

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Linear Algebra and its Applications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/laa



Some nilpotent, tridiagonal matrices with a special sign pattern

Antonio Behn ^{a,*,1}, Kenneth R. Driessel ^b, Irvin Roy Hentzel ^c, Kent A. Vander Velden ^d, James Wilson ^e

ARTICLEINFO

Article history:

Received 27 December 2010

Accepted 28 June 2011 Available online 27 July 2011

Submitted by B. Shader

AMS classification:

15B05

15B35

15A18

Keywords:

Sign pattern

Spectrum

Tridiagonal matrix

Nilpotent matrix

Spectrally arbitrary Chebyshev polynomial

Toeplitz

ABSTRACT

We prove that for each $n \ge 2$ there is a nilpotent $n \times n$ tridiagonal matrix satisfying

- (a) The super-diagonal is positive.
- (b) The sub-diagonal is negative.
- (c) The diagonal is zero except that the (1, 1) position is negative and the (n, n) position is positive.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

A sign pattern is an $n \times n$ matrix whose entries are chosen from $\{-, 0, +\}$. A real matrix A has sign pattern S if in positions corresponding to - in S, the entry in A is negative, in positions corresponding to

^a Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Chile, Las Palmeras 3425 Santiago, Chile

b Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, 410 Carver Hall, Ames, IA 50011-2064, USA

^c Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, 432 Carver Hall, Ames, IA 50011-2064, USA

d Pioneer Hi-Bred International, Inc., DuPont Agriculture & Nutrition, 7200 NW 62nd Avenue, Johnston, IA 50131, USA

^e Department of Mathematics, Iowa State University, 396D Carver Hall, Ames, IA 50011-2064, USA

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: abehn@u.uchile.cl (A. Behn), driessel@iastate.edu (K.R. Driessel), hentzel@iastate.edu (I.R. Hentzel), kent.vandervelden@gmail.com (K.A. Vander Velden), jawilson@iastate.edu (J. Wilson).

¹ Partially supported by FONDECYT 1100135.

+ in S, the entry in A is positive and the rest of the entries in A are 0. A sign pattern S is called a *spectrally arbitrary pattern*, if for each real monic polynomial r(x) of degree n, there exists a matrix with sign pattern S and with characteristic polynomial r(x). For a summary of material on sign patterns, see [1–3].

In [1], Drew et al. introduced a sign pattern \mathcal{T}_n ; this pattern was shown to be a spectrally arbitrary pattern for $2 \le n \le 7$ and was conjectured to be so for all n. In [2], Elsner et al. extended the range to $8 \le n \le 16$. The proof in [2] requires one to find a nilpotent matrix with sign pattern \mathcal{T}_n . Then one has to prove that a certain Jacobian is not zero. In this paper we establish the existence of a nilpotent matrix A_n with sign pattern \mathcal{T}_n for $n \ge 2$.

This paper does not directly address the issue of whether or not \mathcal{T}_n is a spectrally arbitrary pattern. We prove that a certain matrix is nilpotent by constructing a change of basis matrix that makes it strictly upper triangular. We do this using Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind $T_n(x)$. Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind $U_n(x)$ also appear in the proof. These polynomials satisfy the following well-known (see, for example, [4]) recursion relations:

First kind Second kind
$$T_0(x) = 1$$
 $U_0(x) = 1$ $U_1(x) = 2x$ $U_1(x) = 2x$ $U_2(x) = 4x^2 - 1$ $U_{r+1}(x) = 2xU_r(x) - U_{r-1}(x)$ for $r \ge 1$ for $r \ge 1$

It is also well-known (see, for example, [4]) that

$$T_r(\cos(t)) = \cos(rt),\tag{1}$$

$$U_r(\cos(t)) = \frac{\sin((r+1)t)}{\sin(t)}.$$
 (2)

It can be shown, by a straightforward inductive argument using the recurrence relations satisfied by the $T_r(x)$ and the $U_r(x)$, that, for $r \ge 2$, $U_r(x) = 2T_r(x) + U_{r-2}(x)$ and so

$$U_r(x) = 2\left(T_r(x) + T_{r-2}(x) + T_{r-4}(x) + \dots + \left\{T_1(x) \text{ or } \frac{1}{2}T_0(x)\right\}\right). \tag{3}$$

The last term is $T_1(x)$ when r is odd, and $\frac{1}{2}T_0(x)$ when r is even.

2. Proof of nilpotence

The sign pattern \mathcal{T}_n is the n by n sign pattern that has positive super-diagonal, negative sub-diagonal, and the diagonal is zero except for the first entry which is negative and the last entry which is positive. The rest of the entries are zero. Let

where
$$f_k = \frac{1}{2\sin\left(\frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n}\right)}$$
.

