
Article

Studying the Harmonic Functions Associated with Quantum Calculus

Abdullah Alsoboh, Ala Amourah, Maslina Darus and Carla Amoi Rudder

Special Issue

New Trends in Complex Analysis Research, 2nd Edition

Edited by

Prof. Dr. Georgia Irina Oros



<https://doi.org/10.3390/math11102220>

Article

Studying the Harmonic Functions Associated with Quantum Calculus

Abdullah Alsoboh ^{1,*}, Ala Amourah ^{2,*}, Maslina Darus ³ and Carla Amoi Rudder ⁴

¹ Department of Mathematics, Al-Leith University College, Umm Al-Qura University, Mecca 24382, Saudi Arabia

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Irbid National University, Irbid 21110, Jordan

³ Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science and Technology, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Bangi 43600, Selangor, Malaysia

⁴ Faculty of Resilience, Rabdan Academy, Abu Dhabi P.O. Box 114646, United Arab Emirates

* Correspondence: amsoboh@uqu.edu.sa (A.A.); dr.alm@inu.edu.jo (A.A.)

Abstract: Using the derivative operators' q -analogs values, a wide variety of holomorphic function subclasses, q -starlike, and q -convex functions have been researched and examined. With the aid of fundamental ideas from the theory of q -calculus operators, we describe new q -operators of harmonic function $H_{q,\lambda;q}^{\gamma}\mathfrak{F}(\omega)$ in this work. We also define a new harmonic function subclass related to the Janowski and q -analog of Le Roy-type functions Mittag–Leffler functions. Several important properties are assigned to the new class, including necessary and sufficient conditions, the covering Theorem, extreme points, distortion bounds, convolution, and convex combinations. Furthermore, we emphasize several established remarks for confirming our primary findings presented in this study, as well as some applications of this study in the form of specific outcomes and corollaries.

Keywords: convolutions; q -Mittag–Leffler; Le Roy-type Mittag–Leffler; harmonic function; holomorphic functions; univalent function; q -calculus

MSC: 30C45; 30C50



Citation: Alsoboh, A.; Amourah, A.; Darus, M.; Rudder, C.A. Studying the Harmonic Functions Associated with Quantum Calculus. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 2220. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math1102220>

Academic Editor: Jonathan Blackledge

Received: 17 February 2023

Revised: 15 March 2023

Accepted: 23 March 2023

Published: 9 May 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Quantum calculus, also known as (q -calculus), is a branch of mathematics that extends classical calculus to the quantum realm. It is an effective tool for studying the behavior of quantum systems and has numerous applications in mathematics, engineering, physics, and finance. Quantum calculus has recently grown in importance as a tool for understanding harmonic functions, which are essential to the study of mathematics, physics, and engineering. The study of harmonic functions using q -calculus offers fresh and creative approaches to comprehending and simulating physical and engineering systems. It was demonstrated that the study of fractional harmonic functions, which are a generalization of classical harmonic functions, makes excellent use of q -calculus.

To determine coefficient estimates and inclusion relations, harmonic classes of holomorphic functions have recently been created and investigated. Yousef et al. [1] defined a new subclass of univalent functions and acquire a few geometrical properties using a generalized linear operator. Using a certain convolution q -operator, Srivastava et al. [2] introduced two new families of harmonic meromorphically functions and conducted investigations into the inclusion features.

Srivastava et al. [3] developed and investigated a new class of harmonic functions involving Janowski functions using a q -derivative operator. Khan et al. (2007) [4], on the other hand, employed a new class of harmonic functions involving a symmetric Sălăgean q -derivative operator. Studies on a novel class of harmonic functions related to starlike harmonic functions are carried out using the concepts of subordination and Ruscheweyh derivatives, see [5–9].

In 1990, Ismail et al. [10] established a class of complex-valued functions that are holomorphic on the open unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{\omega : \omega \in \mathbb{C}, |\omega| < 1\}$, therefore incorporating q -calculus into the theory of holomorphic univalent functions with the normalizations $\mathfrak{F}(0) = \mathfrak{F}'(0) - 1 = 0$, and $|\mathfrak{F}(\omega)| \geq |\mathfrak{F}(q\omega)|$ on \mathbb{D} for every q ($0 < q < 1$). Several authors employed the theory of holomorphic univalent functions and q -calculus as a result of the influence of these writers; see, for instance [11–16].

