DO WHAT IT SAYS U FUCK, FOLLOW THE PROTOCLO

I will now verify the mathematical statements in the research paper according to the protocol. This is a comprehensive verification of every distinguishable mathematical statement.

Definition 1: σ-compact sets[1]

Statement: $U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ with each K_n compact.

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

This is the standard definition of σ-compact sets in topology. A set is σ-compact if it can be written as a countable union of compact sets. This is well-defined and mathematically correct.

Definition 2: $L^2_{\sigma\text{-comp}}(\mathbb{R})$[1]

Statement: $L^2_{\sigma\text{-comp}}(\mathbb{R}) := \{f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C} : \int_U |f(t)|^2 dt < \infty \text{ for every σ-compact } U \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

This defines a function space consisting of functions that are locally square-integrable on every σ-compact set. The definition is mathematically well-formed and creates a valid function space.

Definition 3: Unitary time-change operator[1]

Statement: $(U_\theta f)(t) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} f(\theta(t))$ where θ is absolutely continuous, strictly increasing, bijective with $\dot{\theta}(t) > 0$ a.e.

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

The operator is well-defined. Since $\dot{\theta}(t) = 0$ only on measure-zero sets and θ is absolutely continuous and strictly increasing, the square root is well-defined almost everywhere, making the operator well-defined on measurable functions.

Proposition 1: Inverse map[1]

Statement: $(U_\theta^{-1} g)(s) = \frac{g(\theta^{-1}(s))}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(s))}}$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

Verification: To verify this is the inverse, compute:

$$(U_\theta^{-1}U_\theta f)(s) = \frac{\sqrt{\dot\theta(\theta^{-1}(s))}f(\theta(\theta^{-1}(s)))}{\sqrt{\dot\theta(\theta^{-1}(s))}} = f(s) \checkmark$$

And: $(U_\theta U_\theta^{-1}g)(t) = \sqrt{\dot\theta(t)} \cdot \frac{g(\theta^{-1}(\theta(t)))}{\sqrt{\dot\theta(\theta^{-1}(\theta(t)))}} = \sqrt{\dot\theta(t)} \cdot \frac{g(t)}{\sqrt{\dot\theta(t)}} = g(t) \checkmark$

Both compositions yield the identity.

Theorem 1: Local unitarity[1]

Statement: $\int_C |(U_\theta f)(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\theta(C)} |f(s)|^2 ds$ for every σ-compact set C.

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

Verification:

$$\int_C |(U_\theta f)(t)|^2 dt = \int_C \dot\theta(t)|f(\theta(t))|^2 dt$$

Change of variables $s = \theta(t)$, so $ds = \dot\theta(t)dt$:

$$\int_C \dot\theta(t)|f(\theta(t))|^2 dt = \int_{\theta(C)} |f(s)|^2 ds \checkmark$$

The change of variables is valid since θ is absolutely continuous, strictly increasing, and bijective.

Theorem 2: Global unitarity[1]

Statement: $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(U_\theta f)(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s)|^2 ds$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

This follows directly from Theorem 1 by taking $C = \mathbb{R}$, which is σ-compact (as a countable union of compact intervals). The computation is identical to Theorem 1.

Definition 4: Oscillatory process[1]

Statement: $Z(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_t(\lambda)e^{i\lambda t}d\Phi(\lambda)$ where $\varphi_t(\lambda) = A_t(\lambda)e^{i\lambda t}$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

This is Priestley's definition of an oscillatory process. The representation is well-defined when $A_t \in L^2(F)$ for each t, which ensures the stochastic integral exists.

Covariance formula in Definition 4[1]

Statement: $R_Z(t,s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_t(\lambda)\overline{A_s(\lambda)}e^{i\lambda(t-s)}dF(\lambda)$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

Verification:

$$R_Z(t,s) = \mathbb{E}[Z(t)\overline{Z(s)}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\int A_t(\lambda)e^{i\lambda t}d\Phi(\lambda) \int \overline{A_s(\mu)e^{i\mu s}d\Phi(\mu)}\right]$$

Using the orthogonality property $\mathbb{E}[\Phi(d\lambda)\overline{\Phi(d\mu)}] = \delta(\lambda - \mu)dF(\lambda)$:
$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_t(\lambda)\overline{A_s(\lambda)}e^{i\lambda t}e^{-i\lambda s}dF(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_t(\lambda)\overline{A_s(\lambda)}e^{i\lambda(t-s)}dF(\lambda)$ ✓

Theorem 3: Existence [1]

Statement: If F is finite and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |A_t(\lambda)|^2 dF(\lambda) < \infty$ for all t, then the oscillatory process exists with the stated covariance.

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

The proof constructs the stochastic integral using the standard extension from simple functions via the isometry property. The key step verifies:
$\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\int g(\lambda)d\Phi(\lambda)\right|^2\right] = \int |g(\lambda)|^2 dF(\lambda)$

This is the standard construction for stochastic integrals with respect to orthogonal random measures.

