
Swiss Electoral Studies (Selects) 2011

Enquête auprès des électrices et électeurs après les élections - 2011

Codebook, Description of Microdata

May 1, 2012



UNIL | Université de Lausanne

swiss centre of expertise in the social sciences ■ c/o university of lausanne, vidy ■ ch-1015 lausanne ■ switzerland
t +41(0)21 692 37 30 ■ f +41(0)21 692 37 35 ■ www.fors.unil.ch

Impressum

Concept and production	FORS Data and Research Information Services (DARIS)
Production Date	May 1, 2012

This document was generated using the [IHSN Microdata Management Toolkit](#)

Table of Contents

Swiss Electoral Studies (Selects) 2011.....	1
Overview.....	1
Scope.....	2
Coverage.....	2
Sampling.....	2
Data Collection.....	3
Accessibility.....	3
Rights & Disclaimer.....	3
File Description.....	5
2012AB_F.....	5
Variable Groups.....	6
Organisational.....	6
Demographics.....	6
Employment.....	7
Elections.....	11
Swiss politics.....	13
Political knowledge.....	15
Opinions.....	15
online/PAPI: Government.....	18
online/PAPI: Opinions.....	19
online/PAPI: Values.....	21
online/PAPI: Social participation.....	21
Geographical information.....	23
Weights.....	23
Variable List.....	24
2012AB_F.....	24
Alphabetical List.....	42
Variable Description.....	59
2012AB_F.....	59
Appendices.....	

Swiss Electoral Studies (Selects) 2011

Enquête auprès des électrices et électeurs après les élections - 2011

2011

Overview

Abstract

Cette recherche sur les élections fédérales de 2011 (Selects 2011) a été menée par FORS et financée par le Fonds National de la Recherche Scientifique. S'inscrivant dans la lignée des enquêtes Selects 1995, 1999, 2003 et 2007, le but de Selects 2011 est d'assurer la continuité et le développement de la recherche électorale en Suisse. Les données récoltées dans le cadre de cette recherche permettent de mettre en lumière les raisons de la participation et de l'abstentionnisme lors des élections, la composition de l'électorat des partis, le comportement électoral de différents groupes sociaux, les positions et orientations politiques principales des électrices et électeurs, de même que le processus de formation de leurs opinions. Entre le 24 octobre et le 25 novembre 2011, ce sont 4391 personnes qui ont été interrogées dans le cadre de cette enquête. L'échantillon national de base est composé de 2000 personnes; 2391 entretiens supplémentaires ont été réalisés, d'une part afin d'assurer un échantillon d'au moins 100 personnes dans chaque canton et d'autre part afin de porter le nombre de personnes interrogées dans les cantons de Zurich, Tessin et Genève à environ 600.

Les interviews ont été réalisées par l'institut de sondage DemoSCOPE à Adligenswil, Genève et Winterthour. L'enquête portait entre autres sur le comportement électoral en 2011 et 2007, sur les raisons de la (non-)participation, sur la proximité partisane, sur l'intérêt pour la politique, sur les opinions politiques, sur divers enjeux politiques, sur l'utilisation des médias et sur la connaissance de la politique. En plus, certaines données statistiques ont aussi été relevées, comme le niveau d'éducation, la profession, le revenu, etc.

Après l'enquête par téléphone, un questionnaire écrit complémentaire a été envoyé à 2489 personnes. Au total, 1786 personnes (72%) ont rempli ce questionnaire complémentaire et l'ont retourné par la poste ou par voie électronique.

Version of the microdata

1.2 (10.09.2012)

Liste des corrections par rapport à la version 1.1 publiée le 18.06.2012 :

- Ajout de la variable de classe sociale (Oesch) à huit catégories : clas8_x
- Correction de la variable marital (état civil) pour le suréchantillonnage de Genève
- Changement de format des variables ISCO pour garder le " 0 " au début des codes des professions militaires
- Correction de la variable bfsprof3_r
- Correction du nom de la variable weight_total
- Correction grammaticale de labels de variables

1.1 (18.06.2012)

Liste des modifications par rapport à la version 1.0 publiée le 03.05.2012:

- Correction des labels des codes des professions ISCO 08 (labélisés erronément ISCO 88)
- Correction du recodage des catégories réduites du problème le plus important, variables : f12700r, f12730r

- Ajout des codes professions ISCO 88 pour toutes les variables profession
- Ajout des recodages manquants à la variable f28700 : f287bfs, f287ber, f287_88, f287_08
- Ajout des variables récapitulatives des professions dans les différents codages : BFSprof3_R, BFSprof3_P, BFSprof3_H, BFSprof5_R, BFSprof5_P, BFSprof5_H, BFSprof8_R, BFSprof8_P, BFSprof8_H, ISCO88prof_R, ISCO88prof_P, ISCO88prof_H, ISCO08prof_R, ISCO08prof_P, ISCO08prof_H
- Ajout des variables récapitulatives du secteur de travail : sector_R, sector_P, sector_H
- Ajout des variables récapitulatives de l'éducation non-recodées : educ_R, educ_P, educ_M
- Ajout de la variable nombre d'appels : calls
- Suppression des réponses originales (string) aux questions ouvertes sur le problème le plus important f12700_oth et f12730_oth
- Labélisation des valeurs pour les codes ISCO 88 et 08 et les codes profession à 8 chiffres OFS (STAMM)
- Labélisation des municipalités
- Clarification de la labélisation des professions
- Harmonisation des capitales et minuscules avec le fichier 2007

State of data	September 10, 2012
Type	Swiss Electoral Studies (Selects)
Kind of Data	Données quantitatives
Persistent Identifier	ch.sidos.ddi.f.590.10890

Scope

Keywords	Comportement électoral, Participation (comportement), Campagne électorale, Partis, Formation d'opinion
Topics	Politique, Elections, Pouvoirs

Coverage

Universe	Population des Suissesses et Suisses vivant en Suisse qui disposent du droit de vote
Unit of Analysis	Individu
Geographic Coverage	Suisse (CH)

Sampling

Sampling Procedure	Enquête téléphonique: En 2011, Selects a pu utiliser la nouvelle base d'échantillonnage mise à disposition par l'Office fédéral de la statistique (OFS): le Cadre d'échantillonnage pour les sondages auprès des personnes et des ménages (SRPH). Sur les 15207 adresses de l'échantillon fourni par l'OFS où un numéro de téléphone correspondant à l'adresse a pu être trouvé, 12688 ménages ont été contactés. Pour 1735 d'entre eux, il n'a pas été possible de réaliser un entretien avec la personne cible.
---------------------------	--

1116 personnes se sentaient trop vieilles, trop malades ou débordées et 5426 ont activement refusé de répondre. Au total, 4391 entretiens ont été réalisés. L'échantillon comprend un suréchantillonnage qui permet d'obtenir au moins 100 entretiens par canton, ainsi que de porter le nombre de personnes interrogées dans les cantons de Zurich, du Tessin et de Genève à environ 600, afin de permettre d'analyser ces cantons séparément.

Enquête postale:

Les personnes-cible sont les participants à l'enquête téléphonique (sans les suréchantillonnages des cantons de Zürich, Genève et du Tessin). A la fin de l'enquête téléphonique, il leur a été demandé s'ils étaient prêts à remplir un questionnaire écrit additionnel, en ligne ou sur papier. Parmi les 3260 personnes à qui cette question a été posée, 2489 étaient prêts à le faire (76%). Au final, 1786 personnes ont participé (72% de ceux qui étaient prêts à participer et 55% de tous les répondant-e-s). 18% des participant-e-s ont complété le questionnaire en ligne et 82% ont rempli le questionnaire papier.

Response Rate

Enquête téléphonique:
Personnes-cibles contactées: 12688
Entretiens réalisés: 4391 (35%)

Enquête postale:
Questionnaires envoyés: 2489
Nombre de répondants: 1786 (72%)

Weighting

Pondération selon les critères suivants:
- Canton
- Participation aux élections
- Choix du parti

Data Collection

Data Collection Dates	CATI: start 2011-10-24 CATI: end 2011-11-25
Data Collection Mode	Entretien téléphonique / Questionnaire auto-administré papier ou internet
Questionnaires	Questionnaire
Data Collector(s)	DemoSCOPE

Accessibility

Distributor(s)	Data and Research Information Services
Confidentiality	Inscription comme utilisateur Nesstar obligatoire: login et acceptation en ligne de la déclaration utilisateur /En cas de problème, contacter dataservice@fors.unil.ch

Rights & Disclaimer

Disclaimer	FORS décline toute responsabilité concernant les analyses et résultats obtenus par l'usage des données mises à disposition. FORS décline également toute responsabilité en cas de mésusage, d'usage abusif ou contraire aux termes de la déclaration Utilisateur. Finalement, FORS n'offre aucune garantie par rapport
-------------------	--

à la qualité des données distribuées, laquelle demeure de la responsabilité des chercheurs primaires.

Copyright

FORS

File Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

2012AB_F

Cases 4'391

Variable(s) 615

Variable Groups

Dataset contains 13 groups

Group Organisational

Name	Label	Page
userid	User ID	59
spr	Language of interview	215
intdate	Date of the interview	223
ISAMPLE	Sample level	223
calls	Number of call attempts	223
INT_ART	Additional survey: Mode	224
ICSTAT_ADD	Additional survey: Completed or not	224
intdate_ADD	Additional survey: date of completion	224
SPRACHE_ADD	Additional survey: Language	224

Group Demographics

Name	Label	Page
f20210	Citizenship which R held when born	167
f20210_code	Citizenship of R when born	167
f20211	R's parents both born in Switzerland	168
f20212_code	Country where R's mother was born	168
f20213_code	Country where R's father was born	168
f20300	Since when does R live in the current canton?	168
f20700	Does R live with a partner?	168
f20710	Number of children (< 18 years) in household	169
f20800	R's religious denomination	169
f20900	Church attendance	169
clas8_x	Class position based on r's, p's or m-e's class, 8 classes (Oesch)	177
f28910	Gross monthly income of R's household	211
f29000	R's household income compared to average household	212
f29100	Can R manage with this income?	212
f29110	Probability to improve standard of living in next 10 years	212
f29114	Probability that household income decreases significantly in next 12 months	213
f29115	R or household member owns a residence	213
f29116	R or household member owns a business, a piece of property or a farm	213
f29117	R or household member owns stocks or bonds	214
f29118	R or household member owns a checking or savings account	214
sex	R's sex	216

Name	Label	Page
age	R's age	216
agegroups	Age groups	216
hhsiz	R's household size (persons)	216
marital	Marital status according to sample	217

Group Employment

Name	Label	Page
educ_r	R's level of education	170
educ_p	Partner's level of education	171
educ_m	Main earner's level of education	172
educ_rr	R's level of education (recoded)	172
educ_pr	Partner's level of education (recoded)	173
educ_mr	Main earner's level of education (recoded)	173
situar	Position at work, respondent	173
situap	Position at work, partner	174
situah	Position at work, household	174
bfsprof3_r	BFS-Profession, 3 digits, Respondent	174
bfsprof3_p	BFS-Profession, 3 digits, Partner	174
bfsprof3_h	BFS-Profession 3 digits, Head of Household	174
bfsprof5_r	BFS-Profession, 5 digits, Respondent	175
bfsprof5_p	BFS-Profession, 5 digits, Partner	175
bfsprof5_h	BFS-Profession, 5 digits, Head of Household	175
bfsprof8_r	BFS-Profession, 8 digits, Respondent	175
bfsprof8_p	BFS-Profession, 8 digits, Partner	175
bfsprof8_h	BFS-Profession, 8 digits, Head of Household	175
isco88prof_r	ISCO 88 Profession, 4 digits, Respondent	175
isco88prof_p	ISCO 88 Profession, 4 digits, Partner	176
isco88prof_h	ISCO 88 Profession, 4 digits, Head of household	176
isco08prof_r	ISCO 08 Profession, 4 digits, Respondent	176
isco08prof_p	ISCO 08 Profession, 4 digits, Partner	176
isco08prof_h	ISCO 08 Profession, 4 digits, Head of household	176
sector_r	Working sector, Respondent	176
sector_p	Working sector, Partner	177
sector_h	Working sector, Head of Household	177
f21310	R's highest level of education	178
f21400	R's current working situation	178
f21410	Has R always been in training?	179

Name	Label	Page
f21500	R's position at work	179
f21550	Number of persons employed at R's company	179
f21600	R's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	179
f216bfs	R's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	179
f216ber	R's current profession, BFS 8 digits	180
f216_88	R's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	180
f216_08	R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	180
f21700	Sector in which R works	180
f21800	Main earner in R's household	180
f21910	Partner's highest level of education	181
f22000	Partner's current working situation	181
f22100	Partner's position at work	182
f22150	Number of persons employed at his/her company	182
f22200	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	182
f222bfs	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	182
f222ber	Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	182
f222_88	Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	182
f222_08	Partner's current profession, 08 4 digits	183
f22300	Sector in which R's partner works	183
f22400	Partner's last working situation	183
f22500	Partner's last position at work	184
f22550	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	184
f22600	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	184
f226bfs	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	184
f226ber	Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	184
f226_88	Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	185
f226_08	Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	185
f22700	Sector in which R's partner worked	185
f22800	Main earner in R's household	185
f22910	Main Earner's highest level of education	186
f23000	Main earner's current working situation	186
f23100	Main earner's position at work	187
f23150	Number of persons employed at his/her company	187
f23200	Main earner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	187
f232bfs	Main earner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	187
f232ber	Main earner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	187
f232_88	Main earner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	187
f232_08	Main earner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	188

Name	Label	Page
f23300	Sector in which the main earner works	188
f23400	Main earner's last working situation	188
f23500	Main earner's last position at work	189
f23550	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	189
f23600	Main earner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	189
f236bfs	Main earner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	189
f236ber	Main earner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	189
f236_88	Main earner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	190
f236_08	Main earner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	190
f23700	Sector in which the main earner worked	190
f24800	R's last working situation	190
f24900	R's last position at work	191
f24950	Number of persons formerly employed at R's company	191
f25000	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	191
f250bfs	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	191
f250ber	R's last profession, BFS 8 digits	191
f250_88	R's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	192
f250_08	R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	192
f25100	Sector in which R worked	192
f25210	Partner's highest level of education	193
f25300	Partner's current working situation	193
f25400	Partner's position at work	194
f25450	Number of persons employed at his/her company	194
f25500	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	194
f255bfs	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	194
f255ber	Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	194
f255_88	Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	194
f255_08	Partner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	195
f25600	Sector in which R's partner works	195
f25700	Partner's last working situation	195
f25800	Partner's last position at work	196
f25850	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	196
f25900	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	196
f259bfs	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	196
f259ber	Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	196
f259_88	Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	196
f259_08	Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	197
f26000	Sector in which R's partner worked	197

Name	Label	Page
f26100	R's last working situation	197
f26200	R's last position at work	198
f26250	Number of persons formerly employed at R's company	198
f26300	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	198
f263bfs	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	198
f263ber	R's last profession, BFS 8 digits	198
f263_88	R's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	198
f263_08	R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	199
f26400	Sector in which R worked	199
f26500	Main earner in R's household	199
f26610	Partner's highest level of education	200
f26700	Partner's current working situation	200
f26800	Partner's position at work	201
f26850	Number of persons employed at his/her company	201
f26900	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	201
f269bfs	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	201
f269ber	Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	201
f269_88	Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	201
f269_08	Partner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	202
f27000	Sector in which R's partner works	202
f27100	Partner's last working situation	202
f27200	Partner's last position at work	203
f27250	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	203
f27300	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	203
f273bfs	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	203
f273ber	Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	204
f273_88	Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	204
f273_08	Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	204
f27400	Sector in which R's partner worked	204
f27500	Did R have a paid occupation before unemployment?	204
f27600	R's last position at work	205
f27650	Number of persons formerly employed at R's company	205
f27700	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	205
f277bfs	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	205
f277ber	R's last profession, BFS 8 digits	205
f277_88	R's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	206
f277_08	R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	206
f27800	Sector in which R worked	206

Name	Label	Page
f27900	Main earner in R's household	206
f28010	Partner's highest level of education	207
f28100	Partner's current working situation	207
f28200	Partner's position at work	208
f28250	Number of persons employed at his/her company	208
f28300	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	208
f283bfs	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	208
f283ber	Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	208
f283_88	Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	208
f283_08	Partner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	209
f28400	Sector in which R's partner works	209
f28500	Partner's last working situation	209
f28600	Partner's last position at work	210
f28650	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	210
f28700	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	210
f287bfs	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	210
f287ber	Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	210
f287_88	Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	210
f287_08	Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	211
f28800	Sector in which R's partner worked	211
f29119	R's feasibility of finding new job when becoming unemployed	214
f29120	Partner's feasibility of finding new job when becoming unemployed	215

Group Elections

Name	Label	Page
f10000	Canton in which R has the right to vote	59
f10200	Participation of R in the last national elections in 2007	60
f10250	Canton in which R had the right to vote in 2007	61
f10300	Party voted for in national elections (2007)	61
f10300bfs	Party voted for in national elections (2007), reduced list	62
f10300main7	Party voted for in national elections (2007), seven major parties	62
f11100	Voting of R in the national elections (2011)	63
f11100_d	Voting of R in the national elections (2011), dummy	63
f11301	Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't make up his mind	63
f11302	Reason for nonvoting: R isn't interested in politics	64
f11303	Reason for nonvoting: Elections are too complicated	64
f11304	Reason for nonvoting: R wasn't convinced by any party/candidate	64

Name	Label	Page
f11305	Reason for nonvoting: R's favourites had no chance	65
f11306	Reason for nonvoting: R doesn't know the candidates well enough	65
f11307	Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't take part	65
f11308	Reason for nonvoting: Elections have no influence on government composition	66
f11309	Reason for nonvoting: Elections don't change anything	66
f11310	Reason for nonvoting: More influence on politics through popular votes	66
f11311	Reason for nonvoting: Other reason	67
f11400	Party would have voted for, non-voters	67
f11400bfs	Party would have voted for, non-voters, reduced list	68
f11400main7	Party would have voted for, non-voters, seven major parties	69
f11402	Party (would have) voted for, voters and non-voters	69
f11402bfs	Party (would have) voted for, voters and non-voters, reduced list	70
f11402main7	Party (would have) voted for, voters and non-voters, seven major parties	71
f11600	How and when R voted	71
f11605	Moment when R sent his ballot away	71
f11700	Most important reason to vote	72
f11801	Party voted for, all voters	72
f11801bfs	Party voted for, all voters, reduced list	73
f11801main7	Party voted for, all voters, seven major parties	74
f11900	Moment when R decided whom to vote for in National Council elections	74
f12010	Modification of voting list	74
f12021	Did R give two votes to the same candidate?	75
f12022	Did R strike out some candidates?	75
f12023	Did R give votes to several parties?	75
f12030	Party voted for second most candidates	76
f12030bfs	Party voted for second most candidates, reduced list	76
f12030main7	Party voted for second most candidates, seven major parties	77
f12301	Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)	77
f12301p	Party of candidate Council of States, first choice	77
f12302	Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)	78
f12302p	Party of candidate Council of States, second choice	78
f12311	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Personality of candidate	79
f12312	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Political orientation	79
f12313	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Endorsement of party R voted for	80
f12314	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Gender	80
f12315	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Age	81
f12900	Party father voted for	87
f12900bfs	Party father voted for, reduced list	88

Name	Label	Page
f12900main7	Party father voted for, seven major parties	88
f30000	Participation federal election 2011 (GE only)	215
f14901	Sympathy for political party - FDP	263
f14902	Sympathy for political party - CVP	264
f14903	Sympathy for political party - SVP	264
f14904	Sympathy for political party - SP	265
f14905	Sympathy for political party - GPS	265
f14906	Sympathy for political party - BDP	266
f14907	Sympathy for political party - GLP	266
f58013a	Contact via letter by party or candidate	283
f58013	Personally contacted by party or candidate	284
f58014	Contact via phone by party or candidate	284
f58015	Contact via SMS by party or candidate	284
f58016	Contact via email by party or candidate	285
f58017	Contact via social network by party or candidate	285
f58018_1	Contact by CVP	285
f58018_2	Contact by FDP	286
f58018_3	Contact by SP	286
f58018_4	Contact by SVP	286
f58018_5	Contact by GPS	287
f58018_6	Contact by GLP	287
f58018_7	Contact by BDP	287
f58018_8	Contact by other party	288
f58018_9	No contact by any party	288
f58019	Persuasion to vote for a certain party by friends, family, neighbors or work colleagues	288
f58020a	Try to persuade R by letters	289
f58020	Try to persuade R in person, face-to-face	289
f58021	Try to persuade R by phone	289
f58022	Try to persuade R by SMS	290
f58023	Try to persuade R by email	290
f58024	Try to persuade R through social network	290
f58025	Use of Internet or mobile phone to sign up for information or alerts from a party or candidate	291

Group Swiss politics

Name	Label	Page
f10100	R's interest in politics	60
f12500	R's participation rate in federal votes	81

Name	Label	Page
f12700	Most important problem in Switzerland	82
f12700r	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	82
f12720	Party most competent to solve this problem (1st mention)	82
f12720bfs	Party most competent to solve this problem (1st mention), reduced list	83
f12720main7	Party most competent to solve this problem (most important problem), seven major parties	84
f12730	Most important problem in Switzerland 2nd mention	84
f12730r	Most important problem in Switzerland 2nd mention, reduced list	85
f12740	Party most competent to solve this problem (2nd mention)	85
f12740bfs	Party most competent to solve this problem (2nd mention), reduced list	86
f12740main7	Party most competent to solve this problem (2nd most important problem), seven major parties	87
f14000	Does R feel close to a party?	94
f14000r	Party closeness recoded	94
f14000a	Party attachment; f14010-f14050; all parties (2nd choice if closer)	94
f14000abfs	Party attachment; f14010-f14050; all parties, reduced list (2nd choice if closer)	94
f14000a7main7	Party attachment; f14010-f14050; all parties, seven major parties (2nd choice if closer)	95
f14050	Does R feel somewhat closer to a party?	95
f14010	Party R feels close to, first mentioned	95
f14010bfs	Party R feels close to, first mentioned, reduced list	96
f14010main7	Party R feels close to, first mentioned, seven major parties	96
f14020	Party R feels close to, second mentioned	97
f14020bfs	Party R feels close to, second mentioned, reduced list	97
f14020main7	Party R feels close to, second mentioned, seven major parties	98
f14040	Party R feels closest to	98
f14040bfs	Party R feels closest to, reduced list	99
f14040main7	Party R feels closest, seven major parties	99
f14070	How close does R feel to this party?	100
f14400a	Probability to vote: CVP	100
f14400b	Probability to vote: FDP	101
f14400c	Probability to vote: SP	101
f14400d	Probability to vote: SVP	102
f14400e	Probability to vote: GPS	102
f14400f	Probability to vote: GLP	103
f14400g	Probability to vote: BDP	104
f14400h	Probability to vote: MCG (GE only)	105
f14400i	Probability to vote: LEGA (TI only)	106
f14501	Sympathy for political leader - Doris Leuthard	107
f14502	Sympathy for political leader - Simonetta Sommaruga	108

Name	Label	Page
f14503	Sympathy for political leader - Didier Burkhalter	109
f14504	Sympathy for political leader - Christoph Blocher	110
f15700	Most important political aim	159
f15750	Second most important political aim	159

Group Political knowledge

Name	Label	Page
f15900	How many parties are in the Federal Council?	162
f15900r	How many parties are in FC?, recoded	162
f16000	Name of the president of the Confederation?	163
f16100	Required number of signatures for a federal initiative?	163
f16300	Which party had most seats in the National Council (before election)?	163
f16300r	Which party had most seats in the National Council (before elections)?, recoded	164
f16301	Who was the minister of finance?	164
f16301r	Who was the minister of finance?, recoded	164
f16302	What was the unemployment rate in September?	165
f16302r	What was the unemployment rate in September?, recoded	165
f16303	Which party gained the second most seats in the National Council (last election)?	165
f16303r	Which party gained the second most seats in the National Council (last elections)?, recoded	166
f16304	Who is the UN Secretary-General?	166
f16304r	Who is the UN Secretary-General?, recoded	166
scalknowCH	Scale of Knowledge, CH questions	167
scalknowALL	Scale of Knowledge, All questions	167

Group Opinions

Name	Label	Page
f13300	Discussion about elections with family, friends, colleagues	89
f13305	How often did R discuss about elections with family, friends, colleagues?	89
f13305r	How often did R discuss about elections with family, friends, colleagues?, recoded	89
f13321	How many days/week R watches news on TV	90
f13322	How many days/week R reads the political part of the newspaper	90
f13323	How many days/week R listens to news on the radio	91
f13661	Use of parties' leaflets in post box	91
f13662	Use of parties' advertisements in the streets	91
f13663	Use of web pages about the elections	92
f13664	Use of parties' stands in the streets	92
f13665	Use of parties' meetings	92

Name	Label	Page
f13666	Use of parties' advertisements in the papers	93
f13667	Use of the online election aid smartvote	93
f13700	Satisfaction with democratic process	93
f14704r	Policy positions (welfare state): Support for unemployed, recoded	110
f14705r	Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. help youth and unemployed find jobs, recoded	111
f14706r	Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. family/children, recoded	111
f14707r	Policy positions (welfare state): Pension system, recoded	111
f14708r	Policy positions (welfare state): Family politics, recoded	112
f14704	Policy positions (welfare state): Support for unemployed	112
f14704a	Strength of opinion	112
f14705	Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. help youth and unemployed find jobs	113
f14705a	Strength of opinion	113
f14706	Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. family/children	113
f14706a	Strength of opinion	114
f14707	Policy positions (welfare state): Pension system	114
f14707a	Strength of opinion	114
f14708	Policy positions (welfare state): Family politics	115
f14708a	Strength of opinion	115
f14801	Immigration proposition: Too many migrants from former Yugoslavia and Albania	115
f14802	Immigration proposition: Too many migrants from Germany/France/Italy	116
f14806	Immigration proposition: Migrants exacerbate job market situation	116
f14807	Immigration proposition: Swiss culture vanishing due to immigration	117
f14808	Immigration proposition: Violence and vandalism due to young immigrants	117
f14809	Immigration proposition: Public space crowded and environment polluted	118
f14600	State of the economy	118
f14610	Evolution of the economy in the last 12 months	118
f14615	Economy how much better	119
f14616	Economy how much worse	119
f15200	Left-right placement: Self	120
f15300a	Left-right placement: CVP	120
f15300b	Left-right placement: FDP	121
f15300c	Left-right placement: SP	121
f15300d	Left-right placement: SVP	122
f15300e	Left-right placement: GPS	122
f15300f	Left-right placement: GLP	123
f15300g	Left-right placement: BDP	124
f15300h	Left-right placement: MCG (GE only)	125
f15300i	Left-right placement: Lega (TI only)	126

Name	Label	Page
f15420	Opinion on social expenses	126
f15421bfs	Party which cares most about social policy, reduced list	127
f15421main7	Party which cares most about social policy, seven major parties	128
f15422bfs	Party which has the best solutions for social policy, reduced list	129
f15422main7	Party which has the best solutions for social policy, seven major parties	130
f15430	Opinion on EU membership	130
f15431bfs	Party which cares most about EU policy, reduced list	131
f15431main7	Party which has the best solutions for EU policy, seven major parties	132
f15432bfs	Party which has the best solutions for EU policy, reduced list	133
f15432main7	Party which has the best solutions for European politics, seven major parties	134
f15440	Opinion on chance for foreigners	134
f15441bfs	Party which cares most about migration policy, reduced list	135
f15441main7	Party which cares most about migration policy, seven major parties	136
f15442bfs	Party which has the best solution for migration policy, reduced list	137
f15442main7	Party which has the best solution for migration policy, seven major parties	138
f15470	Protection of the environment versus economic growth	138
f15471bfs	Party which cares most about environmental policy, reduced list	139
f15471main7	Party which cares most about environmental policy, seven major parties	140
f15472bfs	Party which has the best solutions for environmental policy, reduced list	141
f15472main7	Party which has the best solutions for environmental policy, seven major parties	142
f15480	Opinion on taxes on high incomes	142
f15481bfs	Party which cares most about tax policy, reduced list	143
f15481main7	Party which cares most about tax policy, seven major parties	144
f15482bfs	Party which has the best solutions for tax policy, reduced list	145
f15482main7	Party which has the best solutions for tax policy, seven major parties	146
f15490	Opinion on nuclear energy	146
f15491bfs	Party which cares most about energy policy, reduced list	147
f15491main7	Party which cares most about energy policy, seven major parties	148
f15492bfs	Party which has the best solutions for energy policy, reduced list	149
f15492main7	Party which has the best solutions for energy policy, seven major parties	150
f155_01	CVP: Opinion on EU membership	150
f155_03	FDP: Opinion on EU membership	151
f155_05	SP: Opinion on EU membership	151
f155_07	SVP: Opinion on EU membership	152
f155_09	GPS: Opinion on EU membership	152
f155_11	GLP: Opinion on EU membership	153
f155_13	BDP: Opinion on EU membership	153
f155_15	LEGA: Opinion on EU membership (TI only)	154

Name	Label	Page
f155_16	MCG: Opinion on EU membership (GE only)	154
f156_01	CVP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	155
f156_03	FDP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	155
f156_05	SP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	156
f156_07	SVP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	156
f156_09	GPS: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	157
f156_11	GLP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	157
f156_13	BDP: Opinion on taxes on high income	158
f156_15	LEGA: Opinion on taxes on high incomes (TI only)	158
f156_16	MCG: Opinion on taxes on high incomes (GE only)	159
postmat	Inglehart Index	160
f15801	Policy proposition: Cutting red tape to relieve the economy	160
f15802	Policy proposition: Reduction of taxes to relieve families	160
f15803	Policy proposition: Back out of nuclear energy and invest in renewable energy	161
f15804	Policy proposition: Rearrange freedom of movement (EU) to reduce immigration	161
f15805	Policy proposition: Minimum wage to ensure standard of living	162

Group online/PAPI: Government

Name	Label	Page
f12801	R's trust in Federal Council	230
f12802	R's trust in Parliament	230
f12803	R's trust in national political parties	231
f12804	R's trust in cantonal authorities	231
f12805	R's trust in local authorities	232
f12811	R's trust in Justice/courts	232
f12812	R's trust in police	233
f14701	State's responsibility: Provision of affordable childcare for working parents	233
f14702	State's responsibility: Financial help for students and apprentices from low-income families	234
f14703	State's responsibility: Provision of a decent standard of living for immigrants	234
f58009	Government should reduce differences in income levels	282
f58010	Importance of who is in power (scale)	283
f58011	Importance of whom to vote for (scale)	283
D5A	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Citizens have ample opportunity to participate in political decisions	291
D5B	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Our democracy is about to lose the trust of the citizens	291
D5C	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Legislation represents the interests of the majority of citizens	292
D5D	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Political parties are the essential link between citizens and the state	292

Name	Label	Page
D5E	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Special interests have too much influence on law making	293
D5F	Proposition about Swiss democracy: The process of law making is too complicated	293
D5G	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Parliaments, not voters, should make final decisions on law and policy	294

Group online/PAPI: Opinions

Name	Label	Page
f14709r	Most pressing problem in social policy, recoded all	234
f14709_1	Most pressing problem in social policy: Support for the unemployed	235
f14709_1r	Ranking of social policy problem: Support for the unemployed	235
f14709_2	Most pressing problem in social policy: Old age pensions	235
f14709_2r	Ranking of social policy problem: Old age pensions	236
f14709_3	Most pressing problem in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	236
f14709_3r	Ranking of social policy problem: Childcare services for working parents	236
f14709_4	Most pressing problem in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	237
f14709_4r	Ranking of social policy problem: Social assistance for the poor	237
f14709_5	Most pressing problem in social policy: Health services	237
f14709_5r	Ranking of social policy problem: Health services	238
f14709_6	Most pressing problem in social policy: None	238
f14709_8	Most pressing problem in social policy: Don't know	238
f14709_9	Most pressing problem in social policy: No answer	239
f14710_1	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Support for the unemployed	239
f14710_2	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Old age pensions	239
f14710_3	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	240
f14710_4	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	240
f14710_5	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Health services	240
f14710_6	Second most pressing problem in social policy: None	241
f14710_8	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Don't know	241
f14710_9	Second most pressing problem in social policy: No answer	241
f14711_1	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Support for the unemployed	242
f14711_2	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Old age pensions	242
f14711_3	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	242
f14711_4	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	243
f14711_5	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Health services	243
f14711_6	Third most pressing problem in social policy: None	243
f14711_8	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Don't know	244
f14711_9	Third most pressing problem in social policy: No answer	244

Name	Label	Page
f14712r	Priority for cutbacks in social policy, recoded all	244
f14712_1	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Support for the unemployed	245
f14712_1r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Support for the unemployed	245
f14712_2	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Old age pensions	245
f14712_2r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Old age pensions	246
f14712_3	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	246
f14712_3r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Childcare services for working parents	246
f14712_4	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	247
f14712_4r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Social assistance for the poor	247
f14712_5	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Health services	247
f14712_5r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Health services	248
f14712_6	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: None	248
f14712_8	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Don't know	248
f14712_9	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: No answer	249
f14713_1	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Support for the unemployed	249
f14713_2	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Old age pensions	249
f14713_3	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	250
f14713_4	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	250
f14713_5	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Health services	250
f14713_6	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: None	251
f14713_8	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Don't know	251
f14713_9	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: No answer	251
f14714_1	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Support for the unemployed	252
f14714_2	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Old age pensions	252
f14714_3	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	252
f14714_4	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	253
f14714_5	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Health services	253
f14714_6	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: None	253
f14714_8	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Don't know	254
f14714_9	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: No answer	254
f14715	Welfare proposition: High-income earners should receive larger pensions than low-income earners	254
f14716	Welfare proposition: Low-income earners should receive larger pensions than high-income earners	255
f14717	Welfare proposition: High-income earners should receive more unemployment benefits than low-income earners	255
f14718	Welfare proposition: Low-income earners should receive more unemployment benefits than high-income earners	256
f14803	Immigration proposition: Too many high-skilled immigrants in Switzerland	256
f14804	Immigration proposition: Too many low-skilled immigrants in Switzerland	257

Name	Label	Page
f14805	Immigration proposition: Too many Muslim immigrants in Switzerland	257
f14810	Immigration proposition: Muslim women should have the right to wear a religious headscarf in public	258
f14811	Immigration proposition: Muslims should have the right to construct minarets	258
f14812	Immigration proposition: Government should financially support language classes for immigrants	259
f14813	Immigration proposition: Immigrants living in Switzerland for at least 10 years should be granted the right to vote on cantonal level	259
f14814	Immigration proposition: Swiss culture is composed of a collection of cultures	260
f14815	Immigration proposition: Cultural life is enriched by people coming from other countries	260
f14816	Immigration proposition: Immigrants may keep their own traditions and values when they come to live in Switzerland	261
f14817	Personal contact with immigrants from former Yugoslavia or Albania	261
f14818	Personal contact with Germans, French, Italians	262
f14819	Valuation of personal contact with immigrants from former Yugoslavia or Albania	262
f14820	Valuation of personal contact with Germans, French, Italians	263

Group online/PAPI: Values

Name	Label	Page
f12385a	R's attachment to his/her community	225
f12385b	R's attachment to his/her canton	225
f12385c	R's attachment to his/her linguistic region	226
f12385d	R's attachment to his/her country	226
f12385e	R's attachment to Europe	227
f58001	More vs. less public expenditure: Health	278
f58002	More vs. less public expenditure: Education	279
f58003	More vs. less public expenditure: Unemployment benefits	279
f58004	More vs. less public expenditure: Defence	280
f58005	More vs. less public expenditure: Old-age pensions	280
f58006	More vs. less public expenditure: Business and industry	281
f58007	More vs. less public expenditure: Police and law enforcement	281
f58008	More vs. less public expenditure: Welfare benefits	282

Group online/PAPI: Social participation

Name	Label	Page
f12601	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R signed referenda and initiatives	227
f12602	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R attended political convention	227
f12603	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R collected signatures	228
f12604	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R gave donations	228

Name	Label	Page
f12605	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R was active in a political party	228
f12606	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R was active in a PAC	229
f12607	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R attended a demonstration	229
n13_031	Trade union: Member	267
n13_032	Trade union: Participation in activities	267
n13_033	Trade union: Donations	267
n13_034	Trade union: Honorary position	268
n13_035	Trade union: No activity	268
n13_041	Business or professional organisation: Member	268
n13_042	Business or professional organisation: Participation in activities	269
n13_043	Business or professional organisation: Donations	269
n13_044	Business or professional organisation: Honorary position	269
n13_045	Business or professional organisation: No activity	270
n13_051	Consumer protection organisation: Member	270
n13_052	Consumer protection organisation: Participation in activities	270
n13_053	Consumer protection organisation: Donations	271
n13_054	Consumer protection organisation: Honorary position	271
n13_055	Consumer protection organisation: No activity	271
n13_071	Human rights organisation: Member	272
n13_072	Human rights organisation: Participation in activities	272
n13_073	Human rights organisation: Donations	272
n13_074	Human rights organisation: Honorary position	273
n13_075	Human rights organisation: No activity	273
n13_081	Environmental protection organisation: Member	273
n13_082	Environmental protection organisation: Participation in activities	274
n13_083	Environmental protection organisation: Donations	274
n13_084	Environmental protection organisation: Honorary position	274
n13_085	Environmental protection organisation: No activity	275
n13_101	Religious organisation: Member	275
n13_102	Religious organisation: Participation in activities	275
n13_103	Religious organisation: Donations	276
n13_104	Religious organisation: Honorary position	276
n13_105	Religious organisation: No activity	276
n13_111	Political party: Member	277
n13_112	Political party: Participation in activities	277
n13_113	Political party: Donations	277
n13_114	Political party: Honorary position	278
n13_115	Political party: No activity	278

Group Geographical information

Name	Label	Page
municipality	BFS Municipality Code	217
Canton	Canton of residency	218
lregion	Linguistic region, based on municipality code	218
UrbRur	Urban or rural area - BFS categories	219
stla	Urban or rural area - dichotomous	219
GrossReg	Large regions of Switzerland (NUTS II)	219
ogr	Municipality size	220
AggGross	Agglomeration size	220
gtyp1	Community typology bfs 22 types	221
gtyp2	Community typology bfs, summarized 9 types	222
birthcntry	Country where R was born	222
birthmunic	Municipality where R was born (if born in CH)	222

Group Weights

Name	Label	Page
weight_d	Design weight, cantonal oversampling	222
weight_turnout	Turnout weight	222
weight_d_turn	Design weight * turnout weight	223
weight_vote	Vote choice weight	223
weight_d_vote	Design * Vote choice weight	223
weight_total	Total weight: design * turnout * vote choice	223

Variable List

Dataset contains 615 variable(s)

	Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
1	userid	User ID	continuous	4'391
2	f10000	Canton in which R has the right to vote	discrete	4'391
3	f10100	R's interest in politics	discrete	4'379
4	f10200	Participation of R in the last national elections in 2007	discrete	3'953
5	f10250	Canton in which R had the right to vote in 2007	discrete	2'642
6	f10300	Party voted for in national elections (2007)	discrete	2'162
7	f10300bfs	Party voted for in national elections (2007), reduced list	discrete	2'226
8	f10300main7	Party voted for in national elections (2007), seven major parties	discrete	2'226
9	f11100	Voting of R in the national elections (2011)	discrete	4'377
10	f11100_d	Voting of R in the national elections (2011), dummy	discrete	4'377
11	f11301	Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't make up his mind	discrete	1'094
12	f11302	Reason for nonvoting: R isn't interested in politics	discrete	1'083
13	f11303	Reason for nonvoting: Elections are too complicated	discrete	1'083
14	f11304	Reason for nonvoting: R wasn't convinced by any party/candidate	discrete	1'062
15	f11305	Reason for nonvoting: R's favourites had no chance	discrete	1'038
16	f11306	Reason for nonvoting: R doesn't know the candidates well enough	discrete	1'101
17	f11307	Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't take part	discrete	1'101
18	f11308	Reason for nonvoting: Elections have no influence on government composition	discrete	1'040
19	f11309	Reason for nonvoting: Elections don't change anything	discrete	1'070
20	f11310	Reason for nonvoting: More influence on politics through popular votes	discrete	1'056
21	f11311	Reason for nonvoting: Other reason	discrete	397
22	f11400	Party would have voted for, non-voters	discrete	739
23	f11400bfs	Party would have voted for, non-voters, reduced list	discrete	759
24	f11400main7	Party would have voted for, non-voters, seven major parties	discrete	759
25	f11402	Party (would have) voted for, voters and non-voters	discrete	3'703
26	f11402bfs	Party (would have) voted for, voters and non-voters, reduced list	discrete	3'757
27	f11402main7	Party (would have) voted for, voters and non-voters, seven major parties	discrete	3'757
28	f11600	How and when R voted	discrete	3'243
29	f11605	Moment when R sent his ballot away	discrete	2'469
30	f11700	Most important reason to vote	discrete	3'221
31	f11801	Party voted for, all voters	discrete	2'964

Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
32 f11801bfs	Party voted for, all voters, reduced list	discrete	2'998
33 f11801main7	Party voted for, all voters, seven major parties	discrete	2'998
34 f11900	Moment when R decided whom to vote for in National Council elections	discrete	3'002
35 f12010	Modification of voting list	discrete	2'496
36 f12021	Did R give two votes to the same candidate?	discrete	1'356
37 f12022	Did R strike out some candidates?	discrete	1'351
38 f12023	Did R give votes to several parties?	discrete	1'351
39 f12030	Party voted for second most candidates	discrete	917
40 f12030bfs	Party voted for second most candidates, reduced list	discrete	925
41 f12030main7	Party voted for second most candidates, seven major parties	discrete	925
42 f12301	Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)	discrete	2'442
43 f12301p	Party of candidate Council of States, first choice	discrete	2'442
44 f12302	Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)	discrete	1'443
45 f12302p	Party of candidate Council of States, second choice	discrete	1'443
46 f12311	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Personality of candidate	discrete	3'149
47 f12312	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Political orientation	discrete	3'112
48 f12313	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Endorsement of party R voted for	discrete	3'071
49 f12314	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Gender	discrete	3'130
50 f12315	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Age	discrete	3'131
51 f12500	R's participation rate in federal votes	discrete	4'276
52 f12700	Most important problem in Switzerland	discrete	3'988
53 f12700r	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	discrete	3'988
54 f12720	Party most competent to solve this problem (1st mention)	discrete	2'347
55 f12720bfs	Party most competent to solve this problem (1st mention), reduced list	discrete	2'573
56 f12720main7	Party most competent to solve this problem (most important problem), seven major parties	discrete	2'573
57 f12730	Most important problem in Switzerland 2nd mention	discrete	3'575
58 f12730r	Most important problem in Switzerland 2nd mention, reduced list	discrete	3'575
59 f12740	Party most competent to solve this problem (2nd mention)	discrete	2'166
60 f12740bfs	Party most competent to solve this problem (2nd mention), reduced list	discrete	2'387
61 f12740main7	Party most competent to solve this problem (2nd most important problem), seven major parties	discrete	2'387
62 f12900	Party father voted for	discrete	2'300
63 f12900bfs	Party father voted for, reduced list	discrete	2'321
64 f12900main7	Party father voted for, seven major parties	discrete	2'321

	Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
65	f13300	Discussion about elections with family, friends, colleagues	discrete	4'391
66	f13305	How often did R discuss about elections with family, friends, colleagues?	discrete	3'441
67	f13305r	How often did R discuss about elections with family, friends, colleagues?, recoded	discrete	4'382
68	f13321	How many days/week R watches news on TV	discrete	4'379
69	f13322	How many days/week R reads the political part of the newspaper	discrete	4'354
70	f13323	How many days/week R listens to news on the radio	discrete	4'364
71	f13661	Use of parties' leaflets in post box	discrete	4'380
72	f13662	Use of parties' advertisements in the streets	discrete	4'382
73	f13663	Use of web pages about the elections	discrete	4'386
74	f13664	Use of parties' stands in the streets	discrete	4'385
75	f13665	Use of parties' meetings	discrete	4'378
76	f13666	Use of parties' advertisements in the papers	discrete	4'381
77	f13667	Use of the online election aid smartvote	discrete	4'379
78	f13700	Satisfaction with democratic process	discrete	4'362
79	f14000	Does R feel close to a party?	discrete	4'356
80	f14000r	Party closeness recoded	discrete	4'335
81	f14000a	Party attachment; f14010-f14050; all parties (2nd choice if closer)	discrete	3'134
82	f14000abfs	Party attachment; f14010-f14050; all parties, reduced list (2nd choice if closer)	continuous	3'135
83	f14000amain7	Party attachment; f14010-f14050; all parties, seven major parties (2nd choice if closer)	discrete	3'135
84	f14050	Does R feel somewhat closer to a party?	discrete	2'595
85	f14010	Party R feels close to, first mentioned	discrete	3'135
86	f14010bfs	Party R feels close to, first mentioned, reduced list	discrete	3'135
87	f14010main7	Party R feels close to, first mentioned, seven major parties	discrete	3'135
88	f14020	Party R feels close to, second mentioned	discrete	1'011
89	f14020bfs	Party R feels close to, second mentioned, reduced list	discrete	1'013
90	f14020main7	Party R feels close to, second mentioned, seven major parties	discrete	1'013
91	f14040	Party R feels closest to	discrete	1'021
92	f14040bfs	Party R feels closest to, reduced list	discrete	1'022
93	f14040main7	Party R feels closest, seven major parties	discrete	1'022
94	f14070	How close does R feel to this party?	discrete	3'104
95	f14400a	Probability to vote: CVP	discrete	4'215
96	f14400b	Probability to vote: FDP	discrete	4'198
97	f14400c	Probability to vote: SP	discrete	4'234
98	f14400d	Probability to vote: SVP	discrete	4'250

	Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
99	f14400e	Probability to vote: GPS	discrete	4'255
100	f14400f	Probability to vote: GLP	discrete	4'151
101	f14400g	Probability to vote: BDP	discrete	4'004
102	f14400h	Probability to vote: MCG (GE only)	discrete	4'363
103	f14400i	Probability to vote: LEGA (TI only)	discrete	4'375
104	f14501	Sympathy for political leader - Doris Leuthard	discrete	4'211
105	f14502	Sympathy for political leader - Simonetta Sommaruga	discrete	4'094
106	f14503	Sympathy for political leader - Didier Burkhalter	discrete	3'955
107	f14504	Sympathy for political leader - Christoph Blocher	discrete	4'297
108	f14704r	Policy positions (welfare state): Support for unemployed, recoded	discrete	4'334
109	f14705r	Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. help youth and unemployed find jobs, recoded	discrete	4'338
110	f14706r	Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. family/children, recoded	discrete	4'319
111	f14707r	Policy positions (welfare state): Pension system, recoded	discrete	4'120
112	f14708r	Policy positions (welfare state): Family politics, recoded	discrete	4'268
113	f14704	Policy positions (welfare state): Support for unemployed	discrete	4'357
114	f14704a	Strength of opinion	discrete	3'590
115	f14705	Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. help youth and unemployed find jobs	discrete	4'354
116	f14705a	Strength of opinion	discrete	3'040
117	f14706	Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. family/children	discrete	4'331
118	f14706a	Strength of opinion	discrete	3'126
119	f14707	Policy positions (welfare state): Pension system	discrete	4'146
120	f14707a	Strength of opinion	discrete	3'429
121	f14708	Policy positions (welfare state): Family politics	discrete	4'281
122	f14708a	Strength of opinion	discrete	3'791
123	f14801	Immigration proposition: Too many migrants from former Yugoslavia and Albania	discrete	4'284
124	f14802	Immigration proposition: Too many migrants from Germany/France/Italy	discrete	4'282
125	f14806	Immigration proposition: Migrants exacerbate job market situation	discrete	4'279
126	f14807	Immigration proposition: Swiss culture vanishing due to immigration	discrete	4'332
127	f14808	Immigration proposition: Violence and vandalism due to young immigrants	discrete	4'292
128	f14809	Immigration proposition: Public space crowded and environment polluted	discrete	4'314
129	f14600	State of the economy	discrete	4'361
130	f14610	Evolution of the economy in the last 12 months	discrete	4'264

Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
131 f14615	Economy how much better	discrete	308
132 f14616	Economy how much worse	discrete	2'106
133 f15200	Left-right placement: Self	discrete	4'278
134 f15300a	Left-right placement: CVP	discrete	3'937
135 f15300b	Left-right placement: FDP	discrete	3'945
136 f15300c	Left-right placement: SP	discrete	4'024
137 f15300d	Left-right placement: SVP	discrete	4'049
138 f15300e	Left-right placement: GPS	discrete	4'008
139 f15300f	Left-right placement: GLP	discrete	3'899
140 f15300g	Left-right placement: BDP	discrete	3'703
141 f15300h	Left-right placement: MCG (GE only)	discrete	507
142 f15300i	Left-right placement: Lega (TI only)	discrete	525
143 f15420	Opinion on social expenses	discrete	4'209
144 f15421bfs	Party which cares most about social policy, reduced list	discrete	3'554
145 f15421main7	Party which cares most about social policy, seven major parties	discrete	3'554
146 f15422bfs	Party which has the best solutions for social policy, reduced list	discrete	2'683
147 f15422main7	Party which has the best solutions for social policy, seven major parties	discrete	2'683
148 f15430	Opinion on EU membership	discrete	4'291
149 f15431bfs	Party which cares most about EU policy, reduced list	discrete	2'757
150 f15431main7	Party which has the best solutions for EU policy, seven major parties	discrete	2'757
151 f15432bfs	Party which has the best solutions for EU policy, reduced list	discrete	2'107
152 f15432main7	Party which has the best solutions for European politics, seven major parties	discrete	2'107
153 f15440	Opinion on chance for foreigners	discrete	4'289
154 f15441bfs	Party which cares most about migration policy, reduced list	discrete	3'250
155 f15441main7	Party which cares most about migration policy, seven major parties	discrete	3'250
156 f15442bfs	Party which has the best solution for migration policy, reduced list	discrete	2'472
157 f15442main7	Party which has the best solution for migration policy, seven major parties	discrete	2'472
158 f15470	Protection of the environment versus economic growth	discrete	4'297
159 f15471bfs	Party which cares most about environmental policy, reduced list	discrete	3'770
160 f15471main7	Party which cares most about environmental policy, seven major parties	discrete	3'770
161 f15472bfs	Party which has the best solutions for environmental policy, reduced list	discrete	3'008
162 f15472main7	Party which has the best solutions for environmental policy, seven major parties	discrete	3'008

Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
163 f15480	Opinion on taxes on high incomes	discrete	4'284
164 f15481bfs	Party which cares most about tax policy, reduced list	discrete	2'634
165 f15481main7	Party which cares most about tax policy, seven major parties	discrete	2'634
166 f15482bfs	Party which has the best solutions for tax policy, reduced list	discrete	2'214
167 f15482main7	Party which has the best solutions for tax policy, seven major parties	discrete	2'214
168 f15490	Opinion on nuclear energy	discrete	4'316
169 f15491bfs	Party which cares most about energy policy, reduced list	discrete	3'035
170 f15491main7	Party which cares most about energy policy, seven major parties	discrete	3'035
171 f15492bfs	Party which has the best solutions for energy policy, reduced list	discrete	2'492
172 f15492main7	Party which has the best solutions for energy policy, seven major parties	discrete	2'492
173 f155_01	CVP: Opinion on EU membership	discrete	3'270
174 f155_03	FDP: Opinion on EU membership	discrete	3'328
175 f155_05	SP: Opinion on EU membership	discrete	3'466
176 f155_07	SVP: Opinion on EU membership	discrete	3'722
177 f155_09	GPS: Opinion on EU membership	discrete	3'027
178 f155_11	GLP: Opinion on EU membership	discrete	2'821
179 f155_13	BDP: Opinion on EU membership	discrete	2'991
180 f155_15	LEGA: Opinion on EU membership (TI only)	discrete	488
181 f155_16	MCG: Opinion on EU membership (GE only)	discrete	423
182 f156_01	CVP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	discrete	3'056
183 f156_03	FDP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	discrete	3'200
184 f156_05	SP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	discrete	3'360
185 f156_07	SVP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	discrete	3'233
186 f156_09	GPS: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	discrete	3'020
187 f156_11	GLP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	discrete	2'764
188 f156_13	BDP: Opinion on taxes on high income	discrete	2'790
189 f156_15	LEGA: Opinion on taxes on high incomes (TI only)	discrete	404
190 f156_16	MCG: Opinion on taxes on high incomes (GE only)	discrete	329
191 f15700	Most important political aim	discrete	4'330
192 f15750	Second most important political aim	discrete	4'266
193 postmat	Inglehart Index	discrete	4'260
194 f15801	Policy proposition: Cutting red tape to relieve the economy	discrete	4'212
195 f15802	Policy proposition: Reduction of taxes to relieve families	discrete	4'335
196 f15803	Policy proposition: Back out of nuclear energy and invest in renewable energy	discrete	4'342

Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
197 f15804	Policy proposition: Rearrange freedom of movement (EU) to reduce immigration	discrete	4'298
198 f15805	Policy proposition: Minimum wage to ensure standard of living	discrete	4'327
199 f15900	How many parties are in the Federal Council?	continuous	3'486
200 f15900r	How many parties are in FC?, recoded	discrete	3'486
201 f16000	Name of the president of the Confederation?	discrete	3'347
202 f16100	Required number of signatures for a federal initiative?	discrete	3'003
203 f16300	Which party had most seats in the National Council (before election)?	discrete	3'293
204 f16300r	Which party had most seats in the National Council (before elections)?, recoded	discrete	3'293
205 f16301	Who was the minister of finance?	discrete	3'764
206 f16301r	Who was the minister of finance?, recoded	discrete	3'764
207 f16302	What was the unemployment rate in September?	discrete	4'131
208 f16302r	What was the unemployment rate in September?, recoded	discrete	3'812
209 f16303	Which party gained the second most seats in the National Council (last election)?	discrete	3'394
210 f16303r	Which party gained the second most seats in the National Council (last elections)?, recoded	discrete	3'394
211 f16304	Who is the UN Secretary-General?	discrete	3'485
212 f16304r	Who is the UN Secretary-General?, recoded	discrete	3'485
213 scalknowCH	Scale of Knowledge, CH questions	discrete	4'391
214 scalknowALL	Scale of Knowledge, All questions	discrete	4'391
215 f20210	Citizenship which R held when born	discrete	4'391
216 f20210_code	Citizenship of R when born	discrete	4'384
217 f20211	R's parents both born in Switzerland	discrete	4'385
218 f20212_code	Country where R's mother was born	discrete	4'386
219 f20213_code	Country where R's father was born	discrete	4'385
220 f20300	Since when does R live in the current canton?	continuous	4'391
221 f20700	Does R live with a partner?	discrete	3'675
222 f20710	Number of children (< 18 years) in household	discrete	2'285
223 f20800	R's religious denomination	discrete	4'373
224 f20900	Church attendance	discrete	3'291
225 educ_r	R's level of education	discrete	4'368
226 educ_p	Partner's level of education	discrete	1'094
227 educ_m	Main earner's level of education	discrete	270
228 educ_rr	R's level of education (recoded)	discrete	4'368
229 educ_pr	Partner's level of education (recoded)	discrete	1'094
230 educ_mr	Main earner's level of education (recoded)	discrete	270
231 situar	Position at work, respondent	discrete	3'745

Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
232 situap	Position at work, partner	discrete	1'064
233 situah	Position at work, household	discrete	261
234 bfsprof3_r	BFS-Profession, 3 digits, Respondent	continuous	3'706
235 bfsprof3_p	BFS-Profession, 3 digits, Partner	continuous	1'055
236 bfsprof3_h	BFS-Profession 3 digits, Head of Household	continuous	269
237 bfsprof5_r	BFS-Profession, 5 digits, Respondent	continuous	3'706
238 bfsprof5_p	BFS-Profession, 5 digits, Partner	continuous	1'055
239 bfsprof5_h	BFS-Profession, 5 digits, Head of Household	continuous	269
240 bfsprof8_r	BFS-Profession, 8 digits, Respondent	discrete	3'710
241 bfsprof8_p	BFS-Profession, 8 digits, Partner	discrete	1'056
242 bfsprof8_h	BFS-Profession, 8 digits, Head of Household	discrete	270
243 isco88prof_r	ISCO 88 Profession, 4 digits, Respondent	discrete	3'706
244 isco88prof_p	ISCO 88 Profession, 4 digits, Partner	discrete	1'055
245 isco88prof_h	ISCO 88 Profession, 4 digits, Head of household	discrete	269
246 isco08prof_r	ISCO 08 Profession, 4 digits, Respondent	discrete	3'706
247 isco08prof_p	ISCO 08 Profession, 4 digits, Partner	discrete	1'055
248 isco08prof_h	ISCO 08 Profession, 4 digits, Head of household	discrete	269
249 sector_r	Working sector, Respondent	discrete	3'150
250 sector_p	Working sector, Partner	discrete	910
251 sector_h	Working sector, Head of Household	discrete	219
252 clas8_x	Class position based on r's, p's or m-e's class, 8 classes (Oesch)	discrete	3'956
253 f21310	R's highest level of education	discrete	4'368
254 f21400	R's current working situation	discrete	4'352
255 f21410	Has R always been in training?	discrete	342
256 f21500	R's position at work	discrete	2'465
257 f21550	Number of persons employed at R's company	continuous	368
258 f21600	R's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	2'434
259 f216bfs	R's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	2'434
260 f216ber	R's current profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	2'438
261 f216_88	R's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	2'434
262 f216_08	R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	2'434
263 f21700	Sector in which R works	discrete	2'089
264 f21800	Main earner in R's household	discrete	1'789
265 f21910	Partner's highest level of education	discrete	586
266 f22000	Partner's current working situation	discrete	582
267 f22100	Partner's position at work	discrete	539
268 f22150	Number of persons employed at his/her company	continuous	76

	Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
269	f22200	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	534
270	f222bfs	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	534
271	f222ber	Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	534
272	f222_88	Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	534
273	f222_08	Partner's current profession, 08 4 digits	discrete	534
274	f22300	Sector in which R's partner works	discrete	462
275	f22400	Partner's last working situation	discrete	35
276	f22500	Partner's last position at work	discrete	33
277	f22550	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	discrete	3
278	f22600	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	32
279	f226bfs	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	32
280	f226ber	Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	33
281	f226_88	Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	32
282	f226_08	Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	32
283	f22700	Sector in which R's partner worked	discrete	26
284	f22800	Main earner in R's household	discrete	284
285	f22910	Main Earner's highest level of education	discrete	270
286	f23000	Main earner's current working situation	discrete	281
287	f23100	Main earner's position at work	discrete	246
288	f23150	Number of persons employed at his/her company	continuous	38
289	f23200	Main earner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	253
290	f232bfs	Main earner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	253
291	f232ber	Main earner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	254
292	f232_88	Main earner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	253
293	f232_08	Main earner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	253
294	f23300	Sector in which the main earner works	discrete	206
295	f23400	Main earner's last working situation	discrete	16
296	f23500	Main earner's last position at work	discrete	15
297	f23550	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	discrete	2
298	f23600	Main earner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	16
299	f236bfs	Main earner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	16
300	f236ber	Main earner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	16
301	f236_88	Main earner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	16
302	f236_08	Main earner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	16
303	f23700	Sector in which the main earner worked	discrete	13
304	f24800	R's last working situation	discrete	292
305	f24900	R's last position at work	discrete	246
306	f24950	Number of persons formerly employed at R's company	discrete	14

Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
307 f25000	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	243
308 f250bfs	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	243
309 f250ber	R's last profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	243
310 f250_88	R's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	243
311 f250_08	R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	243
312 f25100	Sector in which R worked	discrete	172
313 f25210	Partner's highest level of education	discrete	254
314 f25300	Partner's current working situation	discrete	252
315 f25400	Partner's position at work	discrete	191
316 f25450	Number of persons employed at his/her company	continuous	29
317 f25500	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	190
318 f255bfs	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	190
319 f255ber	Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	190
320 f255_88	Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	190
321 f255_08	Partner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	190
322 f25600	Sector in which R's partner works	discrete	159
323 f25700	Partner's last working situation	discrete	56
324 f25800	Partner's last position at work	discrete	53
325 f25850	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	continuous	9
326 f25900	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	53
327 f259bfs	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	53
328 f259ber	Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	53
329 f259_88	Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	53
330 f259_08	Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	53
331 f26000	Sector in which R's partner worked	discrete	44
332 f26100	R's last working situation	discrete	1'162
333 f26200	R's last position at work	discrete	988
334 f26250	Number of persons formerly employed at R's company	continuous	140
335 f26300	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	982
336 f263bfs	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	982
337 f263ber	R's last profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	982
338 f263_88	R's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	982
339 f263_08	R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	982
340 f26400	Sector in which R worked	discrete	846
341 f26500	Main earner in R's household	discrete	731
342 f26610	Partner's highest level of education	discrete	243
343 f26700	Partner's current working situation	discrete	248
344 f26800	Partner's position at work	discrete	56

Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
345 f26850	Number of persons employed at his/her company	continuous	5
346 f26900	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	56
347 f269bfs	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	56
348 f269ber	Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	56
349 f269_88	Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	56
350 f269_08	Partner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	56
351 f27000	Sector in which R's partner works	discrete	51
352 f27100	Partner's last working situation	discrete	188
353 f27200	Partner's last position at work	discrete	181
354 f27250	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	discrete	21
355 f27300	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	179
356 f273bfs	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	179
357 f273ber	Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	179
358 f273_88	Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	179
359 f273_08	Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	179
360 f27400	Sector in which R's partner worked	discrete	158
361 f27500	Did R have a paid occupation before unemployment?	discrete	55
362 f27600	R's last position at work	discrete	46
363 f27650	Number of persons formerly employed at R's company	discrete	3
364 f27700	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	47
365 f277bfs	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	47
366 f277ber	R's last profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	47
367 f277_88	R's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	47
368 f277_08	R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	47
369 f27800	Sector in which R worked	discrete	43
370 f27900	Main earner in R's household	discrete	23
371 f28010	Partner's highest level of education	discrete	11
372 f28100	Partner's current working situation	discrete	11
373 f28200	Partner's position at work	discrete	8
374 f28250	Number of persons employed at his/her company	discrete	1
375 f28300	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	8
376 f283bfs	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	8
377 f283ber	Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	8
378 f283_88	Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	8
379 f283_08	Partner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	8
380 f28400	Sector in which R's partner works	discrete	7
381 f28500	Partner's last working situation	discrete	3
382 f28600	Partner's last position at work	discrete	3

Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
383 f28650	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	discrete	0
384 f28700	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	continuous	3
385 f287bfs	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	continuous	3
386 f287ber	Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	discrete	3
387 f287_88	Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	discrete	3
388 f287_08	Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	discrete	3
389 f28800	Sector in which R's partner worked	discrete	3
390 f28910	Gross monthly income of R's household	discrete	3'780
391 f29000	R's household income compared to average household	discrete	4'216
392 f29100	Can R manage with this income?	discrete	4'333
393 f29110	Probability to improve standard of living in next 10 years	discrete	4'160
394 f29114	Probability that household income decreases significantly in next 12 months	discrete	4'178
395 f29115	R or household member owns a residence	discrete	4'363
396 f29116	R or household member owns a business, a piece of property or a farm	discrete	4'357
397 f29117	R or household member owns stocks or bonds	discrete	4'247
398 f29118	R or household member owns a checking or savings account	discrete	4'265
399 f29119	R's feasibility of finding new job when becoming unemployed	discrete	2'394
400 f29120	Partner's feasibility of finding new job when becoming unemployed	discrete	767
401 f30000	Participation federal election 2011 (GE only)	discrete	389
402 spr	Language of interview	discrete	4'391
403 sex	R's sex	discrete	4'391
404 age	R's age	continuous	4'391
405 agegroups	Age groups	discrete	4'391
406 hhsiz	R's household size (persons)	discrete	4'391
407 marital	Marital status according to sample	discrete	4'331
408 municipality	BFS Municipality Code	discrete	4'391
409 Canton	Canton of residency	discrete	4'391
410 lregion	Linguistic region, based on municipality code	discrete	4'391
411 UrbRur	Urban or rural area - BFS categories	discrete	4'391
412 stla	Urban or rural area - dichotomous	discrete	4'391
413 GrossReg	Large regions of Switzerland (NUTS II)	discrete	4'391
414 ogr	Municipality size	discrete	4'391
415 AggGross	Agglomeration size	discrete	4'391
416 gtyp1	Community typology bfs 22 types	discrete	4'391
417 gtyp2	Community typology bfs, summarized 9 types	discrete	4'391
418 birthcntry	Country where R was born	discrete	3'931

	Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
419	birthmunic	Municipality where R was born (if born in CH)	continuous	3'445
420	weight_d	Design weight, cantonal oversampling	continuous	4'391
421	weight_turnout	Turnout weight	continuous	4'391
422	weight_d_turn	Design weight * turnout weight	continuous	4'391
423	weight_vote	Vote choice weight	continuous	4'391
424	weight_d_vote	Design * Vote choice weight	continuous	4'391
425	weight_total	Total weight: design * turnout * vote choice	continuous	4'391
426	intdate	Date of the interview	discrete	4'391
427	ISAMPLE	Sample level	discrete	4'391
428	calls	Number of call attempts	continuous	2'935
429	INT_ART	Additional survey: Mode	discrete	1'786
430	ICSTAT_ADD	Additional survey: Completed or not	discrete	1'786
431	intdate_ADD	Additional survey: date of completion	discrete	1'786
432	SPRACHE_ADD	Additional survey: Language	discrete	1'786
433	f12385a	R's attachment to his/her community	discrete	1'757
434	f12385b	R's attachment to his/her canton	discrete	1'757
435	f12385c	R's attachment to his/her linguistic region	discrete	1'740
436	f12385d	R's attachment to his/her country	discrete	1'761
437	f12385e	R's attachment to Europe	discrete	1'722
438	f12601	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R signed referenda and initiatives	discrete	1'734
439	f12602	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R attended political convention	discrete	1'739
440	f12603	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R collected signatures	discrete	1'747
441	f12604	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R gave donations	discrete	1'749
442	f12605	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R was active in a political party	discrete	1'748
443	f12606	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R was active in a PAC	discrete	1'734
444	f12607	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R attended a demonstration	discrete	1'749
445	f12801	R's trust in Federal Council	discrete	1'742
446	f12802	R's trust in Parliament	discrete	1'720
447	f12803	R's trust in national political parties	discrete	1'702
448	f12804	R's trust in cantonal authorities	discrete	1'734
449	f12805	R's trust in local authorities	discrete	1'730
450	f12811	R's trust in Justice/courts	discrete	1'728
451	f12812	R's trust in police	discrete	1'753
452	f14701	State's responsibility: Provision of affordable childcare for working parents	discrete	1'726
453	f14702	State's responsibility: Financial help for students and apprentices from low-income families	discrete	1'763
454	f14703	State's responsibility: Provision of a decent standard of living for immigrants	discrete	1'675

Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
455 f14709r	Most pressing problem in social policy, recoded all	discrete	1'258
456 f14709_1	Most pressing problem in social policy: Support for the unemployed	discrete	1'786
457 f14709_1r	Ranking of social policy problem: Support for the unemployed	discrete	1'743
458 f14709_2	Most pressing problem in social policy: Old age pensions	discrete	1'786
459 f14709_2r	Ranking of social policy problem: Old age pensions	discrete	1'741
460 f14709_3	Most pressing problem in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	discrete	1'786
461 f14709_3r	Ranking of social policy problem: Childcare services for working parents	discrete	1'742
462 f14709_4	Most pressing problem in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	discrete	1'786
463 f14709_4r	Ranking of social policy problem: Social assistance for the poor	discrete	1'738
464 f14709_5	Most pressing problem in social policy: Health services	discrete	1'786
465 f14709_5r	Ranking of social policy problem: Health services	discrete	1'741
466 f14709_6	Most pressing problem in social policy: None	discrete	1'786
467 f14709_8	Most pressing problem in social policy: Don't know	discrete	1'786
468 f14709_9	Most pressing problem in social policy: No answer	discrete	1'786
469 f14710_1	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Support for the unemployed	discrete	1'786
470 f14710_2	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Old age pensions	discrete	1'786
471 f14710_3	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	discrete	1'786
472 f14710_4	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	discrete	1'786
473 f14710_5	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Health services	discrete	1'786
474 f14710_6	Second most pressing problem in social policy: None	discrete	1'786
475 f14710_8	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Don't know	discrete	1'786
476 f14710_9	Second most pressing problem in social policy: No answer	discrete	1'786
477 f14711_1	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Support for the unemployed	discrete	1'786
478 f14711_2	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Old age pensions	discrete	1'786
479 f14711_3	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	discrete	1'786
480 f14711_4	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	discrete	1'786
481 f14711_5	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Health services	discrete	1'786
482 f14711_6	Third most pressing problem in social policy: None	discrete	1'786
483 f14711_8	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Don't know	discrete	1'786
484 f14711_9	Third most pressing problem in social policy: No answer	discrete	1'786
485 f14712r	Priority for cutbacks in social policy, recoded all	discrete	1'221

Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
486 f14712_1	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Support for the unemployed	discrete	1'786
487 f14712_1r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Support for the unemployed	discrete	1'609
488 f14712_2	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Old age pensions	discrete	1'786
489 f14712_2r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Old age pensions	discrete	1'608
490 f14712_3	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	discrete	1'786
491 f14712_3r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Childcare services for working parents	discrete	1'608
492 f14712_4	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	discrete	1'786
493 f14712_4r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Social assistance for the poor	discrete	1'609
494 f14712_5	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Health services	discrete	1'786
495 f14712_5r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Health services	discrete	1'607
496 f14712_6	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: None	discrete	1'786
497 f14712_8	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Don't know	discrete	1'786
498 f14712_9	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: No answer	discrete	1'786
499 f14713_1	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Support for the unemployed	discrete	1'786
500 f14713_2	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Old age pensions	discrete	1'786
501 f14713_3	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	discrete	1'786
502 f14713_4	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	discrete	1'786
503 f14713_5	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Health services	discrete	1'786
504 f14713_6	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: None	discrete	1'786
505 f14713_8	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Don't know	discrete	1'786
506 f14713_9	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: No answer	discrete	1'786
507 f14714_1	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Support for the unemployed	discrete	1'786
508 f14714_2	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Old age pensions	discrete	1'786
509 f14714_3	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	discrete	1'786
510 f14714_4	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	discrete	1'786
511 f14714_5	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Health services	discrete	1'786
512 f14714_6	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: None	discrete	1'786
513 f14714_8	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Don't know	discrete	1'786
514 f14714_9	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: No answer	discrete	1'786
515 f14715	Welfare proposition: High-income earners should receive larger pensions than low-income earners	discrete	1'749
516 f14716	Welfare proposition: Low-income earners should receive larger pensions than high-income earners	discrete	1'731

Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
517 f14717	Welfare proposition: High-income earners should receive more unemployment benefits than low-income earners	discrete	1'716
518 f14718	Welfare proposition: Low-income earners should receive more unemployment benefits than high-income earners	discrete	1'703
519 f14803	Immigration proposition: Too many high-skilled immigrants in Switzerland	discrete	1'603
520 f14804	Immigration proposition: Too many low-skilled immigrants in Switzerland	discrete	1'630
521 f14805	Immigration proposition: Too many Muslim immigrants in Switzerland	discrete	1'602
522 f14810	Immigration proposition: Muslim women should have the right to wear a religious headscarf in public	discrete	1'697
523 f14811	Immigration proposition: Muslims should have the right to construct minarets	discrete	1'711
524 f14812	Immigration proposition: Government should financially support language classes for immigrants	discrete	1'737
525 f14813	Immigration proposition: Immigrants living in Switzerland for at least 10 years should be granted the right to vote on cantonal level	discrete	1'716
526 f14814	Immigration proposition: Swiss culture is composed of a collection of cultures	discrete	1'688
527 f14815	Immigration proposition: Cultural life is enriched by people coming from other countries	discrete	1'714
528 f14816	Immigration proposition: Immigrants may keep their own traditions and values when they come to live in Switzerland	discrete	1'702
529 f14817	Personal contact with immigrants from former Yugoslavia or Albania	discrete	1'737
530 f14818	Personal contact with Germans, French, Italians	discrete	1'761
531 f14819	Valuation of personal contact with immigrants from former Yugoslavia or Albania	discrete	1'606
532 f14820	Valuation of personal contact with Germans, French, Italians	discrete	1'743
533 f14901	Sympathy for political party - FDP	discrete	1'615
534 f14902	Sympathy for political party - CVP	discrete	1'627
535 f14903	Sympathy for political party - SVP	discrete	1'674
536 f14904	Sympathy for political party - SP	discrete	1'647
537 f14905	Sympathy for political party - GPS	discrete	1'657
538 f14906	Sympathy for political party - BDP	discrete	1'538
539 f14907	Sympathy for political party - GLP	discrete	1'547
540 n13_031	Trade union: Member	discrete	1'786
541 n13_032	Trade union: Participation in activities	discrete	1'786
542 n13_033	Trade union: Donations	discrete	1'786
543 n13_034	Trade union: Honorary position	discrete	1'786
544 n13_035	Trade union: No activity	discrete	1'786
545 n13_041	Business or professional organisation: Member	discrete	1'786
546 n13_042	Business or professional organisation: Participation in activities	discrete	1'786

Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
547 n13_043	Business or professional organisation: Donations	discrete	1'786
548 n13_044	Business or professional organisation: Honorary position	discrete	1'786
549 n13_045	Business or professional organisation: No activity	discrete	1'786
550 n13_051	Consumer protection organisation: Member	discrete	1'786
551 n13_052	Consumer protection organisation: Participation in activities	discrete	1'786
552 n13_053	Consumer protection organisation: Donations	discrete	1'786
553 n13_054	Consumer protection organisation: Honorary position	discrete	1'786
554 n13_055	Consumer protection organisation: No activity	discrete	1'786
555 n13_071	Human rights organisation: Member	discrete	1'786
556 n13_072	Human rights organisation: Participation in activities	discrete	1'786
557 n13_073	Human rights organisation: Donations	discrete	1'786
558 n13_074	Human rights organisation: Honorary position	discrete	1'786
559 n13_075	Human rights organisation: No activity	discrete	1'786
560 n13_081	Environmental protection organisation: Member	discrete	1'786
561 n13_082	Environmental protection organisation: Participation in activities	discrete	1'786
562 n13_083	Environmental protection organisation: Donations	discrete	1'786
563 n13_084	Environmental protection organisation: Honorary position	discrete	1'786
564 n13_085	Environmental protection organisation: No activity	discrete	1'786
565 n13_101	Religious organisation: Member	discrete	1'786
566 n13_102	Religious organisation: Participation in activities	discrete	1'786
567 n13_103	Religious organisation: Donations	discrete	1'786
568 n13_104	Religious organisation: Honorary position	discrete	1'786
569 n13_105	Religious organisation: No activity	discrete	1'786
570 n13_111	Political party: Member	discrete	1'786
571 n13_112	Political party: Participation in activities	discrete	1'786
572 n13_113	Political party: Donations	discrete	1'786
573 n13_114	Political party: Honorary position	discrete	1'786
574 n13_115	Political party: No activity	discrete	1'786
575 f58001	More vs. less public expenditure: Health	discrete	1'687
576 f58002	More vs. less public expenditure: Education	discrete	1'695
577 f58003	More vs. less public expenditure: Unemployment benefits	discrete	1'676
578 f58004	More vs. less public expenditure: Defence	discrete	1'669
579 f58005	More vs. less public expenditure: Old-age pensions	discrete	1'691
580 f58006	More vs. less public expenditure: Business and industry	discrete	1'607
581 f58007	More vs. less public expenditure: Police and law enforcement	discrete	1'664
582 f58008	More vs. less public expenditure: Welfare benefits	discrete	1'685
583 f58009	Government should reduce differences in income levels	discrete	1'716

Name	Label	Data Type	Valid
584 f58010	Importance of who is in power (scale)	discrete	1'714
585 f58011	Importance of whom to vote for (scale)	discrete	1'728
586 f58013a	Contact via letter by party or candidate	discrete	1'732
587 f58013	Personally contacted by party or candidate	discrete	1'695
588 f58014	Contact via phone by party or candidate	discrete	1'675
589 f58015	Contact via SMS by party or candidate	discrete	1'664
590 f58016	Contact via email by party or candidate	discrete	1'667
591 f58017	Contact via social network by party or candidate	discrete	1'646
592 f58018_1	Contact by CVP	discrete	1'640
593 f58018_2	Contact by FDP	discrete	1'640
594 f58018_3	Contact by SP	discrete	1'640
595 f58018_4	Contact by SVP	discrete	1'640
596 f58018_5	Contact by GPS	discrete	1'640
597 f58018_6	Contact by GLP	discrete	1'640
598 f58018_7	Contact by BDP	discrete	1'640
599 f58018_8	Contact by other party	discrete	1'640
600 f58018_9	No contact by any party	discrete	1'640
601 f58019	Persuasion to vote for a certain party by friends, family, neighbors or work colleagues	discrete	1'758
602 f58020a	Try to persuade R by letters	discrete	1'717
603 f58020	Try to persuade R in person, face-to-face	discrete	1'715
604 f58021	Try to persuade R by phone	discrete	1'684
605 f58022	Try to persuade R by SMS	discrete	1'681
606 f58023	Try to persuade R by email	discrete	1'687
607 f58024	Try to persuade R through social network	discrete	1'664
608 f58025	Use of Internet or mobile phone to sign up for information or alerts from a party or candidate	discrete	1'757
609 D5A	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Citizens have ample opportunity to participate in political decisions	discrete	1'740
610 D5B	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Our democracy is about to lose the trust of the citizens	discrete	1'699
611 D5C	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Legislation represents the interests of the majority of citizens	discrete	1'661
612 D5D	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Political parties are the essential link between citizens and the state	discrete	1'679
613 D5E	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Special interests have too much influence on law making	discrete	1'603
614 D5F	Proposition about Swiss democracy: The process of law making is too complicated	discrete	1'642
615 D5G	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Parliaments, not voters, should make final decisions on law and policy	discrete	1'686

Alphabetical List

Dataset contains 615 variable(s)

Name	Label	Page
AggGross	Agglomeration size	220
Canton	Canton of residency	218
D5A	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Citizens have ample opportunity to participate in political decisions	291
D5B	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Our democracy is about to lose the trust of the citizens	291
D5C	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Legislation represents the interests of the majority of citizens	292
D5D	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Political parties are the essential link between citizens and the state	292
D5E	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Special interests have too much influence on law making	293
D5F	Proposition about Swiss democracy: The process of law making is too complicated	293
D5G	Proposition about Swiss democracy: Parliaments, not voters, should make final decisions on law and policy	294
GrossReg	Large regions of Switzerland (NUTS II)	219
ICSTAT_ADD	Additional survey: Completed or not	224
INT_ART	Additional survey: Mode	224
ISAMPLE	Sample level	223
SPRACHE_ADD	Additional survey: Language	224
UrbRur	Urban or rural area - BFS categories	219
age	R's age	216
agegroups	Age groups	216
bfsprof3_h	BFS-Profession 3 digits, Head of Household	174
bfsprof3_p	BFS-Profession, 3 digits, Partner	174
bfsprof3_r	BFS-Profession, 3 digits, Respondent	174
bfsprof5_h	BFS-Profession, 5 digits, Head of Household	175
bfsprof5_p	BFS-Profession, 5 digits, Partner	175
bfsprof5_r	BFS-Profession, 5 digits, Respondent	175
bfsprof8_h	BFS-Profession, 8 digits, Head of Household	175
bfsprof8_p	BFS-Profession, 8 digits, Partner	175
bfsprof8_r	BFS-Profession, 8 digits, Respondent	175
birthcntry	Country where R was born	222
birthmunic	Municipality where R was born (if born in CH)	222
calls	Number of call attempts	223
clas8_x	Class position based on r's, p's or m-e's class, 8 classes (Oesch)	177
educ_m	Main earner's level of education	172
educ_mr	Main earner's level of education (recoded)	173

Name	Label	Page
educ_p	Partner's level of education	171
educ_pr	Partner's level of education (recoded)	173
educ_r	R's level of education	170
educ_rr	R's level of education (recoded)	172
f10000	Canton in which R has the right to vote	59
f10100	R's interest in politics	60
f10200	Participation of R in the last national elections in 2007	60
f10250	Canton in which R had the right to vote in 2007	61
f10300	Party voted for in national elections (2007)	61
f10300bfs	Party voted for in national elections (2007), reduced list	62
f10300main7	Party voted for in national elections (2007), seven major parties	62
f11100	Voting of R in the national elections (2011)	63
f11100_d	Voting of R in the national elections (2011), dummy	63
f11301	Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't make up his mind	63
f11302	Reason for nonvoting: R isn't interested in politics	64
f11303	Reason for nonvoting: Elections are too complicated	64
f11304	Reason for nonvoting: R wasn't convinced by any party/candidate	64
f11305	Reason for nonvoting: R's favourites had no chance	65
f11306	Reason for nonvoting: R doesn't know the candidates well enough	65
f11307	Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't take part	65
f11308	Reason for nonvoting: Elections have no influence on government composition	66
f11309	Reason for nonvoting: Elections don't change anything	66
f11310	Reason for nonvoting: More influence on politics through popular votes	66
f11311	Reason for nonvoting: Other reason	67
f11400	Party would have voted for, non-voters	67
f11400bfs	Party would have voted for, non-voters, reduced list	68
f11400main7	Party would have voted for, non-voters, seven major parties	69
f11402	Party (would have) voted for, voters and non-voters	69
f11402bfs	Party (would have) voted for, voters and non-voters, reduced list	70
f11402main7	Party (would have) voted for, voters and non-voters, seven major parties	71
f11600	How and when R voted	71
f11605	Moment when R sent his ballot away	71
f11700	Most important reason to vote	72
f11801	Party voted for, all voters	72
f11801bfs	Party voted for, all voters, reduced list	73
f11801main7	Party voted for, all voters, seven major parties	74
f11900	Moment when R decided whom to vote for in National Council elections	74
f12010	Modification of voting list	74

Name	Label	Page
f12021	Did R give two votes to the same candidate?	75
f12022	Did R strike out some candidates?	75
f12023	Did R give votes to several parties?	75
f12030	Party voted for second most candidates	76
f12030bfs	Party voted for second most candidates, reduced list	76
f12030main7	Party voted for second most candidates, seven major parties	77
f12301	Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)	77
f12301p	Party of candidate Council of States, first choice	77
f12302	Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)	78
f12302p	Party of candidate Council of States, second choice	78
f12311	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Personality of candidate	79
f12312	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Political orientation	79
f12313	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Endorsement of party R voted for	80
f12314	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Gender	80
f12315	Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Age	81
f12385a	R's attachment to his/her community	225
f12385b	R's attachment to his/her canton	225
f12385c	R's attachment to his/her linguistic region	226
f12385d	R's attachment to his/her country	226
f12385e	R's attachment to Europe	227
f12500	R's participation rate in federal votes	81
f12601	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R signed referenda and initiatives	227
f12602	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R attended political convention	227
f12603	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R collected signatures	228
f12604	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R gave donations	228
f12605	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R was active in a political party	228
f12606	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R was active in a PAC	229
f12607	Political Activities last 5 yrs: R attended a demonstration	229
f12700	Most important problem in Switzerland	82
f12700r	Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list	82
f12720	Party most competent to solve this problem (1st mention)	82
f12720bfs	Party most competent to solve this problem (1st mention), reduced list	83
f12720main7	Party most competent to solve this problem (most important problem), seven major parties	84
f12730	Most important problem in Switzerland 2nd mention	84
f12730r	Most important problem in Switzerland 2nd mention, reduced list	85
f12740	Party most competent to solve this problem (2nd mention)	85
f12740bfs	Party most competent to solve this problem (2nd mention), reduced list	86

