STOCHASTIC PROCESSES AS CURVES IN HILBERT SPACE

BY HARALD CRAMÉR

Table of contents

1 Introduction

In this paper the theory of spectral multiplicity in a separable Hilbert space will be applied to the study of stochastic processes $x(t)$, where $x(t)$ is a complex-valued random variable with a finite second-order moment, while the parameter t may take any real values.

For an account of multiplicity theory one may refer to Chapter 7 of Stone's book [12] which deals with the case of a separable space. The treatment of the subject found e.g. in the books by Halmos [6] and Nakano [10] is mainly concerned with the more general and considerably more intricate case of a non-separable space.

The considerations will apply to certain classes of curves in a purely abstract Hilbert space, and it is only a question of terminology when, throughout this paper, the discussion confines itself to that particular realization of Hilbert space which has proved useful in probability theory.

It is finally observed that all statements may be directly generalized to the case of vector processes of the form $(x_1(t), \ldots, x_k(t))$.

2 Basic Definitions and Auxiliary Concepts

In Sections 2–4 some basic definitions and some auxiliary concepts will now be introduced.

Consider the set of all complex-valued random variables x defined on a fixed probability space and satisfying the relations

$$E\{|x|^2\} < \infty \tag{1}$$

Two variables x and y will be regarded as identical if

$$E\{|x - y|^2\} = 0 \tag{2}$$

The set of all these variables forms a Hilbert space H, if the inner product is defined in the usual way:

$$(x, y) = E\{x\,\bar{y}\} \tag{3}$$

Convergence of sequences of random variables will always be understood as strong convergence in the topology thus introduced, i.e. as convergence in quadratic mean according to probability terminology.

If for every real t a random variable $x(t) \in H$ is given, the set of variables $x(t)$ may be regarded as a stochastic process with continuous time t, or, alternatively, as a curve C in the Hilbert space H. It is well known that various properties of stochastic processes have been studied by regarding them as curves in H (cf., e.g., [7], [8], [13], [11], [4], [1]).

The subspaces of H associated with the $x(t)$ curve or process are defined by writing

$$H(x) = S\{x(u), -\infty < u < \infty\} \tag{4}$$
$$H(x, t) = S\{x(u), u \leq t\} \tag{5}$$
$$H(x, -\infty) = \bigcap_t H(x, t) \tag{6}$$

Here $S\{\cdot\}$ denotes the subspace of H spanned by the random variables indicated between the brackets.

Evidently $H(x)$ is the smallest subspace of H which contains the whole curve C generated by the $x(t)$ process, while $H(x, t)$ is the smallest subspace containing the "arc" of C formed by all points $x(u)$ with $u \leq t$. If the parameter t is interpreted as time, $H(x, t)$ will represent the "past and present" of the $x(t)$ process from the point of view of the instant t, while $H(x, -\infty)$ represents the "infinitely remote past" of the process.

For the processes $y(t)$, $z(t)$, ... analogous notations $H(y, t)$, $H(z, t)$, ... are used for subspaces defined in the corresponding way.

In the sequel only stochastic processes $x(t) \in H$ which are assumed to satisfy the following conditions (A) and (B) will be considered:

1. The subspace $H(x, -\infty)$ contains only the zero element of H.

2. For all t the limits $x(t+0)$ exist and $x(t-0) = x(t)$.

The condition (A) implies that $x(t)$ is a regular, or purely non-deterministic process (cf. [2]). From (B) it follows, as shown in [2], that the space $H(x)$ is separable, and that the $x(t)$ curve has at most an enumerable number of discontinuities. The condition $x(t-0) = x(t)$ is not essential, and is introduced here only in order to avoid some trivial complications.

As t increases through real values, the subspaces $H(x,t)$ will obviously form a never decreasing family. For a fixed finite t the union of all $H(x,u)$ with $u < t$ may not be a closed set, but if $H(x, t-0)$ is defined as the closure of this union, it is easily proved that $H(x, t-0) = H(x,t)$ always holds. Similarly, the union of the $H(x,u)$ for all real u may not be closed, but if $H(x, +\infty)$ is defined as its closure, then $H(x, +\infty) = H(x)$.

