

Unitary Time Changes of Stationary Processes Yield Oscillatory Processes and a Functional Framework Toward a Hilbert– Pólya Construction

BY STEPHEN CROWLEY

September 16, 2025

Abstract

A unitary time-change operator U_θ is constructed for absolutely continuous, strictly increasing time reparametrizations θ , acting on functions that are square-integrable over compact sets. Applying U_θ to the Cramér spectral representation of a stationary process yields an oscillatory process in the sense of Priestley with oscillatory function $\varphi_t(\lambda) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\lambda\theta(t)}$ and evolutionary spectrum $dF_t(\lambda) = \dot{\theta}(t) dF(\lambda)$. It is proved that sample paths of any non-degenerate second-order stationary process almost surely lie in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, making the operator applicable to typical realizations. A zero-localization measure $\mu(dt) = \delta(Z(t)) |Z'(t)| dt$ induces a Hilbert space $L^2(\mu)$ on the zero set of an oscillatory process Z , and the multiplication operator $(Lf)(t) = t f(t)$ has pure point, simple spectrum equal to the zero set of Z . This produces a concrete operator scaffold consistent with a Hilbert–Pólya-type viewpoint.

Table of contents

1	Function Spaces and Unitary Time Change	2
1.1	σ -compact sets and local L^2	2
1.2	Unitary time-change operator	2
2	Oscillatory Processes (Priestley)	5
3	Stationary Processes and Time Change	7
3.1	Stationary processes	7
3.2	Stationary \rightarrow oscillatory via U_θ	8
3.3	Covariance operator conjugation	10
4	Sample Paths Live in L^2_{loc}	11

5 Zero Localization and Hilbert–Pólya Scaffold	13
5.1 Zero localization measure	13
5.2 Hilbert space on zeros and multiplication operator	14

6 Appendix: Regularity and Simple Zeros	17
--	-----------

TODO: add zero counting function and expected zero counting function!!!!

1 Function Spaces and Unitary Time Change

1.1 σ -compact sets and local L^2

Definition 1. [*σ -compact sets*] A subset $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is σ -compact if

$$U = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n \quad (1)$$

with each K_n compact.

Definition 2. [*Locally square-integrable functions*] Define

$$L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}) := \left\{ f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}: \int_K |f(t)|^2 dt < \infty \text{ for every compact } K \subseteq \mathbb{R} \right\} \quad (2)$$

Remark 3. Every bounded measurable set in \mathbb{R} is compact or contained in a compact set; hence $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ contains functions that are square-integrable on every bounded interval, including functions with polynomial growth at infinity.

1.2 Unitary time-change operator

Definition 4. [*Unitary time-change*] Let $\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be absolutely continuous, strictly increasing, and bijective, with $\dot{\theta}(t) > 0$ almost everywhere and $\dot{\theta}(t) = 0$ only on sets of Lebesgue measure zero. The function θ maps σ -compact sets to σ -compact sets. Define, for f measurable,

$$(U_{\theta} f)(t) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} f(\theta(t)) \quad (3)$$

Proposition 5. [Inverse map] *The inverse map is given by*

$$(U_\theta^{-1} g)(s) = \frac{g(\theta^{-1}(s))}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(s))}} \quad (4)$$

which is well-defined almost everywhere on every σ -compact set.

Proof. Since $\dot{\theta}(t) = 0$ only on sets of measure zero, and θ^{-1} maps sets of measure zero to sets of measure zero (as absolutely continuous bijective functions preserve measure-zero sets), the denominator $\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(s))}$ is positive almost everywhere. The expression is therefore well-defined almost everywhere on every σ -compact set, which suffices for defining an element of $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$. \square

Theorem 6. [Local unitarity on σ -compact sets] *For every σ -compact set $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$,*

$$\int_C |(U_\theta f)(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\theta(C)} |f(s)|^2 ds \quad (5)$$

Moreover, U_θ^{-1} is the inverse of U_θ on $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Let $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ and let U be any σ -compact set. The local L^2 -norm of $U_\theta f$ over C is:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_C |(U_\theta f)(t)|^2 dt &= \int_C \left| \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} f(\theta(t)) \right|^2 dt \\ &= \int_C \dot{\theta}(t) |f(\theta(t))|^2 dt \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Since θ is absolutely continuous and strictly increasing, applying the change of variables $s = \theta(t)$ gives

$$ds = \dot{\theta}(t) dt \quad (7)$$

almost everywhere. Since θ maps σ -compact sets to σ -compact sets, as t ranges over C , $s = \theta(t)$ ranges over $\theta(C)$, which is σ -compact. Therefore:

$$\int_C \dot{\theta}(t) |f(\theta(t))|^2 dt = \int_{\theta(C)} |f(s)|^2 ds \quad (8)$$