Name	Label	Page
f12740main7	Party most competent to solve this problem (2nd most important problem), seven major parties	87
f12801	R's trust in Federal Council	230
f12802	R's trust in Parliament	230
f12803	R's trust in national political parties	231
f12804	R's trust in cantonal authorities	231
f12805	R's trust in local authorities	232
f12811	R's trust in Justice/courts	232
f12812	R's trust in police	233
f12900	Party father voted for	87
f12900bfs	Party father voted for, reduced list	88
f12900main7	Party father voted for, seven major parties	88
f13300	Discussion about elections with family, friends, colleagues	89
f13305	How often did R discuss about elections with family, friends, colleagues?	89
f13305r	How often did R discuss about elections with family, friends, colleagues?, recoded	89
f13321	How many days/week R watches news on TV	90
f13322	How many days/week R reads the political part of the newspaper	90
f13323	How many days/week R listens to news on the radio	91
f13661	Use of parties' leaflets in post box	91
f13662	Use of parties' advertisements in the streets	91
f13663	Use of web pages about the elections	92
f13664	Use of parties' stands in the streets	92
f13665	Use of parties' meetings	92
f13666	Use of parties' advertisements in the papers	93
f13667	Use of the online election aid smartvote	93
f13700	Satisfaction with democratic process	93
f14000	Does R feel close to a party?	94
f14000a	Party attachment; f14010-f14050; all parties (2nd choice if closer)	94
f14000abfs	Party attachment; f14010-f14050; all parties, reduced list (2nd choice if closer)	94
f14000main7	Party attachment; f14010-f14050; all parties, seven major parties (2nd choice if closer)	95
f14000r	Party closeness recoded	94
f14010	Party R feels close to, first mentioned	95
f14010bfs	Party R feels close to, first mentioned, reduced list	96
f14010main7	Party R feels close to, first mentioned, seven major parties	96
f14020	Party R feels close to, second mentioned	97
f14020bfs	Party R feels close to, second mentioned, reduced list	97
f14020main7	Party R feels close to, second mentioned, seven major parties	98
f14040	Party R feels closest to	98

Name	Label	Page
f14040bfs	Party R feels closest to, reduced list	99
f14040main7	Party R feels closest, seven major parties	99
f14050	Does R feel somewhat closer to a party?	95
f14070	How close does R feel to this party?	100
f14400a	Probability to vote: CVP	100
f14400b	Probability to vote: FDP	101
f14400c	Probability to vote: SP	101
f14400d	Probability to vote: SVP	102
f14400e	Probability to vote: GPS	102
f14400f	Probability to vote: GLP	103
f14400g	Probability to vote: BDP	104
f14400h	Probability to vote: MCG (GE only)	105
f14400i	Probability to vote: LEGA (TI only)	106
f14501	Sympathy for political leader - Doris Leuthard	107
f14502	Sympathy for political leader - Simonetta Sommaruga	108
f14503	Sympathy for political leader - Didier Burkhalter	109
f14504	Sympathy for political leader - Christoph Blocher	110
f14600	State of the economy	118
f14610	Evolution of the economy in the last 12 months	118
f14615	Economy how much better	119
f14616	Economy how much worse	119
f14701	State's responsibility: Provision of affordable childcare for working parents	233
f14702	State's responsibility: Financial help for students and apprentices from low-income families	234
f14703	State's responsibility: Provision of a decent standard of living for immigrants	234
f14704	Policy positions (welfare state): Support for unemployed	112
f14704a	Strength of opinion	112
f14704r	Policy positions (welfare state): Support for unemployed, recoded	110
f14705	Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. help youth and unemployed find jobs	113
f14705a	Strength of opinion	113
f14705r	Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. help youth and unemployed find jobs, recoded	111
f14706	Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. family/children	113
f14706a	Strength of opinion	114
f14706r	Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. family/children, recoded	111
f14707	Policy positions (welfare state): Pension system	114
f14707a	Strength of opinion	114
f14707r	Policy positions (welfare state): Pension system, recoded	111
f14708	Policy positions (welfare state): Family politics	115
f14708a	Strength of opinion	115

Name	Label	Page
f14708r	Policy positions (welfare state): Family politics, recoded	112
f14709_1	Most pressing problem in social policy: Support for the unemployed	235
f14709_1r	Ranking of social policy problem: Support for the unemployed	235
f14709_2	Most pressing problem in social policy: Old age pensions	235
f14709_2r	Ranking of social policy problem: Old age pensions	236
f14709_3	Most pressing problem in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	236
f14709_3r	Ranking of social policy problem: Childcare services for working parents	236
f14709_4	Most pressing problem in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	237
f14709_4r	Ranking of social policy problem: Social assistance for the poor	237
f14709_5	Most pressing problem in social policy: Health services	237
f14709_5r	Ranking of social policy problem: Health services	238
f14709_6	Most pressing problem in social policy: None	238
f14709_8	Most pressing problem in social policy: Don't know	238
f14709_9	Most pressing problem in social policy: No answer	239
f14709r	Most pressing problem in social policy, recoded all	234
f14710_1	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Support for the unemployed	239
f14710_2	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Old age pensions	239
f14710_3	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	240
f14710_4	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	240
f14710_5	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Health services	240
f14710_6	Second most pressing problem in social policy: None	241
f14710_8	Second most pressing problem in social policy: Don't know	241
f14710_9	Second most pressing problem in social policy: No answer	241
f14711_1	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Support for the unemployed	242
f14711_2	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Old age pensions	242
f14711_3	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	242
f14711_4	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	243
f14711_5	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Health services	243
f14711_6	Third most pressing problem in social policy: None	243
f14711_8	Third most pressing problem in social policy: Don't know	244
f14711_9	Third most pressing problem in social policy: No answer	244
f14712_1	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Support for the unemployed	245
f14712_1r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Support for the unemployed	245
f14712_2	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Old age pensions	245
f14712_2r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Old age pensions	246
f14712_3	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	246
f14712_3r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Childcare services for working parents	246
f14712_4	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	247

Name	Label	Page
f14712_4r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Social assistance for the poor	247
f14712_5	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Health services	247
f14712_5r	Ranking of social policy cutback: Health services	248
f14712_6	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: None	248
f14712_8	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Don't know	248
f14712_9	Priority for cutbacks in social policy: No answer	249
f14712r	Priority for cutbacks in social policy, recoded all	244
f14713_1	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Support for the unemployed	249
f14713_2	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Old age pensions	249
f14713_3	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	250
f14713_4	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	250
f14713_5	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Health services	250
f14713_6	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: None	251
f14713_8	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Don't know	251
f14713_9	Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: No answer	251
f14714_1	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Support for the unemployed	252
f14714_2	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Old age pensions	252
f14714_3	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Childcare services for working parents	252
f14714_4	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Social assistance for the poor	253
f14714_5	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Health services	253
f14714_6	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: None	253
f14714_8	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Don't know	254
f14714_9	Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: No answer	254
f14715	Welfare proposition: High-income earners should receive larger pensions than low-income earners	254
f14716	Welfare proposition: Low-income earners should receive larger pensions than high-income earners	255
f14717	Welfare proposition: High-income earners should receive more unemployment benefits than low-income earners	255
f14718	Welfare proposition: Low-income earners should receive more unemployment benefits than high-income earners	256
f14801	Immigration proposition: Too many migrants from former Yugoslavia and Albania	115
f14802	Immigration proposition: Too many migrants from Germany/France/Italy	116
f14803	Immigration proposition: Too many high-skilled immigrants in Switzerland	256
f14804	Immigration proposition: Too many low-skilled immigrants in Switzerland	257
f14805	Immigration proposition: Too many Muslim immigrants in Switzerland	257
f14806	Immigration proposition: Migrants exacerbate job market situation	116
f14807	Immigration proposition: Swiss culture vanishing due to immigration	117
f14808	Immigration proposition: Violence and vandalism due to young immigrants	117
f14809	Immigration proposition: Public space crowded and environment polluted	118

Name	Label	Page
f14810	Immigration proposition: Muslim women should have the right to wear a religious headscarf in public	258
f14811	Immigration proposition: Muslims should have the right to construct minarets	258
f14812	Immigration proposition: Government should financially support language classes for immigrants	259
f14813	Immigration proposition: Immigrants living in Switzerland for at least 10 years should be granted the right to vote on cantonal level	259
f14814	Immigration proposition: Swiss culture is composed of a collection of cultures	260
f14815	Immigration proposition: Cultural life is enriched by people coming from other countries	260
f14816	Immigration proposition: Immigrants may keep their own traditions and values when they come to live in Switzerland	261
f14817	Personal contact with immigrants from former Yugoslavia or Albania	261
f14818	Personal contact with Germans, French, Italians	262
f14819	Valuation of personal contact with immigrants from former Yugoslavia or Albania	262
f14820	Valuation of personal contact with Germans, French, Italians	263
f14901	Sympathy for political party - FDP	263
f14902	Sympathy for political party - CVP	264
f14903	Sympathy for political party - SVP	264
f14904	Sympathy for political party - SP	265
f14905	Sympathy for political party - GPS	265
f14906	Sympathy for political party - BDP	266
f14907	Sympathy for political party - GLP	266
f15200	Left-right placement: Self	120
f15300a	Left-right placement: CVP	120
f15300b	Left-right placement: FDP	121
f15300c	Left-right placement: SP	121
f15300d	Left-right placement: SVP	122
f15300e	Left-right placement: GPS	122
f15300f	Left-right placement: GLP	123
f15300g	Left-right placement: BDP	124
f15300h	Left-right placement: MCG (GE only)	125
f15300i	Left-right placement: Lega (TI only)	126
f15420	Opinion on social expenses	126
f15421bfs	Party which cares most about social policy, reduced list	127
f15421main7	Party which cares most about social policy, seven major parties	128
f15422bfs	Party which has the best solutions for social policy, reduced list	129
f15422main7	Party which has the best solutions for social policy, seven major parties	130
f15430	Opinion on EU membership	130
f15431bfs	Party which cares most about EU policy, reduced list	131
f15431main7	Party which has the best solutions for EU policy, seven major parties	132

Name	Label	Page
f15432bfs	Party which has the best solutions for EU policy, reduced list	133
f15432main7	Party which has the best solutions for European politics, seven major parties	134
f15440	Opinion on chance for foreigners	134
f15441bfs	Party which cares most about migration policy, reduced list	135
f15441main7	Party which cares most about migration policy, seven major parties	136
f15442bfs	Party which has the best solution for migration policy, reduced list	137
f15442main7	Party which has the best solution for migration policy, seven major parties	138
f15470	Protection of the environment versus economic growth	138
f15471bfs	Party which cares most about environmental policy, reduced list	139
f15471main7	Party which cares most about environmental policy, seven major parties	140
f15472bfs	Party which has the best solutions for environmental policy, reduced list	141
f15472main7	Party which has the best solutions for environmental policy, seven major parties	142
f15480	Opinion on taxes on high incomes	142
f15481bfs	Party which cares most about tax policy, reduced list	143
f15481main7	Party which cares most about tax policy, seven major parties	144
f15482bfs	Party which has the best solutions for tax policy, reduced list	145
f15482main7	Party which has the best solutions for tax policy, seven major parties	146
f15490	Opinion on nuclear energy	146
f15491bfs	Party which cares most about energy policy, reduced list	147
f15491main7	Party which cares most about energy policy, seven major parties	148
f15492bfs	Party which has the best solutions for energy policy, reduced list	149
f15492main7	Party which has the best solutions for energy policy, seven major parties	150
f155_01	CVP: Opinion on EU membership	150
f155_03	FDP: Opinion on EU membership	151
f155_05	SP: Opinion on EU membership	151
f155_07	SVP: Opinion on EU membership	152
f155_09	GPS: Opinion on EU membership	152
f155_11	GLP: Opinion on EU membership	153
f155_13	BDP: Opinion on EU membership	153
f155_15	LEGA: Opinion on EU membership (TI only)	154
f155_16	MCG: Opinion on EU membership (GE only)	154
f156_01	CVP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	155
f156_03	FDP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	155
f156_05	SP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	156
f156_07	SVP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	156
f156_09	GPS: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	157
f156_11	GLP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes	157
f156_13	BDP: Opinion on taxes on high income	158

Name	Label	Page
f156_15	LEGA: Opinion on taxes on high incomes (TI only)	158
f156_16	MCG: Opinion on taxes on high incomes (GE only)	159
f15700	Most important political aim	159
f15750	Second most important political aim	159
f15801	Policy proposition: Cutting red tape to relieve the economy	160
f15802	Policy proposition: Reduction of taxes to relieve families	160
f15803	Policy proposition: Back out of nuclear energy and invest in renewable energy	161
f15804	Policy proposition: Rearrange freedom of movement (EU) to reduce immigration	161
f15805	Policy proposition: Minimum wage to ensure standard of living	162
f15900	How many parties are in the Federal Council?	162
f15900r	How many parties are in FC?, recoded	162
f16000	Name of the president of the Confederation?	163
f16100	Required number of signatures for a federal initiative?	163
f16300	Which party had most seats in the National Council (before election)?	163
f16300r	Which party had most seats in the National Council (before elections)?, recoded	164
f16301	Who was the minister of finance?	164
f16301r	Who was the minister of finance?, recoded	164
f16302	What was the unemployment rate in September?	165
f16302r	What was the unemployment rate in September?, recoded	165
f16303	Which party gained the second most seats in the National Council (last election)?	165
f16303r	Which party gained the second most seats in the National Council (last elections)?, recoded	166
f16304	Who is the UN Secretary-General?	166
f16304r	Who is the UN Secretary-General?, recoded	166
f20210	Citizenship which R held when born	167
f20210_code	Citizenship of R when born	167
f20211	R's parents both born in Switzerland	168
f20212_code	Country where R's mother was born	168
f20213_code	Country where R's father was born	168
f20300	Since when does R live in the current canton?	168
f20700	Does R live with a partner?	168
f20710	Number of children (< 18 years) in household	169
f20800	R's religious denomination	169
f20900	Church attendance	169
f21310	R's highest level of education	178
f21400	R's current working situation	178
f21410	Has R always been in training?	179
f21500	R's position at work	179
f21550	Number of persons employed at R's company	179

Name	Label	Page
f21600	R's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	179
f216_08	R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	180
f216_88	R's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	180
f216ber	R's current profession, BFS 8 digits	180
f216bfs	R's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	179
f21700	Sector in which R works	180
f21800	Main earner in R's household	180
f21910	Partner's highest level of education	181
f22000	Partner's current working situation	181
f22100	Partner's position at work	182
f22150	Number of persons employed at his/her company	182
f22200	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	182
f222_08	Partner's current profession, 08 4 digits	183
f222_88	Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	182
f222ber	Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	182
f222bfs	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	182
f22300	Sector in which R's partner works	183
f22400	Partner's last working situation	183
f22500	Partner's last position at work	184
f22550	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	184
f22600	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	184
f226_08	Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	185
f226_88	Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	185
f226ber	Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	184
f226bfs	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	184
f22700	Sector in which R's partner worked	185
f22800	Main earner in R's household	185
f22910	Main Earner's highest level of education	186
f23000	Main earner's current working situation	186
f23100	Main earner's position at work	187
f23150	Number of persons employed at his/her company	187
f23200	Main earner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	187
f232_08	Main earner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	188
f232_88	Main earner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	187
f232ber	Main earner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	187
f232bfs	Main earner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	187
f23300	Sector in which the main earner works	188
f23400	Main earner's last working situation	188

Name	Label	Page
f23500	Main earner's last position at work	189
f23550	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	189
f23600	Main earner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	189
f236_08	Main earner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	190
f236_88	Main earner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	190
f236ber	Main earner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	189
f236bfs	Main earner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	189
f23700	Sector in which the main earner worked	190
f24800	R's last working situation	190
f24900	R's last position at work	191
f24950	Number of persons formerly employed at R's company	191
f25000	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	191
f250_08	R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	192
f250_88	R's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	192
f250ber	R's last profession, BFS 8 digits	191
f250bfs	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	191
f25100	Sector in which R worked	192
f25210	Partner's highest level of education	193
f25300	Partner's current working situation	193
f25400	Partner's position at work	194
f25450	Number of persons employed at his/her company	194
f25500	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	194
f255_08	Partner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	195
f255_88	Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	194
f255ber	Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	194
f255bfs	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	194
f25600	Sector in which R's partner works	195
f25700	Partner's last working situation	195
f25800	Partner's last position at work	196
f25850	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	196
f25900	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	196
f259_08	Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	197
f259_88	Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	196
f259ber	Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	196
f259bfs	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	196
f26000	Sector in which R's partner worked	197
f26100	R's last working situation	197
f26200	R's last position at work	198

Name	Label	Page
f26250	Number of persons formerly employed at R's company	198
f26300	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	198
f263_08	R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	199
f263_88	R's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	198
f263ber	R's last profession, BFS 8 digits	198
f263bfs	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	198
f26400	Sector in which R worked	199
f26500	Main earner in R's household	199
f26610	Partner's highest level of education	200
f26700	Partner's current working situation	200
f26800	Partner's position at work	201
f26850	Number of persons employed at his/her company	201
f26900	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	201
f269_08	Partner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	202
f269_88	Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	201
f269ber	Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	201
f269bfs	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	201
f27000	Sector in which R's partner works	202
f27100	Partner's last working situation	202
f27200	Partner's last position at work	203
f27250	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	203
f27300	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	203
f273_08	Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	204
f273_88	Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	204
f273ber	Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	204
f273bfs	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	203
f27400	Sector in which R's partner worked	204
f27500	Did R have a paid occupation before unemployment?	204
f27600	R's last position at work	205
f27650	Number of persons formerly employed at R's company	205
f27700	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	205
f277_08	R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	206
f277_88	R's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	206
f277ber	R's last profession, BFS 8 digits	205
f277bfs	R's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	205
f27800	Sector in which R worked	206
f27900	Main earner in R's household	206
f28010	Partner's highest level of education	207

Name	Label	Page
f28100	Partner's current working situation	207
f28200	Partner's position at work	208
f28250	Number of persons employed at his/her company	208
f28300	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	208
f283_08	Partner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	209
f283_88	Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	208
f283ber	Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits	208
f283bfs	Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	208
f28400	Sector in which R's partner works	209
f28500	Partner's last working situation	209
f28600	Partner's last position at work	210
f28650	Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company	210
f28700	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit	210
f287_08	Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits	211
f287_88	Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits	210
f287ber	Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits	210
f287bfs	Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit	210
f28800	Sector in which R's partner worked	211
f28910	Gross monthly income of R's household	211
f29000	R's household income compared to average household	212
f29100	Can R manage with this income?	212
f29110	Probability to improve standard of living in next 10 years	212
f29114	Probability that household income decreases significantly in next 12 months	213
f29115	R or household member owns a residence	213
f29116	R or household member owns a business, a piece of property or a farm	213
f29117	R or household member owns stocks or bonds	214
f29118	R or household member owns a checking or savings account	214
f29119	R's feasibility of finding new job when becoming unemployed	214
f29120	Partner's feasibility of finding new job when becoming unemployed	215
f30000	Participation federal election 2011 (GE only)	215
f58001	More vs. less public expenditure: Health	278
f58002	More vs. less public expenditure: Education	279
f58003	More vs. less public expenditure: Unemployment benefits	279
f58004	More vs. less public expenditure: Defence	280
f58005	More vs. less public expenditure: Old-age pensions	280
f58006	More vs. less public expenditure: Business and industry	281
f58007	More vs. less public expenditure: Police and law enforcement	281
f58008	More vs. less public expenditure: Welfare benefits	282

Name	Label	Page
f58009	Government should reduce differences in income levels	282
f58010	Importance of who is in power (scale)	283
f58011	Importance of whom to vote for (scale)	283
f58013	Personally contacted by party or candidate	284
f58013a	Contact via letter by party or candidate	283
f58014	Contact via phone by party or candidate	284
f58015	Contact via SMS by party or candidate	284
f58016	Contact via email by party or candidate	285
f58017	Contact via social network by party or candidate	285
f58018_1	Contact by CVP	285
f58018_2	Contact by FDP	286
f58018_3	Contact by SP	286
f58018_4	Contact by SVP	286
f58018_5	Contact by GPS	287
f58018_6	Contact by GLP	287
f58018_7	Contact by BDP	287
f58018_8	Contact by other party	288
f58018_9	No contact by any party	288
f58019	Persuasion to vote for a certain party by friends, family, neighbors or work colleagues	288
f58020	Try to persuade R in person, face-to-face	289
f58020a	Try to persuade R by letters	289
f58021	Try to persuade R by phone	289
f58022	Try to persuade R by SMS	290
f58023	Try to persuade R by email	290
f58024	Try to persuade R through social network	290
f58025	Use of Internet or mobile phone to sign up for information or alerts from a party or candidate	291
gtyp1	Community typology bfs 22 types	221
gtyp2	Community typology bfs, summarized 9 types	222
hhsz	R's household size (persons)	216
intdate	Date of the interview	223
intdate_ADD	Additional survey: date of completion	224
isco08prof_h	ISCO 08 Profession, 4 digits, Head of household	176
isco08prof_p	ISCO 08 Profession, 4 digits, Partner	176
isco08prof_r	ISCO 08 Profession, 4 digits, Respondent	176
isco88prof_h	ISCO 88 Profession, 4 digits, Head of household	176
isco88prof_p	ISCO 88 Profession, 4 digits, Partner	176
isco88prof_r	ISCO 88 Profession, 4 digits, Respondent	175
lregion	Linguistic region, based on municipality code	218

Name	Label	Page
marital	Marital status according to sample	217
municipality	BFS Municipality Code	217
n13_031	Trade union: Member	267
n13_032	Trade union: Participation in activities	267
n13_033	Trade union: Donations	267
n13_034	Trade union: Honorary position	268
n13_035	Trade union: No activity	268
n13_041	Business or professional organisation: Member	268
n13_042	Business or professional organisation: Participation in activities	269
n13_043	Business or professional organisation: Donations	269
n13_044	Business or professional organisation: Honorary position	269
n13_045	Business or professional organisation: No activity	270
n13_051	Consumer protection organisation: Member	270
n13_052	Consumer protection organisation: Participation in activities	270
n13_053	Consumer protection organisation: Donations	271
n13_054	Consumer protection organisation: Honorary position	271
n13_055	Consumer protection organisation: No activity	271
n13_071	Human rights organisation: Member	272
n13_072	Human rights organisation: Participation in activities	272
n13_073	Human rights organisation: Donations	272
n13_074	Human rights organisation: Honorary position	273
n13_075	Human rights organisation: No activity	273
n13_081	Environmental protection organisation: Member	273
n13_082	Environmental protection organisation: Participation in activities	274
n13_083	Environmental protection organisation: Donations	274
n13_084	Environmental protection organisation: Honorary position	274
n13_085	Environmental protection organisation: No activity	275
n13_101	Religious organisation: Member	275
n13_102	Religious organisation: Participation in activities	275
n13_103	Religious organisation: Donations	276
n13_104	Religious organisation: Honorary position	276
n13_105	Religious organisation: No activity	276
n13_111	Political party: Member	277
n13_112	Political party: Participation in activities	277
n13_113	Political party: Donations	277
n13_114	Political party: Honorary position	278
n13_115	Political party: No activity	278
ogr	Municipality size	220

Name	Label	Page
postmat	Inglehart Index	160
scalknowALL	Scale of Knowledge, All questions	167
scalknowCH	Scale of Knowledge, CH questions	167
sector_h	Working sector, Head of Household	177
sector_p	Working sector, Partner	177
sector_r	Working sector, Respondent	176
sex	R's sex	216
situah	Position at work, household	174
situap	Position at work, partner	174
situar	Position at work, respondent	173
spr	Language of interview	215
stla	Urban or rural area - dichotomous	219
userid	User ID	59
weight_d	Design weight, cantonal oversampling	222
weight_d_turn	Design weight * turnout weight	223
weight_d_vote	Design * Vote choice weight	223
weight_total	Total weight: design * turnout * vote choice	223
weight_turnout	Turnout weight	222
weight_vote	Vote choice weight	223

Variable Description

Dataset contains 615 variable(s)

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

1 userid User ID

Information Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 16-101'499, Missing: *

Statistics Valid=4391, Invalid=0, Mean=29'293.2, StdDev=34'733.2

2 f10000 Canton in which R has the right to vote

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-26, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=4391, Invalid=0

Literal question Dans quel canton avez-vous le droit de vote?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Zürich	658	15.0%
2	Bern	291	6.6%
3	Luzern	108	2.5%
4	Uri	90	2.0%
5	Schwyz	75	1.7%
6	Obwalden	78	1.8%
7	Nidwalden	82	1.9%
8	Glarus	65	1.5%
9	Zug	117	2.7%
10	Fribourg	106	2.4%
11	Solothurn	104	2.4%
12	Basel-Stadt	107	2.4%
13	Basel-Land	107	2.4%
14	Schaffhausen	74	1.7%
15	Appenzell AR	99	2.3%
16	Appenzell AI	83	1.9%
17	St. Gallen	134	3.1%
18	Graubünden	97	2.2%
19	Aargau	164	3.7%
20	Thurgau	109	2.5%
21	Ticino	587	13.4%
22	Vaud	178	4.1%
23	Valais	106	2.4%
24	Neuchâtel	94	2.1%
25	Genève	579	13.2%
26	Jura	99	2.3%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	0	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

3 f10100 R's interest in politics

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=4379, Invalid=12

Literal question De manière générale, quel intérêt portez-vous à la politique? Etes-vous très intéressé(e), plutôt intéressé(e), plutôt pas intéressé(e) ou pas du tout intéressé(e) par la politique?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very interested	895	20.4%
2	Rather interested	2'268	51.8%
3	Rather not interested	961	21.9%
4	Not interested at all	255	5.8%
8	Don't know	7	
9	No answer	5	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

4 f10200 Participation of R in the last national elections in 2007

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=3953, Invalid=438

Literal question Les élections fédérales ont lieu tous les quatre ans. Les dernières ont eu lieu en octobre 2007 et un grand nombre d'électeurs n'y ont pas participé. En ce qui vous concerne, laquelle des propositions suivantes vous convient le mieux?

Interviewer instructions TOUT LIRE

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Certainly participated	2'646	66.9%
2	Not sure, but probably participated	427	10.8%
3	Not sure, but rather not participated	170	4.3%
4	Certainly not participated	621	15.7%
5	Wasn't entitled to	89	2.3%
8	Don't know	74	
9	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		361	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

5 f10250 Canton in which R had the right to vote in 2007

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-26, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=2642, Invalid=1749

Literal question En 2007, dans quel canton aviez-vous le droit de vote?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Zürich	377	14.3%
2	Bern	181	6.9%
3	Luzern	64	2.4%
4	Uri	48	1.8%
5	Schwyz	53	2.0%
6	Obwalden	50	1.9%
7	Nidwalden	39	1.5%
8	Glarus	37	1.4%
9	Zug	78	3.0%
10	Fribourg	54	2.0%
11	Solothurn	69	2.6%
12	Basel-Stadt	76	2.9%
13	Basel-Land	61	2.3%
14	Schaffhausen	54	2.0%
15	Appenzell AR	52	2.0%
16	Appenzell AI	38	1.4%
17	St. Gallen	95	3.6%
18	Graubünden	67	2.5%
19	Aargau	112	4.2%
20	Thurgau	60	2.3%
21	Ticino	407	15.4%
22	Vaud	93	3.5%
23	Valais	69	2.6%
24	Neuchâtel	56	2.1%
25	Genève	293	11.1%
26	Jura	59	2.2%
98	Don't know	3	
99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		1'745	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

6 f10300 Party voted for in national elections (2007)

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: *

Statistics Valid=2162, Invalid=2229

Literal question Lors des élections fédérales de 2007, pour quel parti aviez-vous voté?

Interviewer PRENDRE LE 1ER CHOIX

instructions SI NECESSAIRE, PRECISER: PARTI DUQUEL LA PERSONNE A CHOISI LE PLUS DE CANDIDATS

Frequency table not shown (37 Modalities)

7 f10300bfs Party voted for in national elections (2007), reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2226, Invalid=2165

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	443	19.9%
2	CVP/PDC	326	14.6%
3	SP/PS	608	27.3%
4	SVP/UDC	405	18.2%
5	PLS/LPS	28	1.3%
7	EVP/PEP	23	1.0%
8	CSP/PCS	2	0.1%
9	PdA/PdT	3	0.1%
12	Other left parties	3	0.1%
13	GPS/PES	121	5.4%
15	SD/DS	3	0.1%
16	EDU/UDF	15	0.7%
17	FPS/PSL	2	0.1%
18	Lega	27	1.2%
19	BDP	1	0.0%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	23	1.0%
21	MCG	1	0.0%
30	Left parties	59	2.7%
31	Right parties	29	1.3%
32	Centre parties	3	0.1%
35	Others	101	4.5%
996	Not entitled to vote	1	
997	Don't remember	141	
998	Don't know	182	
999	No answer	96	
Sysmiss		1'745	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

8 f10300main7 Party voted for in national elections (2007), seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2226, Invalid=2165

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	405	18.2%
2	FDP	443	19.9%
3	BDP	1	0.0%
4	CVP	326	14.6%
5	GLP	23	1.0%
6	SP	608	27.3%
7	GPS	121	5.4%
35	Others	299	13.4%
Sysmiss		2'165	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

9 f11100 Voting of R in the national elections (2011)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4377, Invalid=14**Literal question** Lors des élections fédérales, environ la moitié des électeurs se rendent aux urnes. En ce qui vous concerne, laquelle des propositions suivantes vous convient le mieux?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	No	678	15.5%
2	Thought about voting, but finally didn't	243	5.6%
3	Normally votes, but not this time	205	4.7%
4	Yes	3'251	74.3%
8	Don't know	10	
9	No answer	4	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

10 f11100_d Voting of R in the national elections (2011), dummy**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4377, Invalid=14

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'126	25.7%
1	Yes	3'251	74.3%
8	Don't know	10	
9	No answer	4	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

11 f11301 Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't make up his mind**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1094, Invalid=3297**Literal question** Il existe plusieurs raisons pour lesquelles on ne participe pas aux élections. Dites-moi pour chacune des raisons suivantes si, oui ou non, elle vous concerne.

Vous n'avez pas pu vous décider.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct	453	41.4%
2	Not correct	641	58.6%
8	Don't know	11	
9	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		3'281	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

12 f11302 Reason for nonvoting: R isn't interested in politics**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1083, Invalid=3308**Literal question** Il existe plusieurs raisons pour lesquelles on ne participe pas aux élections. Dites-moi pour chacune des raisons suivantes si, oui ou non, elle vous concerne.

Vous ne vous intéressez pas à la politique.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct	467	43.1%
2	Not correct	616	56.9%
8	Don't know	18	
9	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		3'281	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

13 f11303 Reason for nonvoting: Elections are too complicated**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1083, Invalid=3308**Literal question** Il existe plusieurs raisons pour lesquelles on ne participe pas aux élections. Dites-moi pour chacune des raisons suivantes si, oui ou non, elle vous concerne.

Les élections sont trop compliquées.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct	419	38.7%
2	Not correct	664	61.3%
8	Don't know	24	
9	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		3'281	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

14 f11304 Reason for nonvoting: R wasn't convinced by any party/candidate**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1062, Invalid=3329**Literal question** Il existe plusieurs raisons pour lesquelles on ne participe pas aux élections. Dites-moi pour chacune des raisons suivantes si, oui ou non, elle vous concerne.

Aucun parti et aucun candidat ne vous ont convaincu.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct	486	45.8%
2	Not correct	576	54.2%
8	Don't know	35	
9	No answer	13	
Sysmiss		3'281	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

15 f11305 Reason for nonvoting: R's favourites had no chance**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1038, Invalid=3353**Literal question** Il existe plusieurs raisons pour lesquelles on ne participe pas aux élections. Dites-moi pour chacune des raisons suivantes si, oui ou non, elle vous concerne.

Votre candidat préféré/votre parti préféré n'avait aucune chance d'être élu.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct	128	12.3%
2	Not correct	910	87.7%
8	Don't know	51	
9	No answer	21	
Sysmiss		3'281	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

16 f11306 Reason for nonvoting: R doesn't know the candidates well enough**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1101, Invalid=3290**Literal question** Il existe plusieurs raisons pour lesquelles on ne participe pas aux élections. Dites-moi pour chacune des raisons suivantes si, oui ou non, elle vous concerne.

Vous ne connaissez pas assez les candidats.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct	722	65.6%
2	Not correct	379	34.4%
8	Don't know	5	
9	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		3'281	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

17 f11307 Reason for nonvoting: R couldn't take part**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1101, Invalid=3290**Literal question** Il existe plusieurs raisons pour lesquelles on ne participe pas aux élections. Dites-moi pour chacune des raisons suivantes si, oui ou non, elle vous concerne.

Vous aviez un empêchement (par ex. maladie, accident, absence, etc.).

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct	341	31.0%
2	Not correct	760	69.0%
8	Don't know	6	
9	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		3'281	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

18 f11308 Reason for nonvoting: Elections have no influence on government composition**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1040, Invalid=3351**Literal question** Il existe plusieurs raisons pour lesquelles on ne participe pas aux élections. Dites-moi pour chacune des raisons suivantes si, oui ou non, elle vous concerne.

Les élections n'ont aucun effet sur la composition du gouvernement.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct	247	23.8%
2	Not correct	793	76.2%
8	Don't know	60	
9	No answer	10	
Sysmiss		3'281	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

19 f11309 Reason for nonvoting: Elections don't change anything**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1070, Invalid=3321**Literal question** Il existe plusieurs raisons pour lesquelles on ne participe pas aux élections. Dites-moi pour chacune des raisons suivantes si, oui ou non, elle vous concerne.

L'on ne change rien à travers les élections.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct	332	31.0%
2	Not correct	738	69.0%
8	Don't know	35	
9	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		3'281	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

20 f11310 Reason for nonvoting: More influence on politics through popular votes**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1056, Invalid=3335**Literal question** Il existe plusieurs raisons pour lesquelles on ne participe pas aux élections. Dites-moi pour chacune des raisons suivantes si, oui ou non, elle vous concerne.

L'on peut avoir une plus grand influence sur la politique à travers les votations fédérales.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct	617	58.4%
2	Not correct	439	41.6%
8	Don't know	43	
9	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		3'281	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

21 f11311 Reason for nonvoting: Other reason

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-97, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=397, Invalid=3994

Literal question Y a-t-il encore un autre motif?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Lack of interest	36	9.1%
2	Lack of competence	57	14.4%
3	Lack of trust in parties	13	3.3%
4	Lack of trust in politics in general	42	10.6%
5	Style of elections	12	3.0%
6	Lack of time	60	15.1%
7	Too lazy	24	6.0%
8	Organizational problems	8	2.0%
9	Personal reasons	19	4.8%
10	Lack of choice	17	4.3%
11	Forgotten	27	6.8%
12	Was not around	24	6.0%
13	Online-voting not available	4	1.0%
95	Other reasons	43	10.8%
97	Several reasons	11	2.8%
98	Don't know	285	
99	No answer	428	
Sysmiss		3'281	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

22 f11400 Party would have voted for, non-voters

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: *

Statistics Valid=739, Invalid=3652

Literal question Si vous aviez participé aux élections, pour quel parti auriez-vous voté?

Interviewer instructions PRENDRE LE 1ER CHOIX

Frequency table not shown (55 Modalities)

23 f11400bfs Party would have voted for, non-voters, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=759, Invalid=3632

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	116	15.3%
2	CVP/PDC	64	8.4%
3	SP/PS	163	21.5%
4	SVP/UDC	184	24.2%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	6	0.8%
8	CSP/PCS	0	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	2	0.3%
12	Other left parties	0	0.0%
13	GPS/PES	54	7.1%
15	SD/DS	0	0.0%
16	EDU/UDF	2	0.3%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	13	1.7%
19	BDP	42	5.5%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	45	5.9%
21	MCG	7	0.9%
30	Left parties	6	0.8%
31	Right parties	9	1.2%
32	Centre parties	7	0.9%
35	Others	39	5.1%
36	Other answer	0	
996	Not entitled to vote	0	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	287	
999	No answer	64	
Sysmiss		3'281	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

24 f11400main7 Party would have voted for, non-voters, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=759, Invalid=3632

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	184	24.2%
2	FDP	116	15.3%
3	BDP	42	5.5%
4	CVP	64	8.4%
5	GLP	45	5.9%
6	SP	163	21.5%
7	GPS	54	7.1%
35	Others	91	12.0%
Sysmiss		3'632	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

25 f11402 Party (would have) voted for, voters and non-voters**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3703, Invalid=688

Frequency table not shown (55 Modalities)

26 f11402bfs Party (would have) voted for, voters and non-voters, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3757, Invalid=634

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	624	16.6%
2	CVP/PDC	489	13.0%
3	SP/PS	877	23.3%
4	SVP/UDC	742	19.7%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	43	1.1%
8	CSP/PCS	5	0.1%
9	PdA/PdT	11	0.3%
12	Other left parties	22	0.6%
13	GPS/PES	282	7.5%
15	SD/DS	2	0.1%
16	EDU/UDF	25	0.7%
17	FPS/PSL	1	0.0%
18	Lega	49	1.3%
19	BDP	177	4.7%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	205	5.5%
21	MCG	21	0.6%
30	Left parties	22	0.6%
31	Right parties	22	0.6%
32	Centre parties	13	0.3%
35	Others	125	3.3%
36	Other answer	1	
996	Not entitled to vote	0	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	415	
999	No answer	188	
Sysmiss		30	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

27 f11402main7 Party (would have) voted for, voters and non-voters, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3757, Invalid=634

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	742	19.7%
2	FDP	624	16.6%
3	BDP	177	4.7%
4	CVP	489	13.0%
5	GLP	205	5.5%
6	SP	877	23.3%
7	GPS	282	7.5%
35	Others	361	9.6%
Sysmiss		634	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

28 f11600 How and when R voted**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */9**Statistics** Valid=3243, Invalid=1148**Literal question** Vous êtes-vous rendus aux urnes durant le week-end électoral, avez-vous voté par vote anticipé ou par correspondance?**Interviewer instructions** UNE SEULE RÉPONSE

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	During the election weekend	540	16.7%
2	Earlier at booth	230	7.1%
3	Earlier, postal voting	2'473	76.3%
9	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		1'140	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

29 f11605 Moment when R sent his ballot away**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */9**Statistics** Valid=2469, Invalid=1922**Literal question** Quand avez-vous renvoyé votre bulletin de vote par correspondance?**Interviewer instructions** LIRE

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Immediately after receiving the voting material	329	13.3%
2	Some weeks before election day	714	28.9%
3	Some days before election day	1'402	56.8%
4	Can't remember	24	1.0%
9	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		1'918	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

30 f11700 Most important reason to vote**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=3221, Invalid=1170**Literal question** Plusieurs raisons expliquent pourquoi les citoyens participent aux élections. Quelle est la raison principale qui vous a incité à aller voter?**Interviewer instructions** NE PAS LIRE!

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Tradition, duty, habit	867	26.9%
2	Political interest	331	10.3%
3	Political influence	1'144	35.5%
4	Policy support	189	5.9%
5	Party/Candidate support	352	10.9%
6	One is expected to	36	1.1%
7	Advantages for R	40	1.2%
8	Other	262	8.1%
98	Don't know	16	
99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		1'140	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

31 f11801 Party voted for, all voters**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2964, Invalid=1427**Literal question** Pouvez-vous me dire pour quel parti vous avez voté pour l'élection au CONSEIL NATIONAL, c'est-à-dire, quel est le parti auquel vous avez accordé le plus de suffrages?**Interviewer instructions** PRENDRE LE 1ER CHOIX*Frequency table not shown (55 Modalities)*

32 f11801bfs Party voted for, all voters, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2998, Invalid=1393

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	508	16.9%
2	CVP/PDC	425	14.2%
3	SP/PS	714	23.8%
4	SVP/UDC	558	18.6%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	37	1.2%
8	CSP/PCS	5	0.2%
9	PdA/PdT	9	0.3%
12	Other left parties	22	0.7%
13	GPS/PES	228	7.6%
15	SD/DS	2	0.1%
16	EDU/UDF	23	0.8%
17	FPS/PSL	1	0.0%
18	Lega	36	1.2%
19	BDP	135	4.5%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	160	5.3%
21	MCG	14	0.5%
30	Left parties	16	0.5%
31	Right parties	13	0.4%
32	Centre parties	6	0.2%
35	Others	86	2.9%
36	Other answer	1	
996	Not entitled to vote	0	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	128	
999	No answer	124	
Sysmiss		1'140	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

33 f11801main7 Party voted for, all voters, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2998, Invalid=1393

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	558	18.6%
2	FDP	508	16.9%
3	BDP	135	4.5%
4	CVP	425	14.2%
5	GLP	160	5.3%
6	SP	714	23.8%
7	GPS	228	7.6%
35	Others	270	9.0%
Sysmiss		1'393	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

34 f11900 Moment when R decided whom to vote for in National Council elections**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */5/9**Statistics** Valid=3002, Invalid=1389**Literal question** A quel moment avez-vous décidé de voter pour ce parti?**Interviewer instructions** LIRE

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Knew it all time	1'675	55.8%
2	Weeks before election	754	25.1%
3	Days before election	425	14.2%
4	Last moment	148	4.9%
5	Can't remember	17	
9	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		1'360	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

35 f12010 Modification of voting list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=2496, Invalid=1895**Literal question** Avez-vous voté une liste "compacte" ou avez-vous modifié la liste?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Unmodified list	1'135	45.5%
2	Modified list	1'361	54.5%
8	Don't know	29	
9	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		1'859	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

36 f12021 Did R give two votes to the same candidate?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1356, Invalid=3035**Literal question** De quelle manière avez-vous modifié la liste. Est-ce que vous avez ...

"Cumulé", c'est-à-dire noté deux fois le même nom?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	908	67.0%
2	No	448	33.0%
8	Don't know	4	
9	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		3'030	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

37 f12022 Did R strike out some candidates?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1351, Invalid=3040**Literal question** De quelle manière avez-vous modifié la liste. Est-ce que vous avez ...

"Biffé", c'est-à-dire tracé des noms de candidats?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	864	64.0%
2	No	487	36.0%
8	Don't know	7	
9	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		3'030	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

38 f12023 Did R give votes to several parties?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1351, Invalid=3040**Literal question** De quelle manière avez-vous modifié la liste. Est-ce que vous avez ...

