

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt



On the occurrence of the sine kernel in connection with the shifted moments of the Riemann zeta function

H. Kösters

Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 100131, 33501 Bielefeld, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 December 2008 Revised 21 May 2010 Available online 22 July 2010 Communicated by J. Brian Conrey

Keywords: Riemann zeta function Mean value theorem Correlation Sine kernel Random matrix theory

ABSTRACT

We point out an interesting occurrence of the sine kernel in connection with the shifted moments of the Riemann zeta function along the critical line. We discuss rigorous results in this direction for the shifted second moment and for the shifted fourth moment. Furthermore, we conjecture that the sine kernel also occurs in connection with the higher (even) shifted moments and show that this conjecture is closely related to a recent conjecture by Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein, and Snaith (2003, 2005) [CFKRS1, CFKRS2].

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Since the discovery by Montgomery and Dyson that the pair correlation function of the non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function seems to be asymptotically the same as that of the eigenvalues of a random matrix from the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE), the relationship between the theory of the Riemann zeta function and the theory of random matrices has attracted considerable interest. This interest intensified in the last few years after Keating and Snaith [KS1] compared the moments of the characteristic polynomial of a random matrix from the Circular Unitary Ensemble (CUE) with the – partly conjectural – moments of the value distribution of the Riemann zeta function along the critical line, and also found some striking similarities. These findings have sparked intensive further research. On the one hand, there are now a number of new conjectures, derived from random matrix theory, about the moments of the value distribution of the Riemann zeta function and more general *L*-functions (see the papers by Keating and Snaith [KS1,KS2] as well as Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein, and Snaith [CFKRS1,CFKRS2,CFKRS3], Conrey, Farmer, and Zirnbauer [CFZ1,CFZ2] and the references contained therein). On the other hand, various authors have investigated the moments

E-mail address: hkoesters@math.uni-bielefeld.de.

and the correlation functions of the characteristic polynomial also for other random matrix ensembles (see e.g. Brézin and Hikami [BH1,BH2], Mehta and Normand [MN], Strahov and Fyodorov [SF], Borodin and Strahov [BS], Götze and Kösters [GK]).

A recurring phenomenon on the random matrix side is the emergence of the sine kernel in the asymptotics of the correlation functions (or shifted moments) of the characteristic polynomial. For instance, for the Circular Unitary Ensemble (CUE) (see Forrester [Fo] or Mehta [Me]), the second-order correlation function of the characteristic polynomial

$$f_{\text{CUE}}(N, \mu, \nu) := \int_{\mathcal{U}_N} \det(U - \mu I) \overline{\det(U - \nu I)} \, dU$$

(where I denotes the $N \times N$ identity matrix and integration is with respect to the normalized Haar measure on the group \mathcal{U}_N of $N \times N$ unitary matrices) satisfies

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \cdot f_{\text{CUE}}(N; e^{2\pi i \mu/N}, e^{2\pi i \nu/N}) = e^{\pi i (\mu - \nu)} \cdot \frac{\sin \pi (\mu - \nu)}{\pi (\mu - \nu)}$$
(1.1)

for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$. This can be deduced using standard arguments from random matrix theory (see e.g. Chapter 5 in FORRESTER [Fo]). More generally, using similar arguments, it can be shown that for any $M \geqslant 1$, the correlation function of order 2M of the characteristic polynomial

$$f_{\text{CUE}}(N, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_M, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_M) := \int_{\mathcal{U}_N} \prod_{j=1}^M \det(U - \mu_j I) \overline{\det(U - \nu_j I)} \, dU$$

satisfies

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{M^2}} \cdot f_{\text{CUE}}(N; e^{2\pi i \mu_1/N}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \mu_M/N}, e^{2\pi i \nu_1/N}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \nu_M/N})$$

$$= \frac{\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{M} \pi i (\mu_j - \nu_j))}{\Delta (2\pi \mu_1, \dots, 2\pi \mu_M) \cdot \Delta (2\pi \nu_1, \dots, 2\pi \nu_M)} \cdot \det\left(\frac{\sin \pi (\mu_j - \nu_k)}{\pi (\mu_j - \nu_k)}\right)_{j,k=1,\dots,M} \tag{1.2}$$

for any pairwise different $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_M, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_M \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\Delta(x_1, \ldots, x_M) := \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq M} (x_k - x_j)$ denotes the Vandermonde determinant.

Similarly, for the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble (GUE) (see Forrester [Fo] or Mehta [Me]), the second-order correlation function of the characteristic polynomial

$$f_{\mathsf{GUE}}(N, \mu, \nu) := \int_{\mathcal{H}_N} \det(X - \mu I) \det(X - \nu I) \mathbb{Q}(dX)$$

(where I denotes the $N \times N$ identity matrix and \mathbb{Q} denotes the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble on the space \mathcal{H}_N of $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices) satisfies

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2N}} \cdot \frac{2^N}{N!} \cdot f_{\text{GUE}}\left(N; \frac{\pi \mu}{\sqrt{2N}}, \frac{\pi \nu}{\sqrt{2N}}\right) = \frac{\sin \pi (\mu - \nu)}{\pi (\mu - \nu)}$$
(1.3)

for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$ (see e.g. Chapters 5 and 7 in Forrester [Fo]). Also, an analogue of (1.2) holds as well. Even more, these results can be generalized both to the class of unitary-invariant matrix ensembles (Brézin and Hikami [BH1], Mehta and Normand [MN], Strahov and Fyodorov [SF]) and – at least for the second-order correlation function – to the class of Hermitian Wigner ensembles

(GÖTZE and KÖSTERS [GK]). In particular, it is noteworthy that the emergence of the sine kernel is universal, as it occurs in all the cases previously mentioned, irrespective of the particular details of the definition of the random matrix ensemble. (More precisely, the emergence of the sine kernel depends on the symmetry class of the random matrix ensemble. For instance, for the Gaussian Orthogonal Ensemble (GOE) on the space of real symmetric matrices, the asymptotics are different; see BRÉZIN and HIKAMI [BH2].)