To verify that U_θ^{-1} is indeed the inverse, we compute explicitly. For any $f \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(U_\theta^{-1} U_\theta f)(s) &= \left(U_\theta^{-1} \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(s)} f(\theta(s)) \right)(s) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(s))}}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(s))}} f(\theta(\theta^{-1}(s))) \\
&= f(s)
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

since $\theta(\theta^{-1}(s)) = s$. Similarly, for any $g \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(U_\theta U_\theta^{-1} g)(t) &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} (U_\theta^{-1} g)(\theta(t)) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(\theta^{-1}(\theta(t)))}} g(\theta^{-1}(\theta(t))) \\
&= \frac{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)}} g(t) \\
&= g(t)
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

since $\theta^{-1}(\theta(t)) = t$. Therefore

$$U_\theta U_\theta^{-1} = U_\theta^{-1} U_\theta = I \tag{11}$$

on $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$. □

Theorem 7. *[Unitarity on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$] $U_\theta: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is unitary:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(U_\theta f)(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s)|^2 ds \tag{12}$$

and U_θ^{-1} is its inverse.

Proof. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |(U_\theta f)(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{\theta}(t) |f(\theta(t))|^2 dt \tag{13}$$

By the change of variables

$$s = \theta(t) \tag{14}$$

with

$$ds = \dot{\theta}(t) dt \quad (15)$$

and since $\theta: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bijective:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{\theta}(t) |f(\theta(t))|^2 dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(s)|^2 ds \quad (16)$$

The inverse relationship follows from the same computation as in Theorem 6, applied globally. \square

2 Oscillatory Processes (Priestley)

Definition 8. [Oscillatory process] Let F be a finite nonnegative Borel measure on \mathbb{R} . For each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $A_t \in L^2(F)$ be the gain function and

$$\varphi_t(\lambda) = A_t(\lambda) e^{i\lambda t} \quad (17)$$

be the corresponding oscillatory function then an oscillatory process is a stochastic process which can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_t(\lambda) d\Phi(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_t(\lambda) e^{i\lambda t} d\Phi(\lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where Φ is a complex orthogonal random measure with spectral measure F , that is,

$$\mathbb{E}[\Phi(d\lambda) \overline{\Phi(d\mu)}] = \delta(\lambda - \mu) dF(\lambda) \quad (19)$$

and corresponding covariance kernel

$$\begin{aligned} R_Z(t, s) &= \mathbb{E}[Z(t) \overline{Z(s)}] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_t(\lambda) \overline{A_s(\lambda)} e^{i\lambda(t-s)} dF(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_t(\lambda) \overline{\phi_s(\lambda)} dF(\lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Remark 9. [Real-valuedness] Z is real-valued if and only if

$$A_t(-\lambda) = \overline{A_t(\lambda)} \quad (21)$$

for F -a.e. λ , equivalently

$$\varphi_t(-\lambda) = \overline{\varphi_t(\lambda)} \quad (22)$$

for F -a.e. λ . TODO: this needs to be recast as a theorem

Theorem 10. [Existence] *If F is finite and $(A_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is measurable in t with*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |A_t(\lambda)|^2 dF(\lambda) < \infty \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (23)$$

then there exists a complex orthogonal random measure Φ with spectral measure F such that

$$Z(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_t(\lambda) e^{i\lambda t} \Phi(d\lambda) \quad (24)$$

is well-defined in $L^2(\Omega)$ and has covariance R_Z as in (20) above.

Proof. The proof proceeds by constructing the stochastic integral using the standard extension procedure. First, the integral is defined for simple functions of the form

$$g(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \mathbf{1}_{E_j}(\lambda) \quad (25)$$

where $\{E_j\}$ are disjoint Borel sets with $F(E_j) < \infty$ and $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\lambda) \Phi(d\lambda) := \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \Phi(E_j) \quad (26)$$