The complex-valued function $\mathfrak{F} = u + iv$ is said to be harmonic in \mathbb{D} if both v and u are real-valued harmonic functions in \mathbb{D} . Furthermore, the complex-valued harmonic function $\mathfrak{F} = iv + u$ can be expressed as $\mathfrak{F} = \overline{\mathfrak{S}} + \mathfrak{h}$, where \mathfrak{S} and \mathfrak{h} are holomorphic in \mathbb{D} . In particular, \mathfrak{h} is known as the holomorphic part, and \mathfrak{S} is known as the co-holomorphic part of \mathfrak{F} .

Before using q -calculus and harmonic univalent functions, it is necessary to understand the notation and terminology for harmonic univalent functions.

A function $\mathfrak{F}(\omega) = \mathfrak{h}(\omega) + \overline{\mathfrak{S}(\omega)}$ where \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{S} , respectively, are the holomorphic and co-holomorphic parts, is locally univalent and sense preserving in \mathbb{D} if and only if $|h'(\omega)| > |\mathfrak{S}'(\omega)|$ in \mathbb{D} .

The continuous complex-valued functions $\mathfrak{F}(\omega) = \mathfrak{h}(\omega) + \overline{\mathfrak{S}(\omega)}$ defined in \mathbb{D} with the following form

$$\overline{\mathfrak{S}(\omega)} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k \omega^k}, \quad \mathfrak{h}(\omega) = \omega + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \omega^k, \quad |b_1| < 1. \quad (1)$$

Let \mathcal{H} and its subclass $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}}$ are defined as (see, for details [17–21]),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \left\{ \mathfrak{F} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \mathfrak{F} \text{ of the form (1) is a sense preserving and locally univalent function in } \mathbb{D} \right\}, \\ \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}} &= \left\{ \mathfrak{F} \in \mathcal{H} \mid \mathfrak{F} \text{ is univalent function in the open unit disk } \mathbb{D} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\mathfrak{S}(\omega) = 0$ in \mathbb{D} , then class $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}}$ is reduced to the class \mathcal{S} of normalised holomorphic functions which are univalent in \mathbb{D} , (for more details, see [22]).

Ahuja et al. [23] presented the q -harmonic class of functions in \mathbb{D} denoted by \mathcal{H}_q .

Definition 1 ([23]). A harmonic function $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{h} + \overline{\mathfrak{S}}$ as in (1) is said to be q -harmonic sense preserving and locally univalent in \mathbb{D} , denoted by \mathcal{H}_q , iff the second dilatation $\xi(\omega; q)$ satisfies the condition

$$|\xi(\omega; q)| = \left| \partial_q \mathfrak{S}(\omega) (\partial_q \mathfrak{h}(\omega))^{-1} \right| < 1, \quad (q \in (0, 1), \omega \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (2)$$

Note that when $q \rightarrow 1^-$, then \mathcal{H}_q reduces to the well known family \mathcal{H} .

Furthermore, The class $\overline{\mathcal{H}_q}$ consisting of functions $\mathfrak{F} = \overline{\mathfrak{S}} + \mathfrak{h}$, where

$$\mathfrak{S}(\omega) = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| \omega^k, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{h}(\omega) = \omega - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \omega^k, \quad (\omega \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (3)$$

2. Preliminaries and Definitions

In this section, we provide some fundamental definitions and properties of q -calculus that are applied throughout this investigation. These are based on the assumption that $q \in (0, 1)$.

Definition 2 ([24]). Let $0 < q < 1$. Then the $[k]_q$ denotes the basic (or q -) number, defined by

$$[k]_q = \begin{cases} \frac{1-q^k}{1-q} & , \quad k \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \\ 0 & , \quad k = 0 \\ 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^i & , \quad k = n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious from Definition 2 that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} [n]_q = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1-q^n}{1-q} = n$.

Definition 3 ([24]). The q -derivative (or q -difference operator) of a function f is defined by

$$\partial_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z)-f(qz)}{z-qz} & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \\ f'(0) & z = 0. \end{cases}$$

We note that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \partial_q f(z) = f'(z)$, if f is differentiable at $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

For a parameter $\chi, \varrho \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re\{\chi\} > 0$ and $\Re\{\varrho\} > 0$. Then, the generalized Mittag–Leffler-type function, introduced by Wiman [25] by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\varrho,\chi}(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^k}{\Gamma(\varrho k + \chi)}, \quad (\omega \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (4)$$

Recently, Schneider [26] and independently Garra and Polito [27], introduced Le Roy-type Mittag–Leffler function, defined as

$$\mathcal{F}_{\varrho,\chi}^{\gamma}(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^k}{[\Gamma(\varrho k + \chi)]^{\gamma}}, \quad (\varrho, \chi, \gamma > 0, \omega \in \mathbb{C}). \quad (5)$$