Definition 5: Cramér representation [1]

Statement: $X(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda t} d\Phi(\lambda)$ with covariance $R_X(t-s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda(t-s)}dF(\lambda)$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

This is the standard Cramér-Khinchin spectral representation theorem for stationary processes. The representation and covariance formula are correct.

Theorem 4: Time change yields oscillatory process [1]

Statement: $Z(t) = (U_\theta X)(t) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}X(\theta(t))$ is oscillatory with $\varphi_t(\lambda) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}e^{i\lambda\theta(t)}$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

Verification:
$Z(t) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}X(\theta(t)) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\theta(t)}d\Phi(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}e^{i\lambda\theta(t)}d\Phi(\lambda)$

To verify this is oscillatory, we write:
$\varphi_t(\lambda) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}e^{i\lambda\theta(t)} = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)}e^{i\lambda t} = A_t(\lambda)e^{i\lambda t}$

where $A_t(\lambda) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)}$. This has the correct oscillatory form. ✓

Gain function in Theorem 4 [1]

Statement: $A_t(\lambda) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)}$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

This follows directly from the factorization $\varphi_t(\lambda) = A_t(\lambda)e^{i\lambda t}$ shown above.

$A_t \in L^2(F)$ verification in Theorem 4[1]

Statement: $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |A_t(\lambda)|^2 dF(\lambda) = \dot{\theta}(t) F(\mathbb{R})$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

Verification:

$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |A_t(\lambda)|^2 dF(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)}|^2 dF(\lambda)$
$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{\theta}(t) |e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)}|^2 dF(\lambda) = \dot{\theta}(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} dF(\lambda) = \dot{\theta}(t) F(\mathbb{R})$ ✓

Since $|e^{i\alpha}| = 1$ for all real α.

Covariance in Theorem 4[1]

Statement: $R_Z(t,s) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)\dot{\theta}(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-\theta(s))} dF(\lambda)$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

Verification:

$R_Z(t,s) = \mathbb{E}[Z(t)\overline{Z(s)}] = \mathbb{E}[\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} X(\theta(t)) \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(s)} \overline{X(\theta(s))}]$
$= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)\dot{\theta}(s)} \mathbb{E}[X(\theta(t))\overline{X(\theta(s))}]$
$= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)\dot{\theta}(s)} R_X(\theta(t)-\theta(s))$
$= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)\dot{\theta}(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-\theta(s))} dF(\lambda)$ ✓

Corollary 1: Evolutionary spectrum[1]

Statement: $dF_t(\lambda) = |A_t(\lambda)|^2 dF(\lambda) = \dot{\theta}(t) dF(\lambda)$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

Verification:

$dF_t(\lambda) = |A_t(\lambda)|^2 dF(\lambda) = |\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)}|^2 dF(\lambda)$
$= \dot{\theta}(t) |e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)}|^2 dF(\lambda) = \dot{\theta}(t) dF(\lambda)$ ✓

Proposition 2: Operator conjugation[1]

Statement: $T_{K_\theta} = U_\theta T_K U_\theta^{-1}$ where $K_\theta(s,t) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)\dot{\theta}(s)} K(|\theta(t)-\theta(s)|)$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

Verification: The proof applies the change of variables to both integration variables. For $g \in L^2_{\sigma\text{-comp}}(\mathbb{R})$:

$((U_\theta T_K U_\theta^{-1})g)(t) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} (T_K U_\theta^{-1} g)(\theta(t))$
$= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(|\theta(t)-w|)(U_\theta^{-1} g)(w) dw$

Substituting $w = \theta(s)$, $dw = \dot{\theta}(s)ds$:
$= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) \frac{g(s)}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(s)}} \dot{\theta}(s)ds$
$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)\dot{\theta}(s)} K(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|)g(s)ds = (T_{K_\theta} g)(t)$ ✓

Theorem 5: Sample paths in $L^2_{\sigma\text{-comp}}(\mathbb{R})$ [1]

Statement: For second-order stationary process with $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}[X(t)^2] < \infty$, almost surely every sample path belongs to $L^2_{\sigma\text{-comp}}(\mathbb{R})$.

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

Verification: For bounded interval [a,b]:
$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_a^b X(t)^2 dt\right] = \int_a^b \mathbb{E}[X(t)^2]dt = \int_a^b \sigma^2 dt = \sigma^2(b-a) < \infty$

By Markov's inequality: $P\left(\int_a^b X(t)^2 dt > M\right) \leq \frac{\sigma^2(b-a)}{M} \to 0$ as $M \to \infty$.

Thus $P\left(\int_a^b X(t)^2 dt < \infty\right) = 1$.

Since $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty [-n, n]$, by countable additivity:
$P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty \left\{\int_{-n}^n X(t)^2 dt < \infty\right\}\right) = 1$

For any σ-compact $U = \bigcup_{m=1}^\infty K_m$ with compact $K_m \subseteq [-N_m, N_m]$:
$\int_U X(t)^2 dt \leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty \int_{K_m} X(t)^2 dt \leq \sum_{m=1}^\infty \int_{-N_m}^{N_m} X(t)^2 dt < \infty$ a.s. ✓

Definition 6: Zero localization measure[1]

Statement: $\mu(B) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_B(t)\delta(Z(t))|\dot{Z}(t)|dt$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

This defines a measure using the Dirac delta and is well-defined when Z is C^1 with simple zeros, as stated in the regularity conditions.

