

UZH Center for Reproducible Science Library

Eva Furrer, Simon Schwab

Friday 7th December, 2018

References

- (2017). The challenges of replication. *Elife* **6**.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.23693>
- (2018). Special issue metaresearch. *Science* **361**.
URL <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/361/6408>
- AKALIN, A. (2018). Scientific data analysis pipelines and reproducibility. Accessed: 2018-10-17.
URL <https://medium.com/@aakalin/scientific-data-analysis-pipelines-and-reproducibility-75ff9df5b4c5>
- ALLISON, D. B., BROWN, A. W., GEORGE, B. J. and KAISER, K. A. (2016). Reproducibility: A tragedy of errors. *Nature* **530** 27–29.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/530027a>
- ALLISON, D. B., SHIFFRIN, R. M. and STODDEN, V. (2018). Reproducibility of research: Issues and proposed remedies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 2561–2562.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1802324115>
- ALTMAN, D. G. (1994). The scandal of poor medical research. *BMJ* **308** 283–284.
URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8124111>
- ANDERSON, J. R., CAIN, K. C. and GELBER, R. D. (2008). Analysis of survival by tumor response and other comparisons of Time-to-Event by outcome variables. *J. Clin. Orthod.* **26** 3913–3915.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2008.16.1000>
- ANDERSON, S. F. and MAXWELL, S. E. (2016). There's more than one way to conduct a replication study: Beyond statistical significance. *Psychol. Methods* **21** 1–12.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/met0000051>
- ANDERSON, S. F. and MAXWELL, S. E. (2017). Addressing the “replication crisis”: Using original studies to design replication studies with appropriate statistical power. *Multivariate Behav. Res.* **52** 305–324.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00273171.2017.1289361>
- ARROWSMITH, J. (2011). Trial watch: Phase II failures: 2008–2010. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **10** 328–329.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrd3439>

- BAHOR, Z., LIAO, J., MACLEOD, M. R., BANNACH-BROWN, A., MCCANN, S. K., WEVER, K. E., THOMAS, J., OTTAVI, T., HOWELLS, D. W., RICE, A., ANANIADOU, S. and SENA, E. (2017). Risk of bias reporting in the recent animal focal cerebral ischaemia literature. *Clin. Sci.* **131** 2525–2532.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1042/CS20160722>
- BAKER, M. (2016a). 1,500 scientists lift the lid on reproducibility. *Nature* **533** 452–454.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/533452a>
- BAKER, M. (2016b). Statisticians issue warning over misuse of P values. *Nature* **531** 151.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature.2016.19503>
- BARBA, L. A. (2018). Terminologies for reproducible research .
 URL <http://arxiv.org/abs/1802.03311>
- BARIBAULT, B., DONKIN, C., LITTLE, D. R., TRUEBLOOD, J. S., ORAVECZ, Z., VAN RAVEN-ZWAIJ, D., WHITE, C. N., DE BOECK, P. and VANDEKERCKHOVE, J. (2018). Metastudies for robust tests of theory. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 2607–2612.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708285114>
- BASTIAN, H. (2016). Reproducibility crisis timeline: Milestones in tackling research reliability | absolutely maybe. Accessed: 2018-12-6.
 URL <https://blogs.plos.org/absolutely-maybe/2016/12/05/reproducibility-crisis-timeline-milestones-in-tackling-research-reliability/>
- BEGLEY, C. G., BUCHAN, A. M. and DIRNAGL, U. (2015). Robust research: Institutions must do their part for reproducibility. *Nature* **525** 25–27.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/525025a>
- BEGLEY, C. G. and ELLIS, L. M. (2012). Drug development: Raise standards for preclinical cancer research. *Nature* **483** 531–533.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/483531a>
- BENJAMIN, D. J., BERGER, J. O., JOHANNESSEN, M., NOSEK, B. A., J. WAGENMAKERS, E., BERK, R., BOLLEN, K. A., BREMBS, B., BROWN, L., CAMERER, C., CESARINI, D., CHAMBERS, C. D., CLYDE, M., COOK, T. D., DE BOECK, P., DIENES, Z., DREBER, A., EASWARAN, K., EFFERSON, C., FEHR, E., FIDLER, F., FIELD, A. P., FORSTER, M., GEORGE, E. I., GONZALEZ, R., GOODMAN, S., GREEN, E., GREEN, D. P., GREENWALD, A. G., HADFIELD, J. D., HEDGES, L. V., HELD, L., HO, T. H., HOIJTINK, H., HRUSCHKA, D. J., IMAI, K., IMBENS, G., IOANNIDIS, J. P. A., JEON, M., JONES, J. H., KIRCHLER, M., LAIBSON, D., LIST, J., LITTLE, R., LUPIA, A., MACHERY, E., MAXWELL, S. E., McCARTHY, M., MOORE, D. A., MORGAN, S. L., MUNAFÓ, M., NAKAGAWA, S., NYHAN, B., PARKER, T. H., PERICCHI, L., PERUGINI, M., ROUDER, J., ROUSSEAU, J., SAVALEI, V., SCHÖNBRODT, F. D., SELLKE, T., SINCLAIR, B., TINGLEY, D., VAN ZANDT, T., VAZIRE, S., WATTS, D. J., WINSHIP, C., WOLPERT, R. L., XIE, Y., YOUNG, C., ZINMAN, J. and JOHNSON, V. E. (2017). Redefine statistical significance. *Nature Human Behaviour* **2** 6–10.
 URL <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41562-017-0189-z>
- BISHOP, D. V. M. (2018). Fallibility in science: Responding to errors in the work of oneself and others. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science* **1** 432–438.
 URL <https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245918776632>
- BISSELL, M. (2013). Reproducibility: The risks of the replication drive. *Nature* **503** 333–334.
 URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24273798>