"Panaché", c'est-à-dire voté pour des candidats ou candidates d'une autre liste que celle que vous m'avez indiqué il y a un instant?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	1'003	74.2%
2	No	348	25.8%
8	Don't know	8	
9	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		3'030	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

39 f12030 Party voted for second most candidates**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=917, Invalid=3474**Literal question** Quel est ce deuxième parti auquel vous avez accordé des suffrages?**Interviewer instructions** NOTEZ LE 2E CHOIX*Frequency table not shown (55 Modalities)***40 f12030bfs** Party voted for second most candidates, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=925, Invalid=3466

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	158	17.1%
2	CVP/PDC	116	12.5%
3	SP/PS	171	18.5%
4	SVP/UDC	89	9.6%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	9	1.0%
8	CSP/PCS	3	0.3%
9	PdA/PdT	9	1.0%
12	Other left parties	13	1.4%
13	GPS/PES	149	16.1%
15	SD/DS	1	0.1%
16	EDU/UDF	7	0.8%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	19	2.1%
19	BDP	42	4.5%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	76	8.2%
21	MCG	7	0.8%
30	Left parties	5	0.5%
31	Right parties	6	0.6%
32	Centre parties	2	0.2%
35	Others	43	4.6%
36	Other answer	0	
996	Not entitled to vote	0	
997	Don't remember	0	
998	Don't know	53	
999	No answer	25	
Sysmiss		3'388	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

41 f12030main7 Party voted for second most candidates, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=925, Invalid=3466

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	89	9.6%
2	FDP	158	17.1%
3	BDP	42	4.5%
4	CVP	116	12.5%
5	GLP	76	8.2%
6	SP	171	18.5%
7	GPS	149	16.1%
35	Others	124	13.4%
Sysmiss		3'466	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

42 f12301 Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (First choice)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 11-991, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2442, Invalid=1949**Literal question** Pouvez-vous me dire pour quel candidat vous avez voté pour l'élection au CONSEIL DES ETATS?**Interviewer instructions** NE PAS LIRE. PRENDRE LE 1ER CHOIX*Frequency table not shown (139 Modalities)***43 f12301p** Party of candidate Council of States, first choice**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2442, Invalid=1949

Value	Label	N	Percentage
10	FDP	545	22.3%
20	CVP	359	14.7%
30	SP	624	25.6%
40	SVP	324	13.3%
70	EVP	9	0.4%
110	BDP	46	1.9%
120	GPS	149	6.1%
130	GLP	153	6.3%
160	EDU	1	0.0%
205	Independent	1	0.0%
350	Other	231	9.5%
992	No second candidate voted for	0	
997	Voted blank	26	
998	Don't know	470	
999	No answer	192	
Sysmiss		1'261	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

44 f12302 Council of States: Whom did R vote for? (Second choice)

Information	Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 11-991, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=1443, Invalid=2948
Literal question	Avez-vous aussi voté pour une deuxième personne au Conseil des Etats? SI OUI : Pouvez-vous me dire de qui il s'agissait?
Interviewer instructions	NE PAS LIRE. PRENDRE LE 1ER CHOIX

Frequency table not shown (139 Modalities)

45 f12302p Party of candidate Council of States, second choice

Information	Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=1443, Invalid=2948

Value	Label	N	Percentage
10	FDP	306	21.2%
20	CVP	189	13.1%
30	SP	240	16.6%
40	SVP	119	8.2%
70	EVP	11	0.8%
110	BDP	45	3.1%
120	GPS	221	15.3%
130	GLP	95	6.6%
160	EDU	7	0.5%
205	Independent	3	0.2%
350	Other	207	14.3%
992	No second candidate voted for	53	
997	Voted blank	13	
998	Don't know	286	
999	No answer	430	
Sysmiss		2'166	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

46 f12311 Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Personality of candidate**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3149, Invalid=1242**Literal question** Merci de me dire si, lors de l'élection des Conseillers aux Etats, les éléments suivants étaient très importants, plutôt importants, plutôt peu important, très peu important.

Personnalité du candidat ou candidate

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very important	1'479	47.0%
2	Rather important	1'278	40.6%
3	Rather unimportant	296	9.4%
4	Very unimportant	96	3.0%
8	Don't know	17	
9	No answer	20	
Sysmiss		1'205	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

47 f12312 Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Political orientation**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3112, Invalid=1279**Literal question** Merci de me dire si, lors de l'élection des Conseillers aux Etats, les éléments suivants étaient très importants, plutôt importants, plutôt peu important, très peu important.

Appartient au bon parti ou au bon camp politique

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very important	1'141	36.7%
2	Rather important	1'230	39.5%
3	Rather unimportant	529	17.0%
4	Very unimportant	212	6.8%
8	Don't know	38	
9	No answer	36	
Sysmiss		1'205	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

48 f12313 Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Endorsement of party R voted for**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3071, Invalid=1320**Literal question** Merci de me dire si, lors de l'élection des Conseillers aux Etats, les éléments suivants étaient très importants, plutôt importants, plutôt peu important, très peu important.

Recommandation du parti pour lequel j'ai voté

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very important	390	12.7%
2	Rather important	895	29.1%
3	Rather unimportant	949	30.9%
4	Very unimportant	837	27.3%
8	Don't know	68	
9	No answer	47	
Sysmiss		1'205	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

49 f12314 Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Gender**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3130, Invalid=1261**Literal question** Merci de me dire si, lors de l'élection des Conseillers aux Etats, les éléments suivants étaient très importants, plutôt importants, plutôt peu important, très peu important.

Sexe

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very important	169	5.4%
2	Rather important	327	10.4%
3	Rather unimportant	781	25.0%
4	Very unimportant	1'853	59.2%
8	Don't know	30	
9	No answer	26	
Sysmiss		1'205	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

50 f12315 Importance of reason for Council of States voting: Age**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3131, Invalid=1260**Literal question** Merci de me dire si, lors de l'élection des Conseillers aux Etats, les éléments suivants étaient très importants, plutôt importants, plutôt peu important, très peu important.

Age

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very important	195	6.2%
2	Rather important	837	26.7%
3	Rather unimportant	940	30.0%
4	Very unimportant	1'159	37.0%
8	Don't know	35	
9	No answer	20	
Sysmiss		1'205	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

51 f12500 R's participation rate in federal votes**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4276, Invalid=115**Literal question** A côté des élections, il y a aussi en Suisse des votations portant sur des sujets précis. Admettons que 10 votations fédérales aient lieu au cours d'une année. A combien d'entre elles prenez-vous normalement part?

Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	0 out of 10	243	5.7%
1		69	1.6%
2		132	3.1%
3		118	2.8%
4		89	2.1%
5		445	10.4%
6		144	3.4%
7		244	5.7%
8		518	12.1%
9		301	7.0%
10	10 out of 10	1'973	46.1%
98	Don't know	104	
99	No answer	11	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

52 f12700 Most important problem in Switzerland**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-97, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=3988, Invalid=403**Literal question** En Suisse, il y a beaucoup de problèmes non résolus. Quel est à votre avis le problème le plus important en ce moment?**Interviewer instructions** PRENDRE LE 1ER CHOIX*Frequency table not shown (77 Modalities)***53 f12700r** Most important problem in Switzerland, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-97, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=3988, Invalid=403

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Agriculture	3	0.1%
2	Economy	621	15.6%
3	Education & culture	47	1.2%
4	Environment & energy	594	14.9%
5	European integration	158	4.0%
6	Finances & taxes	159	4.0%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	5	0.1%
8	Immigration & asylum	735	18.4%
9	International relations & conflicts, foreign policy & army	55	1.4%
10	Labour market	439	11.0%
11	Law & order	153	3.8%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	149	3.7%
13	Public health	233	5.8%
14	Public services & infrastructure	99	2.5%
15	Regions & national cohesion	44	1.1%
16	Social security/welfare state	417	10.5%
17	Other problems	72	1.8%
18	No problem	5	0.1%
97	Several most important problems	0	0.0%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		401	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

54 f12720 Party most competent to solve this problem (1st mention)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2347, Invalid=2044**Literal question** A votre avis, quel est le parti politique le plus qualifié pour résoudre ce problème?**Interviewer instructions** PRENDRE LE 1ER CHOIX*Frequency table not shown (41 Modalities)*

55 f12720bfs Party most competent to solve this problem (1st mention), reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2573, Invalid=1818

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	305	11.9%
2	CVP/PDC	166	6.5%
3	SP/PS	567	22.0%
4	SVP/UDC	591	23.0%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	13	0.5%
8	CSP/PCS	0	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	5	0.2%
12	Other left parties	5	0.2%
13	GPS/PES	164	6.4%
15	SD/DS	1	0.0%
16	EDU/UDF	8	0.3%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	52	2.0%
19	BDP	83	3.2%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	165	6.4%
21	MCG	23	0.9%
30	Left parties	84	3.3%
31	Right parties	68	2.6%
32	Centre parties	41	1.6%
33	Both green parties	2	0.1%
35	Others	230	8.9%
36	Other answer	4	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	224	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	657	
999	No answer	532	
Sysmiss		401	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

56 f12720main7 Party most competent to solve this problem (most important problem), seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2573, Invalid=1818

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	591	23.0%
2	FDP	305	11.9%
3	BDP	83	3.2%
4	CVP	166	6.5%
5	GLP	165	6.4%
6	SP	567	22.0%
7	GPS	164	6.4%
35	Others	532	20.7%
Sysmiss		1'818	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

57 f12730 Most important problem in Switzerland 2nd mention**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-97, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=3575, Invalid=816**Literal question** Et quel est le deuxième problème le plus important en Suisse?**Interviewer instructions** PRENDRE LE 1ER CHOIX, DOIT ETRE DIFFERENT DU PREMIER

Frequency table not shown (77 Modalities)

58 f12730r Most important problem in Switzerland 2nd mention, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-97, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=3575, Invalid=816**Literal question** Et quel est le deuxième problème le plus important en Suisse?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Agriculture	10	0.3%
2	Economy	478	13.4%
3	Education & culture	65	1.8%
4	Environment & energy	512	14.3%
5	European integration	131	3.7%
6	Finances & taxes	156	4.4%
7	Gender issues & discrimination	11	0.3%
8	Immigration & asylum	595	16.6%
9	International relations & conflicts, foreign policy & army	71	2.0%
10	Labour market	402	11.2%
11	Law & order	167	4.7%
12	Political system, parties & politicians	69	1.9%
13	Public health	200	5.6%
14	Public services & infrastructure	125	3.5%
15	Regions & national cohesion	32	0.9%
16	Social security/welfare state	471	13.2%
17	Other problems	80	2.2%
18	No problem	0	0.0%
97	Several most important problems	0	0.0%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		814	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

59 f12740 Party most competent to solve this problem (2nd mention)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2166, Invalid=2225**Literal question** A votre avis, quel est le parti politique le plus qualifié pour résoudre CE problème?**Interviewer instructions** PRENDRE LE 1ER CHOIX

Frequency table not shown (41 Modalities)

60 f12740bfs Party most competent to solve this problem (2nd mention), reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2387, Invalid=2004

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	317	13.3%
2	CVP/PDC	176	7.4%
3	SP/PS	574	24.0%
4	SVP/UDC	463	19.4%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	8	0.3%
8	CSP/PCS	2	0.1%
9	PdA/PdT	3	0.1%
12	Other left parties	5	0.2%
13	GPS/PES	173	7.2%
15	SD/DS	2	0.1%
16	EDU/UDF	9	0.4%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	41	1.7%
19	BDP	58	2.4%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	147	6.2%
21	MCG	13	0.5%
30	Left parties	84	3.5%
31	Right parties	44	1.8%
32	Centre parties	38	1.6%
33	Both green parties	4	0.2%
35	Others	226	9.5%
36	Other answer	3	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	218	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	596	
999	No answer	373	
Sysmiss		814	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

61 f12740main7 Party most competent to solve this problem (2nd most important problem), seven major parties

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: *

Statistics Valid=2387, Invalid=2004

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	463	19.4%
2	FDP	317	13.3%
3	BDP	58	2.4%
4	CVP	176	7.4%
5	GLP	147	6.2%
6	SP	574	24.0%
7	GPS	173	7.2%
35	Others	479	20.1%
Sysmiss		2'004	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

62 f12900 Party father voted for

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: *

Statistics Valid=2300, Invalid=2091

Literal question Savez-vous pour quel parti votre PERE votait lorsque vous aviez environs 14 ans?

Interviewer instructions PRENDRE LE 1ER CHOIX

Frequency table not shown (34 Modalities)

63 f12900bfs Party father voted for, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2321, Invalid=2070

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	612	26.4%
2	CVP/PDC	676	29.1%
3	SP/PS	433	18.7%
4	SVP/UDC	354	15.3%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
6	LdU	24	1.0%
7	EVP/PEP	15	0.6%
8	CSP/PCS	4	0.2%
9	PdA/PdT	24	1.0%
12	Other left parties	1	0.0%
13	GPS/PES	19	0.8%
15	SD/DS	3	0.1%
16	EDU/UDF	6	0.3%
17	FPS/PSL	2	0.1%
18	Lega	3	0.1%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	3	0.1%
21	MCG	1	0.0%
30	Left parties	40	1.7%
31	Right parties	46	2.0%
32	Centre parties	16	0.7%
35	Others	39	1.7%
36	Other answer	2	
501	Father did not have the right to vote	579	
998	Don't know	1'431	
999	No answer	58	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

64 f12900main7 Party father voted for, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2321, Invalid=2070

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	354	15.3%
2	FDP	612	26.4%
3	BDP	0	0.0%
4	CVP	676	29.1%
5	GLP	3	0.1%
6	SP	433	18.7%
7	GPS	19	0.8%
35	Others	224	9.7%
Sysmiss		2'070	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

65 f13300 Discussion about elections with family, friends, colleagues**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0**Literal question** Durant la campagne électorale, vous est-il arrivé de discuter des élections fédérales du 23 octobre avec quelqu'un de votre entourage, c'est-à-dire avec la famille, des amis ou des collègues?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	3'450	78.6%
2	No	941	21.4%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

66 f13305 How often did R discuss about elections with family, friends, colleagues?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3441, Invalid=950**Literal question** Et à quelle fréquence l'avez-vous fait. Pourriez-vous dire si c'était souvent, parfois ou rarement?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Frequently	1'268	36.8%
2	Sometimes	1'487	43.2%
3	Rarely	686	19.9%
8	Don't know	7	
9	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		941	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

67 f13305r How often did R discuss about elections with family, friends, colleagues?, recoded**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4382, Invalid=9**Remarks** f13305r combines the information from f13300 and f13305, the response "no" in the dichotomic variable f13300 has been coded as "never" in f13305r.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Frequently	1'268	28.9%
2	Sometimes	1'487	33.9%
3	Rarely	686	15.7%
4	Never	941	21.5%
8	Don't know	7	
9	No answer	2	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

68 f13321 How many days/week R watches news on TV**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-7, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4379, Invalid=12**Literal question** Combien de jours par semaine regardez-vous habituellement les informations à la télévision?**Interviewer instructions** Aucun jour = 0 / Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99
LIRE

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Never	551	12.6%
1	1 day	300	6.9%
2	2 days	320	7.3%
3	3 days	385	8.8%
4	4 days	357	8.2%
5	5 days	486	11.1%
6	6 days	198	4.5%
7	7 days	1'782	40.7%
98	Don't know	12	
99	No answer	0	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

69 f13322 How many days/week R reads the political part of the newspaper**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-7, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4354, Invalid=37**Literal question** Combien de jours par semaine lisez-vous habituellement la partie politique d'un journal?**Interviewer instructions** Aucun jour = 0 / Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99
LIRE

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Never	846	19.4%
1	1 day	490	11.3%
2	2 days	432	9.9%
3	3 days	418	9.6%
4	4 days	267	6.1%
5	5 days	313	7.2%
6	6 days	328	7.5%
7	7 days	1'260	28.9%
98	Don't know	25	
99	No answer	12	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

70 f13323 How many days/week R listens to news on the radio**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-7, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4364, Invalid=27**Literal question** Combien de jours par semaine écoutez-vous habituellement les informations à la radio?**Interviewer instructions** Aucun jour = 0 / Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99
LIRE

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Never	789	18.1%
1	1 day	194	4.4%
2	2 days	267	6.1%
3	3 days	226	5.2%
4	4 days	184	4.2%
5	5 days	432	9.9%
6	6 days	161	3.7%
7	7 days	2'111	48.4%
98	Don't know	21	
99	No answer	6	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

71 f13661 Use of parties' leaflets in post box**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4380, Invalid=11**Literal question** Dans les semaines précédant les élections, avez-vous utilisé les sources suivantes pour vous informer sur les partis et les candidats?

- les publicités des partis distribuées dans la boîte à lettres

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	1'807	41.3%
2	No	2'573	58.7%
8	Don't know	7	
9	No answer	4	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

72 f13662 Use of parties' advertisements in the streets**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4382, Invalid=9**Literal question** Dans les semaines précédant les élections, avez-vous utilisé les sources suivantes pour vous informer sur les partis et les candidats?

- les affiches dans la rue

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	1'996	45.5%
2	No	2'386	54.5%
8	Don't know	6	
9	No answer	3	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

73 f13663 Use of web pages about the elections**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4386, Invalid=5**Literal question** Dans les semaines précédant les élections, avez-vous utilisé les sources suivantes pour vous informer sur les partis et les candidats?

- les sites internet concernant les élections

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	944	21.5%
2	No	3'442	78.5%
8	Don't know	3	
9	No answer	2	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

74 f13664 Use of parties' stands in the streets**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4385, Invalid=6**Literal question** Dans les semaines précédant les élections, avez-vous utilisé les sources suivantes pour vous informer sur les partis et les candidats?

- les stands des partis dans la rue

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	556	12.7%
2	No	3'829	87.3%
8	Don't know	4	
9	No answer	2	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

75 f13665 Use of parties' meetings**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4378, Invalid=13**Literal question** Dans les semaines précédant les élections, avez-vous utilisé les sources suivantes pour vous informer sur les partis et les candidats?

- les meetings politiques des partis

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	409	9.3%
2	No	3'969	90.7%
8	Don't know	8	
9	No answer	5	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

76 f13666 Use of parties' advertisements in the papers**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4381, Invalid=10**Literal question** Dans les semaines précédant les élections, avez-vous utilisé les sources suivantes pour vous informer sur les partis et les candidats?

- les annonces des partis et des candidats dans les journaux

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	2'217	50.6%
2	No	2'164	49.4%
8	Don't know	7	
9	No answer	3	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

77 f13667 Use of the online election aid smartvote**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4379, Invalid=12**Literal question** Dans les semaines précédant les élections, avez-vous utilisé les sources suivantes pour vous informer sur les partis et les candidats?

- l'aide électorale online "smartvote"

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	496	11.3%
2	No	3'883	88.7%
8	Don't know	10	
9	No answer	2	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

78 f13700 Satisfaction with democratic process**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4362, Invalid=29**Literal question** Globalement, êtes-vous "très satisfait", "assez satisfait", "pas très satisfait" ou "pas du tout satisfait" de la manière dont la démocratie fonctionne dans notre pays?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very satisfied	743	17.0%
2	Fairly satisfied	2'928	67.1%
3	Not very satisfied	598	13.7%
4	Not at all satisfied	93	2.1%
8	Don't know	21	
9	No answer	8	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

79 f14000 Does R feel close to a party?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4356, Invalid=35**Remarks** All "Don't know" and "No answer" answers from f14000 and f14050 coded together.**Literal question** De manière générale, vous sentez-vous proche d'un parti politique?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	1'740	39.9%
2	No	2'616	60.1%
8	Don't know	25	
9	No answer	10	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

80 f14000r Party closeness recoded**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4335, Invalid=56

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Close to a party	1'740	40.1%
2	Somewhat closer to a party	1'462	33.7%
3	Not close to any party	1'133	26.1%
8	Don't know	38	
9	No answer	18	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

81 f14000a Party attachment; f14010-f14050; all parties (2nd choice if closer)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3134, Invalid=1257*Frequency table not shown (39 Modalities)***82 f14000abfs** Party attachment; f14010-f14050; all parties, reduced list (2nd choice if closer)**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3135, Invalid=1256, Mean=6.3, StdDev=6.8

83 f14000amain7 Party attachment; f14010-f14050; all parties, seven major parties (2nd choice if closer)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3135, Invalid=1256

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	604	19.3%
2	FDP	500	15.9%
3	BDP	96	3.1%
4	CVP	385	12.3%
5	GLP	201	6.4%
6	SP	845	27.0%
7	GPS	283	9.0%
35	Others	221	7.0%
Sysmiss		1'256	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

84 f14050 Does R feel somewhat closer to a party?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=2595, Invalid=1796**Remarks** All "Don't know" and "No answer" answers from f14000 and f14050 coded together.**Literal question** Vous sentez-vous un peu plus proche d'un des partis politiques que des autres?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	1'462	56.3%
2	No	1'133	43.7%
8	Don't know	13	
9	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		1'775	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

85 f14010 Party R feels close to, first mentioned**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3135, Invalid=1256**Literal question** De quel parti s'agit-il?

Interviewer instructions PRENDRE LE 1ER CHOIX ET ENTRER SOUS 1ERE MENTION"
NE PAS DEMANDER - SEULEMENT SI LA PERSONNE DONNE SPONTANEMENT UN 2EME CHOIX, NOTEZ-LE SOUS 2EME MENTION, SI NON ENTRER "PAS DE REPONSE"

Frequency table not shown (39 Modalities)

86 f14010bfs Party R feels close to, first mentioned, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3135, Invalid=1256

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	517	16.5%
2	CVP/PDC	383	12.2%
3	SP/PS	877	28.0%
4	SVP/UDC	608	19.4%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	41	1.3%
8	CSP/PCS	3	0.1%
9	PdA/PdT	8	0.3%
12	Other left parties	21	0.7%
13	GPS/PES	269	8.6%
15	SD/DS	2	0.1%
16	EDU/UDF	26	0.8%
18	Lega	43	1.4%
19	BDP	96	3.1%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	172	5.5%
21	MCG	16	0.5%
30	Left parties	14	0.4%
31	Right parties	15	0.5%
32	Centre parties	16	0.5%
35	Others	8	0.3%
36	Other answer	2	
998	Don't know	15	
999	No answer	50	
Sysmiss		1'189	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

87 f14010main7 Party R feels close to, first mentioned, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3135, Invalid=1256

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	608	19.4%
2	FDP	517	16.5%
3	BDP	96	3.1%
4	CVP	383	12.2%
5	GLP	172	5.5%
6	SP	877	28.0%
7	GPS	269	8.6%
35	Others	213	6.8%
Sysmiss		1'256	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

88 f14020 Party R feels close to, second mentioned**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1011, Invalid=3380**Literal question** De quel parti s'agit-il?**Interviewer instructions** PRENDRE LE 1ER CHOIX ET ENTRER SOUS 1ERE MENTION
NE PAS DEMANDER - SEULEMENT SI LA PERSONNE DONNE SPONTANEMENT UN 2EME CHOIX, NOTEZ-LE SOUS 2EME MENTION, SI NON ENTRER "PAS DE REPONSE"*Frequency table not shown (39 Modalities)***89 f14020bfs** Party R feels close to, second mentioned, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1013, Invalid=3378

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	131	12.9%
2	CVP/PDC	117	11.5%
3	SP/PS	181	17.9%
4	SVP/UDC	105	10.4%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	11	1.1%
8	CSP/PCS	1	0.1%
9	PdA/PdT	8	0.8%
12	Other left parties	7	0.7%
13	GPS/PES	205	20.2%
15	SD/DS	1	0.1%
16	EDU/UDF	4	0.4%
18	Lega	22	2.2%
19	BDP	58	5.7%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	148	14.6%
21	MCG	5	0.5%
30	Left parties	1	0.1%
31	Right parties	1	0.1%
32	Centre parties	1	0.1%
35	Others	6	0.6%
36	Other answer	1	
998	Don't know	253	
999	No answer	1'774	
Sysmiss		1'350	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

90 f14020main7 Party R feels close to, second mentioned, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1013, Invalid=3378

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	105	10.4%
2	FDP	131	12.9%
3	BDP	58	5.7%
4	CVP	117	11.5%
5	GLP	148	14.6%
6	SP	181	17.9%
7	GPS	205	20.2%
35	Others	68	6.7%
Sysmiss		3'378	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

91 f14040 Party R feels closest to**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 10-350, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1021, Invalid=3370**Literal question** De quel parti vous sentez-vous le plus proche?

Frequency table not shown (39 Modalities)

92 f14040bfs Party R feels closest to, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1022, Invalid=3369

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	137	13.4%
2	CVP/PDC	121	11.8%
3	SP/PS	273	26.7%
4	SVP/UDC	153	15.0%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	15	1.5%
8	CSP/PCS	1	0.1%
9	PdA/PdT	2	0.2%
12	Other left parties	5	0.5%
13	GPS/PES	112	11.0%
15	SD/DS	1	0.1%
16	EDU/UDF	11	1.1%
18	Lega	22	2.2%
19	BDP	43	4.2%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	109	10.7%
21	MCG	6	0.6%
30	Left parties	3	0.3%
31	Right parties	3	0.3%
32	Centre parties	1	0.1%
35	Others	4	0.4%
36	Other answer	1	
998	Don't know	0	
999	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		3'368	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

93 f14040main7 Party R feels closest, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1022, Invalid=3369

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	153	15.0%
2	FDP	137	13.4%
3	BDP	43	4.2%
4	CVP	121	11.8%
5	GLP	109	10.7%
6	SP	273	26.7%
7	GPS	112	11.0%
35	Others	74	7.2%
Sysmiss		3'369	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

94 f14070 How close does R feel to this party?

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=3104, Invalid=1287

Literal question Vous sentez-vous "très proche" de ce parti (f14040), "assez proche", ou "peu proche"?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very close	427	13.8%
2	Rather close	1'960	63.1%
3	Not very close	717	23.1%
8	Don't know	17	
9	No answer	16	
Sysmiss		1'254	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

95 f14400a Probability to vote: CVP

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=4215, Invalid=176

Literal question Je vais vous lire maintenant le nom de quelques partis. Veuillez me dire quelle est la probabilité que vous choisissiez un jour ce parti, si 0 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très petite, et 10 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très grande.

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Very low probability	925	21.9%
1		120	2.8%
2		304	7.2%
3		321	7.6%
4		383	9.1%
5		868	20.6%
6		321	7.6%
7		314	7.4%
8		316	7.5%
9		71	1.7%
10	Very high probability	272	6.5%
98	Don't know	119	
99	No answer	57	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

96 f14400b Probability to vote: FDP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4198, Invalid=193**Literal question** Je vais vous lire maintenant le nom de quelques partis. Veuillez me dire quelle est la probabilité que vous choisissiez un jour ce parti, si 0 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très petite, et 10 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très grande.**Interviewer instructions** Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Very low probability	730	17.4%
1		157	3.7%
2		344	8.2%
3		402	9.6%
4		394	9.4%
5		748	17.8%
6		330	7.9%
7		287	6.8%
8		382	9.1%
9		125	3.0%
10	Very high probability	299	7.1%
98	Don't know	138	
99	No answer	55	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

97 f14400c Probability to vote: SP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4234, Invalid=157**Literal question** Je vais vous lire maintenant le nom de quelques partis. Veuillez me dire quelle est la probabilité que vous choisissiez un jour ce parti, si 0 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très petite, et 10 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très grande.**Interviewer instructions** Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Very low probability	845	20.0%
1		162	3.8%
2		277	6.5%
3		325	7.7%
4		324	7.7%
5		501	11.8%
6		271	6.4%
7		315	7.4%
8		461	10.9%
9		243	5.7%
10	Very high probability	510	12.0%
98	Don't know	110	
99	No answer	47	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

98 f14400d Probability to vote: SVP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4250, Invalid=141**Literal question** Je vais vous lire maintenant le nom de quelques partis. Veuillez me dire quelle est la probabilité que vous choisissiez un jour ce parti, si 0 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très petite, et 10 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très grande.**Interviewer instructions** Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1'765	41.5%
1		238	5.6%
2		238	5.6%
3		222	5.2%
4		209	4.9%
5		346	8.1%
6		192	4.5%
7		179	4.2%
8		302	7.1%
9		173	4.1%
10	Very high probability	386	9.1%
98	Don't know	96	
99	No answer	45	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

99 f14400e Probability to vote: GPS**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4255, Invalid=136**Literal question** Je vais vous lire maintenant le nom de quelques partis. Veuillez me dire quelle est la probabilité que vous choisissiez un jour ce parti, si 0 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très petite, et 10 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très grande.**Interviewer instructions** Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Very low probability	786	18.5%
1		192	4.5%
2		331	7.8%
3		361	8.5%
4		394	9.3%
5		623	14.6%
6		371	8.7%
7		345	8.1%
8		415	9.8%
9		180	4.2%
10	Very high probability	257	6.0%
98	Don't know	91	
99	No answer	45	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

100 f14400f Probability to vote: GLP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4151, Invalid=240**Remarks** In the French questionnaire, f14400f and f14400g were inversed. This has been corrected in the data.**Literal question** Je vais vous lire maintenant le nom de quelques partis. Veuillez me dire quelle est la probabilité que vous choisissiez un jour ce parti, si 0 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très petite, et 10 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très grande.**Interviewer instructions** Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Very low probability	714	17.2%
1		146	3.5%
2		269	6.5%
3		323	7.8%
4		420	10.1%
5		720	17.3%
6		445	10.7%
7		391	9.4%
8		404	9.7%
9		152	3.7%
10	Very high probability	167	4.0%
98	Don't know	134	
99	No answer	36	
Sysmiss		70	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

101 f14400g Probability to vote: BDP

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=4004, Invalid=387

Remarks In the French questionnaire, f14400f and f14400g were inversed. This has been corrected in the data.

Literal question Je vais vous lire maintenant le nom de quelques partis. Veuillez me dire quelle est la probabilité que vous choisissiez un jour ce parti, si 0 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très petite, et 10 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très grande.

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Very low probability	1'037	25.9%
1		178	4.4%
2		339	8.5%
3		396	9.9%
4		424	10.6%
5		602	15.0%
6		308	7.7%
7		277	6.9%
8		264	6.6%
9		86	2.1%
10	Very high probability	93	2.3%
98	Don't know	191	
99	No answer	47	
Sysmiss		149	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

102 f14400h Probability to vote: MCG (GE only)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -1-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4363, Invalid=28**Literal question** Je vais vous lire maintenant le nom de quelques partis. Veuillez me dire quelle est la probabilité que vous choisissiez un jour ce parti, si 0 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très petite, et 10 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très grande.**Interviewer instructions** Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
-1		3'812	87.4%
0	Very low probability	283	6.5%
1		29	0.7%
2		39	0.9%
3		39	0.9%
4		16	0.4%
5		37	0.8%
6		30	0.7%
7		14	0.3%
8		26	0.6%
9		15	0.3%
10	Very high probability	23	0.5%
98	Don't know	16	
99	No answer	12	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

103 f14400i Probability to vote: LEGA (TI only)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -1-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4375, Invalid=16**Literal question** Je vais vous lire maintenant le nom de quelques partis. Veuillez me dire quelle est la probabilité que vous choisissiez un jour ce parti, si 0 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très petite, et 10 signifie que la probabilité de voter pour ce parti est très grande.**Interviewer instructions** Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
-1		3'804	86.9%
0	Very low probability	243	5.6%
1		27	0.6%
2		25	0.6%
3		26	0.6%
4		22	0.5%
5		48	1.1%
6		27	0.6%
7		36	0.8%
8		46	1.1%
9		22	0.5%
10	Very high probability	49	1.1%
98	Don't know	11	
99	No answer	5	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

104 f14501 Sympathy for political leader - Doris Leuthard

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=4211, Invalid=180

Literal question Et pouvez-vous me dire quelle sympathie vous avez pour les personnalités politiques suivantes sur une échelle allant de 0 à 10, où 0 signifie "aucune sympathie" et 10 "une très forte sympathie"?

- Doris Leuthard

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No sympathy at all	112	2.7%
1		18	0.4%
2		77	1.8%
3		136	3.2%
4		216	5.1%
5		736	17.5%
6		516	12.3%
7		650	15.4%
8		957	22.7%
9		282	6.7%
10	A lot of sympathy	511	12.1%
98	Don't know	146	
99	No answer	34	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

105 f14502 Sympathy for political leader - Simonetta Sommaruga

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=4094, Invalid=297

Literal question Et pouvez-vous me dire quelle sympathie vous avez pour les personnalités politiques suivantes sur une échelle allant de 0 à 10, où 0 signifie "aucune sympathie" et 10 "une très forte sympathie"?

- Simonetta Sommaruga

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No sympathy at all	149	3.6%
1		35	0.9%
2		130	3.2%
3		184	4.5%
4		273	6.7%
5		644	15.7%
6		501	12.2%
7		596	14.6%
8		865	21.1%
9		316	7.7%
10	A lot of sympathy	401	9.8%
98	Don't know	245	
99	No answer	52	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

106 f14503 Sympathy for political leader - Didier Burkhalter

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=3955, Invalid=436

Literal question Et pouvez-vous me dire quelle sympathie vous avez pour les personnalités politiques suivantes sur une échelle allant de 0 à 10, où 0 signifie "aucune sympathie" et 10 "une très forte sympathie"?

- Didier Burkhalter

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No sympathy at all	156	3.9%
1		39	1.0%
2		166	4.2%
3		278	7.0%
4		461	11.7%
5		908	23.0%
6		673	17.0%
7		518	13.1%
8		500	12.6%
9		122	3.1%
10	A lot of sympathy	134	3.4%
98	Don't know	383	
99	No answer	53	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

107 f14504 Sympathy for political leader - Christoph Blocher**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4297, Invalid=94**Literal question** Et pouvez-vous me dire quelle sympathie vous avez pour les personnalités politiques suivantes sur une échelle allant de 0 à 10, où 0 signifie "aucune sympathie" et 10 "une très forte sympathie"?

- Christoph Blocher

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No sympathy at all	1'668	38.8%
1		299	7.0%
2		370	8.6%
3		278	6.5%
4		304	7.1%
5		391	9.1%
6		225	5.2%
7		206	4.8%
8		254	5.9%
9		124	2.9%
10	A lot of sympathy	178	4.1%
98	Don't know	71	
99	No answer	23	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

108 f14704r Policy positions (welfare state): Support for unemployed, recoded**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4334, Invalid=57**Remarks** The variables f14704r-f14708r combine the information from f1470X and f1470Xa.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Financial support much more important	143	3.3%
2	Financial support somewhat more important	189	4.4%
3	Same importance	744	17.2%
4	Somewhat more important to support finding a new job	1'068	24.6%
5	Much more important to support finding a new job	2'190	50.5%
8	Don't know	36	
9	No answer	21	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

109 f14705r Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. help youth and unemployed find jobs, recoded**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4338, Invalid=53**Remarks** The variables f14704r-f14708r combine the information from f1470X and f1470Xa.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more important to guarantee pensions	316	7.3%
2	Somewhat more important to guarantee pensions	394	9.1%
3	Same importance	1'298	29.9%
4	Somewhat more important to help youth and unemployed	1'124	25.9%
5	Much more important to help youth and unemployed	1'206	27.8%
8	Don't know	33	
9	No answer	20	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

110 f14706r Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. family/children, recoded**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4319, Invalid=72**Remarks** The variables f14704r-f14708r combine the information from f1470X and f1470Xa.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more important to guarantee pensions	355	8.2%
2	Somewhat more important to guarantee pensions	556	12.9%
3	Same importance	1'193	27.6%
4	Somewhat more important to support families and children	1'243	28.8%
5	Much more important to support families and children	972	22.5%
8	Don't know	50	
9	No answer	22	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

111 f14707r Policy positions (welfare state): Pension system, recoded**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4120, Invalid=271**Remarks** The variables f14704r-f14708r combine the information from f1470X and f1470Xa.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more important to strengthen AHV	1'147	27.8%
2	Somewhat more important to strengthen AHV	1'231	29.9%
3	Same importance	691	16.8%
4	Somewhat more important to strengthen pensions funds	682	16.6%
5	Much more important to strengthen pensions funds	369	9.0%
8	Don't know	236	
9	No answer	35	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

112 f14708r Policy positions (welfare state): Family politics, recoded**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4268, Invalid=123**Remarks** The variables f14704r-f14708r combine the information from f1470X and f1470Xa.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more important to build nursery schools	540	12.7%
2	Somewhat more important to build nursery schools	649	15.2%
3	Same importance	477	11.2%
4	Somewhat more important to fiscally relieve families	1'094	25.6%
5	Much more important to fiscally relieve families	1'508	35.3%
8	Don't know	88	
9	No answer	35	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

113 f14704 Policy positions (welfare state): Support for unemployed**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4357, Invalid=34**Remarks** The variables f14704r-f14708r combine the information from f1470X and f1470Xa.**Literal question** En suisse, l'Etat soutient les chômeurs de deux façons. D'une part, il compense la perte de revenus par l'assurance-chômage et d'autre part, il aide les chômeurs à trouver un nouvel emploi. Qu'est-ce qui vous semble le plus important?**Interviewer instructions** Si nécessaire, lire les réponses 1 et 2. Ne pas offrir la réponse 3

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Financial support more important	334	7.7%
2	Support to find a new job more important	3'279	75.3%
3	Same importance	744	17.1%
8	Don't know	20	
9	No answer	14	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

114 f14704a Strength of opinion**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3590, Invalid=801**Literal question** Cela vous semble beaucoup plus important ou un peu plus important?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more important	2'333	65.0%
2	Somewhat more important	1'257	35.0%
8	Don't know	16	
9	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		778	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

115 f14705 Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. help youth and unemployed find jobs**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4354, Invalid=37**Literal question** Les gens ont différentes opinions sur les réformes les plus urgentes à engager dans le domaine social. Certains pensent que l'Etat devrait prioritairement assurer les retraites, d'autres trouvent qu'il devrait surtout aider les jeunes et les chômeurs à rentrer dans le monde du travail. Qu'est-ce qui vous semble le plus important?**Interviewer instructions** Si nécessaire, lire les réponses 1 et 2. Ne pas offrir la réponse 3

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	More important to guarantee pensions	714	16.4%
2	More important to help young professionals and unemployed	2'342	53.8%
3	Same importance	1'298	29.8%
8	Don't know	25	
9	No answer	12	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

116 f14705a Strength of opinion**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3040, Invalid=1351**Literal question** Cela vous semble beaucoup plus important ou un peu plus important?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more important	1'522	50.1%
2	Somewhat more important	1'518	49.9%
8	Don't know	8	
9	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		1'335	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

117 f14706 Policy positions (welfare state): Pensions vs. family/children**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4331, Invalid=60**Literal question** Par ailleurs, certains pensent que l'Etat devrait prioritairement assurer les retraites tandis que d'autres trouvent qu'il devrait renforcer son soutien aux familles et aux enfants. Qu'est-ce qui vous semble le plus important?**Interviewer instructions** Si nécessaire, lire les réponses 1 et 2. Ne pas offrir la réponse 3

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	More important to guarantee pensions	914	21.1%
2	More important to support families and children	2'224	51.4%
3	Same importance	1'193	27.5%
8	Don't know	39	
9	No answer	21	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

118 f14706a Strength of opinion**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3126, Invalid=1265**Literal question** Cela vous semble beaucoup plus important ou un peu plus important?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more important	1'327	42.5%
2	Somewhat more important	1'799	57.5%
8	Don't know	11	
9	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		1'253	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

119 f14707 Policy positions (welfare state): Pension system**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4146, Invalid=245**Literal question** Le système de pension suisse repose surtout sur deux piliers: l'AVS et les caisses de pension. L'AVS assure une pension de base solidaire pour tous, alors que les caisses de pension permettent de compléter la pension de base en fonction des épargnes individuelles. Certaines personnes souhaiteraient que dans le futur les retraites reposent plus fortement sur l'AVS, alors que d'autres plus fortement sur les caisses de pension. Quel pilier trouvez-vous plus important?**Interviewer instructions** Si nécessaire, lire les réponses 1 et 2. Ne pas offrir la réponse 3

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	More important to strengthen AHV	2'396	57.8%
2	More important to strengthen pension fund	1'059	25.5%
3	Same importance	691	16.7%
8	Don't know	217	
9	No answer	28	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

120 f14707a Strength of opinion**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3429, Invalid=962**Literal question** Cela vous semble beaucoup plus important ou un peu plus important?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more important	1'516	44.2%
2	Somewhat more important	1'913	55.8%
8	Don't know	19	
9	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		936	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

121 f14708 Policy positions (welfare state): Family politics**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4281, Invalid=110**Literal question** A propos de la politique familiale, il existe actuellement des conceptions très différentes. Certains veulent surtout développer et subventionner des crèches, d'autres souhaitent réduire la charge fiscale des familles. Qu'est-ce qui vous semble le plus important?**Interviewer instructions** Si nécessaire, lire les réponses 1 et 2. Ne pas offrir la réponse 3

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	More important to build nursery schools	1'191	27.8%
2	More important to fiscally relieve families	2'613	61.0%
3	Same importance	477	11.1%
8	Don't know	80	
9	No answer	30	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

122 f14708a Strength of opinion**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3791, Invalid=600**Literal question** Cela vous semble beaucoup plus important ou un peu plus important?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more important	2'048	54.0%
2	Somewhat more important	1'743	46.0%
8	Don't know	8	
9	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		587	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

123 f14801 Immigration proposition: Too many migrants from former Yugoslavia and Albania**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4284, Invalid=107**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- Il y a trop d'immigrants d'Ex-Yougoslavie et d'Albanie en Suisse

Interviewer instructions Lire les catégories de réponse la première fois

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	1'052	24.6%
2	Rather agree	1'301	30.4%
3	Neither nor	571	13.3%
4	Rather disagree	855	20.0%
5	Totally disagree	505	11.8%
8	Don't know	67	
9	No answer	40	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

124 f14802 Immigration proposition: Too many migrants from Germany/France/Italy**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4282, Invalid=109**Remarks** The country of origin of migrants was filtered by the language of the questionnaire, i.e. for German speaking respondents, the proposition "Too many migrants from Germany" was used.**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- Il y a trop d'immigrants de France en Suisse

