

# Interval-Dependent Covariance Parametrization and Complex-Analytic Time Change

Stephen Crowley

Dec 9th, 2025

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\{X(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  be a real-valued, second-order Gaussian process that is non-stationary in the sense of Priestley. Such processes are *oscillatory* and have separable linear span in  $L^2$ . We study how the covariance structure of  $X$  over finite intervals can be parametrized by a two-parameter family of kernels, and how this parametrization connects to an underlying complex-analytic time-change via Wirtinger and areolar derivatives.

## 2 Setup and interval-dependent parametrization

### 2.1 Empirical autocovariance

Fix a bounded interval  $[S, T] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and assume  $X$  is observed on a discrete grid  $\{t_0, \dots, t_{n-1}\} \subset [S, T]$  with uniform spacing  $\Delta t$ . The *empirical autocovariance* at lag index  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  is

$$\widehat{C}_{[S,T]}(k) = \frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-1} (X(t_j) - \bar{X})(X(t_{j+k}) - \bar{X}),$$

where  $\bar{X}$  is the sample mean over the interval.

### 2.2 Parametric kernel hypothesis

Suppose there exists a fixed kernel  $K : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , depending only on the lag  $h = t - s$ , such that on each finite interval  $[S, T]$ , the second-order structure of  $X$  is well-approximated by a two-parameter family

$$C_{A,B}(s, t) = A K(B(t - s)), \quad s, t \in [S, T],$$

for scalars  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$  depending on the interval. Here:

- $K(h)$  captures a universal oscillatory shape (stationary covariance structure);
- $A$  encodes interval-dependent variance/amplitude scaling;
- $B$  encodes interval-dependent time-scale (frequency) scaling.

### 2.3 Best-fit parameters via least squares

Fix a finite set of lags  $\mathcal{L} = \{k_1, \dots, k_m\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  with corresponding lag values  $h_k = k\Delta t$ . Define the residual at lag  $k$  by

$$r_k(A, B) = \hat{C}_{[S, T]}(k) - A K(Bh_k),$$

and the mean square error

$$\text{MSE}_{[S, T]}(A, B) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{L}|} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{L}} r_k(A, B)^2.$$

**Definition 1.** For each interval  $[S, T]$  with  $S < T$ , define  $(A(S, T), B(S, T))$  to be any minimizer

$$(A(S, T), B(S, T)) \in \arg \min_{(A, B) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \text{MSE}_{[S, T]}(A, B).$$

This defines a mapping

$$\Phi : \{(S, T) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : S < T\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \Phi(S, T) = (A(S, T), B(S, T)),$$

which assigns to each choice of interval endpoints a pair of scalars giving the best-fit covariance kernel.

### 2.4 Reparametrization by midpoint and length

Define

$$M = \frac{S + T}{2}, \quad L = T - S > 0.$$

Then

$$\Psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \Psi(M, L) = (A(M, L), B(M, L)),$$

with

$$A(M, L) = A(M - L/2, M + L/2), \quad B(M, L) = B(M - L/2, M + L/2).$$

Empirically, one may observe simple functional forms, e.g.

$$A(M, L) \approx \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 M + \alpha_2 L, \quad B(M, L) \approx \beta_0 + \beta_1 M + \beta_2 L,$$

indicating that the non-stationarity manifests as a systematic linear variation of variance and time scale with interval location and length.

## 3 Optimization: Newton convergence

### 3.1 Gradient

Compute partial derivatives of the residuals:

$$\frac{\partial r_k}{\partial A} = -K(Bh_k), \quad \frac{\partial r_k}{\partial B} = -A K'(Bh_k) h_k.$$

The gradient of  $\text{MSE}(A, B)$  is

$$\nabla \text{MSE} = \frac{2}{|\mathcal{L}|} \begin{pmatrix} -\sum_k r_k(A, B) K(Bh_k) \\ -\sum_k r_k(A, B) A K'(Bh_k) h_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

### 3.2 Hessian

The second derivatives are

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2 \text{MSE}}{\partial A^2} &= \frac{2}{|\mathcal{L}|} \sum_k K(Bh_k)^2, \\ \frac{\partial^2 \text{MSE}}{\partial A \partial B} &= \frac{2}{|\mathcal{L}|} \sum_k [A K'(Bh_k) h_k K(Bh_k) - r_k(A, B) K'(Bh_k) h_k], \\ \frac{\partial^2 \text{MSE}}{\partial B^2} &= \frac{2}{|\mathcal{L}|} \sum_k [A^2 K'(Bh_k)^2 h_k^2 - r_k(A, B) A K''(Bh_k) h_k^2].\end{aligned}$$

Assemble the Hessian matrix  $H(A, B)$ .

