

Measure-Preserving Bijective Time Changes of Stationary Gaussian Processes Generate Oscillatory Processes With Evolving Spectra

BY STEPHEN CROWLEY

Email: stephencrowley214@gmail.com

August 1, 2025

Abstract

This article establishes that Gaussian processes obtained through measure-preserving bijective unitary time transformations of stationary processes constitute a subclass of oscillatory processes in the sense of Priestley. The transformation $Z(t) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} X(\theta(t))$, where $X(t)$ is a realization of stationary Gaussian process and θ is a strictly increasing C^1 differentiable monotonic function, yields an oscillatory process with evolutionary power spectrum $dF_t(\omega) = \dot{\theta}(t) d\mu(\omega)$. An explicit unitary transformation between the input stationary process and the transformed oscillatory process is established, preserving the L^2 -norm and providing a complete spectral characterization.

Table of contents

1	Scaling Functions	2
2	Oscillatory Processes	2
3	Stationary Reference Process	3
4	Time-Changed Process	3
4.1	Definition and Unitary Operator	3
4.2	L^2 -Norm Preservation	5
4.3	Oscillatory Representation	6
4.4	Envelope and Evolutionary Spectrum	7
5	Operator Conjugation	8
6	Expected Zero Count	9
7	Conclusion	10
	Bibliography	10

1 Scaling Functions

Definition 1. *[Scaling Functions] Let \mathcal{F} denote the set of functions $\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying*

1. *θ is absolutely continuous with $\dot{\theta}(t) = \frac{d}{dt}\theta(t) \geq 0$ almost everywhere and $\dot{\theta}(t) = 0$ only on sets of Lebesgue measure zero*
2. *θ is strictly increasing and bijective.*

Remark 2. The conditions in Definition 1 ensure that θ^{-1} exists and is absolutely continuous. By the inverse function theorem for absolutely continuous functions,

$$\frac{d}{ds}(\theta^{-1})(s) = \frac{1}{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(s))} \quad (1)$$

for almost all s in the range of θ . The condition that $\dot{\theta}(t) = 0$ only on sets of measure zero ensures that $\frac{1}{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(s))}$ is well-defined almost everywhere.

2 Oscillatory Processes

Definition 3. *[Oscillatory Process] A complex-valued, second-order process $\{X(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is called oscillatory if there exist*

1. *a family of oscillatory basis functions $\{\phi_t(\omega)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ with*

$$\phi_t(\omega) = A_t(\omega) e^{i\omega t} \quad (2)$$

and a given gain function

$$A_t(\cdot) \in L^2(\mu) \quad (3)$$

2. *and a complex orthogonal random measure $\Phi(\omega)$ with*

$$E |d\Phi(\omega)|^2 = d\mu(\omega) = S(\omega) \quad (4)$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_t(\omega) d\Phi(\omega) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_t(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\Phi(\omega) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

All stationary processes are oscillatory with $A_t(\omega) = 1$

TODO: insert proof of this as well as representation of $Z(t)$ as a time-dependent convolution of a stationary process with the time-dependent filter given by the Fourier transform of the oscillatory function

3 Stationary Reference Process

Let $\{X(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a stationary Gaussian process with continuous spectral representation

$$X(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega t} d\Phi(\omega) \quad (6)$$

where $\Phi(\omega)$ is an orthogonal-increment process with spectral density

$$E |d\Phi(\omega)|^2 = d\mu(\omega) = S(\omega) = \langle \text{fourier transform of } K_X \rangle \quad (7)$$

and μ is a finite measure on \mathbb{R} .