- BOUTRON, I. and RAVAUD, P. (2018). Misrepresentation and distortion of research in biomedical literature. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 2613–2619.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710755115>
- BRADBURY, A. and PLÜCKTHUN, A. (2015). Reproducibility: Standardize antibodies used in research. *Nature* **518** 27–29.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/518027a>
- BROWN, A. W., KAISER, K. A. and ALLISON, D. B. (2018). Issues with data and analyses: Errors, underlying themes, and potential solutions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 2563–2570.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708279115>
- BUTTON, K. S., IOANNIDIS, J. P. A., MOKRYSZ, C., NOSEK, B. A., FLINT, J., ROBINSON, E. S. J. and MUNAFÒ, M. R. (2013). Power failure: why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* **14** 365–376.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrn3475>
- CAMERER, C. F., DREBER, A., FORSELL, E., HO, T.-H., HUBER, J., JOHANNESSON, M., KIRCHLER, M., ALMENBERG, J., ALTMEJD, A., CHAN, T., HEIKENSTEN, E., HOLZMEISTER, F., IMAI, T., ISAKSSON, S., NAVÉ, G., PFEIFFER, T., RAZEN, M. and WU, H. (2016). Evaluating replicability of laboratory experiments in economics. *Science* **351** 1433–1436.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf0918>
- CAMERER, C. F., DREBER, A., HOLZMEISTER, F., HO, T.-H., HUBER, J., JOHANNESSON, M., KIRCHLER, M., NAVÉ, G., NOSEK, B. A., PFEIFFER, T., ALTMEJD, A., BUTTRICK, N., CHAN, T., CHEN, Y., FORSELL, E., GAMPA, A., HEIKENSTEN, E., HUMMER, L., IMAI, T., ISAKSSON, S., MANFREDI, D., ROSE, J., WAGENMAKERS, E.-J. and WU, H. (2018). Evaluating the replicability of social science experiments in nature and science between 2010 and 2015. *Nature Human Behaviour* **2** 637–644.
URL <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41562-018-0399-z>
- CARP, J. (2012). On the plurality of (methodological) worlds: estimating the analytic flexibility of fMRI experiments. *Front. Neurosci.* **6** 149.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2012.00149>
- CHAMBERS, C. (2018). Reproducibility meets accountability: introducing the replications initiative at royal society open science | publishing blog | royal society. Accessed: 2018-10-17.
URL <https://blogs.royalsociety.org/publishing/reproducibility-meets-accountability/>
- CHAMBERS, C. D. (2013). Registered reports: a new publishing initiative at cortex. *Cortex* **49** 609–610.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2012.12.016>
- CLEMENS, M. A. (2017). THE MEANING OF FAILED REPLICATIONS: A REVIEW AND PROPOSAL. *J. Econ. Surv.* **31** 326–342.
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/joes.12139>
- COHEN, J. (1994). The earth is round ($p < .05$). *Am. Psychol.* **49** 997–1003.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.49.12.997>