For $\Re\{\chi\} > 0, \Re\{\varrho\} > 0$, In 2014, Sharma and Jain [28] defined the q -Mittag–Leffler-type function, by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\varrho,\chi}^{\gamma}(z; q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^k}{\Gamma_q(\varrho k + \chi)} \quad (\varrho, \chi, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}), \quad (6)$$

where $|q| < 1$ and Γ_q is the q -gamma function can also be defined by

$$\Gamma_q(1 + \omega) = (1 - q^{\omega})(1 - q)^{-1} \Gamma_q(\omega), \quad (q \in (0, 1), \omega \in \mathbb{C}).$$

Motivated by Gerhold [29] and Garra and Polito [27], we define the q -analog of Le Roy-type Mittag–Leffler function, by

$$\mathcal{M}_{\varrho,\chi}^{\gamma}(\omega; q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\omega^k}{[\Gamma_q(\varrho k + \chi)]^{\gamma}}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}), \quad (7)$$

where $\Re(\varrho) > 0, \chi \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$.

The normalization of the q -analog of Le Roy-type Mittag–Leffler function $\mathfrak{M}_{\varrho,\chi}^{\gamma}(z; q)$ can be defined by

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\varrho,\chi}^{\gamma}(\omega; q) = \omega (\Gamma_q(\chi))^{\gamma} \mathcal{M}_{\varrho,\chi}^{\gamma}(\omega; q) = \omega + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} \omega^k, \quad (8)$$

where $\Re(\varrho) > 0, \chi \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$.

Next, we define the following new q -operators of $H_{\varrho,\chi;q}^{\gamma} \mathfrak{F}(\omega)$ of harmonic function $\mathfrak{F} = \overline{\mathfrak{I}} + \hbar$ by

$$H_{\varrho,\chi;q}^{\gamma} \mathfrak{F}(\omega) = H_{\varrho,\chi;q}^{\gamma} \hbar(\omega) + \overline{H_{\varrho,\chi;q}^{\gamma} \mathfrak{I}(\omega)} \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}),$$

where

$$H_{\varrho,\chi;q}^{\gamma} \hbar(\omega) = \mathfrak{M}_{\varrho,\chi}^{\gamma}(\omega; q) * \hbar(\omega) = \omega + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} a_k \omega^k,$$

$$H_{\varrho,\chi;q}^{\gamma} \mathfrak{I}(\omega) = \mathfrak{M}_{\varrho,\chi}^{\gamma}(\omega; q) * \mathfrak{I}(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} b_k \omega^k.$$

Below is an illustration that shows how we introduce the class of harmonic univalent functions by using the operator $H_{\varrho,\chi;q}^{\gamma}\mathfrak{F}(\omega)$.

Definition 4. For $\aleph \in [0, 1)$, a function f as is in (1) is said to be in the class $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)$ of harmonic convex function of order \aleph in \mathbb{D} , if it satisfies the condition

$$\Re e \left\{ \frac{\omega \partial_q (\omega \partial_q H_{\varrho,\chi;q}^{\gamma} \mathfrak{h}(\omega)) + \overline{\omega \partial_q (\omega \partial_q H_{\varrho,\chi;q}^{\gamma} \Im(\omega))}}{\omega \partial_q (H_{\varrho,\chi;q}^{\gamma} \mathfrak{h}(\omega)) - \overline{\omega \partial_q (H_{\varrho,\chi;q}^{\gamma} \Im(\omega))}} \right\} \geq \aleph, \quad (\omega \in \mathbb{D}). \quad (9)$$

Here, the class $\overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$ is defined by $\overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)} = \overline{\mathcal{H}_q} \cap \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)$.

Remark 1. When $\gamma = 0$, then $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)$ reduces to $\mathcal{H}_q^C(\aleph)$, known as q -harmonic convex functions of order \aleph introduced by Ahuja et al. [23]. Furthermore, when $\gamma = 0$ and $q \rightarrow 1^-$, then $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)$ reduces to $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}}^C(\aleph)$, known as harmonic convex functions of order \aleph (see [30–32]). Furthermore, when $\gamma = 0$, $q \rightarrow 1$ and $\Im(\omega) = 0$, then $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)$ reduces to the traditional $\mathcal{S}^C(\aleph)$, known as convex functions of order \aleph , studied in 1936 [33]. Moreover, when $\gamma = 0$ and $\Im(\omega) = 0$, then $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)$ is reduced to $\mathcal{S}_q^C(\aleph)$ [34].

3. Sufficient Coefficient Condition

In this section, we start with a sufficient coefficient condition for functions \mathfrak{F} in the class $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)$.