4 Time-Changed Process

4.1 Definition and Unitary Operator

Definition 4. [Unitary Time-Change Operator] For $\theta \in \mathcal{F}$, define the operator $M_\theta: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$(M_\theta f)(t) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} f(\theta(t)) \quad (8)$$

Definition 5. [Unitarily Time-Changed Stationary Process] For $\theta \in \mathcal{F}$, apply the unitary time change operator M_θ from Definition-4 to a realization of a stationary process $X(t)$ from the ensemble $\{X(t)\}$ to define a realization of the unitarily time-changed process

$$Z(t) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} X(\theta(t)) \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (9)$$

Definition 6. [Inverse Unitary Time-Change Operator] The inverse operator $M_\theta^{-1}: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ corresponding to the unitary time-change operator $(M_\theta f)(t)$ defined in Equation-8 is given by

$$(M_\theta^{-1} g)(s) = \frac{g(\theta^{-1}(s))}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(s))}} \quad (10)$$

Lemma 7. *[Well-Definedness of Inverse Operator] The operator M_θ^{-1} in Definition 6 is well-defined $\forall \theta \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Proof. Since $\dot{\theta}(t) = 0$ only on sets of measure zero by Definition 1, and θ^{-1} maps sets of measure zero to sets of measure zero (as it preserves absolute continuity), the denominator $\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(s))}$ is positive almost everywhere. The expression in equation (10) is therefore well-defined almost everywhere, which is sufficient for defining an element of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. \square

Theorem 8. *[Unitarity of Transformation Operator] The operator M_θ defined in equation (8) is unitary, i.e.,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(M_\theta f)(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s)|^2 ds \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \quad (11)$$

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. The L^2 -norm of $M_\theta f$ is computed as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(M_\theta f)(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} f(\theta(t)) \right|^2 dt \quad (12)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{\theta}(t) |f(\theta(t))|^2 dt \quad (13)$$

Apply the change of variables $s = \theta(t)$. Since θ is absolutely continuous and strictly increasing, its Jacobian is given by

$$ds = \dot{\theta}(t) dt \quad (14)$$

almost everywhere. As t ranges over \mathbb{R} , $s = \theta(t)$ ranges over \mathbb{R} due to the bijectivity of θ . Therefore:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{\theta}(t) |f(\theta(t))|^2 dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s)|^2 ds \quad (15)$$

This establishes equation (11). To complete the proof of unitarity, it remains to show that M_θ^{-1} is indeed the inverse of M_θ . For any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$(M_\theta^{-1} M_\theta f)(s) = (M_\theta^{-1}) \left[\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(\cdot)} f(\theta(\cdot)) \right](s) \quad (16)$$

$$= \frac{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(s))} f(\theta(\theta^{-1}(s)))}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(s))}} \quad (17)$$

$$= f(s) \quad (18)$$

where the last equality uses $\theta(\theta^{-1}(s)) = s$. Similarly, for any $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$:

$$(M_\theta M_\theta^{-1} g)(t) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} (M_\theta^{-1} g)(\theta(t)) \quad (19)$$

$$= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} \frac{g(\theta^{-1}(\theta(t)))}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(\theta(t)))}} \quad (20)$$

$$= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} \frac{g(t)}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}} \quad (21)$$

$$= g(t) \quad (22)$$

Therefore

$$M_\theta M_\theta^{-1} = M_\theta^{-1} M_\theta = I \quad (23)$$

proving that M_θ is unitary. \square

Corollary 9. *[Measure Preservation] The transformation M_θ preserves the L^2 -measure in the sense that for any measurable set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$*

$$\int_A |(M_\theta f)(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\theta(A)} |f(s)|^2 ds \quad (24)$$

Proof. The proof follows the same change of variables argument as in Theorem 8, applied to the characteristic function of the set A . \square

4.2 L^2 -Norm Preservation

Theorem 10. *[Measure Preservation] The transformation defined in equation (9) preserves the L^2 -norm in the sense that*

$$\int_I \text{var}(Z(t)) dt = \int_{\theta(I)} \text{var}(X(s)) ds \quad (25)$$

for any measurable set $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. Using the change of variables $s = \theta(t)$ with $ds = \dot{\theta}(t) dt$:

$$\int_I \text{var}(X(t)) dt = \int_I \text{var}\left(\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} X(\theta(t))\right) dt \quad (26)$$

$$= \int_I \dot{\theta}(t) \text{var}(X(\theta(t))) dt \quad (27)$$

$$= \int_{\theta(I)} \text{var}(X(s)) \, ds \quad (28)$$

□

4.3 Oscillatory Representation

Theorem 11. *[Oscillatory Form] The process $\{Z(t)\}$ defined in equation (9) is oscillatory with oscillatory functions*

$$\phi_t(\omega) = A_t(\omega) e^{i\omega t} = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\omega\theta(t)} \quad (29)$$

and gain functions

$$A_t(\omega) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\omega(\theta(t)-t)} \quad (30)$$