Henceforth we fix n and drop the subscript. Thus A will refer to the current matrix A_n under consideration.

Theorem 1. There is an invertible matrix X and a strictly upper triangular matrix U such that AX = XU. Hence A is nilpotent.

Proof. For $0 \le k \le n+1$, consider $\theta_k = \frac{(2k-1)\pi}{2n}$ and the points x_k defined by $x_k = \cos(\theta_k)$. Notice that since cosine is even, $x_0 = x_1$ and since $\cos(\pi - x) = \cos(\pi + x)$, $x_n = x_{n+1}$. For $0 \le r \le n-1$, let X_r be the n by 1 vector

$$X_r = \begin{pmatrix} T_r(x_1) \\ T_r(x_2) \\ \vdots \\ T_r(x_n) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let *X* be the *n* by *n* matrix with columns $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{n-1}$.

Notice that the polynomials T_r are linearly independent and of degree less than n so that the multipoint evaluation map (on the n different points x_1, \ldots, x_n) is an isomorphism of vector spaces. Hence, the vectors X_r are linearly independent and the matrix X is invertible.

The first assertion of the theorem follows easily from the fact that X_0 is in the nullspace of A and the following claim.

Claim. For all $0 \le r \le n-2$

$$AX_{r+1} = -2\sin\left((r+1)\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\left(X_r + X_{r-2} + X_{r-4} + \dots + \left\{X_1 \text{ or } \frac{1}{2}X_0\right\}\right)$$

Coordinatewise this says

$$AX_{r+1}(k) = -2\sin\left((r+1)\frac{\pi}{n}\right)\left(T_r(x_k) + T_{r-2}(x_k) + \dots + \left\{T_1(x_k) \text{ or } \frac{1}{2}T_0(x_k)\right\}\right)$$

In this proof we use the formula

$$\cos(x) - \cos(y) = -2\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(x+y)\right)\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(x-y)\right) \tag{4}$$

For $2 \le k \le n-1$, we look at the kth entry of AX_{r+1} . The kth row of A has $-f_k$ on the sub-diagonal and f_k on the super-diagonal. The rest of the entries are zero. Therefore the kth entry in AX_{r+1} is

$$f_{\nu}\left[-X_{r+1}(k-1)+X_{r+1}(k+1)\right]$$

In order to simultaneously treat the first and last entries, we extend the use of $X_{r+1}(k)$ to k=0 and k=n+1 and notice that $X_0=X_1$ and $X_{n+1}=X_n$.

Therefore the *k*th entry $(1 \le k \le n)$ in AX_{r+1} is

$$f_{k} [-X_{r+1}(k-1) + X_{r+1}(k+1)]$$

$$= f_{k} [-\cos((r+1)\theta_{k-1}) + \cos((r+1)\theta_{k+1})] \text{ by } (1)$$

$$= f_{k} [\cos((r+1)\theta_{k+1}) - \cos((r+1)\theta_{k-1})] \text{ rearranging}$$

$$= f_{k} \left[-2\sin((r+1)\theta_{k}) \sin\left((r+1)(+2)\frac{\pi}{2n}\right) \right] \text{ using } (4)$$

$$= \frac{-2\sin((r+1)\theta_{k})}{2\sin(\theta_{k})} \sin\left((r+1)\frac{\pi}{n}\right) \text{ using definition of } f_{k}$$

$$= -U_r \left(\cos\left(\theta_k\right)\right) \sin\left(\left(r+1\right)\frac{\pi}{n}\right) \text{ by property (2)}$$

$$= -\sin\left(\left(r+1\right)\frac{\pi}{n}\right) U_r \left(\cos\left(\theta_k\right)\right) \text{ simplifying and rearranging}$$

$$= -\sin\left(\left(r+1\right)\frac{\pi}{n}\right) U_r \left(x_k\right)$$

$$= -2\sin\left(\left(r+1\right)\frac{\pi}{n}\right) \left(T_r(x_k) + T_{r-2}(x_k) + \dots + \left\{T_1(x_k) \text{ or } \frac{1}{2}T_0(x_k)\right\}\right)$$
using relation (3)

Since A is similar to a strictly upper triangular matrix, we may conclude that $A^n = 0$. \square

3. Concluding remarks

Let R_n denote the $n \times n$ tridiagonal matrix with -1's on the sub-diagonal, 1's on the super-diagonal, -1 in the (1, 1) entry, 1 in the (n, n) entry and zeros elsewhere. Note that R_n has sign pattern \mathcal{T}_n . Also note that $A_n = \text{Diag}(f_1, \ldots, f_n)R_n$. We believe that studying R_n will shed light on the proof that A_n is nilpotent.

