) \tag{36}$$

where $c_m = \int_0^\infty f(\gamma) \phi_m(\gamma) d\gamma$. Then:

$$\int_0^\infty S(\alpha, \gamma) f(\gamma) d\gamma = \int_0^\infty S(\alpha, \gamma) \sum_{m=0}^\infty c_m \phi_m(\gamma) d\gamma$$
(37)

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m \int_0^{\infty} S(\alpha, \gamma) \, \phi_m(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma$$
 (38)

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m \int_0^{\infty} K(\alpha, \gamma) \, \phi_m(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma$$
 (39)

$$= \int_0^\infty K(\alpha, \gamma) \sum_{m=0}^\infty c_m \, \phi_m(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma \tag{40}$$

$$= \int_0^\infty K(\alpha, \gamma) f(\gamma) d\gamma \tag{41}$$

Since this equality holds for all square-integrable functions $f(\alpha)$, we conclude that

$$S(\alpha, \gamma) = K(\alpha, \gamma) \tag{42}$$

To prove uniqueness, suppose there exists another expansion

$$K(\alpha, \gamma) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi_n(\alpha) \, \phi_n(\gamma)}{\lambda_n} + H(\alpha, \gamma)$$
 (43)

where $H(\alpha, \gamma)$ is a non-zero symmetric function. Then for any eigenfunction $\phi_m(\alpha)$:

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} H(\alpha, \gamma) \, \phi_{m}(\gamma) \, \mathrm{d}\gamma = 0 \tag{44}$$

This implies	$H(\alpha, \gamma)$	must	be	identically	zero,	contradicting	our	assumption.	Therefore,
the expansion	n is uniq	ue. [2.	?						

Bibliography

- [1] Atul Dixit, Lin Jiu, Victor H Moll, and Christophe Vignat. The finite fourier transform of classical polynomials. *Journal of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 98:145–160, 2015.
- [2] George Neville Watson Edmund Taylor Whittaker. A course of modern analysis: an introduction to the general theory of infinite processes and of analytic functions; with an account of the principal transcendental functions. University Press, 1920.
- [3] Toni Karvonen Filip Tronarp. Orthonormal expansions for translation-invariant kernels. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 302:106055, 2024.