In view of the above-mentioned similarities between random matrices and the Riemann zeta function, it seems natural to ask whether there is an analogue of (1.1) and (1.2) for the shifted moments of the Riemann zeta function along the critical line. Although there exist some closely related results and conjectures in the literature, such analogues seem to be less well known, and the main aim of this note is to point out this connection.

More precisely, by an analogue of (1.1) and (1.3), we mean a result of the form

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{C(T)} \int_{T_0}^T \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + i\left(t + \frac{2\pi\mu}{\log t}\right)\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - i\left(t + \frac{2\pi\nu}{\log t}\right)\right) dt = e^{\pm i\pi(\mu - \nu)} \cdot \frac{\sin\pi(\mu - \nu)}{\pi(\mu - \nu)},$$

where μ and ν are arbitrary real numbers, $T_0 > 1$ is a constant, and C(T) is some normalizing factor depending on T. To account for our choice of scaling for the shift parameters μ and ν , note that both in (1.1) and in (1.3), the scaling factor is equal to the mean spacing of eigenvalues. For instance, for a random $N \times N$ matrix from the CUE, there are N eigenvalues distributed over the unit circle of length 2π , which gives rise to a mean spacing of $2\pi/N$. Similarly, for a random $N \times N$ matrix from the GUE, it is well known that the mean spacing at the origin is $\pi/\sqrt{2N}$ (see e.g. Chapter 6 in Mehta [Me]). Now recall that, if N(T) denotes the number of zeros of $\zeta(\sigma+it)$ in the region $0 \leqslant \sigma \leqslant 1$, $0 \leqslant t \leqslant T$, it is known that $N(T) \sim (2\pi)^{-1} T \log T$ (see e.g. Chapter 9 in Titchmarsh [Ti]), so that the *empirical* mean spacing at location t is $\sim 2\pi/\log t$. Since this mean spacing depends on t, it seems natural to multiply the shift parameters μ and ν by the *location-dependent* scaling factor $2\pi/\log t$.

For the shifted second moment of the Riemann zeta function, such a result was obtained (in a slightly different formulation) already by ATKINSON [At] in 1948. ATKINSON's theorem can be restated as follows:

Theorem 1.1. For any $T_0 > 1$ and any $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T \log T} \int_{T_0}^T \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + i \left(t + \frac{2\pi \mu}{\log t} \right) \right) \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} - i \left(t + \frac{2\pi \nu}{\log t} \right) \right) dt = e^{-i\pi(\mu - \nu)} \cdot \mathbb{S} \left(\pi \left(\mu - \nu \right) \right),$$

where $\mathbb{S}(x) := \sin x/x$ for $x \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{S}(x) := 1$ for x = 0.

In particular, for μ , $\nu = 0$, this reduces to the classical result that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T \log T} \int_{0}^{T} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^{2} dt = 1$$

(see e.g. Theorem 7.3 in TITCHMARSH [Ti]).

Actually, Atkinson's theorem states that for any $\alpha \geqslant 0$,

$$\int_{T_0}^T \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + iu(t)\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - it\right) dt \sim e^{-i\alpha} \cdot \mathbb{S}(\alpha) \cdot T \log T \quad (T \to \infty),$$

where u(t) is defined by the relation $\vartheta(u(t)) - \vartheta(t) = \alpha$, with $\vartheta(t) := -\frac{1}{2} \arg \chi(\frac{1}{2} + it)$. However, as $u(t) - t \sim 2\alpha/\log t$ $(t \to \infty)$, it seems clear that Theorem 1.1 is virtually the same, and in fact this result can be established by the same proof as ATKINSON's theorem.

For the shifted fourth moment of the Riemann zeta function, we have the following result, which constitutes an analogue of (1.2) in the special case M := 2, $\mu_1 = \nu_1 =: \mu$, $\mu_2 = \nu_2 =: \nu$:

Theorem 1.2. For any $T_0 > 1$ and any $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T (\log T)^4} \int_{T_0}^T \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + i \left(t + \frac{2\pi \mu}{\log t} \right) \right) \right|^2 \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + i \left(t + \frac{2\pi \nu}{\log t} \right) \right) \right|^2 dt = \frac{3}{2\pi^2} \cdot \mathbb{T} \left(\pi \left(\mu - \nu \right) \right),$$

where $\mathbb{T}(x) := \frac{1}{x^2} (1 - (\frac{\sin x}{x})^2)$ for $x \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{T}(0) := 1/3$ for x = 0.

In particular, for μ , $\nu = 0$, this reduces to the classical result that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T (\log T)^4} \int_{0}^{T} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it \right) \right|^4 dt = \frac{1}{2\pi^2}$$

(see Theorem B in INGHAM [In]).

For the proof of Theorem 1.2, we will closely follow the proof of Theorem B in INGHAM [In]. In particular, our proof is also based on the approximate functional equation for $\zeta(s)^2$. (This is analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.1 indicated above, which closely follows the proof of the corresponding result for the non-shifted second moment, starting from the approximate functional equation for $\zeta(s)$.)

As pointed out by an anonymous referee, it should also be possible to deduce Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 (and even more precise versions involving information about the lower-order terms) from the existing (more general) mean value theorems for the second and fourth moment of the Riemann zeta function with *constant* shifts (see Theorem A in Ingham [In] and Theorem 4.2 in Motohashi [Mot]). However, we will not pursue this issue further here, since it is our main aim to point out that the highest-order terms of the appropriately shifted moments of the Riemann zeta function give rise to the sine kernel. Furthermore, weighing the shifts with the factor $2\pi/\log t$ seems to simplify the situation, and we therefore think that a comparatively simple proof of Theorem 1.2 might be of interest.