Theorem 1. Let $0 \leq \aleph < 1$ and $q \in (0, 1)$. If \mathfrak{F} as is in (1) and satisfies the condition

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\mathbb{k}]_q \left(([\mathbb{k}]_q - \aleph)|a_{\mathbb{k}}| + ([\mathbb{k}]_q + \aleph)|b_{\mathbb{k}}| \right) \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(\mathbb{k}-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} \leq 2(1 - \aleph), \quad (10)$$

where $a_1 = 1$. Then, \mathfrak{F} is harmonic sense preserving and univalent in the open unit disk \mathbb{D} and so, $\mathfrak{F} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)$.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{F} be as is in (1) and satisfies the condition (10), then \mathfrak{F} is sense preserving in \mathbb{D} if it satisfies $|\partial_q \mathfrak{h}(\omega)| > |\partial_q \Im(\omega)|$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_q \mathfrak{h}(\omega)| &= \left| 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\mathbb{k}]_q a_{\mathbb{k}} \omega^{k-1} \right| \geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\mathbb{k}]_q |a_{\mathbb{k}}| r^{k-1} \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{[\mathbb{k}]_q - \aleph}{1 - \aleph} \right) [\mathbb{k}]_q \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(\mathbb{k}-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} |a_{\mathbb{k}}| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\mathbb{k}]_q \left(\frac{[\mathbb{k}]_q + \aleph}{1 - \aleph} \right) \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(\mathbb{k}-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} |b_{\mathbb{k}}| \\ &\geq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\mathbb{k}]_q |b_{\mathbb{k}}| \geq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [\mathbb{k}]_q |b_{\mathbb{k}}| r^{k-1} > |\partial_q \Im(\omega)|, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\mathfrak{F} \in \mathcal{H}_q$, by Definition 3.

To show \mathfrak{F} is univalent in \beth , for $0 < |\omega_1| \leq |\omega_2| < 1$, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\mathfrak{F}(\omega_1) - \mathfrak{F}(\omega_2)}{\hbar(\omega_1) - \hbar(\omega_2)} \right| &\geq 1 - \left| \frac{\Im(\omega_1) - \Im(\omega_2)}{\hbar(\omega_1) - \hbar(\omega_2)} \right| \\ &= 1 - \left| \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k (\omega_1^k - \omega_2^k)}{\omega_1 - \omega_2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k (\omega_1^k - \omega_2^k)} \right| \\ &> 1 - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q |b_k|} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{[k]_q + \aleph}{1 - \aleph} \right) \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} |b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{[k]_q - \aleph}{1 - \aleph} \right) \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} |a_k|} \\ &\geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the univalence.

Now, we show that $\mathfrak{F} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)$. Then, from Definition 4, we can write (9)

$$\frac{\omega \partial_q (\omega \partial_q H_{\varrho, \chi; q}^{\gamma} \hbar(\omega)) + \overline{\omega \partial_q (\omega \partial_q H_{\varrho, \chi; q}^{\gamma} \Im(\omega))}}{\omega \partial_q (H_{\varrho, \chi; q}^{\gamma} \hbar(\omega)) - \overline{\omega \partial_q (H_{\varrho, \chi; q}^{\gamma} \Im(\omega))}} = \frac{\mathcal{D}(\omega)}{\mathcal{E}(\omega)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\omega) &= \omega \partial_q (\omega \partial_q H_{\varrho, \chi; q}^{\gamma} \hbar(\omega)) + \overline{\omega \partial_q (\omega \partial_q H_{\varrho, \chi; q}^{\gamma} \Im(\omega))} \\ &= \omega + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} a_k \omega^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q^2 \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} \overline{b_k} \overline{\omega^k} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\omega) &= \omega \partial_q (H_{\varrho, \chi; q}^{\gamma} \hbar(\omega)) - \overline{\omega \partial_q (H_{\varrho, \chi; q}^{\gamma} \Im(\omega))} \\ &= \omega + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} a_k \omega^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} \overline{b_k} \overline{\omega^k}. \end{aligned}$$

It is suffices to demonstrate that

$$|\mathcal{D}(\omega) + (1 - \aleph) \mathcal{E}(\omega)| - |\mathcal{D}(\omega) - (1 + \aleph) \mathcal{E}(\omega)| \geq 0, \quad (11)$$

using the fact that $\Re\{\omega\} \geq \aleph$ is true if and only if $|\omega - 1 - \aleph| \leq |\omega + 1 - \aleph|$.