For such simple functions, the isometry property holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\lambda) \Phi(d\lambda) \right|^2 \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \Phi(E_j) \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k \mathbb{E}[\Phi(E_j) \overline{\Phi(E_k)}] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n |c_j|^2 F(E_j) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(\lambda)|^2 dF(\lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Since simple functions are dense in $L^2(F)$, the integral is extended by continuity to all $g \in L^2(F)$. For each t , since

$$\varphi_t(\lambda) = A_t(\lambda) e^{i\lambda t} \quad (28)$$

and $A_t \in L^2(F)$, $\varphi_t \in L^2(F)$ holds. Therefore

$$Z(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_t(\lambda) \Phi(d\lambda) \quad (29)$$

is well-defined in $L^2(\Omega)$. The covariance is computed as:

$$\begin{aligned} R_Z(t, s) &= \mathbb{E}[Z(t) \overline{Z(s)}] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_t(\lambda) \Phi(d\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \overline{\varphi_s(\mu)} \overline{\Phi(d\mu)} \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_t(\lambda) \overline{\varphi_s(\mu)} \mathbb{E}[\Phi(d\lambda) \overline{\Phi(d\mu)}] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_t(\lambda) \overline{\varphi_s(\lambda)} dF(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} A_t(\lambda) \overline{A_s(\lambda)} e^{i\lambda(t-s)} dF(\lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (30) \quad \square$$

3 Stationary Processes and Time Change

3.1 Stationary processes

Definition 11. [Cramér representation] *A zero-mean stationary process X with spectral measure F admits the sample path representation*

$$X(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda t} \Phi(d\lambda) \quad (31)$$

which has covariance

$$R_X(t-s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda(t-s)} dF(\lambda) \quad (32)$$

3.2 Stationary \rightarrow oscillatory via U_θ

Theorem 12. *[Time change yields oscillatory process] Let X be zero-mean stationary as in Definition 11. For θ as in Definition 4, define*

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t) &= (U_\theta X)(t) \\ &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} X(\theta(t)) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Then Z is oscillatory with oscillatory function

$$\varphi_t(\lambda) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\lambda\theta(t)} \quad (34)$$

, gain function

$$A_t(\lambda) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)} \quad (35)$$

, and covariance

$$R_Z(t, s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t) \dot{\theta}(s)} e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-\theta(s))} dF(\lambda) \quad (36)$$

Proof. Applying the unitary time change operator to the spectral representation of $X(t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} Z(t) &= (U_\theta X)(t) \\ &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} X(\theta(t)) \\ &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda\theta(t)} d\Phi(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\lambda\theta(t)} d\Phi(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_t(\lambda) d\Phi(\lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where

$$\varphi_t(\lambda) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\lambda\theta(t)} \quad (38)$$

To verify this constitutes an oscillatory representation according to Definition 8, $\varphi_t(\lambda)$ has the form $A_t(\lambda) e^{i\lambda t}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t(\lambda) &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\lambda\theta(t)} \\ &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)} e^{i\lambda t} \\ &= A_t(\lambda) e^{i\lambda t} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where

$$A_t(\lambda) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)} \quad (40)$$

Since $\dot{\theta}(t) \geq 0$ almost everywhere and $\dot{\theta}(t) = 0$ only on sets of measure zero, $A_t(\lambda)$ is well-defined almost everywhere. Moreover, $A_t \in L^2(F)$ for each t since:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |A_t(\lambda)|^2 dF(\lambda) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)} \right|^2 dF(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{\theta}(t) |e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)}|^2 dF(\lambda) \\ &= \dot{\theta}(t) \int_{\mathbb{R}} dF(\lambda) \\ &= \dot{\theta}(t) F(\mathbb{R}) < \infty \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where $|e^{i\alpha}| = 1$ for all real α is used. The covariance is computed as:

$$\begin{aligned} R_Z(t, s) &= \mathbb{E}[Z(t)\overline{Z(s)}] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} X(\theta(t)) \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(s)} \overline{X(\theta(s))}\right] \\ &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)\dot{\theta}(s)} \mathbb{E}[X(\theta(t))\overline{X(\theta(s))}] \\ &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)\dot{\theta}(s)} R_X(\theta(t) - \theta(s)) \\ &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)\dot{\theta}(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-\theta(s))} dF(\lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (42) \quad \square$$

Corollary 13. [Evolutionary spectrum] *The evolutionary spectrum is*

$$\begin{aligned} dF_t(\lambda) &= |A_t(\lambda)|^2 dF(\lambda) \\ &= \dot{\theta}(t) dF(\lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Proof. By definition of the evolutionary spectrum and using the gain function from Theorem 12:

$$\begin{aligned} dF_t(\lambda) &= |A_t(\lambda)|^2 dF(\lambda) \\ &= \left| \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t)} e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)} \right|^2 dF(\lambda) \\ &= \dot{\theta}(t) |e^{i\lambda(\theta(t)-t)}|^2 dF(\lambda) \\ &= \dot{\theta}(t) dF(\lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

since

$$|e^{i\alpha}| = 1 \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \quad (45) \quad \square$$