As regards the higher (even) shifted moments of the Riemann zeta function along the critical line, we will show that a recent conjecture by CONREY, FARMER, KEATING, RUBINSTEIN, and SNAITH [CFKRS2], when combined with our choice of scaling, gives rise to the following analogue of (1.2):

Conjecture 1.3. For any $M=1,2,3,\ldots$, for any $T_0>1$ and for any $\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_M,\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_M\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T (\log T)^{M^2}} \int_{T_0}^T \prod_{j=1}^M \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it + \frac{2\pi i \mu_j}{\log t} \right) \prod_{j=1}^M \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} - it - \frac{2\pi i \nu_j}{\log t} \right) dt \\ &= a_M \cdot \frac{\exp(-\pi i \sum_{j=1}^M (\mu_j - \nu_j))}{\Delta (2\pi \mu_1, \dots, 2\pi \mu_M) \cdot \Delta (2\pi \nu_1, \dots, 2\pi \nu_M)} \cdot \det \left(\frac{\sin \pi (\mu_j - \nu_k)}{\pi (\mu_j - \nu_k)} \right)_{j,k=1,\dots,M}, \end{split}$$

where $\Delta(x_1,\ldots,x_M):=\prod_{1\leqslant j< k\leqslant M}(x_k-x_j)$ is the Vandermonde determinant and

$$a_M := \prod_{p \in \mathcal{P}} \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{M^2} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma(j+M)}{j! \Gamma(M)} \right)^2 p^{-j} \right),$$

the product being taken over the set \mathcal{P} of prime numbers. (Naturally, in the case where two or more of the shift parameters are equal, the right-hand side should be regarded as defined by continuous extension, similarly as in the preceding theorems.)

It is easy to see that $a_1=1$ and $a_2=6/\pi^2$. Thus, Theorem 1.1 confirms Conjecture 1.3 in the special case M=1, and Theorem 1.2 confirms Conjecture 1.3 in the special case M=2, $\mu_1=\nu_1$, $\mu_2=\nu_2$.

Furthermore, Eq. (1.2) and Conjecture 1.3 clearly have a similar structure. A notable difference is given by the factor a_M which occurs in Conjecture 1.3 for the Riemann zeta function but not in Eq. (1.2) for the CUE. It is well known (see e.g. Keating and Snaith [KS1,KS2] and Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein, and Snaith [CFKRS1,CFKRS2]) that this "arithmetic factor" is not predicted by random matrix theory. Another difference is given by the sign in the phase factor $\exp(\pm \pi i \sum_{j=1}^{M} (\mu_j - \nu_j))$. This difference could have been avoided if we had defined the characteristic polynomial by $\det(I - \xi^{-1}U)$ instead of $\det(U - \xi I)$.

As already explained, considering mean value theorems with shift parameters on the scale $2\pi/\log t$ seems very natural by analogy with random matrix theory. Of course, this scaling is also well known within number theory. In particular, it also occurs in the pair correlation function of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function (see e.g. Montgomery [Mon]), in mollified mean value theorems (see e.g. Conrey, Ghosh, and Gonek [CGG]) as well as in a number of discrete mean value theorems related to the zeros of the Riemann zeta function (see e.g. Gonek [Go], Hughes [Hu], Mozer [Moz1, Moz2,Moz3]). Moreover, the limiting expressions in several of these results are also related to the sine kernel

Throughout this paper, we use the following notation: Let $\zeta(s)$ denote the Riemann zeta function, which is defined by the Dirichlet series

$$\zeta(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-s}$$

for Re(s) > 1 and by analytic continuation for $Re(s) \le 1$, and let

$$\chi(s) := 2^{s} \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\pi s\right) \Gamma(1-s) = \pi^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}s\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}s\right)$$

for any $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We follow the convention of denoting the real and imaginary part of the argument s by σ and t, respectively. Furthermore, for any integer $n \geqslant 1$, we denote by d(n) the number of divisors of n. Finally, we make the convention that, unless otherwise indicated, the \mathcal{O} -bounds occurring in the proofs may depend on μ and ν (which are regarded as fixed) but not on any other parameters.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 is devoted to a sketch of the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 3 we discuss the relationship between Conjecture 1.3 for the higher (even) shifted moments of the Riemann zeta function and the conjecture by Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein, and Snaith [CFKRS1,CFKRS2]. Finally, for the convenience of the reader, Appendix A contains some auxiliary results from random matrix theory which have been used in the preceding sections.

2. The mean value of the fourth moment

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is very similar to that of Theorem B in Ingham [In]. We therefore concentrate on the leading-order terms which finally give rise to the sine kernel, and refer to the proof of Theorem B in Ingham [In] for the details concerning lower-order terms.

Sketch of proof of Theorem 1.2. We will show that for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T(\log T)^4} \int_{T}^{2T} \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + i \left(t + \frac{2\pi \mu}{\log t} \right) \right) \right|^2 \left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + i \left(t + \frac{2\pi \nu}{\log t} \right) \right) \right|^2 dt$$

$$= \frac{3/2}{\pi^4 (\mu - \nu)^2} \cdot \left(1 - \left(\frac{\sin \pi (\mu - \nu)}{\pi (\mu - \nu)} \right)^2 \right). \tag{2.1}$$