- COLLINS, F. S. and TABAK, L. A. (2014). Policy: NIH plans to enhance reproducibility. *Nature* **505** 612–613.
URL <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24482835>
- COLQUHOUN, D. (2017). The reproducibility of research and the misinterpretation of p-values. *Royal Society Open Science* **4**.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.171085>
- DE RUITER, J. (2018). Redefine or justify? Comments on the alpha debate. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* .
URL <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-018-1523-9>
- DICKERSIN, K. and MAYO-WILSON, E. (2018). Standards for design and measurement would make clinical research reproducible and usable. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 2590–2594.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708273114>
- DONOHO, D. L. (2010). An invitation to reproducible computational research. *Biostatistics* **11** 385–388.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/biostatistics/kxq028>
- ELLIS, S. E. and LEEK, J. T. (2017). How to share data for collaboration. Tech. Rep. e3139v5, PeerJ Preprints.
URL <https://peerj.com/preprints/3139/>
- ERDFELDER, E. and ULRICH, R. (2018). Zur Methodologie von Replikationsstudien.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1026/0033-3042/a000387>
- ERRINGTON, T. M., IORNS, E., GUNN, W., TAN, F. E., LOMAX, J. and NOSEK, B. A. (2014). An open investigation of the reproducibility of cancer biology research. *eLife* **3**.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.04333>
- FANELLI, D. (2010a). Do pressures to publish increase scientists' bias? an empirical support from US states data. *PLoS One* **5** e10271.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010271>
- FANELLI, D. (2010b). "positive" results increase down the hierarchy of the sciences. *PLoS One* **5** e10068.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010068>
- FANELLI, D. (2018). Opinion: Is science really facing a reproducibility crisis, and do we need it to? *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 2628–2631.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708272114>
- FISHER, A., ANDERSON, G. B., PENG, R. and LEEK, J. (2014). A randomized trial in a massive online open course shows people don't know what a statistically significant relationship looks like, but they can learn. *PeerJ* **2** e589.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.589>
- FISHER, A. J., MEDAGLIA, J. D. and JERONIMUS, B. F. (2018). Lack of group-to-individual generalizability is a threat to human subjects research. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** E6106–E6115.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711978115>
- FOUNDATION, SWISS NATIONAL SCIENCE (2018). Open science in switzerland. *CHIMIA International Journal for Chemistry* **72** 342–344.

URL <https://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/scs/chimia/2018/00000072/00000005/art00016>

FRASER, H., PARKER, T., NAKAGAWA, S., BARNETT, A. and FIDLER, F. (2018). Questionable research practices in ecology and evolution. *PLoS One* **13** e0200303.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200303>

FREEDMAN, L. P., COCKBURN, I. M. and SIMCOE, T. S. (2015). The economics of reproducibility in preclinical research. *PLoS Biol.* **13** e1002165.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002165>

FREESE, J. and PETERSON, D. (2017). Replication in social science. *Annu. Rev. Sociol.* **43** 147–165.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-soc-060116-053450>

FREESE, J. and PETERSON, D. (2018). The emergence of statistical objectivity: Changing ideas of epistemic vice and virtue in science. *Sociol. Theor.* **36** 289–313.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1177/0735275118794987>

FRISTON, K. (2012). Ten ironic rules for non-statistical reviewers. *Neuroimage* **61** 1300–1310.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.04.018>

GELMAN, A. and LOKEN, E. (2014). The statistical crisis in science. *Am. Sci.* **102** 460.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1511/2014.111.460>

GHERGHINA, S. and KATSANIDOU, A. (2014). Data availability policies in political science journals.
URL <https://doi.org/10.7802/61>

GLENN BEGLEY, C. and IOANNIDIS, J. P. A. (2015). Reproducibility in science. *Circ. Res.* **116** 116–126.
URL <https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIRCRESAHA.114.303819>

GOODMAN, S. N. (1992). A comment on replication,p-values and evidence. *Stat. Med.* **11** 875–879.
URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/sim.4780110705>

GOODMAN, S. N., FANELLI, D. and IOANNIDIS, J. P. A. (2016). What does research reproducibility mean? *Sci. Transl. Med.* **8** 341ps12.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf5027>

HARDWICKE, T. E. and IOANNIDIS, J. P. A. (2018). Mapping the universe of registered reports. *Nature Human Behaviour* **2** 793–796.
URL <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41562-018-0444-y>

HEIM, S., VON TONGELN, F., HILLEN, R., HORBACH, J., RADACH, R. and GÜNTHER, T. (2018). Reading without words or target detection? a re-analysis and replication fMRI study of the landolt paradigm. *Brain Struct. Funct.* .
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00429-018-1698-x>

HORBACH, S. P. J. M. S. and HALFFMAN, W. W. (2018). The changing forms and expectations of peer review. *Res Integr Peer Rev* **3** 8.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s41073-018-0051-5>

