Compact Self-Adjoint Integral Covariance Operators on $L^2[0,\infty)$

BY STEPHEN CROWLEY
January 1, 2025

Abstract

The spectral theory of compact self-adjoint integral operators on $L^2[0,\infty)$ is applied to extend Mercer's theorem, which is relegated to bounded(compact) intervals to the non-compact (unbounded) semi-infinite interval $[0,\infty)$, focusing on eigenfunction expansions and norm bounds. For operators with kernels K(z, w) represented as Mercer expansions, which are infinite series of eigenfunction products, the main result establishes the operator norm bound $||T_K - T_{K_N}|| \le |\lambda_{N+1}|$ for the integral covariance operator $(Tf)(y) = \int_0^\infty K(x,y)f(x)dx$ derived using orthogonal projection methods. An extension of Mercer's Theorem to the semi-infinite interval $[0,\infty)$ demonstrates that it is the the compactness of the operator relative to the induced canonical metric(square root of the variance structure function which is in one-toone correspondence with the covariance kernel function K in the case of real-valued processes), rather than the domain, underpins these results. Furthermore, the completeness of the eigenfunction system is proven through the spectral properties of compact self-adjoint operators. These findings provide a refined understanding of the integral covariance operators operators on $L^2[0,\infty)$ the sequences of finitedimensional subspaces which converge to them.

Theorem 1

Let T_K be a compact self-adjoint integral covariance operator on $L^2[0,\infty)$

$$(T_K f)(z) = \int_0^\infty K(z, w) f(w) dw$$
 (1)

defined by kernel K:

$$K(z, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k(z) \phi_k(w)$$

where $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of orthonormal eigenfunctions in $L^2[0,\infty)$ and the corresponding eigenvalues $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ which are ordered by decreasing magnitude

$$|\lambda_{n+1}| < |\lambda_n| \forall n \tag{2}$$

satisfy the eigenfunction equations

$$(T_K \phi_n)(z) = \int_0^\infty K(z, w) \, \phi_n(w) \, dw$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty \lambda_k \phi_k(z) \phi_k(w) \right) \phi_n(w) \, dw$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \phi_n(w) \left(\sum_{k=0}^\infty \lambda_k \phi_k(z) \phi_k(w) \right) dw$$

$$= \int_0^\infty \phi_n(w) \sum_{k=0}^\infty \lambda_k \phi_k(z) \phi_k(w) \, dw$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \phi_n(w) \int_0^\infty \lambda_k \phi_k(z) \phi_k(w) \, dw$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \phi_k(z) \lambda_k \int_0^\infty \phi_n(w) \phi_k(w) \, dw$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \phi_k(z) \lambda_k \delta_{n,k}$$

$$= \phi_n(z) \lambda_n$$
(3)

Let T_{K_N} be the truncated operator with kernel

$$K_N(z,w) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} \lambda_n \,\phi_n(z) \,\phi_n(w) \tag{4}$$

then:

$$||T_K - T_{K_N}|| \le |\lambda_{N+1}| \tag{5}$$

Proof. Let

$$(E_N f)(z) = (T_K f)(z) - (T_{K_N} f)(z)$$
(6)

be the difference $T_K - T_{K_N}$ then let

$$f = g + h \forall f \in L^2[0, \infty) \tag{7}$$

where

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{N} \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \, \phi_k(x) \forall g \in \text{span}\{\phi_k\}_{k \le N}$$
 (8)

and

$$h(x) = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \, \phi_k(x) \forall h \in \text{span}\{\phi_k\}_{k>N}$$
 (9)

where by orthogonality of g and h (which follows from the orthogonality of eigenfunctions φ_k)

$$\langle g, h \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{N} \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k, \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \langle f, \phi_j \rangle \phi_j \right\rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \langle f, \phi_j \rangle \langle \phi_k, \phi_j \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \langle f, \phi_j \rangle \delta_{k,j}$$

$$= 0$$
(10)

we have

$$||E_N f||^2 = \langle E_N f, E_N f \rangle = \langle E_N h, h \rangle \tag{11}$$

since

$$(E_N g)(x) = \left(E_N \sum_{k=0}^N \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k\right)(x)$$

$$= (T_K g)(x) - (T_{K_N} g)(x)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^N \lambda_k \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k(z) - \sum_{k=0}^N \lambda_k \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k(z)$$

$$= 0$$
(12)

by construction and since h is orthogonal to the first N eigenfunctions and along with the fact that the eigenvalues are ordered by decreasing magnitude

$$|\lambda_k| \le |\lambda_{N+1}| \forall k > N \tag{13}$$

we have

$$|\langle E_N h, h \rangle| \le |\lambda_{N+1}| ||h||^2 \le |\lambda_{N+1}| ||f||^2$$
 (14)

Therefore:

$$||E_N|| \le |\lambda_{N+1}| \tag{15}$$

Remark 2. This extension of Mercer's Theorem to $[0, \infty)$ reveals a deeper truth about integral operators that is obscured in most presentations. The key insight is that compactness of the interval plays no essential role - what matters is the compactness of the operator itself.

The traditional presentation of Mercers theorem on compact [a,b] emphasize properties that are merely convenient rather than fundamental:

- Compactness of [a, b] provides easy continuity arguments
- Finite measure simplifies certain technical steps
- Historical development focused on these cases first

However, the proof above shows that the essential structure depends only on:

- 1. The spectral properties of compact self-adjoint operators
- 2. The precise operator norm bound $||E_N|| \le |\lambda_{N+1}|$
- 3. The fact that $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges to zero

This reveals that Mercer's Theorem is fundamentally about the behavior of integral operators themselves, not about properties of their domains. The extension to $[0, \infty)$ is not just a generalization - it's a clearer view of the true mathematical structure.

Theorem 3

(Completeness) Let T_K be a compact self-adjoint integral operator on $L^2[0,\infty)$ with kernel K(z,w). Then the eigenfunctions $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ form a complete orthonormal system in $L^2[0,\infty)$.

Proof. Suppose there exists $f \in L^2[0,\infty)$ orthogonal to all ϕ_n . Then:

$$\langle f, \phi_n \rangle = 0 \quad \forall n$$
 (16)

Therefore:

$$T_K f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle f, \phi_n \rangle \phi_n = 0$$
 (17)

Since T_K is compact and self-adjoint, $\ker(T_K)^{\perp} = \overline{\operatorname{range}(T_K)}$ contains all eigenvectors. Thus f must be zero, proving completeness.