The assertion of Theorem 1.2 then follows by using (2.1) for $T/2^1$, $T/2^2$, $T/2^3$, ... and taking the sum. For the proof of (2.1), we start from the approximate functional equation for ζ^2 (see e.g. Theorem 4.2 in Ivić [Iv]), from which it follows that

$$\left|\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)\right|^2 = 2\operatorname{Re}\left(\chi\left(\frac{1}{2}+it\right)\sum_{n\leq x(t)}d(n)n^{-\frac{1}{2}+it}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\log t) \quad (t>2),$$

where $x(t) := t/2\pi$ (see e.g. Eq. (4.11) in Ivić [Iv]). Using this equation with $t + 2\pi \lambda/\log t$ instead of t, where λ is a fixed real number and t is sufficiently large (depending on λ), and using the approximation

$$\chi\left(\frac{1}{2} + it\right) = e^{\pi i/4} \left(\frac{2\pi e}{t}\right)^{it} + \mathcal{O}(t^{-1}) \quad (t > 2)$$

(see e.g. Eq. (1.25) in Ivić [Iv]) as well as the fact that for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$d(n) = \mathcal{O}(n^{\varepsilon})$$

(see e.g. Eq. (1.71) in Ivić [Iv]), it easily follows that

$$\left| \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2} + it + \frac{2\pi i \lambda}{\log t} \right) \right|^2 = 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(S(\lambda, t) \right) + \mathcal{O}(\log t), \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$S(\lambda, t) := e^{\pi i/4} \left(\frac{2\pi e}{t}\right)^{it} \left(\frac{2\pi}{t}\right)^{\frac{2\pi i\lambda}{\log t}} \sum_{n \leqslant x(t)} d(n) n^{-\frac{1}{2} + it + \frac{2\pi i\lambda}{\log t}}.$$
 (2.3)

Now suppose that we can show that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T(\log T)^4} \int_{T}^{2T} 2\operatorname{Re}(S(\mu, t)) \cdot 2\operatorname{Re}(S(\nu, t)) dt$$

$$= \frac{3/2}{\pi^4(\mu - \nu)^2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\sin \pi (\mu - \nu)}{\pi (\mu - \nu)}\right)^2\right)$$
(2.4)

for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}$. It then follows from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality that when plugging (2.2) into the left-hand side of (2.1), the terms resulting from the \mathcal{O} -term in (2.2) are asymptotically negligible, and the proof is complete.

For the proof of (2.4), first observe that

$$\int_{T}^{2T} 2\operatorname{Re}(S(\mu, t)) \cdot 2\operatorname{Re}(S(\nu, t)) dt$$

$$= 2\operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{T}^{2T} S(\mu, t)\overline{S(\nu, t)} dt\right) + 2\operatorname{Re}\left(\int_{T}^{2T} S(\mu, t)S(\nu, t) dt\right). \tag{2.5}$$

An elaboration of the argument in INGHAM [In] shows that the second integral on the right-hand side in (2.5) is of order $o(T \log^4 T)$ and therefore tends to zero after division by $T \log^4 T$ as in (2.4). For the first integral on the right-hand side in (2.5), we obtain, from (2.3),

$$\begin{split} &\int\limits_{T}^{2T} S(\mu,t) \overline{S(\nu,t)} \, dt \\ &= e^{-2\pi i (\mu - \nu)} \sum_{m,n \leqslant x(2T)} \frac{d(m)d(n)}{\sqrt{m} \sqrt{n}} \int\limits_{T'}^{2T} (m/n)^{it} (2\pi m)^{+\frac{2\pi i \mu}{\log t}} (2\pi n)^{-\frac{2\pi i \nu}{\log t}} \, dt \end{split}$$

for all $T \ge 2$, where $T' := T'(T, m, n) := \max\{T, 2\pi m, 2\pi n\}$.

Now, for those pairs (m, n) with $m, n \leq x(2T)$ and $m \neq n$, it is easy to check (using integration by parts) that

$$\int_{T'}^{2T} (m/n)^{it} (2\pi m)^{+\frac{2\pi i \mu}{\log t}} (2\pi n)^{-\frac{2\pi i \nu}{\log t}} dt = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|\log(m/n)|}\right).$$

Thus, the same argument as in INGHAM [In] shows that the sum over the pairs (m, n) with $m \neq n$ tends to zero after division by $T \log^4 T$ as in (2.4). Consequently, it remains to consider the sum over the pairs (m, n) with m = n, and to show that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T \log^4 T} \cdot 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-2\pi i (\mu - \nu)} \sum_{n \leqslant x(2T)} \frac{d(n)^2}{n} \int_{T'}^{2T} (2\pi n)^{\frac{2\pi i (\mu - \nu)}{\log t}} dt \right)$$

$$= \frac{3/2}{\pi^4 (\mu - \nu)^2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\sin \pi (\mu - \nu)}{\pi (\mu - \nu)} \right)^2 \right). \tag{2.6}$$

Clearly, in doing so, we may assume without loss of generality that $\nu = 0$. Since $n \le x(2T)$ and $T \le T' \le 2T$, we have

$$\begin{split} \int\limits_{T'}^{2T} (2\pi n)^{\frac{2\pi i \mu}{\log t}} \, dt &= \int\limits_{T'}^{2T} (2\pi n)^{\frac{2\pi i \mu}{\log T}} \, dt - \int\limits_{T'}^{2T} \int\limits_{T}^{t} (2\pi n)^{\frac{2\pi i \mu}{\log u}} \log(2\pi n) \frac{2\pi i \mu}{u (\log u)^2} \, du \, dt \\ &= T (2\pi n)^{\frac{2\pi i \mu}{\log T}} - \left(T' - T\right) (2\pi n)^{\frac{2\pi i \mu}{\log T}} + \mathcal{O}\bigg(\frac{T}{\log T}\bigg) \end{split}$$

and therefore

$$e^{-2\pi i \mu} \sum_{n \leqslant x(2T)} \frac{d(n)^2}{n} \int_{T'}^{2T} (2\pi n)^{\frac{2\pi i \mu}{\log t}} dt$$

$$= T e^{-2\pi i \mu} \sum_{n \leqslant x(2T)} \frac{d(n)^2}{n} (2\pi n)^{\frac{2\pi i \mu}{\log T}}$$

$$- e^{-2\pi i \mu} \sum_{n \leqslant x(2T)} (T' - T) \frac{d(n)^2}{n} (2\pi n)^{\frac{2\pi i \mu}{\log T}} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{T}{\log T} \sum_{n \leqslant x(2T)} \frac{d(n)^2}{n}\right). \tag{2.7}$$