Suppose that a certain time point t is such that for all $h > 0$

$$H(x, t-h) \neq H(x, t+h) \tag{7}$$

Every time interval $t - h < u < t + h$ will then contain at least one $x(u)$ not included in $H(x, t-h)$. This may be expressed by saying that the process receives a new impulse, or an innovation, during the interval $(t-h, t+h)$ for every $h > 0$. The set of all time points t with this property will be called the innovation spectrum of the $x(t)$ process.

3 Projection Operators and Spectral Families

Suppose that a stochastic process $x(t)$ satisfying the conditions (A) and (B) is given. By P_t the projection operator in the Hilbert space $H(x)$ with range $H(x,t)$ is denoted. It then follows from the properties of the $H(x,t)$ family given above that

$$P_t \leq P_u \quad \text{for } t < u \tag{8}$$
$$P_{t-0} = P_t \quad \text{for all } t \tag{9}$$
$$P_{-\infty} = 0, \quad P_{+\infty} = I \tag{10}$$

where 0 and I denote respectively the zero and the identity operator in $H(x)$.

It follows that the P_t form a spectral family of projections or a resolution of the identity according to Hilbert space terminology. As seen, the projections P_t are, for all real t, uniquely determined by the given $x(t)$ process.

The properties of the P_t family will be discussed further in Section 5.

For any random variable $z \in H(x)$ with $E\{|z|^2\} < \infty$ a stochastic process is now defined by writing

$$z(t) = P_t z \tag{11}$$

It is then readily seen that $z(t)$ will be a process with orthogonal increments satisfying (A) and (B). Writing

$$F_z(t) = E\{|z(t)|^2\} \tag{12}$$

it follows that $F_z(t)$ is, for any fixed z, a distribution function of t such that $F_z(t-0) = F_z(t)$ for all t.

The points of increase of $z(t)$ coincide with the points of increase of $F_z(t)$ and form a subset of the innovation spectrum of $x(t)$, and, accordingly, $z(t)$ is denoted as a partial innovation process associated with $x(t)$. The space $H(z,t)$ is spanned by the random variables $z(u)$ with $u \le t$, and it is known (cf., e.g., [5], p. 425–428) that $H(z,t)$ is identical with the set of all random variables of the form

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} g(t,u)\, d\, z(u) \tag{13}$$

where $g(t,u)$ is a non-random function such that the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{t} |g(t,u)|^2 \, d\, F_z(u) \tag{14}$$

is convergent.

4 Distribution Functions and Equivalence Classes

Consider now the class Ω of all distribution functions $F(t)$ determined such as to be continuous to the left for all t. A partial ordering in Ω is introduced by saying that F_1 is superior to F_2, and writing $F_1 \succeq F_2$, whenever F_2 is absolutely continuous with respect to F_1. If $F_1 \succeq F_2$ and $F_2 \succeq F_1$, then F_1 and F_2 are said to be equivalent.

The set of all distribution functions equivalent to a given $F(t)$ forms an equivalence class R. A partial ordering is introduced in the set of all equivalence classes in the obvious way by writing $R_1 \succeq R_2$ when the corresponding relation holds for any $F_1 \in R_1$ and $F_2 \in R_2$. A point t is called a point of increase for the equivalence class R whenever t is a point of increase for any $F \in R$.

In the sequel sequences of never increasing equivalence classes will be considered:

$$R_1 \succeq R_2 \succeq \cdots \succeq R_N \tag{15}$$

The number N of elements in a sequence of this form, which may be finite or infinite, will be called the total multiplicity of the sequence. A multiplicity function $N(t)$ of the sequence (15) is also defined by writing for any real t

$$N(t) = \text{the number of those } R_n \text{ in (15) for which } t \text{ is a point of increase} \tag{16}$$

$N(t)$, like N, may be finite or infinite, and the total multiplicity N will obviously satisfy the relation

$$N = \sup_{t} N(t) \tag{17}$$

where t runs through all real values.