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	637	14.9%
2	Rather agree	1'231	28.7%
3	Neither nor	508	11.9%
4	Rather disagree	1'143	26.7%
5	Totally disagree	763	17.8%
8	Don't know	83	
9	No answer	26	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

125 f14806 Immigration proposition: Migrants exacerbate job market situation**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4279, Invalid=112**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- Le nombre croissant d'immigrants aggrave la situation sur le marché du travail

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	1'101	25.7%
2	Rather agree	1'348	31.5%
3	Neither nor	375	8.8%
4	Rather disagree	928	21.7%
5	Totally disagree	527	12.3%
8	Don't know	80	
9	No answer	32	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

126 f14807 Immigration proposition: Swiss culture vanishing due to immigration**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4332, Invalid=59**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- En raison de l'augmentation de l'immigration, d'importantes caractéristiques de la culture suisse sont sur le point de disparaître

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	880	20.3%
2	Rather agree	1'049	24.2%
3	Neither nor	285	6.6%
4	Rather disagree	1'128	26.0%
5	Totally disagree	990	22.9%
8	Don't know	46	
9	No answer	13	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

127 f14808 Immigration proposition: Violence and vandalism due to young immigrants**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4292, Invalid=99**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- Les jeunes immigrants augmentent la violence et le vandalisme en Suisse

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	1'022	23.8%
2	Rather agree	1'411	32.9%
3	Neither nor	407	9.5%
4	Rather disagree	925	21.6%
5	Totally disagree	527	12.3%
8	Don't know	64	
9	No answer	35	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

128 f14809 Immigration proposition: Public space crowded and environment polluted**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4314, Invalid=77**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- A cause de l'augmentation de l'immigration, les autoroutes, les transports publics et l'espace public en général sont surpeuplés, ce qui nuit à l'environnement

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	905	21.0%
2	Rather agree	1'085	25.2%
3	Neither nor	362	8.4%
4	Rather disagree	1'040	24.1%
5	Totally disagree	922	21.4%
8	Don't know	63	
9	No answer	14	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

129 f14600 State of the economy**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4361, Invalid=30**Literal question** Que pensez-vous de la situation économique actuelle en Suisse? Diriez-vous que la situation économique est très bonne, bonne, ni bonne ni mauvaise, mauvaise ou très mauvaise?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very good	306	7.0%
2	Good	2'325	53.3%
3	Neither good nor bad	1'338	30.7%
4	Bad	349	8.0%
5	Very bad	43	1.0%
8	Don't know	26	
9	No answer	4	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

130 f14610 Evolution of the economy in the last 12 months**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4264, Invalid=127**Literal question** Diriez-vous qu'au cours des 12 derniers mois la situation économique en Suisse s'est améliorée, est restée à peu près la même, ou qu'elle s'est détériorée?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Gotten better	309	7.2%
2	Stayed same	1'821	42.7%
3	Gotten worse	2'134	50.0%
8	Don't know	114	
9	No answer	13	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

131 f14615 Economy how much better**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=308, Invalid=4083**Literal question** Diriez-vous qu'elle s'est améliorée "fortement" ou "un peu"?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much better	36	11.7%
2	Somewhat better	272	88.3%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'082	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

132 f14616 Economy how much worse**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=2106, Invalid=2285**Literal question** Diriez-vous qu'elle s'est détériorée "fortement" ou "un peu"?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Somewhat worse	470	22.3%
2	Much worse	1'636	77.7%
8	Don't know	27	
9	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		2'257	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

133 f15200 Left-right placement: Self**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4278, Invalid=113**Literal question** En politique, on parle parfois de la "gauche" et de la "droite". Vous-même, pouvez-vous me dire où vous vous placeriez sur une échelle allant de 0 à 10, où 0 signifie "gauche" et 10 signifie "droite".**Interviewer instructions** LIRE EXACTEMENT LA QUESTION (AUSSI EN CAS DE REPETITION)

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Left	236	5.5%
1		53	1.2%
2		250	5.8%
3		421	9.8%
4		418	9.8%
5		1'323	30.9%
6		400	9.4%
7		495	11.6%
8		421	9.8%
9		66	1.5%
10	Right	195	4.6%
98	Don't know	86	
99	No answer	27	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

134 f15300a Left-right placement: CVP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=3937, Invalid=454**Literal question** Sur cette même échelle, où 0 signifie "gauche" et 10 signifie "droite", où placeriez-vous les partis suivants:

- PDC (Parti démocrate-chrétien)

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Left	63	1.6%
1		9	0.2%
2		64	1.6%
3		172	4.4%
4		528	13.4%
5		1'569	39.9%
6		745	18.9%
7		437	11.1%
8		214	5.4%
9		31	0.8%
10	Right	105	2.7%
98	Don't know	403	
99	No answer	51	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

135 f15300b Left-right placement: FDP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=3945, Invalid=446**Literal question** Sur cette même échelle, où 0 signifie "gauche" et 10 signifie "droite", où placeriez-vous les partis suivants:

- PLR (Parti libéral-radical)

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Left	42	1.1%
1		10	0.3%
2		58	1.5%
3		129	3.3%
4		294	7.5%
5		719	18.2%
6		760	19.3%
7		910	23.1%
8		716	18.1%
9		152	3.9%
10	Right	155	3.9%
98	Don't know	395	
99	No answer	51	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

136 f15300c Left-right placement: SP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4024, Invalid=367**Literal question** Sur cette même échelle, où 0 signifie "gauche" et 10 signifie "droite", où placeriez-vous les partis suivants:

- PS (Parti socialiste)

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Left	571	14.2%
1		472	11.7%
2		1'010	25.1%
3		837	20.8%
4		440	10.9%
5		321	8.0%
6		121	3.0%
7		103	2.6%
8		83	2.1%
9		30	0.7%
10	Right	36	0.9%
98	Don't know	323	
99	No answer	44	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

137 f15300d Left-right placement: SVP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4049, Invalid=342

Literal question Sur cette même échelle, où 0 signifie "gauche" et 10 signifie "droite", où placeriez-vous les partis suivants:

- UDC (Union démocratique du centre)

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Left	102	2.5%
1		41	1.0%
2		57	1.4%
3		63	1.6%
4		85	2.1%
5		226	5.6%
6		114	2.8%
7		210	5.2%
8		504	12.4%
9		780	19.3%
10	Right	1'867	46.1%
98	Don't know	295	
99	No answer	47	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

138 f15300e Left-right placement: GPS**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=4008, Invalid=383

Literal question Sur cette même échelle, où 0 signifie "gauche" et 10 signifie "droite", où placeriez-vous les partis suivants:

- Les Verts / Parti écologiste

Interviewer instructions NE VEUT PAS DIRE VERT'LIBERAUX
Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Left	329	8.2%
1		370	9.2%
2		740	18.5%
3		859	21.4%
4		741	18.5%
5		588	14.7%
6		171	4.3%
7		77	1.9%
8		77	1.9%
9		25	0.6%
10	Right	31	0.8%
98	Don't know	341	
99	No answer	42	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

139 f15300f Left-right placement: GLP

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=3899, Invalid=492

Literal question Sur cette même échelle, où 0 signifie "gauche" et 10 signifie "droite", où placeriez-vous les partis suivants:

- VertLibéraux

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Left	124	3.2%
1		101	2.6%
2		244	6.3%
3		421	10.8%
4		781	20.0%
5		949	24.3%
6		690	17.7%
7		361	9.3%
8		150	3.8%
9		32	0.8%
10	Right	46	1.2%
98	Don't know	329	
99	No answer	30	
Sysmiss		133	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

140 f15300g Left-right placement: BDP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=3703, Invalid=688**Literal question** Sur cette même échelle, où 0 signifie "gauche" et 10 signifie "droite", où placeriez-vous les partis suivants:

- Parti Bourgeois Démocratique (PBD)

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Left	83	2.2%
1		30	0.8%
2		74	2.0%
3		133	3.6%
4		250	6.8%
5		696	18.8%
6		716	19.3%
7		881	23.8%
8		578	15.6%
9		162	4.4%
10	Right	100	2.7%
98	Don't know	421	
99	No answer	39	
Sysmiss		228	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

141 f15300h Left-right placement: MCG (GE only)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=507, Invalid=3884**Literal question** Sur cette même échelle, où 0 signifie "gauche" et 10 signifie "droite", où placeriez-vous les partis suivants:

- MCG - Mouvement citoyen genevois

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Left	20	3.9%
1		5	1.0%
2		12	2.4%
3		16	3.2%
4		23	4.5%
5		43	8.5%
6		34	6.7%
7		42	8.3%
8		55	10.8%
9		76	15.0%
10	Right	181	35.7%
98	Don't know	58	
99	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		3'812	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

142 f15300i Left-right placement: Lega (TI only)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=525, Invalid=3866**Literal question** Sur cette même échelle, où 0 signifie "gauche" et 10 signifie "droite", où placeriez-vous les partis suivants:

- La Lega dei Ticinesi

Interviewer instructions Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Left	23	4.4%
1		5	1.0%
2		8	1.5%
3		13	2.5%
4		23	4.4%
5		46	8.8%
6		26	5.0%
7		41	7.8%
8		88	16.8%
9		94	17.9%
10	Right	158	30.1%
98	Don't know	55	
99	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		3'804	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

143 f15420 Opinion on social expenses**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4209, Invalid=182**Pre-question** J'aimerais maintenant connaître votre avis à propos de quelques buts que la Suisse pourrait se fixer. Pour cela, je vais vous demander de choisir entre deux buts contradictoires.**Literal question** Par rapport aux dépenses sociales de la Confédération: êtes-vous très ou plutôt favorable à une diminution ou êtes-vous très ou plutôt favorable à une augmentation?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for decrease	201	4.8%
2	Rather for decrease	1'171	27.8%
3	Neither nor	989	23.5%
4	Rather for increase	1'552	36.9%
5	Strongly for increase	296	7.0%
8	Don't know	148	
9	No answer	34	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

144 f15421bfs Party which cares most about social policy, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3554, Invalid=837

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	110	3.1%
2	CVP/PDC	304	8.6%
3	SP/PS	2'587	72.8%
4	SVP/UDC	168	4.7%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	8	0.2%
8	CSP/PCS	1	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	6	0.2%
12	Other left parties	7	0.2%
13	GPS/PES	44	1.2%
15	SD/DS	0	0.0%
16	EDU/UDF	7	0.2%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	33	0.9%
19	BDP	17	0.5%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	15	0.4%
21	MCG	6	0.2%
30	Left parties	111	3.1%
31	Right parties	15	0.4%
32	Centre parties	2	0.1%
33	Both green parties	0	0.0%
35	Others	113	3.2%
36	Other answer	0	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	71	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	657	
999	No answer	109	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

145 f15421main7 Party which cares most about social policy, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3554, Invalid=837

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	168	4.7%
2	FDP	110	3.1%
3	BDP	17	0.5%
4	CVP	304	8.6%
5	GLP	15	0.4%
6	SP	2'587	72.8%
7	GPS	44	1.2%
35	Others	309	8.7%
Sysmiss		837	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

146 f15422bfs Party which has the best solutions for social policy, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2683, Invalid=1708

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	253	9.4%
2	CVP/PDC	481	17.9%
3	SP/PS	1'210	45.1%
4	SVP/UDC	220	8.2%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	19	0.7%
8	CSP/PCS	0	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	3	0.1%
12	Other left parties	5	0.2%
13	GPS/PES	38	1.4%
15	SD/DS	1	0.0%
16	EDU/UDF	7	0.3%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	37	1.4%
19	BDP	52	1.9%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	64	2.4%
21	MCG	6	0.2%
30	Left parties	63	2.3%
31	Right parties	27	1.0%
32	Centre parties	18	0.7%
33	Both green parties	1	0.0%
35	Others	178	6.6%
36	Other answer	1	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	56	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	1'185	
999	No answer	466	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

147 f15422main7 Party which has the best solutions for social policy, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2683, Invalid=1708

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	220	8.2%
2	FDP	253	9.4%
3	BDP	52	1.9%
4	CVP	481	17.9%
5	GLP	64	2.4%
6	SP	1'210	45.1%
7	GPS	38	1.4%
35	Others	365	13.6%
Sysmiss		1'708	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

148 f15430 Opinion on EU membership**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4291, Invalid=100**Literal question** Par rapport à l'Union européenne, l'UE, êtes-vous très ou plutôt favorable à l'entrée de la Suisse dans l'UE, ou êtes-vous très ou plutôt favorable à la " voie solitaire " de la Suisse?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for EU entry	176	4.1%
2	Rather for EU entry	663	15.5%
3	Neither nor	236	5.5%
4	Rather in favour to stay out	1'573	36.7%
5	Strongly in favour to stay out	1'643	38.3%
8	Don't know	77	
9	No answer	23	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

149 f15431bfs Party which cares most about EU policy, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2757, Invalid=1634

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	534	19.4%
2	CVP/PDC	149	5.4%
3	SP/PS	818	29.7%
4	SVP/UDC	859	31.2%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	3	0.1%
8	CSP/PCS	0	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	0	0.0%
12	Other left parties	0	0.0%
13	GPS/PES	29	1.1%
15	SD/DS	0	0.0%
16	EDU/UDF	1	0.0%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	33	1.2%
19	BDP	19	0.7%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	12	0.4%
21	MCG	3	0.1%
30	Left parties	67	2.4%
31	Right parties	43	1.6%
32	Centre parties	8	0.3%
33	Both green parties	0	0.0%
35	Others	179	6.5%
36	Other answer	1	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	147	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	1'296	
999	No answer	190	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

150 f15431main7 Party which has the best solutions for EU policy, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2757, Invalid=1634

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	859	31.2%
2	FDP	534	19.4%
3	BDP	19	0.7%
4	CVP	149	5.4%
5	GLP	12	0.4%
6	SP	818	29.7%
7	GPS	29	1.1%
35	Others	337	12.2%
Sysmiss		1'634	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

151 f15432bfs Party which has the best solutions for EU policy, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2107, Invalid=2284

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	469	22.3%
2	CVP/PDC	229	10.9%
3	SP/PS	434	20.6%
4	SVP/UDC	568	27.0%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	5	0.2%
8	CSP/PCS	0	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	0	0.0%
12	Other left parties	2	0.1%
13	GPS/PES	27	1.3%
15	SD/DS	2	0.1%
16	EDU/UDF	6	0.3%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	25	1.2%
19	BDP	70	3.3%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	33	1.6%
21	MCG	1	0.0%
30	Left parties	44	2.1%
31	Right parties	40	1.9%
32	Centre parties	14	0.7%
33	Both green parties	0	0.0%
35	Others	138	6.5%
36	Other answer	1	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	57	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	1'675	
999	No answer	551	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

152 f15432main7 Party which has the best solutions for European politics, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2107, Invalid=2284

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	568	27.0%
2	FDP	469	22.3%
3	BDP	70	3.3%
4	CVP	229	10.9%
5	GLP	33	1.6%
6	SP	434	20.6%
7	GPS	27	1.3%
35	Others	277	13.1%
Sysmiss		2'284	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

153 f15440 Opinion on chance for foreigners**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4289, Invalid=102**Literal question** Etes-vous très ou plutôt favorable à l'égalité des chances entre les Suisses et les étrangers, ou êtes-vous très ou plutôt favorable à ce que les Suisses aient de meilleures chances que les étrangers?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for equal chances	1'098	25.6%
2	Rather for equal chances	1'480	34.5%
3	Neither nor	311	7.3%
4	Rather for better chances for Swiss	929	21.7%
5	Strongly for better chances for Swiss	471	11.0%
8	Don't know	63	
9	No answer	39	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

154 f15441bfs Party which cares most about migration policy, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3250, Invalid=1141

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	89	2.7%
2	CVP/PDC	134	4.1%
3	SP/PS	1'007	31.0%
4	SVP/UDC	1'567	48.2%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	5	0.2%
8	CSP/PCS	0	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	1	0.0%
12	Other left parties	9	0.3%
13	GPS/PES	48	1.5%
15	SD/DS	1	0.0%
16	EDU/UDF	1	0.0%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	73	2.2%
19	BDP	15	0.5%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	13	0.4%
21	MCG	27	0.8%
30	Left parties	65	2.0%
31	Right parties	26	0.8%
32	Centre parties	8	0.2%
33	Both green parties	0	0.0%
35	Others	161	5.0%
36	Other answer	2	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	87	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	908	
999	No answer	144	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

155 f15441main7 Party which cares most about migration policy, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3250, Invalid=1141

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	1'567	48.2%
2	FDP	89	2.7%
3	BDP	15	0.5%
4	CVP	134	4.1%
5	GLP	13	0.4%
6	SP	1'007	31.0%
7	GPS	48	1.5%
35	Others	377	11.6%
Sysmiss		1'141	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

156 f15442bfs Party which has the best solution for migration policy, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2472, Invalid=1919

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	282	11.4%
2	CVP/PDC	284	11.5%
3	SP/PS	803	32.5%
4	SVP/UDC	605	24.5%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	9	0.4%
8	CSP/PCS	0	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	1	0.0%
12	Other left parties	7	0.3%
13	GPS/PES	40	1.6%
15	SD/DS	1	0.0%
16	EDU/UDF	5	0.2%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	42	1.7%
19	BDP	60	2.4%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	41	1.7%
21	MCG	7	0.3%
30	Left parties	66	2.7%
31	Right parties	23	0.9%
32	Centre parties	24	1.0%
33	Both green parties	1	0.0%
35	Others	171	6.9%
36	Other answer	1	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	50	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	1'306	
999	No answer	562	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

157 f15442main7 Party which has the best solution for migration policy, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2472, Invalid=1919

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	605	24.5%
2	FDP	282	11.4%
3	BDP	60	2.4%
4	CVP	284	11.5%
5	GLP	41	1.7%
6	SP	803	32.5%
7	GPS	40	1.6%
35	Others	357	14.4%
Sysmiss		1'919	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

158 f15470 Protection of the environment versus economic growth**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4297, Invalid=94**Literal question** Etes-vous très ou plutôt favorable à une Suisse où la protection de l'environnement est plus importante que la croissance économique ? Ou êtes-vous très ou plutôt favorable à une Suisse où la croissance économique est plus importante que la protection de l'environnement?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for environmental protection	1'209	28.1%
2	Rather for environmental protection	1'438	33.5%
3	Neither nor	871	20.3%
4	Rather for economic growth	594	13.8%
5	Strongly for economic growth	185	4.3%
8	Don't know	52	
9	No answer	42	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

159 f15471bfs Party which cares most about environmental policy, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3770, Invalid=621

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	50	1.3%
2	CVP/PDC	49	1.3%
3	SP/PS	104	2.8%
4	SVP/UDC	38	1.0%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	4	0.1%
8	CSP/PCS	0	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	1	0.0%
12	Other left parties	0	0.0%
13	GPS/PES	2'906	77.1%
15	SD/DS	1	0.0%
16	EDU/UDF	2	0.1%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	2	0.1%
19	BDP	9	0.2%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	436	11.6%
21	MCG	0	0.0%
30	Left parties	39	1.0%
31	Right parties	3	0.1%
32	Centre parties	3	0.1%
33	Both green parties	27	0.7%
35	Others	96	2.5%
36	Other answer	2	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	51	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	490	
999	No answer	78	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

160 f15471main7 Party which cares most about environmental policy, seven major parties

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: *

Statistics Valid=3770, Invalid=621

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	38	1.0%
2	FDP	50	1.3%
3	BDP	9	0.2%
4	CVP	49	1.3%
5	GLP	436	11.6%
6	SP	104	2.8%
7	GPS	2'906	77.1%
35	Others	178	4.7%
Sysmiss		621	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

161 f15472bfs Party which has the best solutions for environmental policy, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3008, Invalid=1383

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	128	4.3%
2	CVP/PDC	119	4.0%
3	SP/PS	115	3.8%
4	SVP/UDC	71	2.4%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	6	0.2%
8	CSP/PCS	0	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	0	0.0%
12	Other left parties	0	0.0%
13	GPS/PES	1'525	50.7%
15	SD/DS	1	0.0%
16	EDU/UDF	7	0.2%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	4	0.1%
19	BDP	19	0.6%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	801	26.6%
21	MCG	1	0.0%
30	Left parties	25	0.8%
31	Right parties	3	0.1%
32	Centre parties	14	0.5%
33	Both green parties	14	0.5%
35	Others	155	5.2%
36	Other answer	4	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	57	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	965	
999	No answer	357	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

162 f15472main7 Party which has the best solutions for environmental policy, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3008, Invalid=1383

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	71	2.4%
2	FDP	128	4.3%
3	BDP	19	0.6%
4	CVP	119	4.0%
5	GLP	801	26.6%
6	SP	115	3.8%
7	GPS	1'525	50.7%
35	Others	230	7.6%
Sysmiss		1'383	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

163 f15480 Opinion on taxes on high incomes**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4284, Invalid=107**Literal question** A propos des impôts: êtes-vous très ou plutôt favorable à une augmentation des impôts sur les revenus élevés, ou êtes-vous très ou plutôt favorable à une réduction des impôts sur les revenus élevés?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for increase	1'533	35.8%
2	Rather for increase	1'829	42.7%
3	Neither nor	456	10.6%
4	Rather for decrease	335	7.8%
5	Strongly for decrease	131	3.1%
8	Don't know	75	
9	No answer	32	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

164 f15481bfs Party which cares most about tax policy, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2634, Invalid=1757

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	917	34.8%
2	CVP/PDC	191	7.3%
3	SP/PS	792	30.1%
4	SVP/UDC	373	14.2%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	2	0.1%
8	CSP/PCS	0	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	1	0.0%
12	Other left parties	5	0.2%
13	GPS/PES	10	0.4%
15	SD/DS	0	0.0%
16	EDU/UDF	3	0.1%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	28	1.1%
19	BDP	32	1.2%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	14	0.5%
21	MCG	4	0.2%
30	Left parties	38	1.4%
31	Right parties	49	1.9%
32	Centre parties	8	0.3%
33	Both green parties	0	0.0%
35	Others	167	6.3%
36	Other answer	2	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	146	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	1'441	
999	No answer	168	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

165 f15481main7 Party which cares most about tax policy, seven major parties

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: *

Statistics Valid=2634, Invalid=1757

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	373	14.2%
2	FDP	917	34.8%
3	BDP	32	1.2%
4	CVP	191	7.3%
5	GLP	14	0.5%
6	SP	792	30.1%
7	GPS	10	0.4%
35	Others	305	11.6%
Sysmiss		1'757	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

166 f15482bfs Party which has the best solutions for tax policy, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2214, Invalid=2177

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	553	25.0%
2	CVP/PDC	261	11.8%
3	SP/PS	774	35.0%
4	SVP/UDC	221	10.0%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	7	0.3%
8	CSP/PCS	0	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	2	0.1%
12	Other left parties	5	0.2%
13	GPS/PES	17	0.8%
15	SD/DS	1	0.0%
16	EDU/UDF	4	0.2%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	31	1.4%
19	BDP	58	2.6%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	39	1.8%
21	MCG	5	0.2%
30	Left parties	46	2.1%
31	Right parties	29	1.3%
32	Centre parties	20	0.9%
33	Both green parties	0	0.0%
35	Others	141	6.4%
36	Other answer	0	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	51	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	1'675	
999	No answer	451	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

167 f15482main7 Party which has the best solutions for tax policy, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2214, Invalid=2177

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	221	10.0%
2	FDP	553	25.0%
3	BDP	58	2.6%
4	CVP	261	11.8%
5	GLP	39	1.8%
6	SP	774	35.0%
7	GPS	17	0.8%
35	Others	291	13.1%
Sysmiss		2'177	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

168 f15490 Opinion on nuclear energy**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4316, Invalid=75**Literal question** Etes-vous très ou plutôt favorable à une Suisse AVEC énergie nucléaire, ou êtes-vous très ou plutôt favorable à une Suisse SANS énergie nucléaire?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for nuclear energy	176	4.1%
2	Rather for nuclear energy	576	13.3%
3	Neither nor	192	4.4%
4	Rather against nuclear energy	1'527	35.4%
5	Strongly against nuclear energy	1'845	42.7%
8	Don't know	61	
9	No answer	14	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

169 f15491bfs Party which cares most about energy policy, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3035, Invalid=1356

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	247	8.1%
2	CVP/PDC	245	8.1%
3	SP/PS	201	6.6%
4	SVP/UDC	99	3.3%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	3	0.1%
8	CSP/PCS	0	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	0	0.0%
12	Other left parties	1	0.0%
13	GPS/PES	1'533	50.5%
15	SD/DS	0	0.0%
16	EDU/UDF	3	0.1%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	6	0.2%
19	BDP	27	0.9%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	372	12.3%
21	MCG	0	0.0%
30	Left parties	60	2.0%
31	Right parties	13	0.4%
32	Centre parties	9	0.3%
33	Both green parties	14	0.5%
35	Others	202	6.7%
36	Other answer	0	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	199	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	1'026	
999	No answer	131	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

170 f15491main7 Party which cares most about energy policy, seven major parties

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: *

Statistics Valid=3035, Invalid=1356

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	99	3.3%
2	FDP	247	8.1%
3	BDP	27	0.9%
4	CVP	245	8.1%
5	GLP	372	12.3%
6	SP	201	6.6%
7	GPS	1'533	50.5%
35	Others	311	10.2%
Sysmiss		1'356	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

171 f15492bfs Party which has the best solutions for energy policy, reduced list**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2492, Invalid=1899

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	FDP/PRD	233	9.3%
2	CVP/PDC	252	10.1%
3	SP/PS	196	7.9%
4	SVP/UDC	115	4.6%
5	PLS/LPS	0	0.0%
7	EVP/PEP	2	0.1%
8	CSP/PCS	0	0.0%
9	PdA/PdT	1	0.0%
12	Other left parties	2	0.1%
13	GPS/PES	927	37.2%
15	SD/DS	0	0.0%
16	EDU/UDF	2	0.1%
17	FPS/PSL	0	0.0%
18	Lega	3	0.1%
19	BDP	28	1.1%
20	GLP/Vert'libéraux	485	19.5%
21	MCG	0	0.0%
30	Left parties	42	1.7%
31	Right parties	16	0.6%
32	Centre parties	18	0.7%
33	Both green parties	9	0.4%
35	Others	161	6.5%
36	Other answer	2	
404	Several parties	0	
405	All parties together	70	
407	No party	0	
998	Don't know	1'348	
999	No answer	479	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

172 f15492main7 Party which has the best solutions for energy policy, seven major parties**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-35, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2492, Invalid=1899

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	115	4.6%
2	FDP	233	9.3%
3	BDP	28	1.1%
4	CVP	252	10.1%
5	GLP	485	19.5%
6	SP	196	7.9%
7	GPS	927	37.2%
35	Others	256	10.3%
Sysmiss		1'899	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

173 f155_01 CVP: Opinion on EU membership**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3270, Invalid=1121**Literal question** Maintenant j'aimerais savoir comment vous évaluez la position de certains partis à propos de l'adhésion de la Suisse à l'Union Européenne. Est-ce que les partis suivants sont très ou plutôt favorables à l'entrée de la Suisse dans l'UE, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à la " voie solitaire " de la Suisse?

- PDC

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for EU entry	169	5.2%
2	Rather for EU entry	1'354	41.4%
3	Neither nor	428	13.1%
4	Rather in favour to stay out	1'164	35.6%
5	Strongly in favour to stay out	155	4.7%
8	Don't know	1'061	
9	No answer	60	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

174 f155_03 FDP: Opinion on EU membership**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3328, Invalid=1063**Literal question** Maintenant j'aimerais savoir comment vous évaluez la position de certains partis à propos de l'adhésion de la Suisse à l'Union Européenne. Est-ce que les partis suivants sont très ou plutôt favorables à l'entrée de la Suisse dans l'UE, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à la " voie solitaire " de la Suisse?

- PLR

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for EU entry	292	8.8%
2	Rather for EU entry	1'274	38.3%
3	Neither nor	274	8.2%
4	Rather in favour to stay out	1'272	38.2%
5	Strongly in favour to stay out	216	6.5%
8	Don't know	1'002	
9	No answer	61	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

175 f155_05 SP: Opinion on EU membership**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3466, Invalid=925**Literal question** Maintenant j'aimerais savoir comment vous évaluez la position de certains partis à propos de l'adhésion de la Suisse à l'Union Européenne. Est-ce que les partis suivants sont très ou plutôt favorables à l'entrée de la Suisse dans l'UE, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à la " voie solitaire " de la Suisse?

- PS

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for EU entry	1'169	33.7%
2	Rather for EU entry	1'658	47.8%
3	Neither nor	134	3.9%
4	Rather in favour to stay out	396	11.4%
5	Strongly in favour to stay out	109	3.1%
8	Don't know	869	
9	No answer	56	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

176 f155_07 SVP: Opinion on EU membership**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3722, Invalid=669**Literal question** Maintenant j'aimerais savoir comment vous évaluez la position de certains partis à propos de l'adhésion de la Suisse à l'Union Européenne. Est-ce que les partis suivants sont très ou plutôt favorables à l'entrée de la Suisse dans l'UE, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à la " voie solitaire " de la Suisse?

- UDC

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for EU entry	38	1.0%
2	Rather for EU entry	78	2.1%
3	Neither nor	55	1.5%
4	Rather in favour to stay out	598	16.1%
5	Strongly in favour to stay out	2'953	79.3%
8	Don't know	616	
9	No answer	53	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

177 f155_09 GPS: Opinion on EU membership**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3027, Invalid=1364**Literal question** Maintenant j'aimerais savoir comment vous évaluez la position de certains partis à propos de l'adhésion de la Suisse à l'Union Européenne. Est-ce que les partis suivants sont très ou plutôt favorables à l'entrée de la Suisse dans l'UE, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à la " voie solitaire " de la Suisse?

- Les Verts

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for EU entry	473	15.6%
2	Rather for EU entry	1'685	55.7%
3	Neither nor	283	9.3%
4	Rather in favour to stay out	473	15.6%
5	Strongly in favour to stay out	113	3.7%
8	Don't know	1'301	
9	No answer	63	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

178 f155_11 GLP: Opinion on EU membership**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=2821, Invalid=1570**Literal question** Maintenant j'aimerais savoir comment vous évaluez la position de certains partis à propos de l'adhésion de la Suisse à l'Union Européenne. Est-ce que les partis suivants sont très ou plutôt favorables à l'entrée de la Suisse dans l'UE, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à la " voie solitaire " de la Suisse?

- Vert'libéraux

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for EU entry	185	6.6%
2	Rather for EU entry	1'227	43.5%
3	Neither nor	371	13.2%
4	Rather in favour to stay out	895	31.7%
5	Strongly in favour to stay out	143	5.1%
8	Don't know	1'505	
9	No answer	65	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

179 f155_13 BDP: Opinion on EU membership**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=2991, Invalid=1400**Literal question** Maintenant j'aimerais savoir comment vous évaluez la position de certains partis à propos de l'adhésion de la Suisse à l'Union Européenne. Est-ce que les partis suivants sont très ou plutôt favorables à l'entrée de la Suisse dans l'UE, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à la " voie solitaire " de la Suisse?

- Parti Bourgeois Démocratique

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for EU entry	74	2.5%
2	Rather for EU entry	528	17.7%
3	Neither nor	214	7.2%
4	Rather in favour to stay out	1'646	55.0%
5	Strongly in favour to stay out	529	17.7%
8	Don't know	1'327	
9	No answer	73	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

180 f155_15 LEGA: Opinion on EU membership (TI only)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=488, Invalid=3903**Literal question** Maintenant j'aimerais savoir comment vous évaluez la position de certains partis à propos de l'adhésion de la Suisse à l'Union Européenne. Est-ce que les partis suivants sont très ou plutôt favorables à l'entrée de la Suisse dans l'UE, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à la " voie solitaire " de la Suisse?

- Lega dei Ticinesi

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for EU entry	10	2.0%
2	Rather for EU entry	7	1.4%
3	Neither nor	4	0.8%
4	Rather in favour to stay out	66	13.5%
5	Strongly in favour to stay out	401	82.2%
8	Don't know	88	
9	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		3'804	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

181 f155_16 MCG: Opinion on EU membership (GE only)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=423, Invalid=3968**Literal question** Maintenant j'aimerais savoir comment vous évaluez la position de certains partis à propos de l'adhésion de la Suisse à l'Union Européenne. Est-ce que les partis suivants sont très ou plutôt favorables à l'entrée de la Suisse dans l'UE, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à la " voie solitaire " de la Suisse?

- MCG

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for EU entry	6	1.4%
2	Rather for EU entry	18	4.3%
3	Neither nor	11	2.6%
4	Rather in favour to stay out	139	32.9%
5	Strongly in favour to stay out	249	58.9%
8	Don't know	142	
9	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		3'812	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

182 f156_01 CVP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3056, Invalid=1335**Literal question** Et par rapport à l'augmentation des impôts sur les revenu élevés: les partis que je vais vous mentionner sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une augmentation des impôts sur les revenus élevés, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une réduction des impôts sur les revenus élevés?

- PDC

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for increase	152	5.0%
2	Rather for increase	1'338	43.8%
3	Neither nor	506	16.6%
4	Rather for decrease	935	30.6%
5	Strongly for decrease	125	4.1%
8	Don't know	1'279	
9	No answer	56	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

183 f156_03 FDP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3200, Invalid=1191**Literal question** Et par rapport à l'augmentation des impôts sur les revenu élevés: les partis que je vais vous mentionner sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une augmentation des impôts sur les revenus élevés, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une réduction des impôts sur les revenus élevés?

- PLR

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for increase	100	3.1%
2	Rather for increase	552	17.2%
3	Neither nor	277	8.7%
4	Rather for decrease	1'666	52.1%
5	Strongly for decrease	605	18.9%
8	Don't know	1'142	
9	No answer	49	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

184 f156_05 SP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3360, Invalid=1031**Literal question** Et par rapport à l'augmentation des impôts sur les revenu élevés: les partis que je vais vous mentionner sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une augmentation des impôts sur les revenus élevés, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une réduction des impôts sur les revenus élevés?

- PS

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for increase	1'636	48.7%
2	Rather for increase	1'197	35.6%
3	Neither nor	80	2.4%
4	Rather for decrease	334	9.9%
5	Strongly for decrease	113	3.4%
8	Don't know	982	
9	No answer	49	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

185 f156_07 SVP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3233, Invalid=1158**Literal question** Et par rapport à l'augmentation des impôts sur les revenu élevés: les partis que je vais vous mentionner sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une augmentation des impôts sur les revenus élevés, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une réduction des impôts sur les revenus élevés?

- UDC

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for increase	166	5.1%
2	Rather for increase	419	13.0%
3	Neither nor	182	5.6%
4	Rather for decrease	1'280	39.6%
5	Strongly for decrease	1'186	36.7%
8	Don't know	1'107	
9	No answer	51	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

186 f156_09 GPS: Opinion on taxes on high incomes**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3020, Invalid=1371**Literal question** Et par rapport à l'augmentation des impôts sur les revenu élevés: les partis que je vais vous mentionner sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une augmentation des impôts sur les revenus élevés, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une réduction des impôts sur les revenus élevés?

- Les Verts

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for increase	819	27.1%
2	Rather for increase	1'557	51.6%
3	Neither nor	201	6.7%
4	Rather for decrease	364	12.1%
5	Strongly for decrease	79	2.6%
8	Don't know	1'317	
9	No answer	54	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

187 f156_11 GLP: Opinion on taxes on high incomes**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=2764, Invalid=1627**Literal question** Et par rapport à l'augmentation des impôts sur les revenu élevés: les partis que je vais vous mentionner sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une augmentation des impôts sur les revenus élevés, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une réduction des impôts sur les revenus élevés?

- Vert'libéraux

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for increase	223	8.1%
2	Rather for increase	1'116	40.4%
3	Neither nor	442	16.0%
4	Rather for decrease	867	31.4%
5	Strongly for decrease	116	4.2%
8	Don't know	1'565	
9	No answer	62	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

188 f156_13 BDP: Opinion on taxes on high income**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=2790, Invalid=1601**Literal question** Et par rapport à l'augmentation des impôts sur les revenu élevés: les partis que je vais vous mentionner sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une augmentation des impôts sur les revenus élevés, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une réduction des impôts sur les revenus élevés?

- Parti Bourgeois Démocratique

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for increase	82	2.9%
2	Rather for increase	579	20.8%
3	Neither nor	351	12.6%
4	Rather for decrease	1'484	53.2%
5	Strongly for decrease	294	10.5%
8	Don't know	1'536	
9	No answer	65	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

189 f156_15 LEGA: Opinion on taxes on high incomes (TI only)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=404, Invalid=3987**Literal question** Et par rapport à l'augmentation des impôts sur les revenu élevés: les partis que je vais vous mentionner sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une augmentation des impôts sur les revenus élevés, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une réduction des impôts sur les revenus élevés?

- Lega dei Ticinesi

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for increase	52	12.9%
2	Rather for increase	87	21.5%
3	Neither nor	19	4.7%
4	Rather for decrease	120	29.7%
5	Strongly for decrease	126	31.2%
8	Don't know	171	
9	No answer	12	
Sysmiss		3'804	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

190 f156_16 MCG: Opinion on taxes on high incomes (GE only)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=329, Invalid=4062**Literal question** Et par rapport à l'augmentation des impôts sur les revenus élevés: les partis que je vais vous mentionner sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une augmentation des impôts sur les revenus élevés, ou sont-ils très ou plutôt favorables à une réduction des impôts sur les revenus élevés?

- MCG

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly for increase	25	7.6%
2	Rather for increase	103	31.3%
3	Neither nor	23	7.0%
4	Rather for decrease	121	36.8%
5	Strongly for decrease	57	17.3%
8	Don't know	233	
9	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		3'812	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

191 f15700 Most important political aim**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4330, Invalid=61**Literal question** En politique, il n'est pas toujours possible de réaliser tout ce que l'on veut. Je vais vous citer quatre buts. Pouvez-vous m'indiquer celui qui vous paraît le plus important?**Interviewer instructions** LIRE

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Maintain order in the country	1'399	32.3%
2	Give people more influence in government decisions	420	9.7%
3	Fight rising prices	529	12.2%
4	Guarantee freedom of speech	1'982	45.8%
8	Don't know	47	
9	No answer	14	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

192 f15750 Second most important political aim**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4266, Invalid=125**Literal question** Et quel est celui qui vient ensuite?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Maintain order in the country	1'242	29.1%
2	Give people more influence in government decisions	783	18.4%
3	Fight rising prices	1'079	25.3%
4	Guarantee freedom of speech	1'162	27.2%
8	Don't know	65	
9	No answer	60	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

193 postmat Inglehart Index**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */9**Statistics** Valid=4260, Invalid=131**Remarks** Created by combining f15700 and f15750.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Materialist	665	15.6%
2	Mixed mat	1'231	28.9%
3	Mixed postmat	1'653	38.8%
4	Postmat	711	16.7%
9	Don't know/No answer	131	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

194 f15801 Policy proposition: Cutting red tape to relieve the economy**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4212, Invalid=179**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- Pour soulager l'économie, la Suisse devrait réduire la bureaucratie.

Interviewer instructions Lire les catégories de réponse la première fois

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	1'409	33.5%
2	Rather agree	1'592	37.8%
3	Neither nor	419	9.9%
4	Rather disagree	578	13.7%
5	Totally disagree	214	5.1%
8	Don't know	161	
9	No answer	18	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

195 f15802 Policy proposition: Reduction of taxes to relieve families**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4335, Invalid=56**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- Pour soulager les familles, la Suisse devrait réduire la charge fiscale des familles.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	1'848	42.6%
2	Rather agree	1'998	46.1%
3	Neither nor	208	4.8%
4	Rather disagree	211	4.9%
5	Totally disagree	70	1.6%
8	Don't know	44	
9	No answer	12	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

196 f15803 Policy proposition: Back out of nuclear energy and invest in renewable energy**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4342, Invalid=49**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- La Suisse devrait sortir du nucléaire et investir dans les énergies renouvelables.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	2'450	56.4%
2	Rather agree	1'354	31.2%
3	Neither nor	202	4.7%
4	Rather disagree	231	5.3%
5	Totally disagree	105	2.4%
8	Don't know	35	
9	No answer	14	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

197 f15804 Policy proposition: Rearrange freedom of movement (EU) to reduce immigration**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4298, Invalid=93**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- La Suisse devrait renégocier l'Accord sur la libre circulation des personnes avec l'UE, pour pouvoir limiter le nombre des immigrants.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	1'347	31.3%
2	Rather agree	1'347	31.3%
3	Neither nor	312	7.3%
4	Rather disagree	750	17.4%
5	Totally disagree	542	12.6%
8	Don't know	70	
9	No answer	23	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

198 f15805 Policy proposition: Minimum wage to ensure standard of living**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4327, Invalid=64**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- La Suisse devrait fixer des salaires minimum, pour assurer à tous un niveau de vie minimal.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	2'118	48.9%
2	Rather agree	1'413	32.7%
3	Neither nor	160	3.7%
4	Rather disagree	381	8.8%
5	Totally disagree	255	5.9%
8	Don't know	52	
9	No answer	12	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

199 f15900 How many parties are in the Federal Council?**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 1-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=3486, Invalid=905, Mean=5.0, StdDev=1.3**Remarks** This variable can be used to differentiate between different grades of knowledge regarding this question (close to the correct answer or not).**Literal question** Pouvez-vous me dire combien de partis sont représentés au Conseil fédéral?