By Lemma B.1 in INGHAM [In],

$$\sum_{n \le T} \frac{d(n)^2}{n} = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \log^4 T + \mathcal{O}(\log^3 T).$$

Thus, the \mathcal{O} -term in (2.7) is obviously of order $o(T\log^4 T)$. Moreover, since T' = T for $n \le x(T)$ and $T' \le 2T$ for $x(T) < n \le x(2T)$, it easily follows that the second sum on the right-hand side in (2.7) is also of order $o(T\log^4 T)$. The first sum on the right-hand side in (2.7) can be approximated by an integral, as in the proof of Lemma B.1 in INGHAM [In]. Using that

$$D(T) := \sum_{n \le T} d(n)^2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2} T \log^3 T + \mathcal{O}(T \log^2 T)$$

(see e.g. Eq. (5.24) in Ivić [Iv]), we have, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ from a bounded set,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n\leqslant x(2T)} d(n)^2 n^{-1+i\lambda} &= \sum_{n\leqslant x(2T)} \left(D(n) - D(n-1)\right) n^{-1+i\lambda} \\ &= \sum_{n\leqslant x(2T)-1} D(n) \left(n^{-1+i\lambda} - (n+1)^{-1+i\lambda}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\log^3 T\right) \\ &= (1-i\lambda) \int_1^{x(2T)} \frac{D(u)}{u^{2-i\lambda}} du + \mathcal{O}\left(\log^3 T\right) \\ &= (1-i\lambda) \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_1^{x(2T)} \frac{\log^3 u}{u^{1-i\lambda}} du + \mathcal{O}\left(\log^3 T\right) \\ &= (\log T)^4 (1-i\lambda) \cdot \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 w^3 e^{i\lambda w \log T} \, dw + \mathcal{O}\left(\log^3 T\right), \end{split}$$

where the last step comes from the substitution $w = \log u / \log T$. Thus, with λ replaced by $2\pi \mu / \log T$, it follows that

$$\begin{split} Te^{-2\pi i\mu} & \sum_{n \leqslant x(2T)} \frac{d(n)^2}{n} (2\pi n)^{\frac{2\pi i\mu}{\log T}} \\ &= T \log^4 T \cdot (2\pi)^{\frac{2\pi i\mu}{\log T}} \left(1 - \frac{2\pi i\mu}{\log T} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 w^3 e^{2\pi i\mu(w-1)} dw + \mathcal{O}\left(T \log^3 T\right) \\ &= T \log^4 T \cdot \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 w^3 e^{2\pi i\mu(w-1)} dw + \mathcal{O}\left(T \log^3 T\right). \end{split}$$

By a small calculation, we therefore obtain, for $\mu \neq 0$,

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T \log^4 T} \cdot 2 \operatorname{Re} \left(T e^{-2\pi i \mu} \sum_{n \leqslant x(2T)} \frac{d(n)^2}{n} (2\pi n)^{\frac{2\pi i \mu}{\log T}} \right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi^2} \int_0^1 w^3 \cos(2\pi \mu (w - 1)) dw = \frac{3/2}{\pi^4 \mu^2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\sin \pi \mu}{\pi \mu} \right)^2 \right).$$

This is true also for $\mu = 0$, provided that we consider the continuous extension of the right-hand side, i.e. $1/2\pi^2$. This concludes the proof of (2.6), and hence of Theorem 1.2. \Box

3. The conjecture for the higher shifted moments

In this section we comment on the relationship between Conjecture 1.3 for the higher (even) shifted moments of the Riemann zeta function and the conjecture by Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein, and Snaith [CFKRS1,CFKRS2], which we will simply call the CFKRS-conjecture from now on.

In the special case of the Riemann zeta function, this conjecture can be stated as follows:

Conjecture 3.1. (See Conjecture 2.2 in [CFKRS1].) For any $M=1,2,3,\ldots$, and any $\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_M,$ $\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_M\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_{0}^{T} \prod_{j=1}^{M} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it + i\mu_{j}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{M} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - it - i\nu_{j}\right) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{T} W_{M}(t; i\mu_{1}, \dots, i\mu_{M}; i\nu_{1}, \dots, i\nu_{M}) \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(t^{-(1/2) + \varepsilon}\right)\right) dt,$$

where

$$\begin{split} W_{M}(t;\xi_{1},\ldots,\xi_{M},\xi_{M+1},\ldots,\xi_{2M}) \\ &:= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{t}{2\pi}\cdot\sum_{j=1}^{M}(-\xi_{j}+\xi_{M+j})\right)\cdot\sum_{\sigma\in\mathcal{S}_{2M}'}\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\log\frac{t}{2\pi}\cdot\sum_{j=1}^{M}(\xi_{\sigma(j)}-\xi_{\sigma(M+j)})\right) \\ &\cdot A_{M}(\xi_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,\xi_{\sigma(2M)})\cdot\prod_{j,k=1,\ldots,M}\zeta(1+\xi_{\sigma(j)}-\xi_{\sigma(M+k)}). \end{split}$$

Here, S'_{2M} denotes the subset of permutations σ of the set $\{1, \ldots, 2M\}$ satisfying $\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(M)$ and $\sigma(M+1) < \cdots < \sigma(2M)$, and $A_M(z_1, \ldots, z_{2M})$ is a certain function which is analytic in a neighborhood of the origin and for which $A_M(0, \ldots, 0) = a_M$.