4

If, in particular, $N(t) = 0$ for all t in some closed interval $[a, b]$, all functions $F(t)$ belonging to any of the equivalence classes in the sequence (15) will be constant throughout $[a, b]$.

5 Spectral Multiplicity Theory

To any $x(t)$ satisfying the conditions (A) and (B) there corresponds according to Section 3 a uniquely determined spectral family of projections P_t satisfying (8). It then follows from the theory of spectral multiplicity in a separable Hilbert space ([12], Chapter 7) that to the same $x(t)$ there corresponds a uniquely determined, never increasing sequence (15) of equivalence classes, having the following properties:

If N is the total multiplicity of the sequence (15), it is possible to find N ortho-normal random variables $z_1, \ldots, z_N \in H(x)$ such that the corresponding processes with orthogonal increments defined in Section 3 satisfy the relations

$$F_{z_n}(t) \in R_n \tag{18}$$

$$H(z_m, t) \perp H(z_n, t), \quad m \neq n \tag{19}$$

$$H(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} H(z_n, t) \tag{20}$$

where the last sum denotes the vector sum of the mutually orthogonal subspaces involved.

Now $x(t)$ is always an element of $H(x, t)$ and from Section 3 the following theorem is obtained, previously given in somewhat less precise form in [4] and [2].

Theorem 1. *To any stochastic process $x(t)$ satisfying (A) and (B) there corresponds a uniquely determined sequence (15) of equivalence classes such that $x(t)$ can be represented in the form*

$$x(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{t} g_n(t, u) \, d \, z_n(u) \tag{21}$$

where the $z_n(u)$ are mutually orthogonal processes with orthogonal increments satisfying (18). The $g_n(t, u)$ are non-random functions such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{t} |g_n(t, u)|^2 \, d \, F_{z_n}(u) < \infty \tag{22}$$

The number N, which is called the total spectral multiplicity of the $x(t)$ process, is the uniquely determined number of elements in (15) and may be finite or infinite. No representation of the form (21) with these properties exists for any smaller value of N.

The sequence (15) corresponding to a given $x(t)$ process will be said to determine the spectral type of the process.

The relation (21) gives a linear representation of $x(t)$ in terms of past and present innovation elements $d\,z_n(u)$. The total innovation process associated with $x(t)$ is an N-dimensional vector process $\{z_1(t), \ldots, z_N(t)\}$ where, as before, N may be finite or infinite.

It is interesting to compare this with the situation in the case of a regular process with discrete time ([4], Theorem 1) where a similar representation always holds with $N = 1$.

Also in the particular case of a stationary process with continuous time, satisfying (A) and (B), it follows from well-known theorems that $N = N(t) = 1$ for all t, and that the only element in the corresponding sequence (15) may be represented by any absolutely continuous distribution function $F(t)$ having an everywhere positive density function.

6 Prediction Theory

The best linear least squares prediction of $x(t+h)$ in terms of all $x(u)$ with $u \leq t$ is obtained from (21) in the form

$$P_t\, x\,(t+h) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{-\infty}^{t} g_n(t+h, u)\, d\,z_n(u) \qquad (23)$$

The error involved in this prediction is

$$x\,(t+h) - P_t\,x\,(t+h) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t}^{t+h} g_n(t+h, u)\, d\,z_n(u) \qquad (24)$$

Now consider the multiplicity function $N(t)$ associated with the sequence (15), as defined in Section 4. Suppose that in the closed interval $t \leq u \leq t+h$ the condition $N(u) \leq N_1 < N$ holds. Then all terms with $n > N_1$ in the second member of (24) will reduce to zero, so that the innovation entering into the process during $[t, t+h]$ will only be of dimensionality N_1. Speaking somewhat loosely, it may be said that the multiplicity function $N(t)$ determines for every t the dimensionality of the innovation element $\{d\,z_1(t), \ldots\}$.