- HOTHORN, T., HELD, L. and FRIEDE, T. (2009). Biometrical journal and reproducible research. *Biom. J.* **51** 553–555.
 URL <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/bimj.200900154>
- INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF ENGINEERING, NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES and COMMITTEE ON SCIENCE, ENGINEERING, AND PUBLIC POLICY (2009). *On Being a Scientist: A Guide to Responsible Conduct in Research: Third Edition*. National Academies Press, Washington (DC).
 URL <https://market.android.com/details?id=book--vtjAgAAQBAJ>
- IOANNIDIS, J. P. A. (2005). Why most published research findings are false. *PLoS Med.* **2** e124.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0020124>
- IOANNIDIS, J. P. A. (2016). Why most clinical research is not useful. *PLoS Med.* **13** e1002049.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002049>
- IOANNIDIS, J. P. A. (2018). The proposal to lower P value thresholds to .005. *JAMA* **319** 1429–1430.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jama.2018.1536>
- IOANNIDIS, J. P. A., ALLISON, D. B., BALL, C. A., COULIBALY, I., CUI, X., CULHANE, A. C., FALCHI, M., FURLANELLO, C., GAME, L., JURMAN, G., MANGION, J., MEHTA, T., NITZBERG, M., PAGE, G. P., PETRETTI, E. and VAN NOORT, V. (2009). Repeatability of published microarray gene expression analyses. *Nat. Genet.* **41** 149–155.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.295>
- IOANNIDIS, J. P. A., MUNAFÒ, M. R., FUSAR-POLI, P., NOSEK, B. A. and DAVID, S. P. (2014). Publication and other reporting biases in cognitive sciences: detection, prevalence, and prevention. *Trends Cogn. Sci.* **18** 235–241.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2014.02.010>
- JAMIESON, K. H. (2018). Crisis or self-correction: Rethinking media narratives about the well-being of science. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 2620–2627.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708276114>
- JOHNSON, V. E., PAYNE, R. D., WANG, T., ASHER, A. and MANDAL, S. (2017). On the reproducibility of psychological science. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **112** 1–10.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2016.1240079>
- KAFKAFI, N., AGASSI, J., CHESLER, E. J., CRABBE, J. C., CRUSIO, W. E., EILAM, D., GERLAJI, R., GOLANI, I., GOMEZ-MARIN, A., HELLER, R., IRAQI, F., JALJULI, I., KARP, N. A., MORGAN, H., NICHOLSON, G., PFAFF, D. W., RICHTER, S. H., STARK, P. B., STIEDL, O., STODDEN, V., TARANTINO, L. M., TUCCI, V., VALDAR, W., WILLIAMS, R. W., WÜRBEL, H. and BENJAMINI, Y. (2018). Reproducibility and replicability of rodent phenotyping in preclinical studies. *Neurosci. Biobehav. Rev.* **87** 218–232.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.01.003>
- KARP, N. A. (2018). Reproducible preclinical research-is embracing variability the answer? *PLoS Biol.* **16** e2005413.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2005413>
- KIDWELL, M. C., LAZAREVIĆ, L. B., BARANSKI, E., HARDWICKE, T. E., PIECHOWSKI, S., FALKENBERG, L.-S., KENNEDY, C., SLOWIK, A., SONNLEITNER, C., HESS-HOLDEN, C.,

- ERRINGTON, T. M., FIEDLER, S. and NOSEK, B. A. (2016). Badges to acknowledge open practices: A simple, Low-Cost, effective method for increasing transparency. *PLoS Biol.* **14** e1002456.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002456>
- KILLEEN, P. R. (2005). An alternative to null-hypothesis significance tests. *Psychol. Sci.* **16** 345–353.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.0956-7976.2005.01538.x>
- KIMMELMAN, J., MOGIL, J. S. and DIRNAGL, U. (2014). Distinguishing between exploratory and confirmatory preclinical research will improve translation. *PLoS Biol.* **12** e1001863.
URL <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001863>
- KING, G. (1995). Replication, replication. *PS Polit. Sci. Polit.* **28** 444–452.
URL <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ps-political-science-and-politics/article/replication-replication/85C204B396C5060963589BDC1A8E7357>
- KING, G. (2006). Publication, publication. *PS Polit. Sci. Polit.* **39** 119–125.
URL <https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/ps-political-science-and-politics/article/publication-publication/70648B31FD6FB98426AF06624A4B0FB4>
- KING, G. (2018). How to write a publishable paper as a class project. Accessed: 2018-11-9.
URL <https://gking.harvard.edu/papers>
- KING, G., TOMZ, M. and WITTENBERG, J. (2000). Making the most of statistical analyses: Improving interpretation and presentation. *Am. J. Pol. Sci.* **44** 347–361.
URL <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2669316>
- KOLATA, G. (2018). He promised to restore damaged hearts. harvard says his lab fabricated research. *The New York Times*.
URL <https://www.nytimes.com/2018/10/29/health/dr-piero-anversa-harvard-retraction.html>
- KRAFT, P., ZEGGINI, E. and IOANNIDIS, J. P. A. (2009). Replication in Genome-Wide association studies. *Stat. Sci.* **24** 561–573.
URL <https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ss/1271770349>
- KUPFERSCHMIDT, K. (2018). More and more scientists are preregistering their studies. should you? Accessed: 2018-12-6.
URL <https://www.sciencemag.org/news/2018/09/more-and-more-scientists-are-preregistering-their-studies-should-you>
- LAKENS, D., ADOLFI, F. G., ALBERS, C. J., ANVARI, F., APPS, M. A. J., ARGAMON, S. E., BAGULEY, T., BECKER, R. B., BENNING, S. D., BRADFORD, D. E., BUCHANAN, E. M., CALDWELL, A. R., VAN CALSTER, B., CARLSSON, R., CHEN, S.-C., CHUNG, B., COLLING, L. J., COLLINS, G. S., CROOK, Z., CROSS, E. S., DANIELS, S., DANIELSSON, H., DEBRUINE, L., DUNLEAVY, D. J., EARP, B. D., FEIST, M. I., FERRELL, J. D., FIELD, J. G., FOX, N. W., FRIESEN, A., GOMES, C., GONZALEZ-MARQUEZ, M., GRANGE, J. A., GRIEVE, A. P., GUGGENBERGER, R., GRIST, J., VAN HARMELEN, A.-L., HASSELMAN, F., HOCHARD, K. D., HOFFARTH, M. R., HOLMES, N. P., INGRE, M., ISAGER, P. M., ISOTALUS, H. K., JOHANSSON, C., JUSZCZYK, K., KENNY, D. A., KHALIL, A. A., KONAT, B., LAO, J., LARSEN, E. G., LODDER, G. M. A., LUKAVSKÝ, J., MADAN, C. R., MANHEIM, D., MARTIN, S. R., MARTIN, A. E., MAYO, D. G., MCCARTHY, R. J., McCONWAY, K., MCFARLAND, C., NIO, A. Q. X.,