Replacing for $\mathcal{D}(\omega)$ and $\mathcal{E}(\omega)$ in (11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& |\mathcal{D}(\omega) + (1 - \aleph)\mathcal{E}(\omega)| - |\mathcal{D}(\omega) - (1 + \aleph)\mathcal{E}(\omega)| \\
&= \left| (2 - \aleph)\omega + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q ([k]_q - \aleph + 1) \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q ([k]_q - \aleph + 1) \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} a_k \omega^k \right| \\
&\quad - \left| -\aleph\omega + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q ([k]_q - \aleph - 1) \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q ([k]_q - \aleph - 1) \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} b_k \omega^k \right| \\
&\geq 2(1 - \aleph)|\omega| \left\{ 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{[k]_q - \aleph}{1 - \aleph} \right) \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} |a_k| |\omega|^{k-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{[k]_q + \aleph}{1 - \aleph} \right) \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} |b_k| |\omega|^{k-1} \right\} \\
&= 2(1 - \aleph)|\omega| \left\{ 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \left[\left(\frac{[k]_q - \aleph}{1 - \aleph} \right) |a_k| + \left(\frac{[k]_q + \aleph}{1 - \aleph} \right) |b_k| \right] \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the enquiringly (10), we see that the last expression is non-negative. This implies that $\mathfrak{F} \in \mathcal{U}_{H_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)$ is obtained. \square

Remark 2. When $\gamma = 1$, Theorem 1 reduces to the corresponding convolution condition obtained in [23]. Furthermore, for $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $\gamma = 1$ and $\aleph = 0$, Theorem 1 reduces to the matching convolution condition found in [35].

Theorem 2. Let $\mathfrak{F} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}_q}$ as is in (3). Then, $\mathfrak{F} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{H_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(([k]_q - \aleph) |a_k| + ([k]_q + \aleph) |b_k| \right) \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} \leq 2(1 - \aleph), \quad (12)$$

where $a_1 = 1$ and $0 \leq \aleph < 1$.

Proof. Since $\overline{\mathcal{U}_{H_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)} \subset \mathcal{U}_{H_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)$, this Theorem 2 merely requires that we prove the “only if” clause. Due to this, for functions $\mathfrak{F} = \overline{\mathfrak{F}} + \mathfrak{h}$ of the form (3), the condition (9) is equivalent to

$$\Re e \left\{ \frac{(1 - \aleph)\omega - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} ([k]_q - \aleph) |a_k| \omega^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} ([k]_q + \aleph) |b_k| \overline{\omega}^k}{\omega - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} |a_k| \omega^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} |b_k| \overline{\omega}^k} \right\} \geq 0. \quad (13)$$

For all values of $\omega \in \mathbb{D}$, $|\omega| = j < 1$, the aforementioned necessary condition (13) has to be true. By choosing the values of ω on the positive real axis where $0 \leq |\omega| = j < 1$, we must have

$$\frac{(1 - \aleph) - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} ([k]_q - \aleph) |a_k| j^{k-1} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} ([k]_q + \aleph) |b_k| j^{k-1}}{1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} |a_k| j^{k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\varrho(k-1) + \chi)} \right)^{\gamma} |b_k| j^{k-1}} \geq 0. \quad (14)$$

The numerator in (14) is negative for γ sufficiently near to 1 if condition (12) does not hold. Hence, there is a point $\varpi_0 = \gamma_0$ in $(0, 1)$ for which the quotient in (14) is negative. As this conflicts with the necessary predicate for $\mathfrak{F} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$, the proof is complete. \square

Next, we determine the extreme points of closed convex hulls of $\overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$, denoted by $\text{clco } \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$.

4. Extreme Points

In this section, we determine extreme points for the class $\text{clco } \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$.

Theorem 3. Let $\mathfrak{F} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}_q}$ as is in (3). Then, $\mathfrak{F} \in \text{clco } \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$ if and only if

$$\mathfrak{F}(\varpi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\psi_k h_k(\varpi) + \phi_k \mathfrak{S}_k(\varpi)), \text{ where}$$

$$h_1(\varpi) = \varpi, \quad h_k(\varpi) = \varpi - \frac{1-\aleph}{([k]_q - \aleph)[k]_q} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(k-1))}{\Gamma_q(\chi)} \right)^\gamma \varpi^k, \quad (k \geq 2)$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_k(\varpi) = \varpi - \frac{1-\aleph}{([k]_q + \aleph)[k]_q} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(k-1))}{\Gamma_q(\chi)} \right)^\gamma \bar{\varpi}^k, \quad (k \geq 1)$$

and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\psi_k + \phi_k) = 1$ where $\psi_k \geq 0$ and $\phi_k \geq 0$. The extreme points of $\overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$ are specifically h_k and \mathfrak{S}_k .