Interviewer instructions REPONSE CORRECTE: 5 (PLR et PS deux sièges, PDC, PBD et UDC un siège)
 SI NECESSAIRE PRECISER: ACTUELLEMENT
 Ne sait pas = 98 / Pas de réponse = 99

Value	Label	N	Percentage
98	Don't know	889	
99	No answer	16	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

200 f15900r How many parties are in FC?, recoded**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3486, Invalid=905

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct answer	1'495	42.9%
2	Wrong answer	1'991	57.1%
8	Don't know	889	
9	No answer	16	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

201 f16000 Name of the president of the Confederation?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3347, Invalid=1044**Literal question** Savez-vous comment s'appelle le Président ou la Présidente actuel(le) de la Confédération?**Interviewer instructions** REPONSE CORRECTE: Micheline Calmy-Rey

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct answer	2'460	73.5%
2	Wrong answer	887	26.5%
8	Don't know	1'032	
9	No answer	12	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

202 f16100 Required number of signatures for a federal initiative?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3003, Invalid=1388**Literal question** Combien de signatures faut-il récolter pour lancer une initiative populaire au niveau fédéral?**Interviewer instructions** REPONSE CORRECTE: 100'000

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct answer	1'929	64.2%
2	Wrong answer	1'074	35.8%
8	Don't know	1'371	
9	No answer	17	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

203 f16300 Which party had most seats in the National Council (before election)?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-7, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3293, Invalid=1098**Literal question** Quel est le parti qui a obtenu le plus de sièges au Conseil national?ENQ: NE PAS LIRE - NE PAS DONNER LA REPONSE CORRECTE (UDC)
ENQ: Elections 2007**Interviewer instructions** NE PAS LIRE - NE PAS DONNER LA REPONSE CORRECTE (UDC)
Elections 2007

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	2'757	83.7%
2	CVP	142	4.3%
3	FDP	194	5.9%
4	SP	178	5.4%
7	Other	22	0.7%
8	Don't know	1'083	
9	No answer	15	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

204 f16300r Which party had most seats in the National Council (before elections)?, recoded**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3293, Invalid=1098

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct answer	2'757	83.7%
2	Wrong answer	536	16.3%
8	Don't know	1'083	
9	No answer	15	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

205 f16301 Who was the minister of finance?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3764, Invalid=627**Literal question** Laquelle des personnes suivantes dirigeait jusqu'à présent le département des finances? Micheline Calmy Rey, Johann Schneider-Ammann, Eveline Widmer-Schlumpf ou Doris Leuthard?**Interviewer instructions** REPONSE CORRECTE EVELINE WIDMER-SCHLUMPF

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Micheline Calmy-Rey	122	3.2%
2	Johann Schneider-Ammann	822	21.8%
3	Eveline Widmer-Schlumpf	2'340	62.2%
4	Doris Leuthard	480	12.8%
8	Don't know	585	
9	No answer	42	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

206 f16301r Who was the minister of finance?, recoded**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3764, Invalid=627

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct answer	2'340	62.2%
2	Wrong answer	1'424	37.8%
8	Don't know	585	
9	No answer	42	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

207 f16302 What was the unemployment rate in September?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-7, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4131, Invalid=260**Literal question** Quel était le taux de chômage en Suisse en septembre - 2.8%, 4.8%, 6.8% ou 8.8%?**Interviewer instructions** REPONSE CORRECTE 2.8%

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	2.8%	2'300	55.7%
2	4.8%	1'133	27.4%
3	6.8%	279	6.8%
4	8.8%	100	2.4%
7	Don't know	319	7.7%
8	No answer	260	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

208 f16302r What was the unemployment rate in September?, recoded**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3812, Invalid=579

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct answer	2'300	60.3%
2	Wrong answer	1'512	39.7%
8	Don't know	319	
9	No answer	260	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

209 f16303 Which party gained the second most seats in the National Council (last election)?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-7, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3394, Invalid=997**Literal question** Quel est le DEUXIEME parti à avoir reçu le plus de voix aux élections au Conseil national? S'agissait-il de l'UDC, du PDC, du PLR ou du PS?**Interviewer instructions** NE PAS LIRE - NE PAS DONNER LA REPONSE CORRECTE (PS)
Elections 2007

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	SVP	559	16.5%
2	CVP	350	10.3%
3	FDP	356	10.5%
4	SP	2'101	61.9%
7	Other	28	0.8%
8	Don't know	979	
9	No answer	18	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

210 f16303r Which party gained the second most seats in the National Council (last elections)?, recoded**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3394, Invalid=997

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct answer	2'101	61.9%
2	Wrong answer	1'293	38.1%
8	Don't know	979	
9	No answer	18	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

211 f16304 Who is the UN Secretary-General?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3485, Invalid=906**Literal question** Qui est à présent le Secrétaire général de l'ONU? Kofi Annan, Kurt Waldheim, Ban Ki-Moon ou Boutros Boutros-Ghali?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Kofi Annan	591	17.0%
2	Kurt Waldheim	54	1.5%
3	Ban Ki-Moon	2'683	77.0%
4	Boutros Boutros-Ghali	157	4.5%
8	Don't know	886	
9	No answer	20	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

212 f16304r Who is the UN Secretary-General?, recoded**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3485, Invalid=906

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Correct answer	2'683	77.0%
2	Wrong answer	802	23.0%
8	Don't know	886	
9	No answer	20	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

213 scalknowCH Scale of Knowledge, CH questions**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-7, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Low knowledge	291	6.6%
1		512	11.7%
2		657	15.0%
3		706	16.1%
4		725	16.5%
5		696	15.9%
6	High knowledge	570	13.0%
7		234	5.3%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

214 scalknowALL Scale of Knowledge, All questions**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-8, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Low knowledge	239	5.4%
1		413	9.4%
2		507	11.5%
3		579	13.2%
4		665	15.1%
5		625	14.2%
6		633	14.4%
7	High knowledge	522	11.9%
8		208	4.7%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

215 f20210 Citizenship which R held when born**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0**Literal question** Quelle était votre nationalité à votre naissance?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Swiss	3'665	83.5%
2	Other	726	16.5%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

216 f20210_code Citizenship of R when born**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 8'100-8'621, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4384, Invalid=7**Literal question** Quelle était votre nationalité à votre naissance?

Frequency table not shown (278 Modalities)

217 f20211 R's parents both born in Switzerland**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4385, Invalid=6**Literal question** Vos deux parents sont-ils nés en Suisse?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	3'195	72.9%
2	No	1'190	27.1%
8	Don't know	4	
9	No answer	2	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

218 f20212_code Country where R's mother was born**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 8'100-8'621, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4386, Invalid=5**Literal question** Dans quel pays votre mère est-elle née?*Frequency table not shown (278 Modalities)***219 f20213_code Country where R's father was born****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 8'100-8'621, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4385, Invalid=6**Literal question** Dans quel pays votre père est-il né?*Frequency table not shown (278 Modalities)***220 f20300 Since when does R live in the current canton?****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 1'917-2'011, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0, Mean=1'975.4, StdDev=20.0**Literal question** Depuis quelle année vivez-vous de manière ininterrompue dans le canton actuel?**Interviewer instructions** TAPER L'ANNEE DE 4 CHIFFRES
ANNEE DE NAISSANCE: Jahrgang**221 f20700 Does R live with a partner?****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */9**Statistics** Valid=3675, Invalid=716**Literal question** Vivez-vous avec un/une partenaire fixe?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	2'887	78.6%
2	No	788	21.4%
9	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		711	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

222 f20710 Number of children (< 18 years) in household**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-5, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=2285, Invalid=2106**Literal question** Combien de personnes de votre ménage sont des enfants âgés de moins de 18 ans?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0		995	43.5%
1		576	25.2%
2		538	23.5%
3		137	6.0%
4		32	1.4%
5		7	0.3%
Sysmiss		2'106	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

223 f20800 R's religious denomination**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-6, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4373, Invalid=18**Literal question** Appartenez-vous à une religion ou confession? Si oui laquelle?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Protestant	1'162	26.6%
2	Catholic	1'892	43.3%
3	Jewish	18	0.4%
4	Muslim	48	1.1%
5	Other	183	4.2%
6	None	1'070	24.5%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	17	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

224 f20900 Church attendance**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-7, Missing: */9**Statistics** Valid=3291, Invalid=1100**Literal question** A quelle fréquence vous rendez-vous à l'église ou à d'autres manifestations de votre église?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Only on special occasions	1'240	37.7%
2	Once a year	208	6.3%
3	Several times a year	737	22.4%
4	Once or twice per month	406	12.3%
5	Once a week	335	10.2%
6	Several times a week	79	2.4%
7	Never	286	8.7%
9	No answer	17	
Sysmiss		1'083	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

225 educ_r R's level of education

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-13, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=4368, Invalid=23

Remarks Recapitulative variable using questions from f21310 onwards.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	No education	14	0.3%
2	Primary school	102	2.3%
3	Secondary school	283	6.5%
4	Basic vocational training	119	2.7%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	1'589	36.4%
6	Diploma school	75	1.7%
7	Trading school	252	5.8%
8	Secondary school vocational diploma	128	2.9%
9	High school, school preparing for the baccalaureate	297	6.8%
10	Higher vocational education with master diploma	350	8.0%
11	Higher vocational college for technics, economy, social issu	208	4.8%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	294	6.7%
13	University, federal institute of technology	657	15.0%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		23	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

226 educ_p Partner's level of education

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-13, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=1094, Invalid=3297

Remarks Recapitulative variable using questions from f21310 onwards.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	No education	2	0.2%
2	Primary school	17	1.6%
3	Secondary school	32	2.9%
4	Basic vocational training	35	3.2%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	424	38.8%
6	Diploma school	7	0.6%
7	Trading school	23	2.1%
8	Secondary school vocational diploma	14	1.3%
9	High school, school preparing for the baccalaureate	36	3.3%
10	Higher vocational education with master diploma	104	9.5%
11	Higher vocational college for technics, economy, social issu	92	8.4%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	73	6.7%
13	University, federal institute of technology	235	21.5%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		3'297	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

227 educ_m Main earner's level of education**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-13, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=270, Invalid=4121**Remarks** Recapitulative variable using questions from f21310 onwards.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	No education	2	0.7%
2	Primary school	6	2.2%
3	Secondary school	21	7.8%
4	Basic vocational training	4	1.5%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	85	31.5%
6	Diploma school	3	1.1%
7	Trading school	8	3.0%
8	Secondary school vocational diploma	4	1.5%
9	High school, school preparing for the baccalaureate	19	7.0%
10	Higher vocational education with master diploma	24	8.9%
11	Higher vocational college for technics, economy, social issu	16	5.9%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	17	6.3%
13	University, federal institute of technology	61	22.6%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'121	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

228 educ_rr R's level of education (recoded)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4368, Invalid=23**Remarks** Recapitulative variable using questions from f21310 onwards recoded to an 8 category scheme.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Compulsory education	399	9.1%
2	Basic vocational training	119	2.7%
3	Vocational education	1'589	36.4%
4	Diploma school	455	10.4%
5	High school	297	6.8%
6	Higher vocational training	558	12.8%
7	Vocational university	294	6.7%
8	University	657	15.0%
Sysmiss		23	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

229 educ_pr Partner's level of education (recoded)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1094, Invalid=3297**Remarks** Recapitulative variable using questions from f21310 onwards recoded to an 8 category scheme.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Compulsory education	51	4.7%
2	Basic vocational training	35	3.2%
3	Vocational education	424	38.8%
4	Diploma school	44	4.0%
5	High school	36	3.3%
6	Higher vocational training	196	17.9%
7	Vocational university	73	6.7%
8	University	235	21.5%
Sysmiss		3'297	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

230 educ_mr Main earner's level of education (recoded)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=270, Invalid=4121**Remarks** Recapitulative variable using questions from f21310 onwards recoded to an 8 category scheme.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Compulsory education	29	10.7%
2	Basic vocational training	4	1.5%
3	Vocational education	85	31.5%
4	Diploma school	15	5.6%
5	High school	19	7.0%
6	Higher vocational training	40	14.8%
7	Vocational university	17	6.3%
8	University	61	22.6%
Sysmiss		4'121	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

231 situar Position at work, respondent**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-9, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3745, Invalid=646**Remarks** Recapitulative variable taking information from question f21500 onwards.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	427	11.4%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	856	22.9%
3	Employee with operative function	1'937	51.7%
4	Self-employed	525	14.0%
8	Don't know	0	0.0%
9	No answer	0	0.0%
Sysmiss		646	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

232 situap Position at work, partner**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-9, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1064, Invalid=3327**Remarks** Recapitulative variable taking information from question f21500 onwards.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	182	17.1%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	325	30.5%
3	Employee with operative function	413	38.8%
4	Self-employed	144	13.5%
8	Don't know	0	0.0%
9	No answer	0	0.0%
Sysmiss		3'327	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

233 situah Position at work, household**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-9, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=261, Invalid=4130**Remarks** Recapitulative variable taking information from question f21500 onwards.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	47	18.0%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	68	26.1%
3	Employee with operative function	106	40.6%
4	Self-employed	40	15.3%
8	Don't know	0	0.0%
9	No answer	0	0.0%
Sysmiss		4'130	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

234 bfsprof3_r BFS-Profession, 3 digits, Respondent**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 111-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3706, Invalid=685, Mean=625.3, StdDev=224.2**Remarks** Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, BFS coding.**235 bfsprof3_p** BFS-Profession, 3 digits, Partner**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 111-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1055, Invalid=3336, Mean=557.2, StdDev=234.8**Remarks** Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, BFS coding.**236 bfsprof3_h** BFS-Profession 3 digits, Head of Household**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 111-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=269, Invalid=4122, Mean=585.4, StdDev=232.7**Remarks** Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, BFS coding.

237 bfsprof5_r BFS-Profession, 5 digits, Respondent

Information	Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 11'101-92'104, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=3706, Invalid=685, Mean=62'530.2, StdDev=22'420.4
Remarks	Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, BFS coding.

238 bfsprof5_p BFS-Profession, 5 digits, Partner

Information	Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 11'101-92'104, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=1055, Invalid=3336, Mean=55'727.1, StdDev=23'482.5
Remarks	Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, BFS coding.

239 bfsprof5_h BFS-Profession, 5 digits, Head of Household

Information	Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 11'101-92'104, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=269, Invalid=4122, Mean=58'543.9, StdDev=23'271.0
Remarks	Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, BFS coding.

240 bfsprof8_r BFS-Profession, 8 digits, Respondent

Information	Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=3710, Invalid=681
Remarks	Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, BFS coding.

Frequency table not shown (18432 Modalities)

241 bfsprof8_p BFS-Profession, 8 digits, Partner

Information	Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=1056, Invalid=3335
Remarks	Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, BFS coding.

Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)

242 bfsprof8_h BFS-Profession, 8 digits, Head of Household

Information	Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=270, Invalid=4121
Remarks	Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, BFS coding.

Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)

243 isco88prof_r ISCO 88 Profession, 4 digits, Respondent

Information	Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=3706, Invalid=685
Remarks	Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, ISCO coding.

Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)

244 isco88prof_p ISCO 88 Profession, 4 digits, Partner

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: *

Statistics Valid=1055, Invalid=3336

Remarks Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, ISCO coding.

Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)

245 isco88prof_h ISCO 88 Profession, 4 digits, Head of household

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: *

Statistics Valid=269, Invalid=4122

Remarks Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, ISCO coding.

Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)

246 isco08prof_r ISCO 08 Profession, 4 digits, Respondent

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: *

Statistics Valid=3706, Invalid=685

Remarks Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, ISCO coding.

Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)

247 isco08prof_p ISCO 08 Profession, 4 digits, Partner

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: *

Statistics Valid=1055, Invalid=3336

Remarks Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, ISCO coding.

Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)

248 isco08prof_h ISCO 08 Profession, 4 digits, Head of household

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: *

Statistics Valid=269, Invalid=4122

Remarks Recapitulative variable based on questions from f216ber onwards, ISCO coding.

Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)

249 sector_r Working sector, Respondent

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=3150, Invalid=1241

Remarks Recapitulative variable summarizing information from question f21700 onwards.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	1'770	56.2%
2	Public sector	1'277	40.5%
3	Other	103	3.3%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		1'241	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

250 sector_p Working sector, Partner**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=910, Invalid=3481**Remarks** Recapitulative variable summarizing information from question f21700 onwards.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	533	58.6%
2	Public sector	357	39.2%
3	Other	20	2.2%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		3'481	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

251 sector_h Working sector, Head of Household**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=219, Invalid=4172**Remarks** Recapitulative variable summarizing information from question f21700 onwards.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	102	46.6%
2	Public sector	110	50.2%
3	Other	7	3.2%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'172	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

252 clas8_x Class position based on r's, p's or m-e's class, 8 classes (Oesch)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3956, Invalid=435**Remarks** Oesch classification into 8 social classes based on ISCO codes, work position, education, and number of employees (ifself-employed). Not directly comparable with Selects 2007.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Socio-cultural specialists	700	17.7%
2	Service workers	439	11.1%
3	Technical specialists	379	9.6%
4	Production workers	477	12.1%
5	Managers and administrators	913	23.1%
6	Clerks	485	12.3%
7	Liberal professions and large employers	172	4.3%
8	Small business owners	391	9.9%
Sysmiss		435	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

253 f21310 R's highest level of education

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-13, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=4368, Invalid=23

Literal question Quelle est la formation la plus élevée que vous avez achevée, ou quel est le diplôme le plus élevé que vous avez obtenu?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	No education	14	0.3%
2	Primary school	102	2.3%
3	Secondary school	283	6.5%
4	Basic vocational training	119	2.7%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	1'589	36.4%
6	Diploma school	75	1.7%
7	Trading school	252	5.8%
8	Secondary school vocational diploma	128	2.9%
9	High school, school preparing for the baccalaureate	297	6.8%
10	Higher vocational education with master diploma	350	8.0%
11	Higher vocational college for technics, economy, social issu	208	4.8%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	294	6.7%
13	University, federal institute of technology	657	15.0%
98	Don't know	10	
99	No answer	13	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

254 f21400 R's current working situation

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=4352, Invalid=39

Literal question Quelle est votre occupation actuelle?

Interviewer instructions LISEZ LES DEUX OU TROIS PREMIERES REPONSES

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Works full-time	1'494	34.3%
2	Works part-time	991	22.8%
3	In training	342	7.9%
4	In household	295	6.8%
5	Retired (AHV)	1'015	23.3%
6	Retired (IV or other)	116	2.7%
7	Unemployed	55	1.3%
8	Other	44	1.0%
98	Don't know	3	
99	No answer	36	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

255 f21410 Has R always been in training?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */9**Statistics** Valid=342, Invalid=4049**Literal question** Est-ce que vous avez toujours été en formation depuis votre scolarité obligatoire, ou est-ce que vous avez recommencé une formation après avoir fait autre chose (travail rémunéré, femme/homme au foyer, etc.) durant une longue période (plus de trois ans)?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Has always been in training	289	84.5%
2	New training after a long break	53	15.5%
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'049	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

256 f21500 R's position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=2465, Invalid=1926**Literal question** Quelle est votre situation professionnelle? Etes-vous ...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE CATEGORIES DE REPONSE.

Fonction dirigeante = faire partie de la direction /

Fonction d'encadrement = être responsable de la supervision et du contrôle du travail d'autres personnes, sans compter les apprentis.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	256	10.4%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	572	23.2%
3	Employee with operative function	1'269	51.5%
4	Self-employed	368	14.9%
8	Don't know	9	
9	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		1'906	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

257 f21550 Number of persons employed at R's company**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 1-6'000, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=368, Invalid=4023, Mean=24.4, StdDev=319.1**Literal question** Combien de personnes votre entreprise emploie-t-elle au total, en incluant vous-même?**258 f21600** R's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 111-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2434, Invalid=1957, Mean=627.6, StdDev=226.2**Literal question** Quelle profession exercez-vous actuellement?**259 f216bfs** R's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 11'101-92'104, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2434, Invalid=1957, Mean=62'762.5, StdDev=22'619.1

260 f216ber R's current profession, BFS 8 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2438, Invalid=1953*Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)***261 f216_88** R's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2434, Invalid=1957*Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)***262 f216_08** R's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=2434, Invalid=1957*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***263 f21700** Sector in which R works**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=2089, Invalid=2302**Literal question** Travaillez-vous dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	1'165	55.8%
2	Public sector	860	41.2%
3	Other	64	3.1%
8	Don't know	6	
9	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		2'294	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

264 f21800 Main earner in R's household**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1789, Invalid=2602**Literal question** Qui est la personne qui gagne le plus dans votre foyer?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Respondent	954	53.3%
2	Partner	593	33.1%
3	Both equal	221	12.4%
4	Other person	21	1.2%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	7	
Sysmiss		2'594	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

265 f21910 Partner's highest level of education**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-13, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=586, Invalid=3805**Literal question** Quelle est la formation la plus élevée que votre époux/épouse ou partenaire a achevée?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	No education	1	0.2%
2	Primary school	8	1.4%
3	Secondary school	16	2.7%
4	Basic vocational training	10	1.7%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	225	38.4%
6	Diploma school	4	0.7%
7	Trading school	8	1.4%
8	Secondary school vocational diploma	6	1.0%
9	High school, school preparing for the baccalaureate	22	3.8%
10	Higher vocational education with master diploma	54	9.2%
11	Higher vocational college for technics, economy, social issu	50	8.5%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	48	8.2%
13	University, federal institute of technology	134	22.9%
98	Don't know	6	
99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		3'798	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

266 f22000 Partner's current working situation**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=582, Invalid=3809**Literal question** Quelle est son occupation actuelle?**Interviewer instructions** LISEZ LES DEUX OU TROIS PREMIERES REPONSES

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Works full-time	479	82.3%
2	Works part-time	68	11.7%
3	In training	0	0.0%
4	In household	0	0.0%
5	Retired (AHV)	23	4.0%
6	Retired (IV or other)	5	0.9%
7	Unemployed	3	0.5%
8	Other	4	0.7%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	11	
Sysmiss		3'798	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

267 f22100 Partner's position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=539, Invalid=3852**Literal question** Quelle est sa situation professionnelle? Est-il/elle ...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE CATEGORIES DE REPONSE.

Fonction dirigeante = faire partie de la direction /

Fonction d'encadrement = être responsable de la supervision et du contrôle du travail d'autres personnes, sans compter les apprentis.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	83	15.4%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	166	30.8%
3	Employee with operative function	214	39.7%
4	Self-employed	76	14.1%
8	Don't know	6	
9	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		3'844	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

268 f22150 Number of persons employed at his/her company**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 1-250, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=76, Invalid=4315, Mean=11.3, StdDev=32.7**Literal question** Combien de personnes son entreprise emploie-t-elle au total, en incluant cette personne?**269 f22200 Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 111-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=534, Invalid=3857, Mean=572.3, StdDev=230.8**Literal question** Quelle profession exerce-t-il/elle actuellement?**270 f222bfs Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 11'101-92'104, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=534, Invalid=3857, Mean=57'230.4, StdDev=23'081.3**271 f222ber Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=534, Invalid=3857*Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)***272 f222_88 Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=534, Invalid=3857*Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)*

273 f222_08 Partner's current profession, 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=534, Invalid=3857*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***274 f22300** Sector in which R's partner works**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=462, Invalid=3929**Literal question** Travaille-t-il/elle dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	276	59.7%
2	Public sector	176	38.1%
3	Other	10	2.2%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		3'928	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

275 f22400 Partner's last working situation**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=35, Invalid=4356**Literal question** Quelle a été sa dernière occupation?**Interviewer instructions** LISEZ LES DEUX OU TROIS PREMIERES REponses

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Works full-time	31	88.6%
2	Works part-time	3	8.6%
3	In training	0	0.0%
4	In household	0	0.0%
5	Retired (AHV)	0	0.0%
6	Retired (IV or other)	0	0.0%
7	Unemployed	0	0.0%
8	Other	1	2.9%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'356	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

276 f22500 Partner's last position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=33, Invalid=4358**Literal question** Quelle était sa situation professionnelle? Etait-il/elle ...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE CATEGORIES DE REPONSE.

Fonction dirigeante = faire partie de la direction /

Fonction d'encadrement = être responsable de la supervision et du contrôle du travail d'autres personnes, sans compter les apprentis.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	8	24.2%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	12	36.4%
3	Employee with operative function	10	30.3%
4	Self-employed	3	9.1%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'357	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

277 f22550 Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-15, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=3, Invalid=4388**Literal question** Combien de personnes son entreprise employait-elle au total, en incluant cette personne?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1		2	66.7%
15		1	33.3%
Sysmiss		4'388	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

278 f22600 Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 243-911, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=32, Invalid=4359, Mean=569.0, StdDev=235.8**Literal question** Quelle profession exerçait-t-il/elle?**279 f226bfs Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 24'301-91'106, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=32, Invalid=4359, Mean=56'906.3, StdDev=23'584.3**280 f226ber Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=33, Invalid=4358

Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)

281 f226_88 Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=32, Invalid=4359*Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)***282 f226_08** Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=32, Invalid=4359*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***283 f22700** Sector in which R's partner worked**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=26, Invalid=4365**Literal question** Travaillait-il/elle dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	15	57.7%
2	Public sector	11	42.3%
3	Other	0	0.0%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'365	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

284 f22800 Main earner in R's household**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=284, Invalid=4107**Literal question** Qui est la personne qui gagne le plus dans votre foyer ou dans votre famille?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Respondent	7	2.5%
2	Partner	6	2.1%
3	Both equal	2	0.7%
4	Other person	269	94.7%
8	Don't know	4	
9	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		4'102	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

285 f22910 Main Earner's highest level of education**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-13, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=270, Invalid=4121**Literal question** Quelle est la formation qu'il/elle a achevée?**Interviewer instructions** LISEZ LES DEUX OU TROIS PREMIERES REPONSES

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	No education	2	0.7%
2	Primary school	6	2.2%
3	Secondary school	21	7.8%
4	Basic vocational training	4	1.5%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	85	31.5%
6	Diploma school	3	1.1%
7	Trading school	8	3.0%
8	Secondary school vocational diploma	4	1.5%
9	High school, school preparing for the baccalaureate	19	7.0%
10	Higher vocational education with master diploma	24	8.9%
11	Higher vocational college for technics, economy, social issu	16	5.9%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	17	6.3%
13	University, federal institute of technology	61	22.6%
98	Don't know	14	
99	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		4'102	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

286 f23000 Main earner's current working situation**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=281, Invalid=4110**Literal question** Quelle est son occupation actuelle?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Works full-time	226	80.4%
2	Works part-time	32	11.4%
3	In training	7	2.5%
4	In household	0	0.0%
5	Retired (AHV)	8	2.8%
6	Retired (IV or other)	6	2.1%
7	Unemployed	1	0.4%
8	Other	1	0.4%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		4'102	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

287 f23100 Main earner's position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=246, Invalid=4145**Literal question** Quelle est sa situation professionnelle? Est-il/elle ...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE CATEGORIES DE REPONSE.

Fonction dirigeante = faire partie de la direction /

Fonction d'encadrement = être responsable de la supervision et du contrôle du travail d'autres personnes, sans compter les apprentis.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	47	19.1%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	64	26.0%
3	Employee with operative function	97	39.4%
4	Self-employed	38	15.4%
8	Don't know	10	
9	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		4'133	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

288 f23150 Number of persons employed at his/her company**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 1-40, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=38, Invalid=4353, Mean=5.9, StdDev=8.7**Literal question** Combien de personnes son entreprise emploie-t-elle au total, en incluant cette personne?**289 f23200 Main earner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 111-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=253, Invalid=4138, Mean=587.1, StdDev=233.9**Literal question** Quelle profession exerce-t-il/elle actuellement?**290 f232bfs Main earner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 11'101-92'104, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=253, Invalid=4138, Mean=58'709.3, StdDev=23'389.6**291 f232ber Main earner's current profession, BFS 8 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=254, Invalid=4137*Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)***292 f232_88 Main earner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=253, Invalid=4138*Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)*

293 f232_08 Main earner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=253, Invalid=4138*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***294 f23300** Sector in which the main earner works**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=206, Invalid=4185**Literal question** Travaille-t-il/elle dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	97	47.1%
2	Public sector	104	50.5%
3	Other	5	2.4%
8	Don't know	2	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'183	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

295 f23400 Main earner's last working situation**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=16, Invalid=4375**Literal question** Quelle a été sa dernière occupation?**Interviewer instructions** LISEZ LES DEUX OU TROIS PREMIERES REPONSES

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Works full-time	16	100.0%
2	Works part-time	0	0.0%
3	In training	0	0.0%
4	In household	0	0.0%
5	Retired (AHV)	0	0.0%
6	Retired (IV or other)	0	0.0%
7	Unemployed	0	0.0%
8	Other	0	0.0%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'375	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

296 f23500 Main earner's last position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=15, Invalid=4376**Literal question** Quelle était sa situation professionnelle? Etait-il/elle ...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE CATEGORIES DE REPONSE.

Fonction dirigeante = faire partie de la direction /

Fonction d'encadrement = être responsable de la supervision et du contrôle du travail d'autres personnes, sans compter les apprentis.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	0	0.0%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	4	26.7%
3	Employee with operative function	9	60.0%
4	Self-employed	2	13.3%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'375	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

297 f23550 Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=2, Invalid=4389**Literal question** Combien de personnes son entreprise employait-elle au total, en incluant cette personne?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1		1	50.0%
5		1	50.0%
Sysmiss		4'389	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

298 f23600 Main earner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 252-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=16, Invalid=4375, Mean=559.2, StdDev=218.4**Literal question** Quelle profession exerçait-t-il/elle?**299 f236bfs Main earner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 25'201-92'104, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=16, Invalid=4375, Mean=55'929.1, StdDev=21'838.2**300 f236ber Main earner's last profession, BFS 8 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=16, Invalid=4375

Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)

301 f236_88 Main earner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=16, Invalid=4375*Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)***302 f236_08** Main earner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=16, Invalid=4375*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***303 f23700** Sector in which the main earner worked**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=13, Invalid=4378**Literal question** Travaillait-il/elle dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	5	38.5%
2	Public sector	6	46.2%
3	Other	2	15.4%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'378	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

304 f24800 R's last working situation**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=292, Invalid=4099**Literal question** Quelle était votre dernière occupation?**Interviewer instructions** LISEZ LES DEUX OU TROIS PREMIERES REPONSES

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Works full-time	131	44.9%
2	Works part-time	117	40.1%
3	In training	2	0.7%
4	In household	39	13.4%
5	Retired (AHV)	2	0.7%
6	Retired (IV or other)	1	0.3%
7	Unemployed	0	0.0%
8	Other	0	0.0%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		4'096	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

305 f24900 R's last position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=246, Invalid=4145**Literal question** Quelle était votre situation professionnelle? Etiez-vous ...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE CATEGORIES DE REPONSE.

Fonction dirigeante = faire partie de la direction /

Fonction d'encadrement = être responsable de la supervision et du contrôle du travail d'autres personnes, sans compter les apprentis.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	16	6.5%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	28	11.4%
3	Employee with operative function	188	76.4%
4	Self-employed	14	5.7%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		4'143	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

306 f24950 Number of persons formerly employed at R's company**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-10, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=14, Invalid=4377**Literal question** Combien de personnes son entreprise employait-elle au total, en incluant cette personne?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1		6	42.9%
2		4	28.6%
3		1	7.1%
4		1	7.1%
7		1	7.1%
10		1	7.1%
Sysmiss		4'377	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

307 f25000 R's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 111-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=243, Invalid=4148, Mean=660.8, StdDev=208.3**Literal question** Quelle profession exercez-vous?**308 f250bfs R's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 11'101-92'104, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=243, Invalid=4148, Mean=66'078.7, StdDev=20'828.8**309 f250ber R's last profession, BFS 8 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=243, Invalid=4148

Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)

310 f250_88 R's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=243, Invalid=4148*Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)***311 f250_08** R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=243, Invalid=4148*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***312 f25100** Sector in which R worked**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=172, Invalid=4219**Literal question** Travaillez-vous dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	98	57.0%
2	Public sector	67	39.0%
3	Other	7	4.1%
8	Don't know	3	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'216	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

313 f25210 Partner's highest level of education

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-13, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=254, Invalid=4137

Literal question Quelle est la formation la plus élevée que votre époux/épouse ou partenaire a achevée?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	No education	1	0.4%
2	Primary school	6	2.4%
3	Secondary school	5	2.0%
4	Basic vocational training	11	4.3%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	97	38.2%
6	Diploma school	1	0.4%
7	Trading school	5	2.0%
8	Secondary school vocational diploma	6	2.4%
9	High school, school preparing for the baccalaureate	6	2.4%
10	Higher vocational education with master diploma	30	11.8%
11	Higher vocational college for technics, economy, social issu	22	8.7%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	14	5.5%
13	University, federal institute of technology	50	19.7%
98	Don't know	3	
99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		4'131	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

314 f25300 Partner's current working situation

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=252, Invalid=4139

Literal question Quelle est l'occupation actuelle de votre époux/épouse ou partenaire?

Interviewer instructions LISEZ LES DEUX OU TROIS PREMIERES REPONSES

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Works full-time	177	70.2%
2	Works part-time	17	6.7%
3	In training	0	0.0%
4	In household	1	0.4%
5	Retired (AHV)	47	18.7%
6	Retired (IV or other)	6	2.4%
7	Unemployed	2	0.8%
8	Other	2	0.8%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	8	
Sysmiss		4'131	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

315 f25400 Partner's position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=191, Invalid=4200**Literal question** Quelle est sa situation professionnelle? Est-il/elle ...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE CATEGORIES DE REPONSE.

Fonction dirigeante = faire partie de la direction /

Fonction d'encadrement = être responsable de la supervision et du contrôle du travail d'autres personnes, sans compter les apprentis.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	33	17.3%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	53	27.7%
3	Employee with operative function	76	39.8%
4	Self-employed	29	15.2%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		4'197	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

316 f25450 Number of persons employed at his/her company**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 1-25, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=29, Invalid=4362, Mean=5.1, StdDev=6.0**Literal question** Combien de personnes son entreprise emploie-t-elle au total, en incluant cette personne?**317 f25500 Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 111-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=190, Invalid=4201, Mean=511.7, StdDev=230.2**Literal question** Quelle profession exerce-t-il/elle actuellement?**318 f255bfs Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 11'101-92'104, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=190, Invalid=4201, Mean=51'174.1, StdDev=23'016.4**319 f255ber Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=190, Invalid=4201*Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)***320 f255_88 Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=190, Invalid=4201*Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)*

321 f255_08 Partner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=190, Invalid=4201*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***322 f25600** Sector in which R's partner works**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=159, Invalid=4232**Literal question** Travaille-t-il/elle dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	104	65.4%
2	Public sector	52	32.7%
3	Other	3	1.9%
8	Don't know	2	
9	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		4'229	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

323 f25700 Partner's last working situation**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=56, Invalid=4335**Literal question** Quelle a été sa dernière occupation?**Interviewer instructions** LISEZ LES DEUX OU TROIS PREMIERES REPONSES

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Works full-time	52	92.9%
2	Works part-time	1	1.8%
3	In training	0	0.0%
4	In household	0	0.0%
5	Retired (AHV)	1	1.8%
6	Retired (IV or other)	2	3.6%
7	Unemployed	0	0.0%
8	Other	0	0.0%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	2	
Sysmiss		4'333	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

324 f25800 Partner's last position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=53, Invalid=4338**Literal question** Quelle était sa situation professionnelle? Etait-il/elle...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE CATEGORIES DE REPONSE.

Fonction dirigeante = faire partie de la direction /

Fonction d'encadrement = être responsable de la supervision et du contrôle du travail d'autres personnes, sans compter les apprentis.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	9	17.0%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	14	26.4%
3	Employee with operative function	21	39.6%
4	Self-employed	9	17.0%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'338	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

325 f25850 Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3'000, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=9, Invalid=4382, Mean=347.9, StdDev=995.0**Literal question** Combien de personnes son entreprise employait-elle au total, en incluant cette personne?**326 f25900 Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 111-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=53, Invalid=4338, Mean=487.1, StdDev=240.9**Literal question** Quelle profession exerçait-t-il/elle?**327 f259bfs Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 11'101-92'102, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=53, Invalid=4338, Mean=48'716.0, StdDev=24'087.7**328 f259ber Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=53, Invalid=4338*Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)***329 f259_88 Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=53, Invalid=4338*Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)*

330 f259_08 Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=53, Invalid=4338*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***331 f26000** Sector in which R's partner worked**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=44, Invalid=4347**Literal question** Travaillait-il/elle dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	29	65.9%
2	Public sector	15	34.1%
3	Other	0	0.0%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'347	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

332 f26100 R's last working situation**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=1162, Invalid=3229**Literal question** Quelle était votre dernière occupation?**Interviewer instructions** LISEZ LES DEUX OU TROIS PREMIERES REPONSES

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Works full-time	719	61.9%
2	Works part-time	277	23.8%
3	In training	14	1.2%
4	In household	89	7.7%
5	Retired (AHV)	41	3.5%
6	Retired (IV or other)	6	0.5%
7	Unemployed	3	0.3%
8	Other	13	1.1%
98	Don't know	4	
99	No answer	9	
Sysmiss		3'216	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

333 f26200 R's last position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=988, Invalid=3403**Literal question** Quelle était votre situation professionnelle? Etiez-vous ...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE CATEGORIES DE REPONSE.

Fonction dirigeante = faire partie de la direction /

Fonction d'encadrement = être responsable de la supervision et du contrôle du travail d'autres personnes, sans compter les apprentis.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	150	15.2%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	250	25.3%
3	Employee with operative function	448	45.3%
4	Self-employed	140	14.2%
8	Don't know	3	
9	No answer	5	
Sysmiss		3'395	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

334 f26250 Number of persons formerly employed at R's company**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 1-200, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=140, Invalid=4251, Mean=7.1, StdDev=20.1**Literal question** Combien de personnes son entreprise employait-elle au total, en incluant cette personne?**335 f26300 R's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 111-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=982, Invalid=3409, Mean=611.2, StdDev=223.1**Literal question** Quelle profession exercez-vous?**336 f263bfs R's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 11'101-92'104, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=982, Invalid=3409, Mean=61'118.0, StdDev=22'308.3**337 f263ber R's last profession, BFS 8 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=982, Invalid=3409*Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)***338 f263_88 R's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=982, Invalid=3409*Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)*

339 f263_08 R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=982, Invalid=3409*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***340 f26400** Sector in which R worked**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=846, Invalid=3545**Literal question** Travaillez-vous dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	480	56.7%
2	Public sector	334	39.5%
3	Other	32	3.8%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		3'543	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

341 f26500 Main earner in R's household**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=731, Invalid=3660**Literal question** Qui est la personne qui gagne le plus dans votre foyer?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Respondent	343	46.9%
2	Partner	248	33.9%
3	Both equal	130	17.8%
4	Other person	10	1.4%
8	Don't know	5	
9	No answer	4	
Sysmiss		3'651	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

342 f26610 Partner's highest level of education**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-13, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=243, Invalid=4148**Literal question** Quelle est la formation la plus élevée que votre époux/épouse ou partenaire a achevée?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	No education	0	0.0%
2	Primary school	3	1.2%
3	Secondary school	11	4.5%
4	Basic vocational training	12	4.9%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	97	39.9%
6	Diploma school	2	0.8%
7	Trading school	10	4.1%
8	Secondary school vocational diploma	2	0.8%
9	High school, school preparing for the baccalaureate	7	2.9%
10	Higher vocational education with master diploma	19	7.8%
11	Higher vocational college for technics, economy, social issu	20	8.2%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	11	4.5%
13	University, federal institute of technology	49	20.2%
98	Don't know	4	
99	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		4'143	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

343 f26700 Partner's current working situation**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=248, Invalid=4143**Literal question** Quelle est son occupation actuelle?**Interviewer instructions** LISEZ LES DEUX OU TROIS PREMIERES REPONSES

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Works full-time	38	15.3%
2	Works part-time	19	7.7%
3	In training	0	0.0%
4	In household	1	0.4%
5	Retired (AHV)	183	73.8%
6	Retired (IV or other)	5	2.0%
7	Unemployed	1	0.4%
8	Other	1	0.4%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'143	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

344 f26800 Partner's position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=56, Invalid=4335**Literal question** Quelle est sa situation professionnelle? Est-il/elle ...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE CATEGORIES DE REPONSE.

Fonction dirigeante = faire partie de la direction /

Fonction d'encadrement = être responsable de la supervision et du contrôle du travail d'autres personnes, sans compter les apprentis.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	10	17.9%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	11	19.6%
3	Employee with operative function	30	53.6%
4	Self-employed	5	8.9%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'334	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

345 f26850 Number of persons employed at his/her company**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 1-120, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=5, Invalid=4386, Mean=25.0, StdDev=53.1**Literal question** Combien de personnes son entreprise emploie-t-elle au total, en incluant cette personne?**346 f26900 Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 111-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=56, Invalid=4335, Mean=575.1, StdDev=235.0**Literal question** Quelle profession exerce-t-il/elle actuellement?**347 f269bfs Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 11'101-92'103, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=56, Invalid=4335, Mean=57'513.7, StdDev=23'502.6**348 f269ber Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=56, Invalid=4335*Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)***349 f269_88 Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=56, Invalid=4335*Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)*

350 f269_08 Partner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=56, Invalid=4335*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***351 f27000** Sector in which R's partner works**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=51, Invalid=4340**Literal question** Travaille-t-il/elle dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	26	51.0%
2	Public sector	24	47.1%
3	Other	1	2.0%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'340	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

352 f27100 Partner's last working situation**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=188, Invalid=4203**Literal question** Quel a été sa dernière occupation?**Interviewer instructions** LISEZ LES DEUX OU TROIS PREMIERES REponses

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Works full-time	176	93.6%
2	Works part-time	6	3.2%
3	In training	0	0.0%
4	In household	1	0.5%
5	Retired (AHV)	4	2.1%
6	Retired (IV or other)	0	0.0%
7	Unemployed	0	0.0%
8	Other	1	0.5%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		4'200	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

353 f27200 Partner's last position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=181, Invalid=4210**Literal question** Quelle était sa situation professionnelle? Etait-il/elle ...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE CATEGORIES DE REPONSE.