If, in particular, $N(u) = 0$ for $t \leq u \leq t+h$, it follows that the process does not receive any innovation at all during this interval. Accordingly in this case the whole second member of (24) reduces to zero, so that exact prediction is possible over the interval considered.

7 Correlation Function and Spectral Type

The correlation function of the $x(t)$ process is now introduced:

$$r(s,t) = E\{x(s)\overline{x(t)}\} \qquad (25)$$

As before all stochastic processes considered are assumed to satisfy the conditions (A) and (B). The following theorem, which shows that the spectral type of a process is uniquely determined by the correlation function, will now be proved.

Theorem 2. *Let $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ be two processes satisfying (A) and (B) and having the same correlation function $r(s,t)$. The sequences of equivalence classes, which correspond to $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ in the way described in Theorem 1, are then identical.*

Proof. $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ define two curves situated, respectively, in the spaces $H(x)$ and $H(y)$. A transformation V from the x-curve to the y-curve is now defined by writing

$$Vx(t) = y(t) \tag{26}$$

and this definition is extended by linearity to the linear manifold in $H(x)$ determined by all points $x(t)$. It is readily seen that this definition is unique, and that the transformation is isometric. It follows, in fact, from the equality of the correlation functions that any linear relation $\sum \alpha_k x(t_k) = 0$ implies and is implied by the corresponding relation $\sum \alpha_k y(t_k) = 0$, which shows that the transformation is unique, while the isometry follows from the identity

$$r(s,t) = (x(s), x(t)) = (Vx(s), Vx(t)) \tag{27}$$

The transformation can now be extended to an isometric transformation V defined in the whole space $H(x)$. If the restriction of V to $H(x,t)$ is considered, it is immediately seen that

$$VH(x,t) = H(y,t) \tag{28}$$

for all t.

Denoting by $P_t^{(x)}$ and $P_t^{(y)}$ the spectral families of projections corresponding, respectively, to $x(t)$ and $y(t)$, then

$$VP_t^{(x)}V^{-1} = P_t^{(y)} \tag{29}$$

Thus the two spectral families are isometrically equivalent, and the assertion of the theorem now follows directly from Hilbert space theory. In the particular case when $H(x) = H(y)$, the transformation V will be unitary. $\qquad\square$

On the other hand, two processes with isometrically equivalent spectral families do not necessarily have the same correlation function. In other words, the correlation function is not uniquely determined by the spectral type.

In order to see this, it is enough to consider the two processes $x(t)$ and $y(t) = f(t)x(t)$, where $f(t)$ is a non-random function such that $0 < m \le |f(t)| \le M$ for all t. It is clear that $H(x,t) = H(y,t)$ for all t, while the correlation functions differ by the factor $f(s)\overline{f(t)}$.

8 Existence of Processes with Given Spectral Type

In this section it will be shown that a stochastic process possessing any given spectral type can always be found. The more precise statement contained in the following theorem will even be proved.

> **Theorem 3.** *Suppose that a sequence of equivalence classes of the form (15) is given. Then there exists a harmonizable process $x(t)$ which has the spectral type defined by the given sequence.*

Comparing this statement with the final remark in Section 5, it will be seen how restricted the class of stationary processes is in comparison with the class of harmonizable processes.

Proof. In order to prove the theorem a sequence of disjoint sets $A_1, A_2, \ldots$ of real points is denoted such that the measure of every A_n is positive in any non-vanishing interval.[1] If $\alpha_n(v)$ is the characteristic function of A_n then

$$\int_a^b \alpha_n(v)\, d\, v > 0 \tag{30}$$

for all n and for any real $a < b$.