We will show that Conjecture 1.3 follows from the CFKRS-conjecture provided that one permits replacing $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_M, \nu_1, \ldots, \nu_M$ with $2\pi \mu_1/\log t, \ldots, 2\pi \mu_M/\log t, 2\pi \nu_1/\log t, \ldots, 2\pi \nu_M/\log t$. In this respect, Conjecture 1.3 may be regarded as a special case of the CFKRS-conjecture.

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we prefer working with the interval [T, 2T] instead of [0, T]. Besides that, we will only consider the leading-order terms. We then have the approximation

$$I(T) := \int_{T}^{2T} \prod_{j=1}^{M} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it + \frac{2\pi i \mu_{j}}{\log t}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{M} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - it - \frac{2\pi i \nu_{j}}{\log t}\right) dt$$

$$\approx \int_{T}^{2T} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left(-\frac{\xi_{j}}{\log t} + \frac{\xi_{M+j}}{\log t}\right)\right)$$

$$\cdot \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}'_{2M}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{t}{2\pi} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left(\frac{\xi_{\sigma(j)}}{\log t} - \frac{\xi_{\sigma(M+j)}}{\log t}\right)\right)$$

$$\cdot A_{M}\left(\frac{\xi_{\sigma(1)}}{\log t}, \dots, \frac{\xi_{\sigma(2M)}}{\log t}\right) \cdot \prod_{j,k=1,\dots,M} \zeta\left(1 + \frac{\xi_{\sigma(j)}}{\log t} - \frac{\xi_{\sigma(M+k)}}{\log t}\right) dt, \tag{3.1}$$

where we have put $\xi_j := 2\pi i \mu_j$ for j = 1, ..., M, $\xi_{M+j} := 2\pi i \nu_j$ for j = 1, ..., M, and \mathcal{S}'_{2M} and A_M are the same as in the CFKRS-conjecture. Alternatively, the approximation (3.1) could be obtained by starting from the expression

$$\int_{T}^{2T} \prod_{j=1}^{M} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + it + \frac{2\pi i \mu_{j}}{\log t}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{M} \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - it - \frac{2\pi i \nu_{j}}{\log t}\right) dt$$

and by following the (non-rigorous) "recipe" leading to the CFKRS-conjecture. (In fact, since the factor $\frac{1}{\log t}$ is essentially constant, it is irrelevant for the question which terms are rapidly oscillating and should therefore be discarded.)

To simplify (3.1) as $T \to \infty$, recall that A_M is regular at (0, ..., 0) and ζ has a simple pole with residue 1 at z = 1. Thus, concentrating on leading-order terms, we obtain

$$I(T) \approx \int_{T}^{2T} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{M} (-\xi_{j} + \xi_{M+j})\right) \cdot A_{M}(0, \dots, 0)$$

$$\cdot \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2M}'} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{M} (\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(M+j)})\right) \cdot \frac{(\log t)^{M^{2}}}{\prod_{j,k=1,\dots,M} (\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(M+k)})} dt.$$
(3.2)

Therefore, since

$$\int_{T}^{2T} (\log t)^{M^2} dt = T (\log T)^{M^2} + \mathcal{O}(T (\log T)^{M^2 - 1}),$$

we should expect that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T(\log T)^{M^2}} I(T)$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{M} (-\xi_j + \xi_{M+j})\right) \cdot A_M(0, \dots, 0)$$

$$\cdot \sum_{\sigma \in S_{M^*}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{M} (\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(M+j)})\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{j,k=1,\dots,M} (\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(M+k)})}.$$
(3.3)

Since $A_M(0, \dots, 0) = a_M$ (see Eq. (2.7.10) in [CFKRS2]) and

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S'_{2M}} \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{M} (\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(M+j)})\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\prod_{j,k=1,\dots,M} (\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(M+k)})}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Delta(2\pi \mu_{1},\dots,2\pi \mu_{M}) \cdot \Delta(2\pi \nu_{1},\dots,2\pi \nu_{M})} \cdot \det\left(\frac{\sin \pi (\mu_{j} - \nu_{k})}{\pi (\mu_{j} - \nu_{k})}\right)_{j,k=1,\dots,M} \tag{3.4}$$

(see Appendix A), this yields Conjecture 1.3.

Acknowledgments

I thank Friedrich Götze for several valuable discussions. Furthermore, I thank Steve Gonek as well as an anonymous referee for pointing out relevant references from the classical area of analytic number theory. Finally, I thank two anonymous referees for their helpful comments on a previous version of this paper.

Appendix A. On the characteristic polynomial of the CUE

The purpose of this appendix is to show that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{M^2}} \cdot f_{\text{CUE}}(N; e^{2\pi i \mu_1/N}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \mu_M/N}, e^{2\pi i \nu_1/N}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \nu_M/N})$$

$$= \frac{\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{M} \pi i (\mu_j - \nu_j))}{\Delta(2\pi \mu_1, \dots, 2\pi \mu_M) \cdot \Delta(2\pi \nu_1, \dots, 2\pi \nu_M)} \cdot \det\left(\frac{\sin \pi (\mu_j - \nu_k)}{\pi (\mu_j - \nu_k)}\right) \tag{A.1}$$

and

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N^{M^2}} \cdot f_{\text{CUE}}(N; e^{2\pi i \mu_1/N}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \mu_M/N}, e^{2\pi i \nu_1/N}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \nu_M/N})$$

$$= \exp\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (\xi_j - \xi_{M+j})\right) \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in S_{2M}} \frac{\exp(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(M+j)}))}{\prod_{j,k=1,\dots,M} (\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(M+k)})}, \tag{A.2}$$

where $\Delta(x_1,\ldots,x_M):=\prod_{j< k}(x_k-x_j)$ denotes the Vandermonde determinant, \mathcal{S}'_{2M} denotes the subset of permutations σ of the set $\{1,\ldots,2M\}$ satisfying $\sigma(1)<\cdots<\sigma(M)$ and $\sigma(M+1)<\cdots<\sigma(2M)$, $\xi_j:=2\pi i\mu_j$ for $j=1,\ldots,M$, and $\xi_{M+j}:=2\pi i\nu_j$ for $j=1,\ldots,M$. In particular, by combining (A.1) and (A.2), we obtain the identity (3.4) used at the end of Section 3.