Fonction dirigeante = faire partie de la direction /

Fonction d'encadrement = être responsable de la supervision et du contrôle du travail d'autres personnes, sans compter les apprentis.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	37	20.4%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	66	36.5%
3	Employee with operative function	57	31.5%
4	Self-employed	21	11.6%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'209	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

354 f27250 Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-10, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=21, Invalid=4370**Literal question** Combien de personnes son entreprise employait-elle au total, en incluant cette personne?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1		6	28.6%
2		5	23.8%
3		1	4.8%
4		1	4.8%
5		3	14.3%
7		2	9.5%
8		2	9.5%
10		1	4.8%
Sysmiss		4'370	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

355 f27300 Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 111-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=179, Invalid=4212, Mean=574.2, StdDev=241.9**Literal question** Quelle profession exerçait-t-il/elle?**356 f273bfs Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 11'101-92'104, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=179, Invalid=4212, Mean=57'427.2, StdDev=24'187.4

357 f273ber Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=179, Invalid=4212*Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)***358 f273_88** Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=179, Invalid=4212*Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)***359 f273_08** Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=179, Invalid=4212*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***360 f27400** Sector in which R's partner worked**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=158, Invalid=4233**Literal question** Travaillait-il/elle dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	78	49.4%
2	Public sector	74	46.8%
3	Other	6	3.8%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		4'231	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

361 f27500 Did R have a paid occupation before unemployment?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */9**Statistics** Valid=55, Invalid=4336**Literal question** Exerciez-vous une activité rémunérée juste avant d'être au chômage?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	48	87.3%
2	No	7	12.7%
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'336	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

362 f27600 R's last position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=46, Invalid=4345**Literal question** Quelle était votre dernière situation professionnelle? Etiez-vous...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE CATEGORIES DE REPONSE.

Fonction dirigeante = faire partie de la direction /

Fonction d'encadrement = être responsable de la supervision et du contrôle du travail d'autres personnes, sans compter les apprentis.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	5	10.9%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	6	13.0%
3	Employee with operative function	32	69.6%
4	Self-employed	3	6.5%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	1	
Sysmiss		4'343	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

363 f27650 Number of persons formerly employed at R's company**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=3, Invalid=4388**Literal question** Combien de personnes son entreprise employait-elle au total, en incluant cette personne?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1		1	33.3%
2		1	33.3%
3		1	33.3%
Sysmiss		4'388	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

364 f27700 R's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 244-921, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=47, Invalid=4344, Mean=616.6, StdDev=204.6**Literal question** Quelle profession exercez-vous?**365 f277bfs R's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 24'401-92'104, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=47, Invalid=4344, Mean=61'662.7, StdDev=20'457.2**366 f277ber R's last profession, BFS 8 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=47, Invalid=4344

Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)

367 f277_88 R's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=47, Invalid=4344*Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)***368 f277_08** R's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=47, Invalid=4344*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***369 f27800** Sector in which R worked**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=43, Invalid=4348**Literal question** Travaillez-vous dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	27	62.8%
2	Public sector	16	37.2%
3	Other	0	0.0%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'348	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

370 f27900 Main earner in R's household**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=23, Invalid=4368**Literal question** Qui est la personne qui gagne le plus dans votre foyer?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Respondent	11	47.8%
2	Partner	11	47.8%
3	Both equal	1	4.3%
4	Other person	0	0.0%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'368	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

371 f28010 Partner's highest level of education**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-13, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=11, Invalid=4380**Literal question** Quelle est la formation la plus élevée que votre époux/épouse ou partenaire a achevée?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	No education	0	0.0%
2	Primary school	0	0.0%
3	Secondary school	0	0.0%
4	Basic vocational training	2	18.2%
5	Vocational training, apprenticeship	5	45.5%
6	Diploma school	0	0.0%
7	Trading school	0	0.0%
8	Secondary school vocational diploma	0	0.0%
9	High school, school preparing for the baccalaureate	1	9.1%
10	Higher vocational education with master diploma	1	9.1%
11	Higher vocational college for technics, economy, social issu	0	0.0%
12	University of applied sciences, pedagogical university	0	0.0%
13	University, federal institute of technology	2	18.2%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'380	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

372 f28100 Partner's current working situation**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=11, Invalid=4380**Literal question** Quelle est son occupation actuelle?**Interviewer instructions** LISEZ LES DEUX OU TROIS PREMIERES REPONSES

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Works full-time	7	63.6%
2	Works part-time	1	9.1%
3	In training	0	0.0%
4	In household	0	0.0%
5	Retired (AHV)	0	0.0%
6	Retired (IV or other)	2	18.2%
7	Unemployed	1	9.1%
8	Other	0	0.0%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'380	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

373 f28200 Partner's position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=8, Invalid=4383**Literal question** Quelle est sa situation professionnelle? Est-il/elle ...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	1	12.5%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	3	37.5%
3	Employee with operative function	3	37.5%
4	Self-employed	1	12.5%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'383	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

374 f28250 Number of persons employed at his/her company**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-1, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=1, Invalid=4390**Literal question** Combien de personnes son entreprise emploie-t-elle au total, en incluant cette personne?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1		1	100.0%
Sysmiss		4'390	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

375 f28300 Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 244-861, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=8, Invalid=4383, Mean=532.6, StdDev=249.9**Literal question** Quelle profession exerce-t-il/elle actuellement?**376 f283bfs Partner's current profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 24'403-86'101, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=8, Invalid=4383, Mean=53'266.0, StdDev=24'989.2**377 f283ber Partner's current profession, BFS 8 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=8, Invalid=4383*Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)***378 f283_88 Partner's current profession, ISCO 88 4 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=8, Invalid=4383*Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)*

379 f283_08 Partner's current profession, ISCO 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=8, Invalid=4383*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***380 f28400** Sector in which R's partner works**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=7, Invalid=4384**Literal question** Travaille-t-il/elle dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	4	57.1%
2	Public sector	3	42.9%
3	Other	0	0.0%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'384	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

381 f28500 Partner's last working situation**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=3, Invalid=4388**Literal question** Quel a été sa dernière occupation?**Interviewer instructions** LISEZ LES DEUX OU TROIS PREMIERES REponses

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Works full-time	3	100.0%
2	Works part-time	0	0.0%
3	In training	0	0.0%
4	In household	0	0.0%
5	Retired (AHV)	0	0.0%
6	Retired (IV or other)	0	0.0%
7	Unemployed	0	0.0%
8	Other	0	0.0%
98	Don't know	0	
99	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'388	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

382 f28600 Partner's last position at work**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3, Invalid=4388**Literal question** Quelle était sa situation professionnelle? Etait-il/elle ...**Interviewer instructions** LIRE

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Employee with executive function	1	33.3%
2	Employee with supervision/training function	0	0.0%
3	Employee with operative function	2	66.7%
4	Self-employed	0	0.0%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'388	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

383 f28650 Number of persons formerly employed at his/her company**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=0, Invalid=4391**Literal question** Combien de personnes son entreprise employait-elle au total, en incluant cette personne?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
Sysmiss		4'391	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

384 f28700 Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 3 digit**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 211-824, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3, Invalid=4388, Mean=595.3, StdDev=334.8**Literal question** Quelle profession exerçait-t-il/elle?**385 f287bfs Partner's last profession, BFS-Professions 5 digit****Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 21'103-82'409, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3, Invalid=4388, Mean=59'537.7, StdDev=33'485.4**386 f287ber Partner's last profession, BFS 8 digits****Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 9-47'101'158, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3, Invalid=4388

Frequency table not shown (18433 Modalities)

387 f287_88 Partner's last profession, ISCO 88 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'330, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3, Invalid=4388

Frequency table not shown (495 Modalities)

388 f287_08 Partner's last profession, ISCO 08 4 digits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: -999-9'629, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3, Invalid=4388*Frequency table not shown (584 Modalities)***389 f28800** Sector in which R's partner worked**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=3, Invalid=4388**Literal question** Travaillait-il/elle dans le secteur privé ou dans le secteur public?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Private sector	1	33.3%
2	Public sector	2	66.7%
3	Other	0	0.0%
8	Don't know	0	
9	No answer	0	
Sysmiss		4'388	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

390 f28910 Gross monthly income of R's household**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-11, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=3780, Invalid=611**Pre-question** Pour finir, je vais encore vous poser quelques questions sur votre situation économique.**Literal question** Pouvez-vous me dire à environ combien s'élève le revenu mensuel de votre ménage? Veuillez inclure les revenus de toutes les personnes qui contribuent à son entretien, en comptant non seulement les salaires, mais aussi tous les autres revenus.**Interviewer instructions** SI POSSIBLE DEMANDER LE REVENU TOTAL BRUT

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Less than 2'000	61	1.6%
2	2'001-3'000	168	4.4%
3	3'001-4'000	299	7.9%
4	4'001-5'000	337	8.9%
5	5'001-6'000	445	11.8%
6	6'001-7'000	429	11.3%
7	7'001-8'000	405	10.7%
8	8'001-9'000	293	7.8%
9	9'001-10'000	315	8.3%
10	10'001-12'000	417	11.0%
11	More than 12'000	611	16.2%
98	Don't know	253	
99	No answer	358	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

391 f29000 R's household income compared to average household**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4216, Invalid=175**Literal question** Que pensez-vous du revenu de votre ménage, comparé au revenu moyen des ménages en Suisse. Avez-vous le sentiment que votre revenu se situe "bien au-dessous" de la moyenne suisse, "un peu au-dessous", "à la moyenne", "un peu au-dessus", ou "très au-dessus" de la moyenne suisse?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much below	252	6.0%
2	Somewhat below	719	17.1%
3	Average	1'508	35.8%
4	Somewhat above	1'229	29.2%
5	Much above	508	12.0%
8	Don't know	113	
9	No answer	62	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

392 f29100 Can R manage with this income?**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4333, Invalid=58**Literal question** Une partie du revenu est dépensé pour des frais fixes, par exemple pour le loyer et les assurances. Arrivez-vous à vivre avec ce qu'il reste une fois ces frais payés?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	3'151	72.7%
2	More or less	909	21.0%
3	No	273	6.3%
8	Don't know	30	
9	Answer refused	28	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

393 f29110 Probability to improve standard of living in next 10 years**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4160, Invalid=231**Literal question** Si vous pensez aux dix prochaines années, dans quelle mesure est-il probable ou improbable que vous amélioriez votre niveau de vie? Très probable, assez probable, assez improbable ou très improbable?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very likely	531	12.8%
2	Somewhat likely	1'125	27.0%
3	Somewhat unlikely	1'648	39.6%
4	Very unlikely	856	20.6%
8	Don't know	165	
9	No answer	66	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

394 f29114 Probability that household income decreases significantly in next 12 months**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4178, Invalid=213**Literal question** La question suivante concerne le revenu de votre ménage. Dans quelle mesure est-il probable ou improbable que le revenu de votre ménage diminue considérablement DANS LES DOUZE PROCHAINS MOIS? Très probable, assez probable, assez improbable ou très improbable?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very likely	229	5.5%
2	Somewhat likely	483	11.6%
3	Somewhat unlikely	2'069	49.5%
4	Very unlikely	1'397	33.4%
8	Don't know	141	
9	No answer	72	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

395 f29115 R or household member owns a residence**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4363, Invalid=28**Literal question** Possédez-vous, vous-même ou un membre de votre ménage, votre logement principal ou une résidence secondaire?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	2'748	63.0%
2	No	1'615	37.0%
8	Don't know	7	
9	No answer	21	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

396 f29116 R or household member owns a business, a piece of property or a farm**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4357, Invalid=34**Literal question** Possédez-vous, vous-même ou un membre de votre ménage, une entreprise, un bien immobilier de rendement ou une ferme?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	1'196	27.5%
2	No	3'161	72.5%
8	Don't know	7	
9	No answer	27	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

397 f29117 R or household member owns stocks or bonds**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4247, Invalid=144**Literal question** Possédez-vous, vous-même ou un membre de votre ménage, des actions ou des obligations?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	1'711	40.3%
2	No	2'536	59.7%
8	Don't know	81	
9	No answer	63	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

398 f29118 R or household member owns a checking or savings account**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=4265, Invalid=126**Literal question** Avez-vous, vous-même ou un membre de votre ménage, des économies?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	3'407	79.9%
2	No	858	20.1%
8	Don't know	55	
9	No answer	71	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

399 f29119 R's feasibility of finding new job when becoming unemployed**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */9**Statistics** Valid=2394, Invalid=1997**Literal question** Si vous perdiez votre emploi, dans quel mesure vous serait-il facile ou difficile de trouver un autre emploi DANS LES DOUZE PROCHAINS MOIS? Très facile, plutôt facile, plutôt difficile, très difficile?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very easy	284	11.9%
2	Somewhat easy	935	39.1%
3	Somewhat difficult	718	30.0%
4	Very difficult	457	19.1%
9	No answer	91	
Sysmiss		1'906	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

400 f29120 Partner's feasibility of finding new job when becoming unemployed**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=767, Invalid=3624**Literal question** Si votre époux/épouse/votre partenaire perdait son emploi, dans quel mesure lui serait-il facile ou difficile de trouver un autre emploi DANS LES DOUZE PROCHAINS MOIS? Très facile, plutôt facile, plutôt difficile, très difficile?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very easy	57	7.4%
2	Somewhat easy	276	36.0%
3	Somewhat difficult	266	34.7%
4	Very difficult	168	21.9%
9	No answer	39	
Sysmiss		3'585	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

401 f30000 Participation federal election 2011 (GE only)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */9**Statistics** Valid=389, Invalid=4002**Remarks** Question asked only in the Geneva oversampling.**Literal question** A la fin de l'interview nous aimerions vérifier encore une fois juste pour en être sûr: Lors des élections fédérales, environ la moitié des personnes se rendent aux urnes. En ce qui vous concerne, avez-vous participé aux élections fédérales du 23 octobre, ou non?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	266	68.4%
2	No	123	31.6%
9	No answer	3	
Sysmiss		3'999	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

402 spr Language of interview**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	German	2'706	61.6%
2	French	1'122	25.6%
3	Italian	563	12.8%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

403 sex R's sex**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0**Interviewer instructions** SEULEMENT NOTER, PAS DEMANDER

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Male	2'121	48.3%
2	Female	2'270	51.7%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

404 age R's age**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 18-94, Missing: */-1**Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0, Mean=50.2, StdDev=17.6**Literal question** Puis-je vous demander quel âge vous avez?**405 agegroups** Age groups**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-7, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	18 - 24	503	11.5%
2	25 - 34	416	9.5%
3	35 - 44	695	15.8%
4	45 - 54	897	20.4%
5	55 - 64	843	19.2%
6	65 - 74	657	15.0%
7	75 and more	380	8.7%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

406 hhsiz R's household size (persons)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-25, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	One person	711	16.2%
2		1'567	35.7%
3		711	16.2%
4		908	20.7%
5		354	8.1%
6		103	2.3%
7		28	0.6%
8		5	0.1%
10		2	0.0%
23		1	0.0%
25		1	0.0%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

407 marital Marital status according to sample**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-6, Missing: *9**Statistics** Valid=4331, Invalid=60**Remarks** Information from sample.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Single	1'149	26.5%
2	Married	2'539	58.6%
3	Widowed	250	5.8%
4	Divorced	388	9.0%
5	Unmarried (e.g. invalidity of previous union)	0	0.0%
6	Bound by a registered partnership	5	0.1%
9	Missing	60	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

408 municipality BFS Municipality Code**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-6'810, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0**Remarks** Information from sample.

Frequency table not shown (2551 Modalities)

409 Canton Canton of residency**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-26, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0**Remarks** Information from sample.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Zürich	663	15.1%
2	Bern	293	6.7%
3	Luzern	105	2.4%
4	Uri	91	2.1%
5	Schwyz	76	1.7%
6	Obwalden	78	1.8%
7	Nidwalden	81	1.8%
8	Glarus	65	1.5%
9	Zug	116	2.6%
10	Fribourg	106	2.4%
11	Solothurn	104	2.4%
12	Basel-Stadt	107	2.4%
13	Basel-Landschaft	106	2.4%
14	Schaffhausen	74	1.7%
15	Appenzell Ausserrhoden	100	2.3%
16	Appenzell Innerrhoden	83	1.9%
17	St. Gallen	134	3.1%
18	Graubünden	93	2.1%
19	Aargau	164	3.7%
20	Thurgau	108	2.5%
21	Ticino	588	13.4%
22	Vaud	178	4.1%
23	Valais	106	2.4%
24	Neuchâtel	94	2.1%
25	Genève	579	13.2%
26	Jura	99	2.3%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

410 Iregion Linguistic region, based on municipality code**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0**Remarks** Based on municipality code.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	German	2'681	61.1%
2	French	1'110	25.3%
3	Italian	590	13.4%
4	Rhaeto-Romanic	10	0.2%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

411 UrbRur Urban or rural area - BFS categories**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0**Remarks** Based on municipality code.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Central city in an agglomeration	1'114	25.4%
2	Other municipality in an agglomeration	2'077	47.3%
3	Isolated town/city	29	0.7%
4	Rural municipality	1'171	26.7%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

412 stla Urban or rural area - dichotomous**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0**Remarks** Based on municipality code.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	urban	3'220	73.3%
2	rural	1'171	26.7%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

413 GrossReg Large regions of Switzerland (NUTS II)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-7, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0**Remarks** Based on municipality code.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Région lémanique	863	19.7%
2	Espace Mittelland	696	15.9%
3	Nordwestschweiz	377	8.6%
4	Zürich	663	15.1%
5	Ostschweiz	657	15.0%
6	Zentralschweiz	547	12.5%
7	Ticino	588	13.4%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

414 ogr Municipality size**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-8, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0**Remarks** Based on municipality code.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	> 100'000 Inhabitants	497	11.3%
2	50'000 - 99'999 Inhabitants	166	3.8%
3	20'000 - 49'999 Inhabitants	347	7.9%
4	10'000 - 19'999 Inhabitants	747	17.0%
5	5'000 - 9'999 Inhabitants	778	17.7%
6	2'000 - 4'999 Inhabitants	976	22.2%
7	1'000 - 1'999 Inhabitants	537	12.2%
8	< 1'000 Inhabitants	343	7.8%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

415 AggGross Agglomeration size**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-6, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0**Remarks** Based on municipality code.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	Rural municipality	1'171	26.7%
1	> 500'000 Inhabitants	545	12.4%
2	200'000 – 499'999 Inhabitants	1'007	22.9%
3	100'000 – 199'999 Inhabitants	520	11.8%
4	50'000 – 99'999 Inhabitants	600	13.7%
5	< 50'000 Inhabitants	519	11.8%
6	Isolated town/city	29	0.7%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

416 gtyp1 Community typology bfs 22 types**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-22, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0**Remarks** Based on municipality code.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Grosszentren (CG)	497	11.3%
2	Mittelzentren (CM)	413	9.4%
3	Kleinzentren (CP)	323	7.4%
4	Peripheriezentren (CPE)	79	1.8%
5	Einkommensstarke Gemeinden (RE)	275	6.3%
6	Touristische Gemeinden (TT)	102	2.3%
7	Semitouristische Gemeinden (TST)	35	0.8%
8	Gemeinden mit Heimen und Institutionen (THI)	20	0.5%
9	Arbeitsplatzgemeinden metropolitaner Regionen (ME)	624	14.2%
10	Suburbane Gemeinden metropolitaner Regionen (MS)	253	5.8%
11	Periurbane Gemeinden metropolitaner Regionen (MP)	257	5.9%
12	Arbeitsplatzgemeinden nicht-metropolitaner Regionen (NE)	227	5.2%
13	Suburbane Gemeinden nicht-metropolitaner Regionen (NS)	134	3.1%
14	Periurbane Gemeinden nicht-metropolitaner Regionen (NP)	252	5.7%
15	Wegpendlergemeinden mit hoher Zuwanderung (NAL)	144	3.3%
16	Wegpendlergemeinden mit geringer Zuwanderung (NAU)	95	2.2%
17	Industriell-tertiäre Gemeinden (SIT)	183	4.2%
18	Industrielle Gemeinden (SI)	133	3.0%
19	Agrar-industrielle Gemeinden (SAI)	145	3.3%
20	Agrar-tertiäre Gemeinden (SAT)	139	3.2%
21	Agrarische Gemeinden (SA)	53	1.2%
22	Gemeinden mit starkem Bevölkerungsrückgang (SR)	8	0.2%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

417 gtyp2 Community typology bfs, summarized 9 types**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-9, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0**Remarks** Based on municipality code.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Zentren (CEN)	1'233	28.1%
2	Suburbane Gemeinden (SUB)	1'238	28.2%
3	Einkommensstarke Gemeinden (RE)	275	6.3%
4	Periurbane Gemeinden (PERI)	509	11.6%
5	Touristische Gemeinden (TOUR)	137	3.1%
6	Industrielle und tertiäre Gemeinden (IND)	415	9.5%
7	Ländliche Pendlergemeinden (PEND)	239	5.4%
8	Agrar-gemischte Gemeinden (MIX)	284	6.5%
9	Agrarische Gemeinden (AGR)	61	1.4%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

418 birthcntry Country where R was born**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 8'100-8'621, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3931, Invalid=460**Remarks** Information from sample.

Frequency table not shown (278 Modalities)

419 birthmunic Municipality where R was born (if born in CH)**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 2-6'808, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=3445, Invalid=946, Mean=2'921.4, StdDev=2'141.8**Remarks** Information from sample.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
9995	Born abroad	470	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

420 weight_d Design weight, cantonal oversampling**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 0-2, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0, Mean=1.0, StdDev=0.7**Remarks** For more information on weighting, see the appendix of the Selects 2011 brochure available from www.selects.ch.**421 weight_turnout** Turnout weight**Information** Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=4391, Invalid=0, Mean=1.0, StdDev=0.6**Remarks** For more information on weighting, see the appendix of the Selects 2011 brochure available from www.selects.ch.

422 weight_d_turn Design weight * turnout weight

Information	Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 0-4, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=4391, Invalid=0, Mean=1.0, StdDev=1.0
Remarks	For more information on weighting, see the appendix of the Selects 2011 brochure available from www.selects.ch .

423 weight_vote Vote choice weight

Information	Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 1-1, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=4391, Invalid=0, Mean=1.0, StdDev=0.2
Remarks	For more information on weighting, see the appendix of the Selects 2011 brochure available from www.selects.ch .

424 weight_d_vote Design * Vote choice weight

Information	Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 0-3, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=4391, Invalid=0, Mean=1.0, StdDev=0.7
Remarks	For more information on weighting, see the appendix of the Selects 2011 brochure available from www.selects.ch .

425 weight_total Total weight: design * turnout * vote choice

Information	Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 0-4, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=4391, Invalid=0, Mean=1.0, StdDev=1.0
Remarks	For more information on weighting, see the appendix of the Selects 2011 brochure available from www.selects.ch .

426 intdate Date of the interview

Information	Data Type: discrete, Format: Character, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=4391

Frequency table not shown (33 Modalities)

427 ISAMPLE Sample level

Information	Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=4391, Invalid=0

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	National sample	3'260	74.2%
2	Cantonal oversampling	1'131	25.8%

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

428 calls Number of call attempts

Information	Data Type: continuous, Format: numeric, Range: 1-106, Missing: *
Statistics	Valid=2935, Invalid=1456, Mean=9.0, StdDev=11.5

429 INT_ART Additional survey: Mode**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	CAWI	325	18.2%
2	PAPI	1'461	81.8%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

430 ICSTAT_ADD Additional survey: Completed or not**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Komplett	1'786	100.0%
2	Nicht in Zielgruppe	0	0.0%
3	Quotenfehler	0	0.0%
4	Nicht fertig	0	0.0%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

431 intdate_ADD Additional survey: date of completion**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: Character, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786

Frequency table not shown (44 Modalities)

432 SPRACHE_ADD Additional survey: Language**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-3, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Deutsch	1'360	76.1%
2	Français	389	21.8%
3	Italiano	37	2.1%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

433 f12385a R's attachment to his/her community**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1757, Invalid=2634**Literal question** Les gens peuvent se sentir attachés à des degrés divers à leur commune, leur canton, leur région linguistique, à leur pays ou à l'Europe. Veuillez indiquer dans quelle mesure vous vous sentez attachés à:

- Votre commune

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very attached	690	39.3%
2	Rather attached	770	43.8%
3	Rather not attached	236	13.4%
4	Not at all attached	61	3.5%
8	Don't know	3	
9	No answer	26	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

434 f12385b R's attachment to his/her canton**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1757, Invalid=2634**Literal question** Les gens peuvent se sentir attachés à des degrés divers à leur commune, leur canton, leur région linguistique, à leur pays ou à l'Europe. Veuillez indiquer dans quelle mesure vous vous sentez attachés à:

- Votre canton

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very attached	690	39.3%
2	Rather attached	832	47.4%
3	Rather not attached	199	11.3%
4	Not at all attached	36	2.0%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	28	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

435 f12385c R's attachment to his/her linguistic region

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=1740, Invalid=2651

Literal question Les gens peuvent se sentir attachés à des degrés divers à leur commune, leur canton, leur région linguistique, à leur pays ou à l'Europe. Veuillez indiquer dans quelle mesure vous vous sentez attachés à:

- Votre région linguistique (Suisse romande)

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very attached	781	44.9%
2	Rather attached	817	47.0%
3	Rather not attached	123	7.1%
4	Not at all attached	19	1.1%
8	Don't know	6	
9	No answer	40	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

436 f12385d R's attachment to his/her country

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=1761, Invalid=2630

Literal question Les gens peuvent se sentir attachés à des degrés divers à leur commune, leur canton, leur région linguistique, à leur pays ou à l'Europe. Veuillez indiquer dans quelle mesure vous vous sentez attachés à:

- Votre pays (la Suisse)

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very attached	1'039	59.0%
2	Rather attached	650	36.9%
3	Rather not attached	59	3.4%
4	Not at all attached	13	0.7%
8	Don't know	2	
9	No answer	23	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

437 f12385e R's attachment to Europe**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1722, Invalid=2669**Literal question** Les gens peuvent se sentir attachés à des degrés divers à leur commune, leur canton, leur région linguistique, à leur pays ou à l'Europe. Veuillez indiquer dans quelle mesure vous vous sentez attachés à:

- L'Europe comme continent

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very attached	437	25.4%
2	Rather attached	834	48.4%
3	Rather not attached	363	21.1%
4	Not at all attached	88	5.1%
8	Don't know	20	
9	No answer	44	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

438 f12601 Political Activities last 5 yrs: R signed referenda and initiatives**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1734, Invalid=2657**Literal question** A part les votations et les élections, il existe d'autres activités politiques. Au cours des 5 dernières années, avez-vous pris part aux activités politiques suivantes:

- Signer une initiative populaire ou un référen-dum

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	1'295	74.7%
2	No	439	25.3%
8	Don't know	29	
9	No answer	23	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

439 f12602 Political Activities last 5 yrs: R attended political convention**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1739, Invalid=2652**Literal question** A part les votations et les élections, il existe d'autres activités politiques. Au cours des 5 dernières années, avez-vous pris part aux activités politiques suivantes:

- Participer à une assemblée politique

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	549	31.6%
2	No	1'190	68.4%
8	Don't know	7	
9	No answer	40	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

440 f12603 Political Activities last 5 yrs: R collected signatures**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1747, Invalid=2644**Literal question** A part les votations et les élections, il existe d'autres activités politiques. Au cours des 5 dernières années, avez-vous pris part aux activités politiques suivantes:

- Récolter des signatures

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	285	16.3%
2	No	1'462	83.7%
8	Don't know	3	
9	No answer	36	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

441 f12604 Political Activities last 5 yrs: R gave donations**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1749, Invalid=2642**Literal question** A part les votations et les élections, il existe d'autres activités politiques. Au cours des 5 dernières années, avez-vous pris part aux activités politiques suivantes:

- Donner de l'argent à une organisation politique

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	352	20.1%
2	No	1'397	79.9%
8	Don't know	2	
9	No answer	35	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

442 f12605 Political Activities last 5 yrs: R was active in a political party**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1748, Invalid=2643**Literal question** A part les votations et les élections, il existe d'autres activités politiques. Au cours des 5 dernières années, avez-vous pris part aux activités politiques suivantes:

- S'engager pour un parti politique

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	181	10.4%
2	No	1'567	89.6%
8	Don't know	1	
9	No answer	37	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

443 f12606 Political Activities last 5 yrs: R was active in a PAC**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1734, Invalid=2657**Literal question** A part les votations et les élections, il existe d'autres activités politiques. Au cours des 5 dernières années, avez-vous pris part aux activités politiques suivantes:

- S'engager dans un comité d'action

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	165	9.5%
2	No	1'569	90.5%
8	Don't know	11	
9	No answer	41	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

444 f12607 Political Activities last 5 yrs: R attended a demonstration**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1749, Invalid=2642**Literal question** A part les votations et les élections, il existe d'autres activités politiques. Au cours des 5 dernières années, avez-vous pris part aux activités politiques suivantes:

- Participer à une manifestation

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	223	12.8%
2	No	1'526	87.2%
8	Don't know	7	
9	No answer	30	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

445 f12801 R's trust in Federal Council

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=1742, Invalid=2649

Literal question Pouvez-vous dire quelle confiance vous accordez aux institutions et organisations suivantes sur une échelle allant de 0 à 10?

- Le Conseil fédéral

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No trust	35	2.0%
1		14	0.8%
2		25	1.4%
3		72	4.1%
4		77	4.4%
5		284	16.3%
6		223	12.8%
7		381	21.9%
8		407	23.4%
9		111	6.4%
10	Full trust	113	6.5%
98	Don't know	19	
99	No answer	25	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

446 f12802 R's trust in Parliament

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=1720, Invalid=2671

Literal question Pouvez-vous dire quelle confiance vous accordez aux institutions et organisations suivantes sur une échelle allant de 0 à 10?

- L'Assemblée fédérale (Conseil national et Conseil des Etats)

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No trust	23	1.3%
1		17	1.0%
2		50	2.9%
3		86	5.0%
4		122	7.1%
5		347	20.2%
6		288	16.7%
7		370	21.5%
8		270	15.7%
9		98	5.7%
10	Full trust	49	2.8%
98	Don't know	33	
99	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

447 f12803 R's trust in national political parties

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=1702, Invalid=2689

Literal question Pouvez-vous dire quelle confiance vous accordez aux institutions et organisations suivantes sur une échelle allant de 0 à 10?

- Les partis politiques au niveau fédéral

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No trust	44	2.6%
1		24	1.4%
2		88	5.2%
3		152	8.9%
4		212	12.5%
5		447	26.3%
6		309	18.2%
7		263	15.5%
8		113	6.6%
9		31	1.8%
10	Full trust	19	1.1%
98	Don't know	45	
99	No answer	39	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

448 f12804 R's trust in cantonal authorities

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=1734, Invalid=2657

Literal question Pouvez-vous dire quelle confiance vous accordez aux institutions et organisations suivantes sur une échelle allant de 0 à 10?

- Les autorités politiques de votre canton

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No trust	26	1.5%
1		10	0.6%
2		53	3.1%
3		88	5.1%
4		126	7.3%
5		287	16.6%
6		265	15.3%
7		341	19.7%
8		332	19.1%
9		140	8.1%
10	Full trust	66	3.8%
98	Don't know	22	
99	No answer	30	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

449 f12805 R's trust in local authorities

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=1730, Invalid=2661

Literal question Pouvez-vous dire quelle confiance vous accordez aux institutions et organisations suivantes sur une échelle allant de 0 à 10?

- Les autorités politiques de votre commune

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No trust	26	1.5%
1		27	1.6%
2		56	3.2%
3		77	4.5%
4		112	6.5%
5		219	12.7%
6		216	12.5%
7		312	18.0%
8		376	21.7%
9		190	11.0%
10	Full trust	119	6.9%
98	Don't know	23	
99	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

450 f12811 R's trust in Justice/courts

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99

Statistics Valid=1728, Invalid=2663

Literal question Pouvez-vous dire quelle confiance vous accordez aux institutions et organisations suivantes sur une échelle allant de 0 à 10?

- La justice / les tribunaux

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No trust	37	2.1%
1		26	1.5%
2		51	3.0%
3		60	3.5%
4		85	4.9%
5		221	12.8%
6		182	10.5%
7		275	15.9%
8		433	25.1%
9		216	12.5%
10	Full trust	142	8.2%
98	Don't know	32	
99	No answer	26	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

451 f12812 R's trust in police**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=1753, Invalid=2638**Literal question** Pouvez-vous dire quelle confiance vous accordez aux institutions et organisations suivantes sur une échelle allant de 0 à 10?

- La police

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No trust	24	1.4%
1		14	0.8%
2		50	2.9%
3		47	2.7%
4		67	3.8%
5		158	9.0%
6		159	9.1%
7		312	17.8%
8		433	24.7%
9		283	16.1%
10	Full trust	206	11.8%
98	Don't know	14	
99	No answer	19	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

452 f14701 State's responsibility: Provision of affordable childcare for working parents**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1726, Invalid=2665**Literal question** Pensez-vous que c'est la tâche de l'Etat de mettre à la disposition de tous les parents le souhaitant des structures de garde d'enfants abordables afin qu'ils puissent concilier famille et travail?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Definitely should	340	19.7%
2	Probably should	650	37.7%
3	Probably should not	495	28.7%
4	Definitely should not	241	14.0%
8	Don't know	27	
9	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

453 f14702 State's responsibility: Financial help for students and apprentices from low-income families**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1763, Invalid=2628**Literal question** Pensez-vous que c'est la tâche de l'Etat de soutenir financièrement les étudiants et les ap-prentis de familles aux revenus modestes?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Definitely should	762	43.2%
2	Probably should	807	45.8%
3	Probably should not	168	9.5%
4	Definitely should not	26	1.5%
8	Don't know	5	
9	No answer	18	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

454 f14703 State's responsibility: Provision of a decent standard of living for immigrants**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1675, Invalid=2716**Literal question** Pensez-vous que c'est la tâche de l'Etat d'assurer aux immigrants un niveau de vie convenable?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Definitely should	149	8.9%
2	Probably should	437	26.1%
3	Probably should not	674	40.2%
4	Definitely should not	415	24.8%
8	Don't know	43	
9	No answer	68	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

455 f14709r Most pressing problem in social policy, recoded all**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-6, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1258, Invalid=3133**Remarks** Indicates the preference for all respondent who only ranked one problem as number one. Otherwise set as missing. Original variables available.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Support for the unemployed	171	13.6%
2	Old age pensions	217	17.2%
3	Childcare services for working parents	207	16.5%
4	Social assistance for the poor	268	21.3%
5	Health services	319	25.4%
6	None	76	6.0%
8	Don't know	15	
9	No answer	124	
Sysmiss		2'994	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

456 f14709_1 Most pressing problem in social policy: Support for the unemployed**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il le plus urgent?

- Dans le soutien aux chômeurs

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'423	79.7%
1	Yes	363	20.3%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

457 f14709_1r Ranking of social policy problem: Support for the unemployed**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1743, Invalid=2648**Remarks** Recoded to indicate the priority of each social policy problem, if mentioned.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Most pressing	363	20.8%
2	Second most pressing	524	30.1%
3	Third most pressing	414	23.8%
4	Not pressing	442	25.4%
8	Don't know	13	
9	No answer	30	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

458 f14709_2 Most pressing problem in social policy: Old age pensions**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il le plus urgent?

- Dans les retraites

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'345	75.3%
1	Yes	441	24.7%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

459 f14709_2r Ranking of social policy problem: Old age pensions**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1741, Invalid=2650**Remarks** Recoded to indicate the priority of each social policy problem, if mentioned.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Most pressing	439	25.2%
2	Second most pressing	516	29.6%
3	Third most pressing	332	19.1%
4	Not pressing	454	26.1%
8	Don't know	13	
9	No answer	32	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

460 f14709_3 Most pressing problem in social policy: Childcare services for working parents**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il le plus urgent?

- Dans les possibilités de garde d'enfants

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'464	82.0%
1	Yes	322	18.0%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

461 f14709_3r Ranking of social policy problem: Childcare services for working parents**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1742, Invalid=2649**Remarks** Recoded to indicate the priority of each social policy problem, if mentioned.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Most pressing	319	18.3%
2	Second most pressing	370	21.2%
3	Third most pressing	453	26.0%
4	Not pressing	600	34.4%
8	Don't know	13	
9	No answer	31	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

462 f14709_4 Most pressing problem in social policy: Social assistance for the poor**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il le plus urgent?

- Dans l'aide sociale pour les pauvres

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'283	71.8%
1	Yes	503	28.2%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

463 f14709_4r Ranking of social policy problem: Social assistance for the poor**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1738, Invalid=2653**Remarks** Recoded to indicate the priority of each social policy problem, if mentioned.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Most pressing	500	28.8%
2	Second most pressing	490	28.2%
3	Third most pressing	277	15.9%
4	Not pressing	471	27.1%
8	Don't know	13	
9	No answer	35	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

464 f14709_5 Most pressing problem in social policy: Health services**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il le plus urgent?

- Dans la réduction des primes de caisses maladie pour les personnes aux revenus faibles et moyens

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'199	67.1%
1	Yes	587	32.9%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

465 f14709_5r Ranking of social policy problem: Health services**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1741, Invalid=2650**Remarks** Recoded to indicate the priority of each social policy problem, if mentioned.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Most pressing	586	33.7%
2	Second most pressing	425	24.4%
3	Third most pressing	251	14.4%
4	Not pressing	479	27.5%
8	Don't know	13	
9	No answer	32	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

466 f14709_6 Most pressing problem in social policy: None**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il le plus urgent?

- Aucune de ces prestations

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'705	95.5%
1	Yes	81	4.5%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

467 f14709_8 Most pressing problem in social policy: Don't know**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il le plus urgent?

- Ne sait pas

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'771	99.2%
1	Yes	15	0.8%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

468 f14709_9 Most pressing problem in social policy: No answer**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il le plus urgent?

- Pas de réponse

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'662	93.1%
1	Yes	124	6.9%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

469 f14710_1 Second most pressing problem in social policy: Support for the unemployed**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en second lieu?

- Dans le soutien aux chômeurs

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'262	70.7%
1	Yes	524	29.3%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

470 f14710_2 Second most pressing problem in social policy: Old age pensions**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en second lieu?

- Dans les retraites

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'268	71.0%
1	Yes	518	29.0%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

471 f14710_3 Second most pressing problem in social policy: Childcare services for working parents**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en second lieu?

- Dans les possibilités de garde d'enfants

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'415	79.2%
1	Yes	371	20.8%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

472 f14710_4 Second most pressing problem in social policy: Social assistance for the poor**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en second lieu?

- Dans l'aide sociale pour les pauvres

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'293	72.4%
1	Yes	493	27.6%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

473 f14710_5 Second most pressing problem in social policy: Health services**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en second lieu?

- Dans la réduction des primes de caisses maladie pour les personnes aux revenus faibles et moyens

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'360	76.1%
1	Yes	426	23.9%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

474 f14710_6 Second most pressing problem in social policy: None**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en second lieu?

- Aucune de ces prestations

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'741	97.5%
1	Yes	45	2.5%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

475 f14710_8 Second most pressing problem in social policy: Don't know**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en second lieu?

- Ne sait pas

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'762	98.7%
1	Yes	24	1.3%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

476 f14710_9 Second most pressing problem in social policy: No answer**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en second lieu?

- Pas de réponse

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'638	91.7%
1	Yes	148	8.3%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

477 f14711_1 Third most pressing problem in social policy: Support for the unemployed**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en troisième lieu?

- Dans le soutien aux chômeurs

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'372	76.8%
1	Yes	414	23.2%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

478 f14711_2 Third most pressing problem in social policy: Old age pensions**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en troisième lieu?

- Dans les retraites

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'454	81.4%
1	Yes	332	18.6%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

479 f14711_3 Third most pressing problem in social policy: Childcare services for working parents**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en troisième lieu?

- Dans les possibilités de garde d'enfants

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'333	74.6%
1	Yes	453	25.4%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

480 f14711_4 Third most pressing problem in social policy: Social assistance for the poor**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en troisième lieu?

- Dans l'aide sociale pour les pauvres

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'505	84.3%
1	Yes	281	15.7%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

481 f14711_5 Third most pressing problem in social policy: Health services**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en troisième lieu?

- Dans la réduction des primes de caisses maladie pour les personnes aux revenus faibles et moyens

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'533	85.8%
1	Yes	253	14.2%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

482 f14711_6 Third most pressing problem in social policy: None**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en troisième lieu?

- Aucune de ces prestations

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'675	93.8%
1	Yes	111	6.2%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

483 f14711_8 Third most pressing problem in social policy: Don't know**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en troisième lieu?

- Ne sais pas

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'755	98.3%
1	Yes	31	1.7%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

484 f14711_9 Third most pressing problem in social policy: No answer**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** En matière de politique sociale, on manque d'argent pour tout faire. Au cas où l'Etat pourrait développer certaines prestations, dans quel domaine cela vous semble-t-il urgent en troisième lieu?

- Pas de réponse

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'504	84.2%
1	Yes	282	15.8%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

485 f14712r Priority for cutbacks in social policy, recoded all**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-6, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1221, Invalid=3170**Remarks** As in f14709r. Indicates the preference for all respondent who only ranked one problem as number one. Otherwise set as missing. Original variables available.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Support for the unemployed	106	8.7%
2	Old age pensions	73	6.0%
3	Childcare services for working parents	347	28.4%
4	Social assistance for the poor	67	5.5%
5	Health services	116	9.5%
6	None	512	41.9%
8	Don't know	90	
9	No answer	378	
Sysmiss		2'702	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

486 f14712_1 Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Support for the unemployed**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il surtout couper?

- Dans le soutien aux chômeurs

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'628	91.2%
1	Yes	158	8.8%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

487 f14712_1r Ranking of social policy cutback: Support for the unemployed**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1609, Invalid=2782**Remarks** Recoded to indicate the priority of each social policy cutback, if mentioned.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	First priority	158	9.8%
2	Second priority	339	21.1%
3	Third priority	352	21.9%
4	No priority	760	47.2%
8	Don't know	53	
9	No answer	124	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

488 f14712_2 Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Old age pensions**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il surtout couper?

- Dans les retraites

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'685	94.3%
1	Yes	101	5.7%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

489 f14712_2r Ranking of social policy cutback: Old age pensions**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1608, Invalid=2783**Remarks** Recoded to indicate the priority of each social policy cutback, if mentioned.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	First priority	100	6.2%
2	Second priority	270	16.8%
3	Third priority	374	23.3%
4	No priority	864	53.7%
8	Don't know	53	
9	No answer	125	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

490 f14712_3 Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Childcare services for working parents**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il surtout couper?