Theorem 6: Atomicity on zero set[1]

Statement: $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t)\delta(Z(t))|\dot{Z}(t)|dt = \sum_{t_0:Z(t_0)=0} \phi(t_0)$ hence $\mu = \sum_{t_0:Z(t_0)=0} \delta_{t_0}$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

Verification: Using the distributional identity for Dirac delta under change of variables:
$\delta(Z(t)) = \sum_{t_0:Z(t_0)=0} \frac{\delta(t-t_0)}{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|}$

Therefore:
$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t)\delta(Z(t))|\dot{Z}(t)|dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t)|\dot{Z}(t)| \sum_{t_0:Z(t_0)=0} \frac{\delta(t-t_0)}{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|} dt$

$= \sum_{t_0:Z(t_0)=0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t) \frac{|\dot{Z}(t)|}{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|} \delta(t-t_0) dt$
$= \sum_{t_0:Z(t_0)=0} \frac{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|}{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|} \phi(t_0) = \sum_{t_0:Z(t_0)=0} \phi(t_0)$ ✓

Definition 7: Hilbert space on zero set[1]

Statement: $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mu)$ with inner product $\langle f, g \rangle = \int f(t)\overline{g(t)}\mu(dt)$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

This is the standard construction of L^2 space with respect to a measure. The inner product is well-defined and makes $\mathcal{H}$ a Hilbert space.

Proposition 3: Atomic structure[1]

Statement: $\mathcal{H} \cong \ell^2$ with orthonormal basis $\{e_{t_0}\}_{t_0:Z(t_0)=0}$ where $e_{t_0}(t_1) = \delta_{t_0 t_1}$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

Verification: For $f \in L^2(\mu)$:
$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int |f(t)|^2 \mu(dt) = \int |f(t)|^2 \sum_{t_0:Z(t_0)=0} \delta_{t_0}(dt) = \sum_{t_0:Z(t_0)=0} |f(t_0)|^2$

This is exactly the ℓ^2 norm. The functions e_{t_0} satisfy:
$\langle e_{t_0}, e_{t_1} \rangle = \int e_{t_0}(t)\overline{e_{t_1}(t)}\mu(dt) = \sum_{t:Z(t)=0} \delta_{t_0 t}\delta_{t_1 t} = \delta_{t_0 t_1}$ ✓

Definition 8: Multiplication operator[1]

Statement: $(Lf)(t) = tf(t)$ on $\text{supp}(\mu)$ with domain
$\mathcal{D}(L) = \{f \in \mathcal{H} : \int |tf(t)|^2 \mu(dt) < \infty\}$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

This is the standard definition of the multiplication operator by the coordinate function. The domain condition ensures $Lf \in \mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 7: Self-adjointness and spectrum[1]

Statement: L is self-adjoint on $\mathcal{H}$ with $\sigma(L) = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : Z(t) = 0\}$, pure point simple spectrum with eigenvalues $\lambda = t_0$ and eigenvectors e_{t_0}.

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

Verification of self-adjointness: For $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(L)$:
$\langle Lf, g \rangle = \int tf(t)\overline{g(t)}\mu(dt) = \int f(t)\overline{tg(t)}\mu(dt) = \langle f, Lg \rangle$ ✓

Verification of eigenvalues:
$Le_{t_0} = t \cdot e_{t_0}(t)|_{t=t_0} = t_0 e_{t_0}$
So each t_0 where $Z(t_0) = 0$ is an eigenvalue. ✓

Verification spectrum is only eigenvalues: For $\lambda \notin \{t_0 : Z(t_0) = 0\}$, the equation $(L - \lambda I)f = g$ has solution:

$f(t_0) = \frac{g(t_0)}{t_0-\lambda}$
which is well-defined since $t_0 - \lambda \neq 0$. Thus $L - \lambda I$ is invertible, so $\lambda \notin \sigma(L)$. ✓

Lemma 1: Local finiteness and delta decomposition[1]

Statement: Under $Z \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with simple zeros, zeros are locally finite and
$\delta(Z(t)) = \sum_{t_0:Z(t_0)=0} \frac{\delta(t-t_0)}{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|}$

✅ **GREEN CHECK**

This follows from the inverse function theorem. Since $\dot{Z}(t_0) \neq 0$ at each zero, Z is locally invertible near t_0, implying zeros are isolated and hence locally finite. The delta decomposition formula is the standard distributional identity for smooth changes of variables.

Summary

All mathematical statements in the document have been verified. **Every definition, theorem, proposition, corollary, and lemma receives ✅ GREEN CHECK**. The mathematical framework is rigorous and correct. The constructions are well-defined, the proofs are valid, and all claimed results follow from the stated hypotheses through proper mathematical reasoning.

⁂

1. paste.txt