The proofs of (A.1) and (A.2) use the well-known arguments from random matrix theory, so that we confine ourselves to a rough sketch.

Recall that the correlation function of order 2M of the characteristic polynomial of a random matrix from the Circular Unitary Ensemble is defined by

$$f(\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_M;\nu_1,\ldots,\nu_M) = \int_{I_M} \prod_{j=1}^M \det(U-\mu_j I) \overline{\det(U-\nu_j I)} \, dU.$$

It is well known that the probability measure on the space of eigenvalue angles induced by the CUE is given by

$$Z_N^{-1} \prod_{1 \leqslant j < k \leqslant N} \left| e^{i\vartheta_k} - e^{i\vartheta_j} \right|^2 d\lambda^N(\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_N)$$

(see Forrester [Fo] or Mehta [Me]), where $Z_N := (2\pi)^N N!$ and λ denotes the Lebesgue measure on the interval $[0, 2\pi]$. We therefore obtain

$$\begin{split} f\left(e^{i\mu_{1}},\ldots,e^{i\mu_{M}};e^{i\nu_{1}},\ldots,e^{i\nu_{M}}\right) &= Z_{N}^{-1}\int\prod_{j=1}^{M}\prod_{k=1}^{N}\left(e^{i\vartheta_{k}}-e^{i\mu_{j}}\right)\overline{\left(e^{i\vartheta_{k}}-e^{i\nu_{j}}\right)} \\ &\cdot\prod_{1\leqslant j< k\leqslant N}\left|e^{i\vartheta_{k}}-e^{i\vartheta_{j}}\right|^{2}d\lambda^{N}(\vartheta_{1},\ldots,\vartheta_{N}). \end{split}$$

To prove (A.1), we rewrite the integrand as

$$\frac{1}{C(\mu,\nu)}\Delta(e^{i\mu_1},\ldots,e^{i\mu_M},e^{i\vartheta_1},\ldots,e^{i\vartheta_N})\Delta(e^{-i\nu_1},\ldots,e^{-i\nu_M},e^{-i\vartheta_1},\ldots,e^{-i\vartheta_N}),$$

where $\Delta(x_1,\ldots,x_n):=\prod_{1\leqslant j< k\leqslant n}(x_k-x_j)$ denotes the Vandermonde determinant and $C(\mu,\nu):=\Delta(e^{i\mu_1},\ldots,e^{i\mu_M})\cdot\Delta(e^{-i\nu_1},\ldots,e^{-i\nu_M})$. Proceeding similarly as in the proofs of Propositions 5.1.1 and 5.1.2 in Forrester [Fo], we obtain

$$f(e^{i\mu_1},\ldots,e^{i\mu_M};e^{i\nu_1},\ldots,e^{i\nu_M}) = \frac{1}{C(\mu,\nu)} \cdot \det(S_{N+M}(\mu_j,\nu_l))_{jl},$$

where

$$S_n(\mu,\nu) := \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e^{ik(\mu-\nu)} = \frac{e^{in(\mu-\nu)}-1}{e^{i(\mu-\nu)}-1} = e^{i(n-1)(\mu-\nu)/2} \cdot \frac{\sin(n(\mu-\nu)/2)}{\sin((\mu-\nu)/2)}.$$

Replacing $e^{i\mu_j}$, $e^{i\nu_j}$ with $e^{2\pi i\mu_j/N}$, $e^{2\pi i\nu_j/N}$, multiplying by N^{-M^2} and letting $N\to\infty$, it follows that

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{N \to \infty} \left(N^{-M^2} f\left(e^{2\pi i \mu_1/N}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \mu_M/N}; e^{2\pi i \nu_1/N}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \nu_M/N} \right) \right) \\ &= \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\exp(\sum_{j=1}^M \pi i (N+M-1)(\mu_j - \nu_j)/N)}{\Delta (N e^{2\pi i \mu_1/N}, \dots, N e^{2\pi i \mu_M/N}) \Delta (N e^{-2\pi i \nu_1/N}, \dots, N e^{-2\pi i \nu_M/N})} \\ &\cdot \det \left(\frac{\sin(\pi \, (N+M)(\mu_j - \nu_l)/N)}{N \sin(\pi \, (\mu_j - \nu_l)/N)} \right) \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{M} \pi i(\mu_j - \nu_j))}{\Delta(2\pi \mu_1, \dots, 2\pi \mu_M) \Delta(2\pi \nu_1, \dots, 2\pi \nu_M)} \cdot \det\left(\frac{\sin \pi (\mu_j - \nu_l)}{\pi (\mu_j - \nu_l)}\right),$$

and (A.1) is proved.

To prove (A.2), we use the alternative representation

$$\begin{split} & f\left(e^{2\pi i \mu_{1}}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \mu_{M}}; e^{2\pi i \nu_{1}}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \nu_{M}}\right) \\ & = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2}N\sum_{j=1}^{M}(\xi_{j} - \xi_{M+j})\right) \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{2M}'} \frac{\exp(\frac{1}{2}N\sum_{j=1}^{M}(\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(M+j)}))}{\prod_{j,k=1,\dots,M}(1 - e^{\xi_{\sigma(M+k)} - \xi_{\sigma(j)}})}, \end{split}$$

where S'_{2M} and ξ_j are defined as below (A.2). See Eq. (2.21) in Conrey, Farmer, Keating, Rubinstein, and Snaith [CFKRS1], but note that we use a slightly different definition of the characteristic polynomial, which explains why some signs have changed.