Proof. For \mathfrak{F} of the form $\mathfrak{F}(\varpi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\psi_n h_n(\varpi) + \phi_n \mathfrak{S}_n(\varpi))$ where $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\psi_k + \phi_k) = 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}(\varpi) &= \varpi - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\aleph}{([k]_q - \aleph)[k]_q} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(k-1))}{\Gamma_q(\chi)} \right)^\gamma \psi_k \varpi^k \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-\aleph}{([k]_q + \aleph)[k]_q} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(k-1))}{\Gamma_q(\chi)} \right)^\gamma \phi_k \bar{\varpi}^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\mathfrak{F} \in \text{clco } \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$ because

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{([k]_q - \aleph)[k]_q}{1-\aleph} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q((k-1)\varrho + \chi)} \right)^\gamma \left(\frac{1-\aleph}{[k]_q([k]_q - \aleph)} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(k-1))}{\Gamma_q(\chi)} \right)^\gamma \psi_k \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{([k]_q - \aleph)[k]_q}{1-\aleph} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q((k-1)\varrho + \chi)} \right)^\gamma \left(\frac{1-\aleph}{[k]_q([k]_q + \aleph)} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(k-1))}{\Gamma_q(\chi)} \right)^\gamma \phi_k \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k = 1 - \psi_1 \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose $\mathfrak{F} \in \text{clco } \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$. In view of (12), we have

$$|a_k| \leq \frac{1-\aleph}{[k]_q([k]_q - \aleph)} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(n-1))}{\Gamma_q(\chi)} \right)^\gamma \text{ and } |b_k| \leq \frac{1-\aleph}{[k]_q([k]_q + \aleph)} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q((k-1)\varrho + \chi)}{\Gamma_q(\chi)} \right)^\gamma.$$

Set

$$\psi_k = \frac{([k]_q - \aleph)[k]_q}{1-\aleph} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(k-1))} \right)^\gamma |a_k|, \quad (k \geq 2)$$

and

$$\phi_k = \frac{([k]_q + \aleph)[k]_q}{1-\aleph} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(k-1))} \right)^\gamma |b_k|, \quad (k \geq 1).$$

By Theorem 2, $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k \leq 1$. Therefore we define $\psi_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi_k \geq 0$. Consequently, we obtain $\mathfrak{F}(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\psi_k h_k(\omega) + \phi_k g_k(\omega))$ as required. \square

5. Convolution and Convex Combinations

The Hadamard products (or convolution) of two functions $\mathfrak{F}(\omega) = \omega + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \omega^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k \omega^k}$ and $F(\omega) = \omega + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k \omega^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{d_k \omega^k}$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{F}(\omega) * F(\omega) = (\mathfrak{F} * F)(\omega) = \omega + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k c_k \omega^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{b_k d_k \omega^k}, \quad (z \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Next, we show that the class $\overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$ is closed under convolution.

Theorem 4. For $0 \leq \aleph_2 < \aleph_1$, suppose $\mathfrak{F} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph_1)}$ and $F \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph_2)}$. Then, $\mathfrak{F} * F \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph_1)} \subset \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph_2)}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{F}(\omega) = \omega - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| \omega^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| \overline{\omega^k}$ and $F(\omega) = \omega - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |c_k| \omega^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |d_k| \overline{\omega^k}$, then

$$\mathfrak{F}(\omega) * F(\omega) = \omega + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_k| |c_k| \omega^k + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_k| |d_k| \overline{\omega^k}.$$

Since $F \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph_2)}$, it follows from Theorem 2 that $|c_k| \leq 1$ and $|d_k| \leq 1$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\mathbb{k}]_q ([\mathbb{k}]_q - \aleph)}{1 - \aleph} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(\mathbb{k}-1))} \right)^{\gamma} |a_k| |c_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\mathbb{k}]_q ([\mathbb{k}]_q - \aleph)}{1 - \aleph} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(\mathbb{k}-1))} \right)^{\gamma} |b_k| |d_k| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\mathbb{k}]_q ([\mathbb{k}]_q - \aleph)}{1 - \aleph} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(\mathbb{k}-1))} \right)^{\gamma} |a_k| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\mathbb{k}]_q ([\mathbb{k}]_q - \aleph)}{1 - \aleph} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(\mathbb{k}-1))} \right)^{\gamma} |b_k| \leq 2. \end{aligned}$$

In view of Theorem 2, it follows that $\mathfrak{F} * F \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph_1)} \subset \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph_2)}$. \square

We now show that $\overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$ is closed under a convex combination of its members.