Proof. From the spectral representation (6) of the stationary process $X(t)$:

$$X(t) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} X(\theta(t)) \quad (31)$$

$$= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega\theta(t)} \, d\Phi(\omega) \quad (32)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\omega\theta(t)} \, d\phi(\omega) \quad (33)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi_t(\omega) \, d\Phi(\omega) \quad (34)$$

where

$$\phi_t(\omega) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\omega\theta(t)} \quad (35)$$

To verify this is an oscillatory representation according to Definition 3, express $\phi_t(\omega)$ in the form of a function of the time-dependent gain $A_t(\lambda)$ as required

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_t(\omega) &= A_t(\omega) e^{i\omega t} \\ &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\omega(\theta(t)-t)} e^{i\omega t} \\ &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\omega(\theta(t)-t+t)} \\ &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\omega\theta(t)} \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where

$$A_t(\omega) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\omega(\theta(t)-t)} \quad (37)$$

Since $\dot{\theta}(t) \geq 0$ almost everywhere and $\dot{\theta}(t) = 0$ only on sets of measure zero, the function $A_t(\omega)$ is well-defined almost everywhere. Moreover, $A_t(\cdot) \in L^2(\mu)$ for each t since:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |A_t(\omega)|^2 d\mu(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dot{\theta}(t) d\mu(\omega) \quad (38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \dot{\theta}(t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \dot{\theta}(t) \mu(\mathbb{R}) < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where the finiteness follows from μ being a finite measure and $\dot{\theta}(t)$ being finite almost everywhere. \square

4.4 Envelope and Evolutionary Spectrum

Corollary 12. *[Evolutionary Spectrum] The evolutionary power spectrum is*

$$\begin{aligned} dF_t(\omega) &= |A_t(\omega)|^2 d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \dot{\theta}(t) d\mu(\omega) \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Proof. By Definition 3 and the envelope from Equation 3, the evolutionary power spectrum is:

$$dF_t(\omega) = |A_t(\omega)|^2 d\mu(\omega) \quad (41)$$

$$= \left| \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\omega(\theta(t)-t)} \right|^2 d\mu(\omega) \quad (42)$$

$$= \dot{\theta}(t) |e^{i\omega(\theta(t)-t)}|^2 d\mu(\omega) \quad (43)$$

$$= \dot{\theta}(t) d\mu(\omega) \quad (44)$$

since

$$|e^{i\alpha}| = 1 \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \quad (45) \quad \square$$

5 Operator Conjugation

Theorem 13. *[Operator Conjugation] Let T_K be the integral covariance operator defined by*

$$(T_K f)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(|t-s|) f(s) ds \quad (46)$$

where $K(h)$ is the stationary kernel

$$K(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S(\lambda) e^{i\lambda h} d\lambda \quad (47)$$

, and let T_{K_θ} be the integral covariance operator defined by

$$\begin{aligned} (T_{K_\theta} f)(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_\theta(s, t) f(s) ds \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)\dot{\theta}(s)} f(s) ds \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

for the unitarily time-changed kernel

$$K_\theta(s, t) = K(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)\dot{\theta}(s)} \quad (49)$$

. Then

$$T_{K_\theta} = M_\theta T_K M_\theta^{-1} \quad (50)$$

Proof. For any $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, compute $(M_\theta T_K M_\theta^{-1} g)(t)$:

$$(M_\theta^{-1} g)(s) = \frac{g(\theta^{-1}(s))}{\sqrt{\theta'(\theta^{-1}(s))}}, \quad (51)$$

$$(T_K M_\theta^{-1} g)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(|t-s|) \frac{g(\theta^{-1}(s))}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(s))}} ds. \quad (52)$$

Apply the change of variables $u = \theta^{-1}(s)$, so $s = \theta(u)$ and $ds = \dot{\theta}(u) du$:

$$(T_K M_\theta^{-1} g)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(|t - \theta(u)|) \frac{g(u)}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(u)}} \dot{\theta}(u) du \quad (53)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(|t - \theta(u)|) g(u) \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(u)} du. \quad (54)$$