In each equivalence class R_n appearing in the given sequence (15) a distribution function $F_n(t) \in R_n$ is further taken. Obviously the functions $F_1, \ldots, F_N$ can be chosen so that the integrals

$$k_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{t^2}\, d\, F_n(t) \tag{31}$$

converge for all n. Then $1 \le k_n < \infty$. Assuming that the basic probability field is not too restricted, N mutually orthogonal stochastic processes $z_1(t), \ldots, z_N(t)$ with orthogonal increments can then be found such that

$$F_{z_n}(t) = E\{|z_n(t)|^2\} = F_n(t) \tag{32}$$

The following definition is now introduced:

$$g_n(t, u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n\, k_n} \int_0^{t-u} e^{-v^2}\, (t - u - v)\, \alpha_n(v)\, d\, v, & u < t \\ 0, & u \ge t \end{cases} \tag{33}$$

---

1. The use of the sets A_n for the construction of processes with given multiplicity properties goes back to a correspondence between Professor Kolmogorov and the present author (cf. [3]). A simple way of constructing the A_n is the following: Let $1 < n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$ be positive integers such that $\sum 1/n_p$ converges. Almost every real x then has a unique expansion $x = r_0 + \sum r_p/n_1 \cdots n_p$, where the r_p are integers and $0 \le r_k < n_k$ for $k \ge 1$. If A_n is the set of those x for which the number of zeros among the r_k with $k \ge 1$ is finite and of the form $2^p\, (2\, q + 1)$ where p is a non-negative integer, then the sequence $A_1, A_2, \ldots$ has the required properties.

and

$$x_n(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} g_n(t, u) \, d\, z_n(u) \tag{34}$$

$$x(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} x_n(t) \tag{35}$$

For $u < t$ the inequality

$$0 < g_n(t, u) < \frac{1}{n \, k_n} e^{-(t-u)^2} \tag{36}$$

holds, and hence by (31),

$$E\{|x_n(t)|^2\} < \frac{1}{n^2 \, k_n^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2(t-u)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (t + |u|)^4 \, d\, F_n(u) \tag{37}$$

$$\leq \frac{8}{n^2 \, k_n^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2(t-u)^2} (t + |u|)^4 \, d\, F_n(u) \leq \frac{8 \, (t + k_n)^4}{n^2 \, k_n^2} \tag{38}$$

so that the series for $x(t)$ converges in quadratic mean if $N = \infty$. (Note that the $x_n(t)$, like the $z_n(t)$, are mutually orthogonal.)

It will now be proved a) that the $x(t)$ process defined by (34) has the given spectral type, and b) that it is harmonizable.

It follows from the construction of the $z_n(t)$ and from (34) that

$$F_{z_n}(t) \in R_n \tag{39}$$
$$H(z_m, t) \perp H(z_n, t), \quad m \neq n \tag{40}$$
$$H(x, t) \subseteq \sum_{n=1}^{N} H(z_n, t) \tag{41}$$

If it can be shown that the sign of equality holds in the last relation, the relations (18) will be satisfied and it then follows that the $x(t)$ process defined by (34) has the given spectral type. In order to prove this it is sufficient to show that

$$z_n(t) \in H(x, t) \tag{42}$$

for all n and t.

The equality

$$e^{t^2} x(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n \, k_n} \int_{-\infty}^{t} e^{u^2} (t - u) \, \alpha_n(u) \, d\, z_n(u) \tag{43}$$

holds.

It is shown without difficulty that the derivative in q.m. of this random function exists for all t and has the expression

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(e^{t^2}x(t)\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n\,k_n} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_n(u)\,z_n(u)\,du \tag{44}$$

where the last sum converges in q.m. It is now desired to show that for almost all t (Lebesgue measure) differentiation once more in q.m. is possible, and so obtain

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\left(e^{t^2}x(t)\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n\,k_n} \alpha_n(t)\,z_n(t) \tag{45}$$