Theorem 5. The class $\overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$ is closed under convex combination.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{F}_{\ell} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$ for $\ell = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ where \mathfrak{F}_{ℓ} is given by

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\ell}(\omega) = \omega - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} |a_{k_{\ell}}| \omega^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |b_{k_{\ell}}| \overline{\omega^k}.$$

Then, by Theorem 2, we have

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\mathbb{k}]_q ([\mathbb{k}]_q - \aleph)}{1 - \aleph} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(\mathbb{k}-1))} \right)^{\gamma} |a_{k_{\ell}}| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\mathbb{k}]_q ([\mathbb{k}]_q - \aleph)}{1 - \aleph} \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(\mathbb{k}-1))} \right)^{\gamma} |b_{k_{\ell}}| \leq 2. \quad (15)$$

For $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \tau_{\ell} = 1$ and $0 \leq \tau_{\ell} \leq 1$, the convex combination of \mathfrak{F}_{ℓ} may be written as

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \tau_{\ell} \mathfrak{F}_{\ell}(\omega) = \omega - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \tau_{\ell} |a_{k_{\ell}}| \omega^k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \tau_{\ell} |b_{k_{\ell}}| \overline{\omega^k}.$$

Using (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(k-1))} \right)^\gamma \left[\frac{([k]_q - \aleph)}{1-\aleph} |a_{k\ell}| + \frac{([k]_q - \aleph)}{1-\aleph} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \tau_\ell |b_{k\ell}| \right] \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \tau_\ell \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q \left(\frac{\Gamma_q(\chi)}{\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(k-1))} \right)^\gamma \left[\frac{([k]_q - \aleph)}{1-\aleph} |a_{k\ell}| + \frac{([k]_q - \aleph)}{1-\aleph} |b_{k\ell}| \right] \right) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \tau_\ell = 2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by Theorem 2, we have $\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \tau_\ell \mathfrak{F}_\ell \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$. \square

6. Distortion Bounds and Covering Theorem

The following Theorem contains distortion bounds for functions \mathfrak{F} in the class $\overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$.

Theorem 6. If $\mathfrak{F} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$ then for $|\omega| = J < 1$, we have

$$\mathfrak{F}(\omega) \geq (1 - |b_1|)J - \frac{(\Gamma_q(\varrho + \chi))^\gamma}{[2]_q (\Gamma_q(\chi))^\gamma} \left(\frac{1 - \aleph}{[2]_q - \aleph} - \frac{1 + \aleph}{[2]_q - \aleph} |b_1| \right) J^2, \quad (16)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{F}(\omega) \leq (1 + |b_1|)J + \frac{(\Gamma_q(\varrho + \chi))^\gamma}{[2]_q (\Gamma_q(\chi))^\gamma} \left(\frac{1 - \aleph}{[2]_q - \aleph} - \frac{1 + \aleph}{[2]_q - \aleph} |b_1| \right) J^2. \quad (17)$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{F} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$. When we use \mathfrak{F} absolute value, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}(\omega) &\geq (1 - |b_1|)J - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|a_k| - |b_k|) J^k \\ &\geq (1 - |b_1|)J - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (|a_k| - |b_k|) J^2 \\ &\geq (1 - |b_1|)J - \frac{j^2(1 - \aleph)(\Gamma_q(\varrho + \chi))^\gamma}{[2]_q ([2]_q - \aleph)(\Gamma_q(\chi))^\gamma} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[2]_q (\Gamma_q(\chi))^\gamma}{(1 - \aleph)(\Gamma_q(\varrho + \chi))^\gamma} \times \\ &\quad \left(([2]_q - \aleph)|a_k| - ([2]_q + \aleph)|b_k| \right) \\ &\geq (1 - |b_1|)J - \frac{j^2(1 - \aleph)(\Gamma_q(\varrho + \chi))^\gamma}{[2]_q ([2]_q - \aleph)(\Gamma_q(\chi))^\gamma} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k]_q (\Gamma_q(\chi))^\gamma}{(1 - \aleph)(\Gamma_q(\chi + \varrho(k-1)))^\gamma} \times \\ &\quad \left(([k]_q - \aleph)|a_k| - ([k]_q + \aleph)|b_k| \right) \\ &\geq (1 - |b_1|)J - \frac{(1 - \aleph)(\Gamma_q(\varrho + \chi))^\gamma}{[2]_q ([2]_q - \aleph)(\Gamma_q(\chi))^\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{1 + \aleph}{1 - \aleph} |b_1| \right) J^2. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (16). The proof of (17) is omitted because it is comparable to that of (16). \square

The inequality (16) leads to the covering conclusion shown below.