### 3.3 Newton iteration

Newton's method updates

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{n+1} \\ B_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_n \\ B_n \end{pmatrix} - H(A_n, B_n)^{-1} \nabla \text{MSE}(A_n, B_n).$$

**Proposition 1** (Local convergence). *Near the true minimizer  $(A^*, B^*)$ , if  $K$  is  $C^2$  and the residuals  $r_k(A^*, B^*)$  are small, then the Hessian is dominated by the sum-of-squares terms*

$$\sum_k K(B^* h_k)^2 > 0, \quad \sum_k A^{*2} K'(B^* h_k)^2 h_k^2 > 0,$$

which ensure  $H(A^*, B^*)$  is positive definite. Standard Newton–Kantorovich theory then guarantees quadratic convergence from any starting point sufficiently close to  $(A^*, B^*)$ .

## 4 Complex-analytic interpretation via Wirtinger and areolar derivatives

The interval-dependent parameters  $(A, B)$  have a deeper origin in an underlying *complex-analytic time change*. Instead of a real monotone time-reparametrization, we posit a map  $F : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that the process  $X$  arises by pulling back a stationary Gaussian process through  $F$ .

### 4.1 Wirtinger derivatives and local metric scaling

For a map  $F(z)$  of a complex variable  $z = x + iy$ , define the Wirtinger derivatives

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \right), \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial F}{\partial y} \right).$$

If  $F$  is holomorphic, then  $\partial F / \partial \bar{z} = 0$  and  $\partial F / \partial z = F'(z)$  is the complex derivative.

**Key fact:** At a point  $z_0$ , the map  $F$  locally scales the Euclidean metric by

$$|dF|^2 \approx |F'(z_0)|^2 |dz|^2.$$

Hence  $|F'(z)|^2$  encodes the *local variance scaling* induced by  $F$ , and  $|F'(z)|$  encodes the *local length/time-scale scaling*.

## 4.2 Stationary process pullback

Suppose  $Y(w)$  is a stationary Gaussian process indexed by  $w \in \mathbb{C}$  with covariance kernel  $K(w - w')$  that depends only on the lag. Define

$$X(z) = Y(F(z)).$$

Near a point  $z_0$ , for small real displacement  $h$ ,

$$F(z_0 + h) - F(z_0) \approx F'(z_0) h.$$

Hence the covariance of  $X$  near  $z_0$  is

$$\mathbb{E}[X(z_0) X(z_0 + h)] = \mathbb{E}[Y(F(z_0)) Y(F(z_0 + h))] \approx K(F'(z_0) h),$$

assuming the variance of  $Y$  is constant. If the variance of  $Y$  itself varies as  $\sigma^2(w)$ , then

$$\mathbb{E}[X(z_0) X(z_0 + h)] \approx \sigma^2(F(z_0)) K(F'(z_0) h).$$

This is *exactly* of the form

$$A(z_0) K(B(z_0) h),$$

with

$$A(z_0) = \sigma^2(F(z_0)), \quad B(z_0) = |F'(z_0)|.$$

## 4.3 Areolar derivatives and averaged second-order behavior

The *areolar derivative* (Pompeiu derivative) generalizes the usual complex derivative by probing average behavior over small discs instead of pointwise quotients. For a function  $F$ , the areolar derivative at  $z_0$  is defined roughly by

$$F^\circ(z_0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi \varepsilon^2} \int_{|w-z_0|<\varepsilon} (F(w) - F(z_0)) dA(w),$$

where  $dA$  is area measure.

For covariance, which is inherently a second-order (mean-square) object, the areolar derivative provides the correct notion of “averaged infinitesimal distortion.” In particular,  $|F^\circ(z)|^2$  describes the local *area-averaged variance scaling*.

If  $A(S, T)$  is the best-fit amplitude over the interval  $[S, T]$ , then in a continuous model one expects

$$A(S, T) \approx \int_S^T \rho_F(t) dt, \quad \text{where } \rho_F(t) = |F^\circ(t)|^2.$$

Similarly, the average time-scale scaling  $B(S, T)$  should correspond to a suitable average of  $|F'(t)|$  over the interval.

## 4.4 Reconstruction strategy

Given empirically fitted  $(A(S, T), B(S, T))$  for many intervals:

1. Interpret  $A(M, L)$  as an interval average of a local variance density  $\rho_F(t)$ .
2. Interpret  $B(M, L)$  as an interval average of the modulus  $|F'(t)|$  of the complex derivative.

3. Invert: solve for a smooth function  $\rho_F(t)$  (or equivalently  $|F^\circ(t)|^2$ ) and  $|F'(t)|$  such that averaging them over  $[S, T]$  reproduces the observed  $A(S, T)$  and  $B(S, T)$ .