In order to prove this it must be shown that the random variable

$$W = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n\,k_n\,h} \int_{t}^{t+h} \alpha_n(u)\,(z_n(u) - z_n(t))\,du - \alpha_n(t)\,z_n(t) \tag{46}$$

converges to zero in q.m. for almost all t as $h \to 0$. The expression $W = W_1 + W_2$, where

$$W_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n\,k_n\,h} \int_{t}^{t+h} \alpha_n(u)\,(z_n(u) - z_n(t))\,du \tag{47}$$

$$W_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n\,k_n\,h} \alpha_n(t) \left(\int_{t}^{t+h} \alpha_n(u)\,du - \alpha_n(t) \right) \tag{48}$$

Now both W_1 and W_2 are sums of mutually orthogonal random variables and

$$E|W_1|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n^2\,k_n^2\,h^2} \int_{t}^{t+h} \int_{t}^{t+h} \alpha_n(u)\,\alpha_n(v)\,[F_n(\min(u,v)) - F_n(t)]\,du\,dv \tag{49}$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{2}{n^2\,h^2} \int_{t}^{t+h} (t + h - u)\,[F_n(u) - F_n(t)]\,du \leq$$

$$2 \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{F_n(t+h) - F_n(t)}{n^2} \tag{50}$$

and

$$E|W_2|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n^2} F_n(t) \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_{t}^{t+h} \alpha_n(u)\,du - \alpha_n(t) \right|^2 \tag{51}$$

However, all the F_n are continuous almost everywhere, and it follows that W_1 tends to zero in q.m. for almost all t. On the other hand, the metric density of any A_n exists almost everywhere and is equal to $\alpha_n(t)$ so that W_2 tends to zero in q.m. almost everywhere. Thus it has been shown that (45) holds for almost all t.

Let now m be a given integer, $1 \leq m \leq N$. The sets A_n being disjoint, it then follows from (45) that for almost all $t \in A_m$

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\left(e^{t^2}x(t)\right) = \frac{1}{m\,k_m}\,z_m(t) \tag{52}$$

The first member of the last relation is evidently an element of $H(x,t)$, so that $z_m(t) \in H(x,t)$ for almost all $t \in A_m$. Now A_m is of positive measure in every non-vanishing interval, while $z_m(t)$ is by definition everywhere continuous to the left in q.m. Thus $z_m(t) \in H(x,t)$ for all t and all $m = 1, \ldots, N$, and according to the above this proves that $x(t)$ has the given spectral type.

In order to prove also that $x(t)$ is harmonizable the Fourier transform $h_n(\lambda, u)$ of $g_n(t,u)$ with respect to t is introduced. From (33) it is obtained

$$h_n(\lambda, u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t^2(1+i\lambda)}\,dt \int_0^{\infty} g_n(t,u)\,e^{-it\lambda}\,dt \tag{53}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n\,k_n}\int_u^{\infty}\int_0^{t-u}(t-u-v)\,\alpha_n(v)\,dv\,dt \tag{54}$$

The double integral is absolutely convergent and

$$h_n(\lambda, u) = \frac{1}{n\,k_n\,(1+i\,\lambda)^2}\int_u^{\infty}\alpha_n(v)\,e^{-v(1+i\lambda)}\,dv \tag{55}$$

$$|h_n(\lambda, u)| < \frac{e^{-u}}{n\,k_n\,(1+\lambda^2)} \tag{56}$$

Thus $h_n(\lambda, u)$ is, for any fixed u, absolutely integrable with respect to λ. On the other hand, it follows from (33) that $g_n(t,u)$ is everywhere continuous, so that the inverse Fourier formula

$$g_n(t,u) = \frac{1}{2\,\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_n(\lambda, u)\,e^{it\lambda}\,d\lambda \tag{57}$$

holds.