- NILSONNE, G., DE OLIVEIRA, C. L., DE XIVRY, J.-J. O., PARSONS, S., PFUHL, G., QUINN, K. A., SAKON, J. J., ADIL SARIBAY, S., SCHNEIDER, I. K., SELVARAJU, M., SJOERDS, Z., SMITH, S. G., SMITS, T., SPIES, J. R., SREEKUMAR, V., STELTENPOHL, C. N., STENHOUSE, N., ŚWIĄTKOWSKI, W., VADILLO, M. A., VAN ASSEN, M. A. L. M., WILLIAMS, M. N., WILLIAMS, S. E., WILLIAMS, D. R., YARKONI, T., ZIANO, I. and ZWAAN, R. A. (2018a). Justify your alpha. *Nature Human Behaviour* **2** 168–171.
URL <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41562-018-0311-x>
- LAKENS, D., SCHEEL, A. M. and ISAGER, P. M. (2018b). Equivalence testing for psychological research: A tutorial. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science* **1** 259–269.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245918770963>
- LEEK, J., MCSHANE, B. B., GELMAN, A., COLQUHOUN, D., NUIJTEN, M. B. and GOODMAN, S. N. (2017). Five ways to fix statistics. *Nature* **551** 557–559.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/d41586-017-07522-z>
- LEEK, J. T. and JAGER, L. R. (2017). Is most published research really false? *Annu. Rev. Stat. Appl.* **4** 109–122.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-statistics-060116-054104>
- LEEK, J. T. and PENG, R. D. (2015). Statistics: *P* values are just the tip of the iceberg. *Nature* **520** 612.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/520612a>
- LEHMAN, R. and LODER, E. (2012). Missing clinical trial data. *BMJ* **344** d8158.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.d8158>
- LEONELLI, S. (2018). Rethinking reproducibility as a criterion for research quality. In *Including a Symposium on Mary Morgan: Curiosity, Imagination, and Surprise* (L. Fiorito, S. Scheall and C. E. Suprinyak, eds.), vol. 36 of *Research in the History of Economic Thought and Methodology*, chap. 9. Emerald Publishing Limited, 129–146.
URL <https://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/abs/10.1108/S0743-41542018000036B009>
- LI, G., BHATT, M., WANG, M., MBUAGBAW, L., SAMAAN, Z. and THABANE, L. (2018). Enhancing primary reports of randomized controlled trials: Three most common challenges and suggested solutions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 2595–2599.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708286114>
- LITHGOW, G. J., DRISCOLL, M. and PHILLIPS, P. (2017). A long journey to reproducible results. *Nature* **548** 387–388.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/548387a>
- LOKEN, E. and GELMAN, A. (2017). Measurement error and the replication crisis. *Science* **355** 584–585.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aal3618>
- LOMAX COOK, F. (2018). Dear colleague letter: Achieving new insights through replicability and reproducibility.
URL <https://www.nsf.gov/pubs/2018/nsf18053/nsf18053.jsp>
- LOWNDES, J. S. S., BEST, B. D., SCARBOROUGH, C., AFFLERBACH, J. C., FRAZIER, M. R., O'HARA, C. C., JIANG, N. and HALPERN, B. S. (2017). Our path to better science in less time using open data science tools. *Nat Ecol Evol* **1** 160.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0160>