3.3 Covariance operator conjugation

Proposition 14. *[Operator conjugation] Let*

$$(T_K f)(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(|t - s|) f(s) \, ds \quad (46)$$

with stationary kernel

$$K(h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda h} \, dF(\lambda) \quad (47)$$

Define the transformed kernel

$$K_{\theta}(s, t) := \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t) \dot{\theta}(s)} K(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) \quad (48)$$

and corresponding integral covariance operator

$$(T_{K_{\theta}} f)(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_{\theta}(s, t) f(s) \, ds \quad (49)$$

Then

$$T_{K_{\theta}} = U_{\theta} T_K U_{\theta}^{-1} \quad (50)$$

on $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. For any $g \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$, the integral operator is transformed from coordinates (r, w) to coordinates (t, s) by applying both coordinate transformations

$$r = \theta(t) \quad (51)$$

and

$$w = \theta(s) \quad (52)$$

by simultaneous substitution with Jacobians

$$dr = \dot{\theta}(t) \, dt \quad (53)$$

and

$$dw = \dot{\theta}(s) \, ds \quad (54)$$

The operator T_K in (r, w) coordinates is:

$$(T_K f)(r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(|r - w|) f(w) dw \quad (55)$$

Under the simultaneous transformation $r = \theta(t)$ and $w = \theta(s)$:

$$\begin{aligned} ((U_\theta T_K U_\theta^{-1}) g)(t) &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t) \dot{\theta}(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) (U_\theta^{-1} g)(\theta(s)) \frac{\dot{\theta}(s)}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(s)}} ds \\ &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t) \dot{\theta}(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) \frac{g(s)}{\sqrt{\dot{\theta}(s)}} \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(s)} ds \\ &= \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t) \dot{\theta}(s)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) g(s) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t) \dot{\theta}(s)} K(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) g(s) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} K_\theta(t, s) g(s) ds \\ &= (T_{K_\theta} g)(t) \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where

$$K_\theta(t, s) = \sqrt{\dot{\theta}(t) \dot{\theta}(s)} K(|\theta(t) - \theta(s)|) \quad (57)$$

Therefore

$$T_{K_\theta} = U_\theta T_K U_\theta^{-1} \quad (58) \quad \square$$

4 Sample Paths Live in L_{loc}^2

Theorem 15. *[Sample paths in $L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R})$] Let $\{X(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a second-order stationary process with*

$$\sigma^2 := \mathbb{E}[X(t)^2] < \infty \quad (59)$$

then, almost surely, every sample path $t \mapsto X(\omega, t)$ belongs to $L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Fix any bounded interval $[a, b]$ and consider the random variable

$$Y_{[a, b]} := \int_a^b X(t)^2 dt \quad (60)$$

By stationarity and Fubini's theorem:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[Y_{[a,b]}] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_a^b X(t)^2 dt\right] = \int_a^b \mathbb{E}[X(t)^2] dt \\ &= \int_a^b \sigma^2 dt \\ &= \sigma^2 (b-a) < \infty\end{aligned}\tag{61}$$

Equivalently, invoking the evolutionary spectrum

$$dF_t(\lambda) = \dot{\theta}(t) dF(\lambda)\tag{62}$$

it can be seen that the oscillatory process can be expressed as

$$Z(t) = (U_\theta X)(t)\tag{63}$$

whose (ensemble) variance is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[Z(t)^2] &= \int dF_t(\lambda) \\ &= \dot{\theta}(t) \int dF(\lambda) \\ &= \dot{\theta}(t) \sigma^2\end{aligned}\tag{64}$$

Therefore for any bounded interval $[a, b]$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\int_a^b Z(t)^2 dt\right] &= \int_a^b \dot{\theta}(t) \sigma^2 dt \\ &= \sigma^2 [\theta(b) - \theta(a)] < \infty\end{aligned}\tag{65}$$

By Markov's inequality, for any $M > 0$:

$$P(Y_{[a,b]} > M) \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y_{[a,b]}]}{M} = \frac{\sigma^2 (b-a)}{M}\tag{66}$$

Taking $M \rightarrow \infty$, the conclusion is

$$P(Y_{[a,b]} < \infty) = 1\tag{67}$$

, i.e., almost surely the sample path is square-integrable on $[a, b]$. Since \mathbb{R} is the countable union of bounded intervals:

$$\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} [-n, n]\tag{68}$$

by countable subadditivity of probability:

$$P\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{-n}^n X(t)^2 dt < \infty \right\}\right) = 1 \quad (69)$$

