

## Theorem 1

Let  $T_K$  be a compact self-adjoint integral covariance operator on  $L^2[0, \infty)$

$$(T_K f)(z) = \int_0^\infty K(z, w) f(w) dw \quad (1)$$

defined by kernel  $K$ :

$$K(z, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k(z) \phi_k(w)$$

where  $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of orthonormal eigenfunctions in  $L^2[0, \infty)$  and  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  the corresponding eigenvalues ordered by decreasing magnitude

$$|\lambda_{n+1}| < |\lambda_n| \forall n \quad (2)$$

satisfy the eigenfunction equations

$$\begin{aligned} (T_K \phi_n)(z) &= \int_0^\infty K(z, w) \phi_n(w) dw \\ &= \int_0^\infty \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k(z) \phi_k(w) \right) \phi_n(w) dw \\ &= \int_0^\infty \phi_n(w) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k(z) \phi_k(w) \right) dw \\ &= \int_0^\infty \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_n(w) \lambda_k \phi_k(z) \phi_k(w) dw \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^\infty \phi_n(w) \lambda_k \phi_k(z) \phi_k(w) dw \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k(z) \lambda_k \int_0^\infty \phi_n(w) \phi_k(w) dw \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \phi_k(z) \lambda_k \delta_{n,k} \\ &= \phi_n(z) \lambda_n \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Let  $T_{K_N}$  be the truncated operator with kernel

$$K_N(z, w) = \sum_{n=0}^N \lambda_n \phi_n(z) \phi_n(w) \quad (4)$$

then:

$$\|T_K - T_{K_N}\| \leq |\lambda_{N+1}| \quad (5)$$

**Proof.** Let  $E_N$  be the difference  $T_K - T_{K_N}$ . For any  $f \in L^2[0, \infty)$ :

Let  $f = g + h$  where  $g \in \text{span}\{\phi_k\}_{k \leq N}$  and  $h \in \text{span}\{\phi_k\}_{k > N}$  so that

$$g(x) = \sum_{k=0}^N \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k(x) \quad (6)$$

and

$$h(x) = \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \langle f, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k(x) \quad (7)$$

where by orthogonality of  $g$  and  $h$

$$\langle g, h \rangle = \int_0^{\infty} g(x)h(x)dx = 0 \quad (8)$$

we have

$$\|E_N f\|^2 = \langle E_N f, E_N f \rangle = \langle E_N h, h \rangle \quad (9)$$

because  $E_N g = 0$  by construction and since  $h$  is orthogonal to the first  $N$  eigenfunctions and

$$|\lambda_k| \leq |\lambda_{N+1}| \forall k > N \quad (10)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle E_N h, h \rangle| &\leq |\lambda_{N+1}| \|h\|^2 \\ &\leq |\lambda_{N+1}| \|f\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Therefore:

$$\|E_N\| \leq |\lambda_{N+1}| \quad (12) \quad \square$$

**Remark 2.** This extension of Mercer's Theorem to  $[0, \infty)$  reveals a deeper truth about integral operators that is obscured in most presentations. The key insight is that compactness of the interval plays no essential role - what matters is the compactness of the operator itself.

The traditional presentation of Mercers theorem on compact  $[a, b]$  emphasize properties that are merely convenient rather than fundamental:

- Compactness of  $[a, b]$  provides easy continuity arguments
- Finite measure simplifies certain technical steps
- Historical development focused on these cases first

However, the proof above shows that the essential structure depends only on:

1. The spectral properties of compact self-adjoint operators

2. The precise operator norm bound  $\|E_N\| \leq |\lambda_{N+1}|$
3. The fact that  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  converges to zero

This reveals that Mercer's Theorem is fundamentally about the behavior of integral operators themselves, not about properties of their domains. The extension to  $[0, \infty)$  is not just a generalization - it's a clearer view of the true mathematical structure.

### Theorem 3

**(Completeness)** *Let  $T_K$  be a compact self-adjoint integral operator on  $L^2[0, \infty)$  with kernel  $K(z, w)$ . Then the eigenfunctions  $\{\phi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  form a complete orthonormal system in  $L^2[0, \infty)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose there exists  $f \in L^2[0, \infty)$  orthogonal to all  $\phi_n$ . Then:

$$\langle f, \phi_n \rangle = 0 \quad \forall n \quad (13)$$

Therefore:

$$T_K f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n \langle f, \phi_n \rangle \phi_n = 0 \quad (14)$$

Since  $T_K$  is compact and self-adjoint,  $\ker(T_K)^{\perp} = \overline{\text{range}(T_K)}$  contains all eigenvectors. Thus  $f$  must be zero, proving completeness.  $\square$