- MACLEOD, M. R., MICHIE, S., ROBERTS, I., DIRNAGL, U., CHALMERS, I., IOANNIDIS, J. P. A., SALMAN, R. A.-S., CHAN, A.-W. and GLASZIOU, P. (2014). Biomedical research: increasing value, reducing waste. *Lancet* **383** 101–104.
URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0140673613623296>
- MACLEOD, M. R., VAN DER WORP, H. B., SENA, E. S., HOWELLS, D. W., DIRNAGL, U. and DONNAN, G. A. (2008). Evidence for the efficacy of NXY-059 in experimental focal cerebral ischaemia is confounded by study quality. *Stroke* **39** 2824–2829.
URL http://www.ahajournals.org/doi/full/10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.515957?url_ver=Z39.88-2003&rfr_id=ori:rid:crossref.org&rfr_dat=cr_pub%3dpubmed
- MAKEL, M. C., PLUCKER, J. A. and HEGARTY, B. (2012). Replications in psychology research: How often do they really occur? *Perspect. Psychol. Sci.* **7** 537–542.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1745691612460688>
- MAXWELL, S. E., LAU, M. Y. and HOWARD, G. S. (2015). Is psychology suffering from a replication crisis? What does “failure to replicate” really mean? *Am. Psychol.* **70** 487.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0039400>
- MCSHANE, B. B. and GAL, D. (2017). Statistical significance and the dichotomization of evidence. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* **112** 885–895.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2017.1289846>
- MORRISON, S. J. (2014). Time to do something about reproducibility. *eLife* **3**.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.03981>
- MUNAFÒ, M. (2018). How do you deal with a problem like reproducibility? Accessed: 2018-12-5.
URL <https://www.jisc.ac.uk/blog/how-do-you-deal-with-a-problem-like-reproducibility-29-nov-2018>
- MUNAFÒ, M. R., NOSEK, B. A., BISHOP, D. V. M., BUTTON, K. S., CHAMBERS, C. D., PERCIE DU SERT, N., SIMONSOHN, U., WAGENMAKERS, E.-J., WARE, J. J. and IOANNIDIS, J. P. A. (2017). A manifesto for reproducible science. *Nature Human Behaviour* **1** 0021.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-016-0021>
- NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION (2018). Achieving new insights through replicability and reproducibility.
- NAUDET, F., SAKAROVITCH, C., JANIAUD, P., CRISTEA, I., FANELLI, D., MOHER, D. and IOANNIDIS, J. P. A. (2018). Data sharing and reanalysis of randomized controlled trials in leading biomedical journals with a full data sharing policy: survey of studies published in the BMJ and PLOS medicine. *BMJ* **360** k400.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k400>
- NICHOLS, T. E. and POLINE, J.-B. (2009). Commentary on vul et al.’s (2009) “puzzlingly high correlations in fMRI studies of emotion, personality, and social cognition”. *Perspect. Psychol. Sci.* **4** 291–293.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2009.01126.x>
- NORRIS, D., DIRNAGL, U., ZIGMOND, M. J., THOMPSON-PEER, K. and CHOW, T. T. (2018). Health tips for research groups. *Nature* **557** 302–304.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/d41586-018-05146-5>

- NOSEK, B. A., ALTER, G., BANKS, G. C., BORSBOOM, D., BOWMAN, S. D., BRECKLER, S. J., BUCK, S., CHAMBERS, C. D., CHIN, G., CHRISTENSEN, G., CONTESTABILE, M., DAFOE, A., EICH, E., FREESE, J., GLENNERSTER, R., GOROFF, D., GREEN, D. P., HESSE, B., HUMPHREYS, M., ISHIYAMA, J., KARLAN, D., KRAUT, A., LUPIA, A., MABRY, P., MADON, T. A., MALHOTRA, N., MAYO-WILSON, E., MCNUTT, M., MIGUEL, E., PALUCK, E. L., SIMONSOHN, U., SODERBERG, C., SPELLMAN, B. A., TURITTO, J., VANDENBOS, G., VAZIRE, S., WAGENMAKERS, E. J., WILSON, R. and YARKONI, T. (2015). SCIENTIFIC STANDARDS. promoting an open research culture. *Science* **348** 1422–1425.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aab2374>
- NOSEK, B. A., EBERSOLE, C. R., DEHAVEN, A. C. and MELLOR, D. T. (2018). The preregistration revolution. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 2600–2606.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708274114>
- NOSEK, B. A. and ERRINGTON, T. M. (2017). Making sense of replications. *eLife* **6**.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.23383>
- OPEN SCIENCE COLLABORATION (2015). PSYCHOLOGY. estimating the reproducibility of psychological science. *Science* **349** aac4716.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aac4716>
- PATIL, P. and PARMIGIANI, G. (2018). Training replicable predictors in multiple studies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 2578–2583.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708283115>
- PATIL, P., PENG, R. D. and LEEK, J. (2016). A statistical definition for reproducibility and replicability.
 URL <https://doi.org/10.1101/066803>
- PENDERS, B. and JANSSENS, A. C. J. W. (2018). Finding wealth in waste: Irreproducibility Re-Examined. *Bioessays* **40** e1800173.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/bies.201800173>
- PENG, R. D. (2009). Reproducible research and biostatistics. *Biostatistics* **10** 405–408.
 URL <https://academic.oup.com/biostatistics/article/10/3/405/293660>
- PENG, R. D. (2011). Reproducible research in computational science. *Science* **334** 1226–1227.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1213847>
- PENG, R. D., DOMINICI, F. and ZEGER, S. L. (2006). Reproducible epidemiologic research. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **163** 783–789.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwj093>
- PERNET, C. and POLINE, J.-B. (2015). Improving functional magnetic resonance imaging reproducibility. *Gigascience* **4** 15.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13742-015-0055-8>
- PLESSER, H. E. (2017). Reproducibility vs. replicability: A brief history of a confused terminology. *Front. Neuroinform.* **11** 76.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fninf.2017.00076>
- PRINZ, F., SCHLANGE, T. and ASADULLAH, K. (2011). Believe it or not: how much can we rely on published data on potential drug targets? *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **10** 712.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrd3439-c1>