4. Use the relation

$$F'(t) = B(t) e^{i\phi(t)},$$

where  $\phi(t)$  is an unknown phase, to reconstruct  $F$  up to a global phase ambiguity by integrating

$$F(t) = F(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t F'(s) ds.$$

This yields an explicit complex map  $F$  whose Wirtinger and areolar derivatives encode the observed modulation of variance and time-scale, replacing the ad hoc monotonized Riemann–Siegel  $\theta$  function with a principled complex-analytic time change.

## 5 Connection to the Riemann zeta function

In the context of modeling the zeros of  $\zeta(1/2 + it)$ , the smooth zero-counting function is

$$N(T) = \frac{\theta(T)}{\pi} + 1 + S(T),$$

where  $\theta(T)$  is the Riemann–Siegel theta function and  $S(T)$  is the  $S$ -function (argument of  $\zeta$ ). The original conjecture was to use a monotonized version of  $\theta(T)$  as the time change to map a stationary process into one matching the zero density.

The revised approach via Wirtinger/areolar derivatives suggests:

- The correct time change  $F$  is not merely a monotonized real function, but a *complex map* whose local metric properties (encoded in  $F'$  and  $F^\circ$ ) reproduce the observed  $A$  and  $B$  scalings.
- The phase structure of  $F$  should encode the oscillatory behavior of  $\arg \zeta$ , while the modulus  $|F'|$  encodes the local density of zeros.
- Empirically fitting  $A(M, L)$  and  $B(M, L)$  over many intervals and inverting to find  $\rho_F(t)$  and  $|F'(t)|$  gives a data-driven way to determine  $F$ .

## 6 Computational implementation in ARB4J

Given the above framework, a practical workflow using ARB4J (high-precision arbitrary ball arithmetic for Java) is:

1. **Precompute time-change inverse:** Build a discretized inverse table for the candidate  $F$  (or its real part) over the domain  $[0, 200]$  or other relevant range. This allows instant lookup evaluation of  $t \mapsto F(t)$ .
2. **Sample intervals:** For a grid of intervals  $\{[S_i, T_i]\}$ , compute the empirical autocovariance  $\widehat{C}_{[S_i, T_i]}(k)$  for each lag  $k \in \mathcal{L}$ .
3. **Fit  $(A_i, B_i)$ :** For each interval, use Newton iteration with high-precision arithmetic to minimize  $\text{MSE}_{[S_i, T_i]}(A, B)$  and record  $(A_i, B_i)$ .

4. **Chart the relationships:** Plot  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  against the midpoint  $M_i = (S_i + T_i)/2$  and length  $L_i = T_i - S_i$ . Identify functional forms (e.g., linear, logarithmic).
5. **Infer  $\rho_F(t)$  and  $|F'(t)|$ :** Using the relationship

$$A(M, L) \approx \int_{M-L/2}^{M+L/2} \rho_F(t) dt, \quad B(M, L) \approx \frac{1}{L} \int_{M-L/2}^{M+L/2} |F'(t)| dt,$$

invert (via differentiation or deconvolution) to extract the pointwise functions  $\rho_F(t)$  and  $|F'(t)|$ .

6. **Reconstruct  $F$ :** Integrate  $F'(t) = B(t) e^{i\phi(t)}$  to obtain  $F(t)$  up to a phase function  $\phi(t)$ , which can be determined from additional geometric or oscillatory constraints (e.g., matching the argument of  $\zeta$ ).

This yields an explicit, data-validated complex map  $F$  encoding the non-stationarity as a unitary (or quasi-unitary) time change with rigorous complex-analytic structure.

## 7 Conclusion

The observation that a non-stationary oscillatory process has empirical autocovariance of the form  $A(S, T) K(B(S, T)(t - s))$  on every finite interval, with  $A$  and  $B$  depending linearly on the interval endpoints (sum and difference), is a strong structural signature. It indicates:

1. The process is a unitarily time-changed stationary Gaussian process, or a close approximation thereof.
2. The time change can be realized via a complex-analytic map  $F$  whose Wirtinger and areolar derivatives encode the observed variance and time-scale modulations.
3. The correct  $F$  is not an ad hoc monotonization of a real function, but a map whose complex derivative structure is determined by the data through the best-fit  $(A, B)$  parameters.

By implementing the fitting procedure in high-precision arithmetic (ARB4J), one can empirically determine  $A(M, L)$  and  $B(M, L)$  to high accuracy, chart their dependence on interval geometry, and invert to reconstruct the underlying complex time-change map  $F$  that governs the oscillatory structure of the process.