Now let K be any compact set. Then K is bounded, so

$$K \subseteq [-N, N] \quad (70)$$

for some N . Therefore:

$$\int_K X(t)^2 dt \leq \int_{-N}^N X(t)^2 dt < \infty \quad (71)$$

almost surely. This holds for every compact set K , so almost surely every sample path lies in $L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R})$. \square

5 Zero Localization and Hilbert–Pólya Scaffold

5.1 Zero localization measure

Definition 16. [Zero localization measure] Let Z be real-valued with $Z \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ having only simple zeros

$$Z(t_0) = 0 \Rightarrow \dot{Z}(t_0) \neq 0 \quad (72)$$

Define, for Borel $B \subset \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mu(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_B(t) \delta(Z(t)) |\dot{Z}(t)| dt \quad (73)$$

Theorem 17. [Atomicity on the zero set] For every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t) \delta(Z(t)) |\dot{Z}(t)| dt = \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \phi(t_0) \quad (74)$$

hence

$$\mu(t) = \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \delta_{t_0}(t) \quad (75)$$

Proof. Since all zeros of Z are simple and $Z \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, by the inverse function theorem each zero t_0 is isolated. Near each zero t_0 , Z is locally monotonic, so the one-dimensional change of variables formula for the Dirac delta can be applied.

Specifically, near t_0 where $Z(t_0) = 0$ and $\dot{Z}(t_0) \neq 0$, locally

$$Z(t) = (t - t_0) \dot{Z}(t_0) + O((t - t_0)^2) \quad (76)$$

holds. The distributional identity for the Dirac delta under smooth changes of variables gives:

$$\delta(Z(t)) = \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \frac{\delta(t - t_0)}{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|} \quad (77)$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t) \delta(Z(t)) |\dot{Z}(t)| dt &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) |\dot{Z}(t)| \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \frac{\delta(t - t_0)}{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|} dt \\ &= \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t) \frac{|\dot{Z}(t)| \delta(t - t_0)}{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|} dt \\ &= \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \frac{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|}{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|} \phi(t_0) \\ &= \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \phi(t_0) \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

This shows that μ is the discrete measure

$$\mu(t) = \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \delta_{t_0}(t) \quad (79)$$

assigning unit mass to each zero. □

5.2 Hilbert space on zeros and multiplication operator

Definition 18. [*Hilbert space on the zero set*] Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mu)$ with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) \overline{g(t)} d\mu(t) \quad (80)$$

Proposition 19. [*Atomic structure*] Let

$$\mu = \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \delta_{t_0} \quad (81)$$

then

$$\mathcal{H} \cong \left\{ f: \{t_0: Z(t_0)=0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}: \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} |f(t_0)|^2 < \infty \right\} \cong \ell^2 \quad (82)$$

with orthonormal basis $\{e_{t_0}\}_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0}$ where

$$e_{t_0}(t_1) = \delta_{t_0}(t_1) \quad (83)$$

Proof. By the atomic form of μ , for any $f \in L^2(\mu)$:

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \int |f(t)|^2 d\mu(t) \quad (84)$$

$$= \int |f(t)|^2 \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \delta_{t_0}(t) \quad (85)$$

$$= \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} |f(t_0)|^2 \quad (86)$$

This shows the isomorphism with ℓ^2 where the functions e_{t_0} defined by

$$e_{t_0}(t_1) = \delta_{t_0}(t_1) \quad (87)$$

satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \langle e_{t_0}, e_{t_1} \rangle &= \int e_{t_0}(t) \overline{e_{t_1}(t)} d\mu(t) \\ &= \sum_{t: Z(t)=0} \delta_{t_0}(t) \delta_{t_1}(t) \\ &= \delta_{t_0}(t_1) \\ &= \delta_{t_1}(t_0) \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

thus forming an orthonormal set. Thus, any $f(t) \in \mathcal{H}$ can be written as

$$f(t) = \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} f(t_0) e_{t_0}(t) \quad (89)$$

proving they form a basis. □

Definition 20. *[Multiplication operator] Define the linear operator*

$$L: \mathcal{D}(L) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \quad (90)$$

by

$$(L f)(t) = t f(t) \quad (91)$$

on the support of μ with domain

$$\mathcal{D}(L) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H}: \int |t f(t)|^2 \mu(dt) < \infty \right\} \quad (92)$$

Theorem 21. *[Self-adjointness and spectrum] L is self-adjoint on \mathcal{H} and has pure point, simple spectrum*

$$\sigma(L) = \overline{\{t \in \mathbb{R}: Z(t) = 0\}} \quad (93)$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda = t_0$ for each zero t_0 and corresponding eigenvectors e_{t_0} .