- QUINTANA, D. S. (2015). From pre-registration to publication: a non-technical primer for conducting a meta-analysis to synthesize correlational data. *Front. Psychol.* **6** 1549.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01549>
- REDISH, A. D., KUMMERFELD, E., MORRIS, R. L. and LOVE, A. C. (2018). Opinion: Reproducibility failures are essential to scientific inquiry. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 5042–5046.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1806370115>
- REICHLIN, T. S., VOGT, L. and WÜRBEL, H. (2016). The researchers' view of scientific Rigor-Survey on the conduct and reporting of in vivo research. *PLoS One* **11** e0165999.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165999>
- ROMERO, F. (2018). Who should do replication labor? *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science* **2515245918803619**.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1177/2515245918803619>
- SCHLOSS, P. D. (2018). Identifying and overcoming threats to reproducibility, replicability, robustness, and generalizability in microbiome research. *MBio* **9** e00525–18.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00525-18>
- SCHUEMIE, M. J., HRIPCSAK, G., RYAN, P. B., MADIGAN, D. and SUCHARD, M. A. (2018). Empirical confidence interval calibration for population-level effect estimation studies in observational healthcare data. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 2571–2577.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708282114>
- SENN, S. (2002). Letter to the Editor: A comment on replication, p-values and evidence by S.N. Goodman, Statistics in Medicine 1992; 11:875-879. *Stat. Med.* **21** 2437–2444.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.1072>
- SHIFFRIN, R. M., BÖRNER, K. and STIGLER, S. M. (2018). Scientific progress despite irreproducibility: A seeming paradox. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 2632–2639.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1711786114>
- SILBERZAHN, R., UHLMANN, E. L., MARTIN, D. P., ANSELMI, P., AUST, F., AWTREY, E. C., BAHNÍK, Š., BAI, F., BANNARD, C., BONNIER, E., CARLSSON, R., CHEUNG, F., CHRISTENSEN, G., CLAY, R., CRAIG, M. A., ROSA, A. D., DAM, L., EVANS, M. H., CERVANTES, I. F., FONG, N., GAMEZ-DJOKIC, M., GLENZ, A., GORDON-MCKEON, S., HEATON, T., ERIKSSON, K. H., HEENE, M., MOHR, A. H., HÖGDEN, F., HUI, K., JOHANNESSON, M., KALODIMOS, J., KASZUBOWSKI, E., KENNEDY, D. M., LEI, R., LINDSAY, T. A., LIVERANI, S., MADAN, C. R., MOLDEN, D. C., MOLLEMAN, E., MOREY, R. D., MULDER, L. B., NIJSTAD, B. A., POPE, B., POPE, N. G., PRENOVEAU, J. M., RINK, F., ROBUSTO, E., RODERIQUE, H., SANDBERG, A., SCHLUETER, E., S, F., SHERMAN, M. F., SOMMER, S. A., SOTAK, K. L., SPAIN, S. M., SPÖRLEIN, C., STAFFORD, T., STEFANUTTI, L., TÄUBER, S., ULLRICH, J., VIANELLO, M., WAGENMAKERS, E.-J., WITKOWIAK, M., YOON, S. and NOSEK, B. A. (2017). Many analysts, one dataset: Making transparent how variations in analytical choices affect results.
URL <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/qkwst>
- SIMMONS, J. P., NELSON, L. D. and SIMONSOHN, U. (2011). False-positive psychology: undisclosed flexibility in data collection and analysis allows presenting anything as significant. *Psychol. Sci.* **22** 1359–1366.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0956797611417632>