Behn et al. [5] determined the eigenvalues of R_n . They are 0 and $2i\cos(j\pi/n)$ for $j=1,\ldots,n-1$. It is interesting to note that the angle π/n appears in the proof of the nilpotent theorem given above; in particular, the quantity $\sin((r+1)\pi/n)$ appears in the claim in that proof.

Let E_n denote the **exchange** (or **flip**) matrix which is defined by $E_n(i,j) := \delta(i+j,n+1)$. An $n \times n$ matrix H is **centro-symmetric** if $E_nHE_n = H$; an $n \times n$ matrix K is **centro-skew** if $E_nKE_n = -K$. (For more on such matrices, see Trench [6] and the references in that paper.) Note that A_n and R_n are centro-skew.

Using the theory of centro-symmetry, Driessel [7] showed that R_n is closely related to a certain circulant matrix and a certain skew-circulant matrix. More precisely, let E_+ (respectively, E_-) be the projection defined by $x \mapsto x + E_n x$ (respectively, $x \mapsto x - E_n x$). Then

$$R_n = (\pi_n - \pi_n^T)E_- + (\eta_n + \eta_n^T)E_+.$$

where π_n is the basic $n \times n$ circulant matrix and η_n is the basic $n \times n$ skew-circulant matrix. In other words, if x is a vector in the range of E_- then $R_n x = (\pi_n - \pi_n^T)x$ and if x is in the range of E_+ then $R_n x = (\eta_n + \eta_n^T)x$.

The eigenvalues of circulants and skew-circulants are well-known. (See, for example, Davis [8].) In particular, the eigenvalues of $\pi_n - \pi_n^T$ are $\omega_n^k - \bar{\omega}_n^k$ where $\omega_n := \exp(i2\pi/n) = \cos(2\pi/n) + i\sin(2\pi/n)$. Note $\omega_n^k - \bar{\omega}_n^k = 2i\sin(k2\pi/n)$. The eigenvalues of $\eta_n + \eta_n^T$ are $\sigma_n^{2k-1} + \bar{\sigma}_n^{2k-1}$ where $\sigma_n := \exp(i\pi/n) = \cos(\pi/n) + i\sin(\pi/n)$. Note that $\sigma_n^k + \bar{\sigma}_n^k = 2\cos((2k-1)\pi/n)$. Here we recognize angles that appear in the proof of the nilpotent theorem.

In the main part of this report, we noted one possible application of the fact that A_n is nilpotent – namely, to the spectrally arbitrary problem for the pattern \mathcal{T}_n . Here is another possible application. Note that R_n corresponds to a finite difference approximation of the linear operator d/dx. Also note that d/dx is nilpotent on the space of polynomials of degree less than n-1. The matrix A_n is another finite difference approximation. Since it is nilpotent, it is qualitatively a better approximation.

Acknowledgements

We thank the referee for comments that led to a somewhat simpler proof. We also thank FONDECYT grant 1100135 for funding Behn's visit to Ames during which part of this work was done.

References

- [1] J.H. Drew, C.R. Johnson, D.D. Olesky, P. vanden Driessche, Spectrally arbitrary patterns, Linear Algebra Appl. 308 (1–3) (2000) 121–137.10.1016/S0024-3795(00)00026-4.
- [2] L. Elsner, D.D. Olesky, P. vanden Driessche, Low rank perturbations and the spectrum of a tridiagonal sign pattern, Linear Algebra Appl. 374 (2003) 219–230.10.1016/S0024-3795(03)00580-9.
- [3] M. Catral, D.D. Ólesky, P. vanden Driessche, Allow problems concerning spectral properties of sign pattern matrices: a survey, Linear Algebra Appl. 430 (11–12) (2009) 3080–3094.10.1016/j.laa.2009.01.031.
- [4] P.J. Davis, Interpolation and Approximation, Blaisdel Publishing Co., Ginn and Co., New York, Toronto, London, 1963.
- [5] A. Behn, K. Driessel, I.R. Hentzel, The eigen-problem for some special near-Toeplitz centro-skew tridiagonal matrices, arXiv:1101.5788v1 [math.SP].
- [6] W.F. Trench, Characterization and properties of matrices with generalized symmetry or skew symmetry, Linear Algebra Appl. 377 (2004) 207–218.10.1016/j.laa.2003.07.013.
- [7] K. Driessel, A relation between some special centro-skew, near-Toeplitz, tridiagonal matrices and circulant matrices, arXiv:1102.1953v2 [math.SP].
- [8] P.J. Davis, Circulant Matrices, John Wiley & Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane, 1979. (a Wiley-Interscience Publication, Pure and Applied Mathematics).