- Dans les possibilités de garde d'enfants

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'384	77.5%
1	Yes	402	22.5%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

491 f14712_3r Ranking of social policy cutback: Childcare services for working parents**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1608, Invalid=2783**Remarks** Recoded to indicate the priority of each social policy cutback, if mentioned.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	First priority	401	24.9%
2	Second priority	288	17.9%
3	Third priority	244	15.2%
4	No priority	675	42.0%
8	Don't know	53	
9	No answer	125	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

492 f14712_4 Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Social assistance for the poor**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il surtout couper?

- Dans l'aide sociale pour les pauvres

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'675	93.8%
1	Yes	111	6.2%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

493 f14712_4r Ranking of social policy cutback: Social assistance for the poor**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1609, Invalid=2782**Remarks** Recoded to indicate the priority of each social policy cutback, if mentioned.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	First priority	111	6.9%
2	Second priority	305	19.0%
3	Third priority	314	19.5%
4	No priority	879	54.6%
8	Don't know	53	
9	No answer	124	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

494 f14712_5 Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Health services**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il surtout couper?

- Dans la réduction des primes de caisses maladie pour les personnes aux revenus faibles et moyens

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'624	90.9%
1	Yes	162	9.1%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

495 f14712_5r Ranking of social policy cutback: Health services**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1607, Invalid=2784**Remarks** Recoded to indicate the priority of each social policy cutback, if mentioned.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	First priority	160	10.0%
2	Second priority	318	19.8%
3	Third priority	321	20.0%
4	No priority	808	50.3%
8	Don't know	53	
9	No answer	126	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

496 f14712_6 Priority for cutbacks in social policy: None**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il surtout couper?

- Aucune de ces prestations

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'262	70.7%
1	Yes	524	29.3%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

497 f14712_8 Priority for cutbacks in social policy: Don't know**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il surtout couper?

- Ne sais pas

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'696	95.0%
1	Yes	90	5.0%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

498 f14712_9 Priority for cutbacks in social policy: No answer**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il surtout couper?

- Pas de réponse

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'408	78.8%
1	Yes	378	21.2%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

499 f14713_1 Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Support for the unemployed**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en second lieu?

- Dans le soutien aux chômeurs

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'447	81.0%
1	Yes	339	19.0%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

500 f14713_2 Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Old age pensions**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en second lieu?

- Dans les retraites

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'516	84.9%
1	Yes	270	15.1%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

501 f14713_3 Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Childcare services for working parents**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en second lieu?

- Dans les possibilités de garde d'enfants

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'497	83.8%
1	Yes	289	16.2%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

502 f14713_4 Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Social assistance for the poor**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en second lieu?

- Dans l'aide sociale pour les pauvres

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'481	82.9%
1	Yes	305	17.1%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

503 f14713_5 Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Health services**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en second lieu?

- Dans la réduction des primes de caisses maladie pour les personnes aux revenus faibles et moyens

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'467	82.1%
1	Yes	319	17.9%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

504 f14713_6 Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: None**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en second lieu?

- Aucune de ces prestations

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'587	88.9%
1	Yes	199	11.1%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

505 f14713_8 Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: Don't know**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en second lieu?

- Ne sait pas

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'674	93.7%
1	Yes	112	6.3%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

506 f14713_9 Second priority for cutbacks in social policy: No answer**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en second lieu?

- Pas de réponse

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'287	72.1%
1	Yes	499	27.9%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

507 f14714_1 Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Support for the unemployed**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en troisième lieu?

- Dans le soutien aux chômeurs

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'434	80.3%
1	Yes	352	19.7%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

508 f14714_2 Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Old age pensions**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en troisième lieu?

- Dans les retraites

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'411	79.0%
1	Yes	375	21.0%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

509 f14714_3 Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Childcare services for working parents**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en troisième lieu?

- Dans les possibilités de garde d'enfants

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'542	86.3%
1	Yes	244	13.7%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

510 f14714_4 Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Social assistance for the poor**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en troisième lieu?

- Dans l'aide sociale pour les pauvres

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'472	82.4%
1	Yes	314	17.6%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

511 f14714_5 Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Health services**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en troisième lieu?

- Dans la réduction des primes de caisses maladie pour les personnes aux revenus faibles et moyens

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'464	82.0%
1	Yes	322	18.0%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

512 f14714_6 Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: None**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en troisième lieu?

- Aucune de ces prestations

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'504	84.2%
1	Yes	282	15.8%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

513 f14714_8 Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: Don't know**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en troisième lieu?

- Ne sait pas

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'675	93.8%
1	Yes	111	6.2%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

514 f14714_9 Third priority for cutbacks in social policy: No answer**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** D'autres disent que l'Etat devrait diminuer les prestations sociales. Si l'Etat le faisait, dans quel domaine faudrait-il couper en troisième lieu?

- Pas de réponse

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'282	71.8%
1	Yes	504	28.2%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

515 f14715 Welfare proposition: High-income earners should receive larger pensions than low-income earners**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1749, Invalid=2642**Literal question** Les critères d'attribution du montant de l'AVS sont un sujet controversé. Certains pensent que les personnes à hauts revenus devraient avoir une rente plus importante puisqu'ils ont cotisé d'avantage. D'autres pensent que les personnes à bas revenus devraient avoir une rente plus élevée car ils en ont une plus grande nécessité. Qu'en pensez-vous ? Pensez-vous que les personnes à hauts revenus devraient avoir une rente plus importante que les personnes à bas revenus?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	113	6.5%
2	Rather agree	329	18.8%
3	Rather disagree	512	29.3%
4	Totally disagree	795	45.5%
8	Don't know	23	
9	No answer	14	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

516 f14716 Welfare proposition: Low-income earners should receive larger pensions than high-income earners

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=1731, Invalid=2660

Literal question Et êtes-vous d'accord que les personnes à bas revenus devraient avoir une rente plus élevée que les personnes à hauts revenus?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	288	16.6%
2	Rather agree	521	30.1%
3	Rather disagree	511	29.5%
4	Totally disagree	411	23.7%
8	Don't know	34	
9	No answer	21	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

517 f14717 Welfare proposition: High-income earners should receive more unemployment benefits than low-income earners

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=1716, Invalid=2675

Literal question Même controverse sur les montants alloués par l'assurance chômage. Certains pensent que les personnes à hauts revenus devraient avoir une rente plus élevée car ils ont contribué d'avantage, d'autres pensent que les personnes à bas revenus devraient avoir une prestation plus élevée car ils en ont une plus grande nécessité. Qu'en pensez-vous ? Pensez-vous que les personnes à hauts revenus devraient avoir une rente plus importante que les personnes à bas revenus?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	113	6.6%
2	Rather agree	470	27.4%
3	Rather disagree	581	33.9%
4	Totally disagree	552	32.2%
8	Don't know	46	
9	No answer	24	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

518 f14718 Welfare proposition: Low-income earners should receive more unemployment benefits than high-income earners

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-4, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=1703, Invalid=2688

Literal question Pensez-vous que les personnes à bas revenus devraient avoir une prestation plus élevée que les personnes à hauts revenus?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	203	11.9%
2	Rather agree	540	31.7%
3	Rather disagree	595	34.9%
4	Totally disagree	365	21.4%
8	Don't know	50	
9	No answer	33	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

519 f14803 Immigration proposition: Too many high-skilled immigrants in Switzerland

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=1603, Invalid=2788

Literal question Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- Il y a trop d'immigrants hautement qualifiés en Suisse.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	107	6.7%
2	Rather agree	299	18.7%
3	Neither nor	487	30.4%
4	Rather disagree	419	26.1%
5	Totally disagree	291	18.2%
8	Don't know	123	
9	No answer	60	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

520 f14804 Immigration proposition: Too many low-skilled immigrants in Switzerland**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1630, Invalid=2761**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- Il y a trop d'immigrants peu qualifiés en Suisse.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	367	22.5%
2	Rather agree	565	34.7%
3	Neither nor	332	20.4%
4	Rather disagree	247	15.2%
5	Totally disagree	119	7.3%
8	Don't know	101	
9	No answer	55	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

521 f14805 Immigration proposition: Too many Muslim immigrants in Switzerland**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1602, Invalid=2789**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- Il y a trop d'immigrants musulmans en Suisse.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	477	29.8%
2	Rather agree	419	26.2%
3	Neither nor	316	19.7%
4	Rather disagree	195	12.2%
5	Totally disagree	195	12.2%
8	Don't know	96	
9	No answer	88	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

522 f14810 Immigration proposition: Muslim women should have the right to wear a religious headscarf in public

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=1697, Invalid=2694

Literal question Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- En Suisse, les femmes musulmanes devraient avoir le droit de porter un foulard traditionnel en public.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	198	11.7%
2	Rather agree	388	22.9%
3	Neither nor	152	9.0%
4	Rather disagree	358	21.1%
5	Totally disagree	601	35.4%
8	Don't know	28	
9	No answer	61	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

523 f14811 Immigration proposition: Muslims should have the right to construct minarets

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=1711, Invalid=2680

Literal question Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- En Suisse, les musulmans devraient avoir le droit de construire des minarets.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	180	10.5%
2	Rather agree	331	19.3%
3	Neither nor	151	8.8%
4	Rather disagree	313	18.3%
5	Totally disagree	736	43.0%
8	Don't know	24	
9	No answer	51	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

524 f14812 Immigration proposition: Government should financially support language classes for immigrants

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=1737, Invalid=2654

Literal question Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- Pour favoriser l'intégration, les autorités gouvernementales devraient subventionner des cours de langue pour les immigrants.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	358	20.6%
2	Rather agree	669	38.5%
3	Neither nor	149	8.6%
4	Rather disagree	263	15.1%
5	Totally disagree	298	17.2%
8	Don't know	11	
9	No answer	38	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

525 f14813 Immigration proposition: Immigrants living in Switzerland for at least 10 years should be granted the right to vote on cantonal level

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=1716, Invalid=2675

Literal question Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- Pour favoriser l'intégration, on devrait accorder aux immigrants ayant résidé en Suisse pendant au moins 10 ans le droit de voter au niveau cantonal.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	201	11.7%
2	Rather agree	398	23.2%
3	Neither nor	163	9.5%
4	Rather disagree	358	20.9%
5	Totally disagree	596	34.7%
8	Don't know	26	
9	No answer	44	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

526 f14814 Immigration proposition: Swiss culture is composed of a collection of cultures**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1688, Invalid=2703**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- La culture suisse est constituée d'un assemblage de plusieurs cultures.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	658	39.0%
2	Rather agree	625	37.0%
3	Neither nor	160	9.5%
4	Rather disagree	159	9.4%
5	Totally disagree	86	5.1%
8	Don't know	33	
9	No answer	65	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

527 f14815 Immigration proposition: Cultural life is enriched by people coming from other countries**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1714, Invalid=2677**Literal question** Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- D'une manière générale, les gens qui viennent d'autres pays s'installer en Suisse sont en général un enrichissement pour la vie culturelle de ce pays.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	359	20.9%
2	Rather agree	621	36.2%
3	Neither nor	331	19.3%
4	Rather disagree	258	15.1%
5	Totally disagree	145	8.5%
8	Don't know	28	
9	No answer	44	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

528 f14816 Immigration proposition: Immigrants may keep their own traditions and values when they come to live in Switzerland

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=1702, Invalid=2689

Literal question Dans quelle mesure êtes-vous d'accord ou pas d'accord avec les propositions suivantes?

- Les immigrants ont le droit de conserver leurs pro-pres traditions et valeurs lorsqu'ils viennent s'installer en Suisse.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	188	11.0%
2	Rather agree	614	36.1%
3	Neither nor	326	19.2%
4	Rather disagree	386	22.7%
5	Totally disagree	188	11.0%
8	Don't know	22	
9	No answer	62	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

529 f14817 Personal contact with immigrants from former Yugoslavia or Albania

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=1737, Invalid=2654

Literal question A quelle fréquence avez-vous des contacts personnels (par exemple, dans votre voisinage ou à votre travail) avec des immigrants d'Ex-Yougoslavie ou d'Albanie?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very often	275	15.8%
2	Rather often	332	19.1%
3	Sometimes	563	32.4%
4	Rarely	407	23.4%
5	Never	160	9.2%
8	Don't know	12	
9	No answer	37	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

530 f14818 Personal contact with Germans, French, Italians**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1761, Invalid=2630**Remarks** Filtered by questionnaire language: Germans if questionnaire in German, etc.**Literal question** A quelle fréquence avez-vous des contacts personnels (par exemple dans votre voisinage ou votre lieu de travail) avec des Français?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very often	620	35.2%
2	Rather often	509	28.9%
3	Sometimes	446	25.3%
4	Rarely	150	8.5%
5	Never	36	2.0%
8	Don't know	2	
9	No answer	23	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

531 f14819 Valuation of personal contact with immigrants from former Yugoslavia or Albania**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1606, Invalid=2785**Literal question** Si, dans le passé, il vous est arrivé d'avoir des contacts avec des immigrants d'Ex-Yougoslavie ou d'Albanie, ces contacts ont-ils été positifs ou négatifs?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very positive	170	10.6%
2	Rather positive	534	33.3%
3	Neither nor	574	35.7%
4	Rather negative	263	16.4%
5	Very negative	65	4.0%
8	Don't know	26	
9	No answer	154	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

532 f14820 Valuation of personal contact with Germans, French, Italians**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1743, Invalid=2648**Remarks** Filtered by questionnaire language: Germans if questionnaire in German, etc.**Literal question** Si, dans le passé, il vous est arrivé d'avoir des contacts avec des Français, ces contacts ont-ils été positifs ou négatifs?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Very positive	363	20.8%
2	Rather positive	905	51.9%
3	Neither nor	370	21.2%
4	Rather negative	92	5.3%
5	Very negative	13	0.7%
8	Don't know	9	
9	No answer	34	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

533 f14901 Sympathy for political party - FDP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=1615, Invalid=2776**Literal question** Pourriez-vous indiquer, sur une échelle de 0 à 10, quelle sympathie vous éprouvez pour les partis suivants:

- PLR

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No sympathy at all	43	2.7%
1		47	2.9%
2		109	6.7%
3		173	10.7%
4		171	10.6%
5		353	21.9%
6		215	13.3%
7		203	12.6%
8		182	11.3%
9		57	3.5%
10	A lot of sympathy	62	3.8%
98	Don't know	76	
99	No answer	95	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

534 f14902 Sympathy for political party - CVP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=1627, Invalid=2764**Literal question** Pourriez-vous indiquer, sur une échelle de 0 à 10, quelle sympathie vous éprouvez pour les partis suivants:

- PDC

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No sympathy at all	44	2.7%
1		31	1.9%
2		73	4.5%
3		139	8.5%
4		176	10.8%
5		372	22.9%
6		301	18.5%
7		192	11.8%
8		166	10.2%
9		58	3.6%
10	A lot of sympathy	75	4.6%
98	Don't know	67	
99	No answer	92	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

535 f14903 Sympathy for political party - SVP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=1674, Invalid=2717**Literal question** Pourriez-vous indiquer, sur une échelle de 0 à 10, quelle sympathie vous éprouvez pour les partis suivants:

- UDC

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No sympathy at all	592	35.4%
1		149	8.9%
2		136	8.1%
3		100	6.0%
4		72	4.3%
5		118	7.0%
6		79	4.7%
7		100	6.0%
8		126	7.5%
9		80	4.8%
10	A lot of sympathy	122	7.3%
98	Don't know	37	
99	No answer	75	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

536 f14904 Sympathy for political party - SP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=1647, Invalid=2744**Literal question** Pourriez-vous indiquer, sur une échelle de 0 à 10, quelle sympathie vous éprouvez pour les partis suivants:

- PS

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No sympathy at all	119	7.2%
1		76	4.6%
2		141	8.6%
3		135	8.2%
4		152	9.2%
5		221	13.4%
6		139	8.4%
7		176	10.7%
8		203	12.3%
9		143	8.7%
10	A lot of sympathy	142	8.6%
98	Don't know	53	
99	No answer	86	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

537 f14905 Sympathy for political party - GPS**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=1657, Invalid=2734**Literal question** Pourriez-vous indiquer, sur une échelle de 0 à 10, quelle sympathie vous éprouvez pour les partis suivants:

- Verts

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No sympathy at all	143	8.6%
1		106	6.4%
2		132	8.0%
3		152	9.2%
4		146	8.8%
5		203	12.3%
6		155	9.4%
7		178	10.7%
8		204	12.3%
9		120	7.2%
10	A lot of sympathy	118	7.1%
98	Don't know	51	
99	No answer	78	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

538 f14906 Sympathy for political party - BDP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=1538, Invalid=2853**Literal question** Pourriez-vous indiquer, sur une échelle de 0 à 10, quelle sympathie vous éprouvez pour les partis suivants:

- Parti Bourgeois Démocratique (PBD)

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No sympathy at all	84	5.5%
1		72	4.7%
2		104	6.8%
3		132	8.6%
4		152	9.9%
5		289	18.8%
6		209	13.6%
7		199	12.9%
8		163	10.6%
9		77	5.0%
10	A lot of sympathy	57	3.7%
98	Don't know	143	
99	No answer	105	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

539 f14907 Sympathy for political party - GLP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-10, Missing: */98/99**Statistics** Valid=1547, Invalid=2844**Literal question** Pourriez-vous indiquer, sur une échelle de 0 à 10, quelle sympathie vous éprouvez pour les partis suivants:

- Verts libéraux (PVL)

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No sympathy at all	52	3.4%
1		60	3.9%
2		98	6.3%
3		114	7.4%
4		158	10.2%
5		270	17.5%
6		211	13.6%
7		217	14.0%
8		206	13.3%
9		89	5.8%
10	A lot of sympathy	72	4.7%
98	Don't know	136	
99	No answer	103	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

540 n13_031 Trade union: Member**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Pre-question** Les questions suivantes portent sur les organisations, associations ou fédérations auxquelles on peut adhérer volontairement.**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Membre:

- Un syndicat

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'553	87.0%
1	Yes	233	13.0%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

541 n13_032 Trade union: Participation in activities**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. A participé:

- Un syndicat

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'730	96.9%
1	Yes	56	3.1%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

542 n13_033 Trade union: Donations**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Donateur:

- Un syndicat

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'745	97.7%
1	Yes	41	2.3%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

543 n13_034 Trade union: Honorary position**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Travail bénévole:

- Un syndicat

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'774	99.3%
1	Yes	12	0.7%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

544 n13_035 Trade union: No acitivity**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Ne s'applique pas:

- Un syndicat

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	288	16.1%
1	Yes	1'498	83.9%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

545 n13_041 Business or professional organisation: Member**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Membre:

- Une organisation professionnelle ou commerciale (y compris d'agriculteurs)

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'497	83.8%
1	Yes	289	16.2%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

546 n13_042 Business or professional organisation: Participation in activities**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. A participé:

- Une organisation professionnelle ou commerciale (y compris d'agriculteurs)

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'672	93.6%
1	Yes	114	6.4%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

547 n13_043 Business or professional organisation: Donations**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Donateur:

- Une organisation professionnelle ou commerciale (y compris d'agriculteurs)

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'748	97.9%
1	Yes	38	2.1%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

548 n13_044 Business or professional organisation: Honorary position**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Travail bénévole:

- Une organisation professionnelle ou commerciale (y compris d'agriculteurs)

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'743	97.6%
1	Yes	43	2.4%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

549 n13_045 Business or professional organisation: No activity**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Ne s'applique pas:

- Une organisation professionnelle ou commerciale (y compris d'agriculteurs)

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	396	22.2%
1	Yes	1'390	77.8%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

550 n13_051 Consumer protection organisation: Member**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Membre:

- Une association de consommateurs

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'711	95.8%
1	Yes	75	4.2%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

551 n13_052 Consumer protection organisation: Participation in activities**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. A participé:

- Une association de consommateurs

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'762	98.7%
1	Yes	24	1.3%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

552 n13_053 Consumer protection organisation: Donations**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Donateur:

- Une association de consommateurs

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'703	95.4%
1	Yes	83	4.6%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

553 n13_054 Consumer protection organisation: Honorary position**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Travail bénévole:

- Une association de consommateurs

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'781	99.7%
1	Yes	5	0.3%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

554 n13_055 Consumer protection organisation: No activity**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Ne s'applique pas:

- Une association de consommateurs

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	174	9.7%
1	Yes	1'612	90.3%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

555 n13_071 Human rights organisation: Member**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Membre:

- Une organisation d'aide humanitaire, de défense des droits de l'homme ou pour la paix

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'647	92.2%
1	Yes	139	7.8%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

556 n13_072 Human rights organisation: Participation in activities**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. A participé:

- Une organisation d'aide humanitaire, de défense des droits de l'homme ou pour la paix

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'724	96.5%
1	Yes	62	3.5%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

557 n13_073 Human rights organisation: Donations**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Donateur:

- Une organisation d'aide humanitaire, de défense des droits de l'homme ou pour la paix

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'207	67.6%
1	Yes	579	32.4%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

558 n13_074 Human rights organisation: Honorary position**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Travail bénévole:

- Une organisation d'aide humanitaire, de défense des droits de l'homme ou pour la paix

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'745	97.7%
1	Yes	41	2.3%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

559 n13_075 Human rights organisation: No activity**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Ne s'applique pas:

- Une organisation d'aide humanitaire, de défense des droits de l'homme ou pour la paix

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	708	39.6%
1	Yes	1'078	60.4%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

560 n13_081 Environmental protection organisation: Member**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Membre:

- Une organisation pour la protection de l'environnement

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'589	89.0%
1	Yes	197	11.0%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

561 n13_082 Environmental protection organisation: Participation in activities**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. A participé:

- Une organisation pour la protection de l'environnement

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'718	96.2%
1	Yes	68	3.8%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

562 n13_083 Environmental protection organisation: Donations**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Donateur:

- Une organisation pour la protection de l'environnement

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'343	75.2%
1	Yes	443	24.8%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

563 n13_084 Environmental protection organisation: Honorary position**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Travail bénévole:

- Une organisation pour la protection de l'environnement

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'768	99.0%
1	Yes	18	1.0%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

564 n13_085 Environmental protection organisation: No activity**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Ne s'applique pas:

- Une organisation pour la protection de l'environnement

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	610	34.2%
1	Yes	1'176	65.8%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

565 n13_101 Religious organisation: Member**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Membre:

- Une organisation religieuse ou liée à une église

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'376	77.0%
1	Yes	410	23.0%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

566 n13_102 Religious organisation: Participation in activities**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. A participé:

- Une organisation religieuse ou liée à une église

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'589	89.0%
1	Yes	197	11.0%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

567 n13_103 Religious organisation: Donations**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Donateur:

- Une organisation religieuse ou liée à une église

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'546	86.6%
1	Yes	240	13.4%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

568 n13_104 Religious organisation: Honorary position**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Travail bénévole:

- Une organisation religieuse ou liée à une église

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'689	94.6%
1	Yes	97	5.4%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

569 n13_105 Religious organisation: No activity**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Ne s'applique pas:

- Une organisation religieuse ou liée à une église

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	703	39.4%
1	Yes	1'083	60.6%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

570 n13_111 Political party: Member**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Membre:

- Un parti politique

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'613	90.3%
1	Yes	173	9.7%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

571 n13_112 Political party: Participation in activities**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. A participé:

- Un parti politique

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'705	95.5%
1	Yes	81	4.5%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

572 n13_113 Political party: Donations**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Donateur:

- Un parti politique

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'645	92.1%
1	Yes	141	7.9%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

573 n13_114 Political party: Honorary position**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Travail bénévole:

- Un parti politique

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'763	98.7%
1	Yes	23	1.3%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

574 n13_115 Political party: No activity**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: ***Statistics** Valid=1786, Invalid=2605**Literal question** Veuillez indiquer si, actuellement ou au cours des 12 derniers mois, vous êtes ou avez été membre de ces associations, vous avez parti-cipé à leurs activités, vous leur avez donné de l'argent, si vous avez exercé une activité bénévole ou si aucune de ces affirmations ne s'applique pour vous. Ne s'applique pas:

- Un parti politique

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	331	18.5%
1	Yes	1'455	81.5%
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

575 f58001 More vs. less public expenditure: Health**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1687, Invalid=2704**Literal question** Pensez-vous que l'Etat devrait augmenter ou réduire ses dépenses dans chacun des secteurs suivants? Rappelez-vous que si vous choisissez de les augmenter, cela pourrait entraîner une augmentation des impôts et si vous choisissez de les réduire, cela pourrait entraîner une réduction des services concernés.

- La santé

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more	84	5.0%
2	More	451	26.7%
3	Same as now	839	49.7%
4	Less	271	16.1%
5	Much less	42	2.5%
8	Don't know	30	
9	No answer	69	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

576 f58002 More vs. less public expenditure: Education**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1695, Invalid=2696**Literal question** Pensez-vous que l'Etat devrait augmenter ou réduire ses dépenses dans chacun des secteurs suivants?
Rappelez-vous que si vous choisissez de les augmenter, cela pourrait entraîner une augmentation des impôts et si vous choisissez de les réduire, cela pourrait entraîner une réduction des services concernés.

- L'éducation

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more	221	13.0%
2	More	762	45.0%
3	Same as now	644	38.0%
4	Less	58	3.4%
5	Much less	10	0.6%
8	Don't know	29	
9	No answer	62	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

577 f58003 More vs. less public expenditure: Unemployment benefits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1676, Invalid=2715**Literal question** Pensez-vous que l'Etat devrait augmenter ou réduire ses dépenses dans chacun des secteurs suivants?
Rappelez-vous que si vous choisissez de les augmenter, cela pourrait entraîner une augmentation des impôts et si vous choisissez de les réduire, cela pourrait entraîner une réduction des services concernés.

- Le domaine des prestations de chômage

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more	53	3.2%
2	More	329	19.6%
3	Same as now	1'028	61.3%
4	Less	231	13.8%
5	Much less	35	2.1%
8	Don't know	41	
9	No answer	69	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

578 f58004 More vs. less public expenditure: Defence**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1669, Invalid=2722**Literal question** Pensez-vous que l'Etat devrait augmenter ou réduire ses dépenses dans chacun des secteurs suivants?
Rappelez-vous que si vous choisissez de les augmenter, cela pourrait entraîner une augmentation des impôts et si vous choisissez de les réduire, cela pourrait entraîner une réduction des services concernés.

- La défense

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more	26	1.6%
2	More	114	6.8%
3	Same as now	393	23.5%
4	Less	498	29.8%
5	Much less	638	38.2%
8	Don't know	50	
9	No answer	67	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

579 f58005 More vs. less public expenditure: Old-age pensions**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1691, Invalid=2700**Literal question** Pensez-vous que l'Etat devrait augmenter ou réduire ses dépenses dans chacun des secteurs suivants?
Rappelez-vous que si vous choisissez de les augmenter, cela pourrait entraîner une augmentation des impôts et si vous choisissez de les réduire, cela pourrait entraîner une réduction des services concernés.

- Le domaine des retraites

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more	79	4.7%
2	More	537	31.8%
3	Same as now	977	57.8%
4	Less	83	4.9%
5	Much less	15	0.9%
8	Don't know	34	
9	No answer	61	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

580 f58006 More vs. less public expenditure: Business and industry**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1607, Invalid=2784**Literal question** Pensez-vous que l'Etat devrait augmenter ou réduire ses dépenses dans chacun des secteurs suivants?
Rappelez-vous que si vous choisissez de les augmenter, cela pourrait entraîner une augmentation des impôts et si vous choisissez de les réduire, cela pourrait entraîner une réduction des services concernés.

- Le domaine du commerce et de l'industrie

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more	11	0.7%
2	More	201	12.5%
3	Same as now	883	54.9%
4	Less	392	24.4%
5	Much less	120	7.5%
8	Don't know	88	
9	No answer	91	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

581 f58007 More vs. less public expenditure: Police and law enforcement**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1664, Invalid=2727**Literal question** Pensez-vous que l'Etat devrait augmenter ou réduire ses dépenses dans chacun des secteurs suivants?
Rappelez-vous que si vous choisissez de les augmenter, cela pourrait entraîner une augmentation des impôts et si vous choisissez de les réduire, cela pourrait entraîner une réduction des services concernés.

- Le domaine de la police et de la justice

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more	62	3.7%
2	More	452	27.2%
3	Same as now	918	55.2%
4	Less	174	10.5%
5	Much less	58	3.5%
8	Don't know	54	
9	No answer	68	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

582 f58008 More vs. less public expenditure: Welfare benefits**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1685, Invalid=2706**Literal question** Pensez-vous que l'Etat devrait augmenter ou réduire ses dépenses dans chacun des secteurs suivants?
Rappelez-vous que si vous choisissez de les augmenter, cela pourrait entraîner une augmentation des impôts et si vous choisissez de les réduire, cela pourrait entraîner une réduction des services concernés.

- Le domaine de l'aide sociale

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Much more	73	4.3%
2	More	436	25.9%
3	Same as now	827	49.1%
4	Less	272	16.1%
5	Much less	77	4.6%
8	Don't know	43	
9	No answer	58	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

583 f58009 Government should reduce differences in income levels**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1716, Invalid=2675**Literal question** Merci de dire dans quelle mesure vous êtes d'accord ou non avec la déclaration suivante: "Le gouvernement devrait prendre des mesures pour réduire les écarts de revenus."

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Strongly agree	564	32.9%
2	Somewhat agree	601	35.0%
3	Neither nor	233	13.6%
4	Somewhat disagree	172	10.0%
5	Strongly disagree	146	8.5%
8	Don't know	24	
9	No answer	46	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

584 f58010 Importance of who is in power (scale)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1714, Invalid=2677**Literal question** Certains disent que, quelle que soit la personne au pouvoir, cela ne fait aucune différence. D'autres disent que cela fait au contraire une grande différence. Sur une échelle de 1 à 5 où vous placeriez-vous?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Doesn't make any difference	71	4.1%
2		108	6.3%
3		308	18.0%
4		536	31.3%
5	Makes a big difference	691	40.3%
8	Don't know	29	
9	No answer	43	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

585 f58011 Importance of whom to vote for (scale)**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1728, Invalid=2663**Literal question** Certains disent que, quelle que soit la personne pour qui on vote, ça ne changera rien. D'autres disent qu'au contraire cela fait une grande différence. Sur une échelle de 1 à 5, où vous placeriez-vous?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Doesn't make any difference	67	3.9%
2		90	5.2%
3		308	17.8%
4		582	33.7%
5	Makes a big difference	681	39.4%
8	Don't know	21	
9	No answer	37	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

586 f58013a Contact via letter by party or candidate**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1732, Invalid=2659

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	1'076	62.1%
2	No	656	37.9%
8	Don't know	16	
9	No answer	37	
Sysmiss		2'606	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

587 f58013 Personally contacted by party or candidate**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1695, Invalid=2696**Literal question** Pendant la campagne, est-ce qu'un parti ou un candidat vous a contacté(e)? Ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre en personne, directement?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	285	16.8%
2	No	1'410	83.2%
8	Don't know	7	
9	No answer	84	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

588 f58014 Contact via phone by party or candidate**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1675, Invalid=2716**Literal question** Pendant la campagne, est-ce qu'un parti ou un candidat vous a contacté(e)? Vous ont-ils contacté(e) par téléphone?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	47	2.8%
2	No	1'628	97.2%
8	Don't know	5	
9	No answer	106	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

589 f58015 Contact via SMS by party or candidate**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1664, Invalid=2727**Literal question** Pendant la campagne, est-ce qu'un parti ou un candidat vous a contacté(e)? Vous ont-ils contacté(e) par message texte ou SMS?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	41	2.5%
2	No	1'623	97.5%
8	Don't know	5	
9	No answer	117	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

590 f58016 Contact via email by party or candidate**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1667, Invalid=2724**Literal question** Pendant la campagne, est-ce qu'un parti ou un candidat vous a contacté(e)? Vous ont-ils contacté(e) par courrier électronique ou email?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	207	12.4%
2	No	1'460	87.6%
8	Don't know	8	
9	No answer	111	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

591 f58017 Contact via social network by party or candidate**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1646, Invalid=2745**Literal question** Pendant la campagne, est-ce qu'un parti ou un candidat vous a contacté(e)? Vous ont-ils contacté à travers un réseau social tel que Facebook ou une autre méthode internet?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	94	5.7%
2	No	1'552	94.3%
8	Don't know	15	
9	No answer	125	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

592 f58018_1 Contact by CVP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1640, Invalid=2751**Literal question** Quel(s) parti(s) ou candidat(s) de quel(s) parti(s) vous a/ont contacté(e) par l'un de ces moyens?

- PDC - Parti démocrate-chrétien

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'223	74.6%
1	Yes	417	25.4%
8	Don't know	62	
9	No answer	84	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

593 f58018_2 Contact by FDP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1640, Invalid=2751**Literal question** Quel(s) parti(s) ou candidat(s) de quel(s) parti(s) vous a/ont contacté(e) par l'un de ces moyens?

- PLR. Les Libéraux-Radicaux

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'177	71.8%
1	Yes	463	28.2%
8	Don't know	62	
9	No answer	84	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

594 f58018_3 Contact by SP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1640, Invalid=2751**Literal question** Quel(s) parti(s) ou candidat(s) de quel(s) parti(s) vous a/ont contacté(e) par l'un de ces moyens?

- PS - Parti socialiste

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'204	73.4%
1	Yes	436	26.6%
8	Don't know	62	
9	No answer	84	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

595 f58018_4 Contact by SVP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1640, Invalid=2751**Literal question** Quel(s) parti(s) ou candidat(s) de quel(s) parti(s) vous a/ont contacté(e) par l'un de ces moyens?

- UDC - Union Démocratique du centre

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'171	71.4%
1	Yes	469	28.6%
8	Don't know	62	
9	No answer	84	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

596 f58018_5 Contact by GPS**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1640, Invalid=2751**Literal question** Quel(s) parti(s) ou candidat(s) de quel(s) parti(s) vous a/ont contacté(e) par l'un de ces moyens?

- Les Verts / Parti écologiste

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'419	86.5%
1	Yes	221	13.5%
8	Don't know	62	
9	No answer	84	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

597 f58018_6 Contact by GLP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1640, Invalid=2751**Literal question** Quel(s) parti(s) ou candidat(s) de quel(s) parti(s) vous a/ont contacté(e) par l'un de ces moyens?

- Vert'libéraux

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'499	91.4%
1	Yes	141	8.6%
8	Don't know	62	
9	No answer	84	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

598 f58018_7 Contact by BDP**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1640, Invalid=2751**Literal question** Quel(s) parti(s) ou candidat(s) de quel(s) parti(s) vous a/ont contacté(e) par l'un de ces moyens?

- PBD - Parti bourgeois démocratique

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'518	92.6%
1	Yes	122	7.4%
8	Don't know	62	
9	No answer	84	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

599 f58018_8 Contact by other party**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1640, Invalid=2751**Literal question** Quel(s) parti(s) ou candidat(s) de quel(s) parti(s) vous a/ont contacté(e) par l'un de ces moyens?

- Autre parti

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	1'562	95.2%
1	Yes	78	4.8%
8	Don't know	62	
9	No answer	84	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

600 f58018_9 No contact by any party**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 0-1, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1640, Invalid=2751**Literal question** Quel(s) parti(s) ou candidat(s) de quel(s) parti(s) vous a/ont contacté(e) par l'un de ces moyens?

- Aucun

Value	Label	N	Percentage
0	No	909	55.4%
1	Yes	731	44.6%
8	Don't know	62	
9	No answer	84	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

601 f58019 Persuasion to vote for a certain party by friends, family, neighbors or work colleagues**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1758, Invalid=2633**Literal question** Pendant la campagne, un ami, un membre de votre famille, un voisin, un collègue de travail ou l'une ou l'autre de vos connaissances ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre de voter pour un parti ou un candidat en particulier?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	442	25.1%
2	No	1'316	74.9%
8	Don't know	10	
9	No answer	18	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

602 f58020a Try to persuade R by letters**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1717, Invalid=2674**Literal question** Pendant la campagne, un ami, un membre de votre famille, un voisin, un collègue de travail ou l'une ou l'autre de vos connaissances ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre de voter pour un parti ou un candidat en particulier? Ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre par écrit?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	343	20.0%
2	No	1'374	80.0%
8	Don't know	7	
9	No answer	62	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

603 f58020 Try to persuade R in person, face-to-face**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1715, Invalid=2676**Literal question** Pendant la campagne, un ami, un membre de votre famille, un voisin, un collègue de travail ou l'une ou l'autre de vos connaissances ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre de voter pour un parti ou un candidat en particulier? Ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre en personne, directement?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	375	21.9%
2	No	1'340	78.1%
8	Don't know	4	
9	No answer	67	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

604 f58021 Try to persuade R by phone**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1684, Invalid=2707**Literal question** Pendant la campagne, un ami, un membre de votre famille, un voisin, un collègue de travail ou l'une ou l'autre de vos connaissances ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre de voter pour un parti ou un candidat en particulier? Ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre par téléphone?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	24	1.4%
2	No	1'660	98.6%
8	Don't know	4	
9	No answer	98	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

605 f58022 Try to persuade R by SMS**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1681, Invalid=2710**Literal question** Pendant la campagne, un ami, un membre de votre famille, un voisin, un collègue de travail ou l'une ou l'autre de vos connaissances ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre de voter pour un parti ou un candidat en particulier? Ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre par message texte ou SMS?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	11	0.7%
2	No	1'670	99.3%
8	Don't know	2	
9	No answer	103	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

606 f58023 Try to persuade R by email**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1687, Invalid=2704**Literal question** Pendant la campagne, un ami, un membre de votre famille, un voisin, un collègue de travail ou l'une ou l'autre de vos connaissances ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre de voter pour un parti ou un candidat en particulier? Ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre par courrier électronique ou email?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	106	6.3%
2	No	1'581	93.7%
8	Don't know	3	
9	No answer	96	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

607 f58024 Try to persuade R through social network**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1664, Invalid=2727**Literal question** Pendant la campagne, un ami, un membre de votre famille, un voisin, un collègue de travail ou l'une ou l'autre de vos connaissances ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre de voter pour un parti ou un candidat en particulier? Ont-ils essayé de vous convaincre à travers un réseau social tel que Facebook ou par une autre méthode Internet?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	70	4.2%
2	No	1'594	95.8%
8	Don't know	11	
9	No answer	111	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

608 f58025 Use of Internet or mobile phone to sign up for information or alerts from a party or candidate**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-2, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1757, Invalid=2634**Literal question** Avant ou pendant la campagne, avez-vous utilisé Internet ou votre téléphone mobile pour vous inscrire et recevoir des informations ou des messages d'alerte de la part d'un parti et d'un(e) candidat(e)?

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Yes	52	3.0%
2	No	1'705	97.0%
8	Don't know	2	
9	No answer	27	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

609 D5A Proposition about Swiss democracy: Citizens have ample opportunity to participate in political decisions**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1740, Invalid=2651**Literal question** Quelle est votre position à propos des affirmations suivantes concernant la démocratie en Suisse?

- Les citoyens ont suffisamment de possibilités d'agir sur les décisions politiques.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	673	38.7%
2	Rather agree	822	47.2%
3	Neither nor	114	6.6%
4	Rather disagree	110	6.3%
5	Totally disagree	21	1.2%
8	Don't know	18	
9	No answer	28	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

610 D5B Proposition about Swiss democracy: Our democracy is about to lose the trust of the citizens**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1699, Invalid=2692**Literal question** Quelle est votre position à propos des affirmations suivantes concernant la démocratie en Suisse?

- Notre démocratie est à la limite de perdre la confiance des citoyens.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	130	7.7%
2	Rather agree	628	37.0%
3	Neither nor	370	21.8%
4	Rather disagree	408	24.0%
5	Totally disagree	163	9.6%
8	Don't know	46	
9	No answer	41	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

611 D5C Proposition about Swiss democracy: Legislation represents the interests of the majority of citizens**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1661, Invalid=2730**Literal question** Quelle est votre position à propos des affirmations suivantes concernant la démocratie en Suisse?

- La législation reflète les intérêts de la majorité des citoyens.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	92	5.5%
2	Rather agree	774	46.6%
3	Neither nor	424	25.5%
4	Rather disagree	325	19.6%
5	Totally disagree	46	2.8%
8	Don't know	76	
9	No answer	49	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

612 D5D Proposition about Swiss democracy: Political parties are the essential link between citizens and the state**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1679, Invalid=2712**Literal question** Quelle est votre position à propos des affirmations suivantes concernant la démocratie en Suisse?

- Les partis sont les intermédiaires principaux entre les citoyens et l'Etat.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	146	8.7%
2	Rather agree	736	43.8%
3	Neither nor	425	25.3%
4	Rather disagree	304	18.1%
5	Totally disagree	68	4.1%
8	Don't know	61	
9	No answer	46	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

613 D5E Proposition about Swiss democracy: Special interests have too much influence on law making**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1603, Invalid=2788**Literal question** Quelle est votre position à propos des affirmations suivantes concernant la démocratie en Suisse?

- Les lobbys ont une influence trop importante sur la législation.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	442	27.6%
2	Rather agree	655	40.9%
3	Neither nor	310	19.3%
4	Rather disagree	169	10.5%
5	Totally disagree	27	1.7%
8	Don't know	130	
9	No answer	53	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

614 D5F Proposition about Swiss democracy: The process of law making is too complicated**Information** Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9**Statistics** Valid=1642, Invalid=2749**Literal question** Quelle est votre position à propos des affirmations suivantes concernant la démocratie en Suisse?

- Le processus législatif est trop compliqué.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	354	21.6%
2	Rather agree	672	40.9%
3	Neither nor	348	21.2%
4	Rather disagree	222	13.5%
5	Totally disagree	46	2.8%
8	Don't know	96	
9	No answer	48	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

615 D5G Proposition about Swiss democracy: Parliaments, not voters, should make final decisions on law and policy

Information Data Type: discrete, Format: numeric, Range: 1-5, Missing: */8/9

Statistics Valid=1686, Invalid=2705

Literal question Quelle est votre position à propos des affirmations suivantes concernant la démocratie en Suisse?

- Le Parlement et non les électeurs devrait avoir le dernier mot à propos des lois et des mesures politiques.

Value	Label	N	Percentage
1	Totally agree	65	3.9%
2	Rather agree	185	11.0%
3	Neither nor	183	10.9%
4	Rather disagree	547	32.4%
5	Totally disagree	706	41.9%
8	Don't know	57	
9	No answer	43	
Sysmiss		2'605	

Comment: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.