Proof. First, self-adjointness is verified. For $f, g \in \mathcal{D}(L)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L f, g \rangle &= \int (L f)(t) \overline{g(t)} d\mu(t) \\ &= \int t f(t) \overline{g(t)} d\mu(t) \\ &= \int f(t) \overline{t g(t)} d\mu(t) \\ &= \int f(t) \overline{(L g)(t)} d\mu(t) \\ &= \langle f, L g \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

Thus L is symmetric and acts as

$$(L f)(t_0) = t_0 f(t_0) \quad (95)$$

for each t_0 in the atomic representation where

$$Z(t_0) = 0 \quad (96)$$

This is unitarily equivalent to the diagonal operator on ℓ^2 with diagonal entries

$$\{t_0: Z(t_0) = 0\} \quad (97)$$

Such diagonal operators are self-adjoint. For the spectrum calculation:

$$L e_{t_0} = t_0 e_{t_0} \forall \{t_0: Z(t_0) = 0\} \quad (98)$$

holds, so each t_0 is an eigenvalue of L with eigenvector e_{t_0} and since $\{e_{t_0}\}$ forms an orthonormal basis, L has pure point spectrum. The spectrum of a diagonal operator equals the closure of the set of diagonal entries, hence

$$\sigma(L) = \overline{\{t_0: Z(t_0) = 0\}} \quad (99)$$

The eigenvalues are simple. □

Remark 22. [Operator scaffold] The construction

$$\text{stationary } X \xrightarrow{U_\theta} \text{oscillatory } Z \xrightarrow{\mu = \delta(Z)|\dot{Z}| dt} L^2(\mu) \xrightarrow{L:t \cdot} (L, \sigma(L)) \quad (100)$$

produces a concrete self-adjoint operator whose eigenvalues equal the zero set of Z and whose spectrum equals the closure of the zero set, determined by the choice of time-change θ and spectral measure F . This provides an explicit realization consistent with Hilbert–Pólya approaches to encoding arithmetic information in operator spectra.

6 Appendix: Regularity and Simple Zeros

Definition 23. [Regularity and simplicity] Assume $Z \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and every zero is simple:

$$Z(t_0) = 0 \Rightarrow \dot{Z}(t_0) \neq 0 \quad (101)$$

Lemma 24. [Local finiteness and delta decomposition] Under Definition 23, zeros are locally finite and

$$\delta(Z(t)) = \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \frac{\delta(t - t_0)}{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|} \quad (102)$$

whence

$$\mu = \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \delta_{t_0} \quad (103)$$

Proof. Since $Z \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $\dot{Z}(t_0) \neq 0$ at each zero t_0 , the inverse function theorem implies that Z is locally invertible near each zero. Specifically, there exists a neighborhood U_{t_0} of t_0 such that $Z|_{U_{t_0}}$ is strictly monotonic and invertible.

This implies zeros are isolated: if $Z(t_0) = 0$ and $\dot{Z}(t_0) \neq 0$, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $Z(t) \neq 0$ for $0 < |t - t_0| < \epsilon$. Therefore zeros are locally finite (finitely many in any bounded interval).

For the distributional identity, the one-dimensional change of variables formula for the Dirac delta is considered. If $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C^1 on interval I with $\dot{g}(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in I$, then

$$\delta(g(x)) = \sum_{x_0: g(x_0)=0} \frac{\delta(x - x_0)}{|\dot{g}(x_0)|} \quad (104)$$

Applying this locally around each zero t_0 of Z , and since zeros are isolated, the local results can be patched together to obtain the global identity:

$$\delta(Z(t)) = \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \frac{\delta(t - t_0)}{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|} \quad (105)$$

Consequently:

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu(t) &= \delta(Z(t)) |\dot{Z}(t)| dt \\ &= \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \frac{|\dot{Z}(t)|}{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|} \delta(t - t_0) dt \\ &= \sum_{t_0: Z(t_0)=0} \delta_{t_0}(dt) \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

where the last equality uses the fact that

$$\frac{|\dot{Z}(t)|}{|\dot{Z}(t_0)|} = 1 \quad (107)$$

when evaluating at $t = t_0$. □