Now the correlation functions of $x_n(t)$ and $x(t)$ are, by (33) and (34),

$$\nu_n(s,t) = E\{x_n(s)\overline{x_n(t)}\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_n(s,u)\,g_n(t,u)\,d\,F_n(u) \tag{58}$$

$$\nu(s,t) = E\{x(s)\overline{x(t)}\} = \sum_{n=1}^{N}\nu_n(s,t) \tag{59}$$

Replacing the g_n by their expressions according to (57) it is obtained

$$\nu_n(s,t) = \frac{1}{(2\,\pi)^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\!\!\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(s\lambda - t\mu)}\,d\,\lambda\,d\,\mu\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_n(\lambda, u)\overline{h_n(\mu, u)}d\,F_n(u) \tag{60}$$

11

the inversion of the order of integration being justified by absolute convergence according to (31) and (56).

If

$$C_n(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_n(\lambda, u) \overline{h_n(\mu, u)} d F_n(u) \tag{61}$$

$$c_n(\lambda, \mu) = \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \int_{-\mu}^{\mu} C_n(\rho, \sigma) d\rho d\sigma \tag{62}$$

are written, it follows from well-known criteria (cf., e.g., [9], p. 466–469) that $C_n(\lambda, \mu)$ is a correlation function. Further $C_n(\lambda, \mu)$ is of bounded variation over the whole (λ, μ)-plane, its variation being bounded by the expression

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |C_n(\lambda, \mu)| d\lambda d\mu \tag{63}$$

$$< \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\mu}{1 + \mu^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2|u|} d F_n(u) \tag{64}$$

$$< \frac{1}{4 n^2 k_n^2} \tag{65}$$

obtained from (31) and (56).

It now follows that

$$\nu_n(s, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(s\lambda - t\mu)} d\lambda d\mu C_n(\lambda, \mu) \tag{66}$$

$$\nu(s, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i(s\lambda - t\mu)} d\lambda d\mu C(\lambda, \mu) \tag{67}$$

where

$$C(\lambda, \mu) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} C_n(\lambda, \mu) \tag{68}$$

is a correlation function which, according to the above, is of bounded variation over the whole (λ, μ)-plane. Hence it may be concluded (cf. [4], and [9], p. 476) that $x(t)$ is a harmonizable process

$$x(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{it\lambda} d y(\lambda) \tag{69}$$

where $y(\lambda)$ has the correlation function $C(\lambda, \mu)$. Note that $x(t)$ is a regular process and is everywhere continuous in quadratic mean. The proof is completed. $\square$

Bibliography

[1] H. Cramér. On some classes of non-stationary stochastic processes. *Proc. 4th Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Prob.*, II:57–77, 1961.

[2] H. Cramér. On the structure of purely non-deterministic stochastic processes. *Arkiv Math.*, 4:249–266, 1961.

[3] H. Cramér. Décompositions orthogonales de certains procès stochastiques. *Ann. Fac. Sciences Clermont*, 11:15–21, 1962.

[4] Harald Cramér. A contribution to the theory of stochastic processes. *Proceedings of the Second Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, 2:329–339, 1951.

[5] J. L. Doob. *Stochastic Processes*. Wiley, 1953.

[6] P. R. Halmos. *Introduction to Hilbert Space and the Theory of Spectral Multiplicity*. Chelsea, 2nd edition, 1957.

[7] A. N. Kolmogorov. Curves in hilbert space which are invariant with respect to a one-parameter group of motions. *DAN SSSR*, 26:6–9, 1940.

[8] A. N. Kolmogorov. Wiener's spiral and some other interesting curves in hilbert space. *DAN SSSR*, 26:115–118, 1940.

[9] M. Loève. *Probability Theory*. Van Nostrand, 3rd edition, 1963.

[10] H. Nakano. *Spectral Theory in the Hilbert Space*. Jap. Soc. for the Promotion of Science, 1953.

[11] M. S. Pinsker. Theory of curves in hilbert space with stationary n-th increments. *Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Mat.*, 19:319–344, 1955.

[12] Marshall Harvey Stone. *Linear Transformations in Hilbert Space*, volume XV of *Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, 501 West 116th Street, 4th Printing (1951) edition, 1932.

[13] J. von Neumann and I. J. Schoenberg. Fourier integrals and metric geometry. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 50:226–251, 1941.