- SIMONSOHN, U. (2015). Small telescopes: detectability and the evaluation of replication results. *Psychol. Sci.* **26** 559–569.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0956797614567341>
- SINGH CHAWLA, D. (2017). Big names in statistics want to shake up much-maligned *P* value. *Nature* **548** 16–17.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature.2017.22375>
- SMITH, R. and ROBERTS, I. (2016). Time for sharing data to become routine: the seven excuses for not doing so are all invalid. *F1000Res.* **5** 781.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8422.1>
- STODDEN, V., SEILER, J. and MA, Z. (2018). An empirical analysis of journal policy effectiveness for computational reproducibility. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115** 2584–2589.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1708290115>
- SUISSA, S. (2008). Immortal time bias in pharmaco-epidemiology. *Am. J. Epidemiol.* **167** 492–499.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwm324>
- TRESSOLDI, P. E. (2012). Replication unreliability in psychology: elusive phenomena or “elusive” statistical power? *Front. Psychol.* **3** 218.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2012.00218>
- TURNER, B. O., PAUL, E. J., MILLER, M. B. and BARBEY, A. K. (2018). Small sample sizes reduce the replicability of task-based fMRI studies. *Commun Biol* **1** 62.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s42003-018-0073-z>
- ULRICH, R., MILLER, J. and ERDFELDER, E. (2018). Effect size estimation from t-statistics in the presence of publication bias.
 URL <https://doi.org/10.1027/2151-2604/a000319>
- VIDAURRE, D., WOOLRICH, M. W., KARAPANAGIOTIDIS, T., SMALLWOOD, J. and NICHOLS, T. E. (2018). Hypothesis testing in the presence of noisy experimental replications.
 URL <https://doi.org/10.1101/268151>
- VINES, T. H., ALBERT, A. Y. K., ANDREW, R. L., DÉBARRE, F., BOCK, D. G., FRANKLIN, M. T., GILBERT, K. J., MOORE, J.-S., RENAUT, S. and RENNISON, D. J. (2014). The availability of research data declines rapidly with article age. *Curr. Biol.* **24** 94–97.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.11.014>
- VOGT, L., REICHLIN, T. S., NATHUES, C. and WÜRBEL, H. (2016). Authorization of animal experiments is based on confidence rather than evidence of scientific rigor. *PLoS Biol.* **14** e2000598.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2000598>
- VUL, E., HARRIS, C., WINKIELMAN, P. and PASHLER, H. (2009a). Puzzlingly high correlations in fMRI studies of emotion, personality, and social cognition. *Perspect. Psychol. Sci.* **4** 274–290.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2009.01125.x>
- VUL, E., HARRIS, C., WINKIELMAN, P. and PASHLER, H. (2009b). Reply to comments on “puzzlingly high correlations in fMRI studies of emotion, personality, and social cognition”. *Perspect. Psychol. Sci.* **4** 319–324.
 URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2009.01132.x>

- WAGENMAKERS, E.-J., MOREY, R. D. and LEE, M. D. (2016). Bayesian benefits for the pragmatic researcher. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* **25** 169–176.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963721416643289>
- WALLACH, J. D., BOYACK, K. W. and IOANNIDIS, J. P. A. (2018). Reproducible research practices, transparency, and open access data in the biomedical literature, 2015-2017. *PLoS Biol.* **16** e2006930.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2006930>
- WALTON, R. (2018). Towards minimal reporting standards for life scientists : Of schemes and memes blog. Accessed: 2018-12-6.
URL <http://blogs.nature.com/ofschemesandmemes/2018/11/01/towards-minimal-reporting-standards-life-scientists>
- WARREN, M. (2018). First analysis of ‘pre-registered’ studies shows sharp rise in null findings. *Nature* .
URL <http://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-018-07118-1>
- WASSERSTEIN, R. L. and LAZAR, N. A. (2016). The ASA’s statement on p-values: Context, process, and purpose. *Am. Stat.* **70** 129–133.
URL <https://doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2016.1154108>
- WICHERTS, J. M., BORSBOOM, D., KATS, J. and MOLENAAR, D. (2006). The poor availability of psychological research data for reanalysis. *Am. Psychol.* **61** 726–728.
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.61.7.726>
- WICHERTS, J. M., VELDKAMP, C. L. S., AUGUSTEIJN, H. E. M., BAKKER, M., VAN AERT, R. C. M. and VAN ASSEN, M. A. L. M. (2016). Degrees of freedom in planning, running, analyzing, and reporting psychological studies: A checklist to avoid p-hacking. *Front. Psychol.* **7** 1832.
URL <https://www.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01832>
- YOUNG, A. (2017). Channelling Fisher: Randomization tests and the statistical insignificance of seemingly significant experimental results.
URL <http://personal.lse.ac.uk/YoungA/ChannellingFisher.pdf>