Replacing $e^{2\pi i \mu_j}$, $e^{2\pi i \nu_j}$ with $e^{2\pi i \mu_j/N}$, $e^{2\pi i \nu_j/N}$, multiplying by N^{-M^2} and letting $N \to \infty$, it follows that

$$\begin{split} &\lim_{N \to \infty} \left(N^{-M^2} f\left(e^{2\pi i \mu_1/N}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \mu_M/N}; e^{2\pi i \nu_1/N}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \nu_M/N} \right) \right) \\ &= \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^M (\xi_j - \xi_{M+j}) \right) \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{2M}'} \frac{\exp(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^M (\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(M+j)}))}{\prod_{j,k=1,\dots,M} \lim_{N \to \infty} (N \cdot (1 - e^{(\xi_{\sigma(M+k)} - \xi_{\sigma(j)})/N}))} \\ &= \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^M (\xi_j - \xi_{M+j}) \right) \cdot \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{2M}'} \frac{\exp(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^M (\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(M+j)}))}{\prod_{j,k=1,\dots,M} (\xi_{\sigma(j)} - \xi_{\sigma(M+k)})}, \end{split}$$

and (A.2) is proved.

References

- [At] F.V. Atkinson, A mean value property of the Riemann zeta function, J. London Math. Soc. 23 (1948) 128-135.
- [BS] A. Borodin, E. Strahov, Averages of characteristic polynomials in random matrix theory, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 59 (2006) 161–253.
- [BH1] E. Brézin, S. Hikami, Characteristic polynomials of random matrices, Comm. Math. Phys. 214 (2000) 111–135.
- [BH2] E. Brézin, S. Hikami, Characteristic polynomials of real symmetric random matrices, Comm. Math. Phys. 223 (2001) 363–382.
- [CFKRS1] J.B. Conrey, D.W. Farmer, J.P. Keating, M.O. Rubinstein, N.C. Snaith, Autocorrelation of random matrix polynomials, Comm. Math. Phys. 237 (2003) 365–395.
- [CFKRS2] J.B. Conrey, D.W. Farmer, J.P. Keating, M.O. Rubinstein, N.C. Snaith, Integral moments of L-functions, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 91 (2005) 33–104.
- [CFKRS3] J.B. Conrey, D.W. Farmer, J.P. Keating, M.O. Rubinstein, N.C. Snaith, Lower order terms in the full moment conjecture for the Riemann zeta function, J. Number Theory 128 (2008) 1516–1554.
- [CFZ1] J.B. Conrey, D.W. Farmer, M.R. Zirnbauer, Howe pairs, supersymmetry, and ratios of random characteristic polynomials for the unitary groups U_N , preprint, 2005.
- [CFZ2] J.B. Conrey, D.W. Farmer, M.R. Zirnbauer, Autocorrelation of ratios of *L*-functions, Commun. Number Theory Phys. 2 (2008) 593–636.
- [CGG] J.B. Conrey, A. Ghosh, S.M. Gonek, Mean values of the Riemann zeta-function with application to the distribution of zeros, in: Number Theory, Trace Formulas and Discrete Groups, Oslo, 1987, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989, pp. 185–199.
- [Fo] PJ. Forrester, Log-Gases and Random Matrices, London Math. Soc. Monogr. Ser., vol. 34, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NI, 2010.
- [Go] S.M. Gonek, Mean values of the Riemann zeta function and its derivatives, Invent. Math. 75 (1984) 123-141.
- [GK] F. Götze, H. Kösters, On the second-order correlation function of the characteristic polynomial of a Hermitian Wigner matrix, Comm. Math. Phys. 285 (2009) 1183–1205, doi:10.1007/s00220-008-0544-z.
- [Hu] C.P. Hughes, Random matrix theory and discrete moments of the Riemann zeta function, J. Phys. A 36 (2003) 2907– 2917.

- [In] A.E. Ingham, Mean value theorems in the theory of the Riemann zeta function, Proc. London Math. Soc. 27 (1926) 273–300.
- [Iv] A. Ivić, The Riemann Zeta Function, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1985.
- [KS1] J.P. Keating, N.C. Snaith, Random matrix theory and $\zeta(1/2+it)$, Comm. Math. Phys. 214 (2000) 57–89.
- [KS2] J.P. Keating, N.C. Snaith, Random matrix theory and L-functions at s = 1/2, Comm. Math. Phys. 214 (2000) 91–110.
- [Me] M.L. Mehta, Random Matrices, 3rd edition, Pure Appl. Math., vol. 142, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2004.
- [MN] M.L. Mehta, J.-M. Normand, Moments of the characteristic polynomial in the three ensembles of random matrices, J. Phys. A 34 (2001) 4627–4639.
- [Mon] H.L. Montgomery, The pair correlation of zeros of the Riemann zeta function, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 24 (1973) 181–193.
- [Mot] Y. Motohashi, Spectral Theory of the Riemann *ζ*-Function, Cambridge Tracts in Math., vol. 127, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [Moz1] J. Mozer, Proof of E.C. Titchmarsh's conjecture in the theory of the Riemann zeta function, Acta Arith. 36 (1980) 147–156.
- [Moz2] J. Mozer, A biquadratic sum in the theory of the Riemann zeta function, Acta Math. Univ. Comenian. 42/43 (1983) 35–39.
- [Moz3] J. Mozer, On the order of a Titchmarsh sum in the theory of the Riemann zeta function, Czechoslovak Math. J. 41 (1991) 663–684.
- [SF] E. Strahov, Y.V. Fyodorov, Universal results for correlations of characteristic polynomials: Riemann-Hilbert approach, Comm. Math. Phys. 241 (2003) 343–382.
- [Ti] E.C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta Function, 2nd edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1986.