Now apply M_θ :

$$(M_\theta T_K M_\theta^{-1} g)(t) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} (T_K M_\theta^{-1} g)(\theta(t)) \quad (55)$$

$$= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(|\theta(t) - \theta(u)|) g(u) \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(u)} du. \quad (56)$$

Apply the change of variables $s = \theta(u)$ in the reverse direction:

$$(M_\theta T_K M_\theta^{-1} g)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) g(s) ds \quad (57)$$

$$= (T_{K_\theta} g)(t) \quad (58)$$

This establishes the conjugation relation (50). \square

6 Expected Zero Count

Theorem 14. *[Expected Zero-Counting Function] Let $\theta \in \mathcal{F}$ and let*

$$K(\tau) = \text{cov}(X(t), X(\tau)) \quad (59)$$

be twice differentiable at $\tau = 0$. The expected number of zeros of the process X_t in $[a, b]$ is

$$\mathbb{E}[N_{[a,b]}] = \sqrt{-\ddot{K}(0)} (\theta(b) - \theta(a)) \quad (60)$$

Proof. The covariance function of the time-changed process is

$$K_\theta(s, t) = \text{cov}(X_s, X_t) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(s) \dot{\theta}(t)} K(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) \quad (61)$$

For the zero-crossing analysis, consider the normalized process. By the Kac-Rice formula:

$$\mathbb{E}[N_{[a,b]}] = \int_a^b \sqrt{-\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} K_\theta(s, t)} dt \quad (62)$$

Computing the mixed partial derivative:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} K_\theta(s, t) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\ddot{\theta}(t)}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}} \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(s)} K(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) \quad (63)$$

$$+ \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(s) \dot{\theta}(t)} \dot{K}(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) \text{sgn}(\theta(t) - \theta(s)) \dot{\theta}(t). \quad (64)$$

Taking the limit as $s \rightarrow t$ and using the fact that $\dot{K}(0) = 0$ for stationary processes:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} K_\theta(s, t) = \dot{\theta}(s) \dot{\theta}(t) \ddot{K}(0) \quad (65)$$

$$= \dot{\theta}(t)^2 \ddot{K}(0) \quad (66)$$

Substituting into the Kac-Rice formula:

$$\mathbb{E}[N_{[a,b]}] = \int_a^b \sqrt{-\dot{\theta}(t)^2 \ddot{K}(0)} \, dt \quad (67)$$

$$= \sqrt{-\ddot{K}(0)} \int_a^b \dot{\theta}(t) \, dt \quad (68)$$

$$= \sqrt{-\ddot{K}(0)} (\theta(b) - \theta(a)) \quad (69)$$

Here the second equality uses $\dot{\theta}(t) \geq 0$ almost everywhere. \square

7 Conclusion

This analysis establishes that Gaussian processes generated by measure-preserving bijective time changes of stationary processes form a well-defined subclass of oscillatory processes. The key contributions include:

1. The rigorous construction of the unitary operator M_θ and its inverse, with proper treatment of the case where $\dot{\theta}(t) = 0$ on sets of measure zero.
2. The explicit oscillatory representation with envelope function $A_t(\omega) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\omega(\theta(t)-t)}$.
3. The evolutionary power spectrum formula $dF_t(\omega) = \dot{\theta}(t) d\mu(\omega)$.
4. The operator conjugation relationship $T_{K_\theta} = M_\theta T_K M_\theta^{-1}$.
5. A closed-form expression for the expected zero count in terms of the range of the time transformation.

Bibliography

- [priestley1965] M.B. Priestley. Evolutionary spectra and non-stationary processes. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society, Series B*, 27(2):204–237, 1965.
- [cramer1967] H. Cramér and M.R. Leadbetter. *Stationary and Related Stochastic Processes*. Wiley, 1967.
- [kac1943] M. Kac. On the average number of real roots of a random algebraic equation. *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society*, 49(4):314–320, 1943.
- [rice1945] S.O. Rice. Mathematical analysis of random noise. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 24(1):46–156, 1945.