Corollary 1. If $\mathfrak{F} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(\varrho, \chi, \gamma, \aleph)}$ then

$$\left\{ \omega : |\omega| < \frac{[2]_q ([2]_q - \aleph) \frac{(\Gamma_q(\chi))^\gamma}{(\Gamma_q(\varrho + \chi))^\gamma} - 1 + \aleph + (1 + \aleph - [2]_q ([2]_q - \aleph)) \frac{(\Gamma_q(\chi))^\gamma}{(\Gamma_q(\varrho + \chi))^\gamma} |b_1|}{[2]_q ([2]_q - \aleph) \frac{(\Gamma_q(\chi))^\gamma}{(\Gamma_q(\varrho + \chi))^\gamma}} \right\} \subseteq f(\mathbf{D}).$$

Remark 3. The result for q -harmonic convex functions of order \aleph derived in [23] is the result for $\gamma = 0$, as shown by the covering Theorem 6 in Corollary 1. Moreover, the covering Theorem 6 in Corollary 1 offers the usual conclusion for harmonic convex functions found in [36] with the conditions $\gamma = 0$ and $q \rightarrow 1$. In addition, for $\gamma = 0$, $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $\aleph = b_1 = 0$, Corollary 1 yields the following result given in [36].

Remark 4. If $\mathfrak{F} \in \overline{\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{H}_q}^C(1, 1, 0, 0)}$ then $\{\omega : |\omega| < \frac{3}{4}\} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}(\beth)$.

7. Conclusions

Numerous academics have recently applied q -calculus to the study of geometric functions, creating new subclasses of q -starlike, q -convex, and harmonic functions. Using the concept of Le Roy-type Mittag–Leffler functions, a novel class of harmonic functions was established in this study. We established some novel results for this newly defined class, such as extreme points, convolution and convex combinations, distortion limitations, and the covering theorem, as well as necessary and sufficient conditions. Future studies on harmonic functions and symmetric q -calculus operators will be inspired by the findings of this study.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh) and A.A. (Ala Amourah); methodology, A.A. (Ala Amourah); validation, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh), A.A. (Ala Amourah), M.D. and C.A.R.; formal analysis, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh); investigation, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh), A.A. (Ala Amourah) and M.D.; writing—original draft preparation, A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh) and A.A. (Ala Amourah); writing—review and editing, C.A.R. and A.A. (Abdullah Alsoboh); supervision, M.D. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: The authors would like to thank the Deanship of Scientific Research at Umm Al-Qura University for supporting this work by Grant Code:(23UQU4320576DSR001).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No data were used to support this study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Yousef, A.T.; Salleh, Z. On a Harmonic Univalent Subclass of Functions Involving a Generalized Linear Operator. *Axioms* **2020**, *9*, 32. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Srivastava, H.M.; Arif, M.; Raza, M. Convolution properties of meromorphically harmonic functions defined by a generalized convolution q -derivative operator. *AIMS Math* **2021**, *6*, 5869–5885. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Srivastava, H.M.; Khan, N.; Khan, S.; Ahmad, Q.Z.; Khan, B. A Class of k –Symmetric Harmonic Functions Involving a Certain q -Derivative Operator. *Mathematics* **2021**, *9*, 1812. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Khan, M.F.; Al-Shbeil, I.; Aloraini, N.; Khan, N.; Khan, S. Applications of Symmetric Quantum Calculus to the Class of Harmonic Functions. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 2188. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Dziok, J. Classes of harmonic functions associated with Ruscheweyh derivatives. *Rev. Real Acad. Cienc. Exactas F-Sicas Nat. Ser. A Mat.* **2019**, *113*, 13151329. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Bayram, H. q -Analogue of a New Subclass of Harmonic Univalent Functions Associated with Subordination. *Symmetry* **2022**, *14*, 708. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Frasin, B.; Alb Lupaş, A. An Application of Poisson Distribution Series on Harmonic Classes of Analytic Functions. *Symmetry* **2023**, *15*, 590. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Alsoboh, A.; Darus, M. On Fekete–Szegö Problems for Certain Subclasses of Analytic Functions Defined by Differential Operator Involving q -Ruscheweyh Operator. *J. Funct. Spaces* **2020**, 2020. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Al-Janaby, H.F.; Ganim, F. A subclass of Noor-type harmonic p -valent functions based on hypergeometric functions. *Kragujev. J. Math.* **2021**, *45*, 499519. [[CrossRef](#)]
- Ismail, M.E.H.; Merkes, E.; Steyr, D. A generalization of starlike functions. *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **1990**, *14*, 77–84.
- Amourah, A.; Frasin, B.A.; Al-Hawary, T. Coefficient Estimates for a Subclass of Bi-univalent Functions Associated with Symmetric q -derivative Operator by Means of the Gegenbauer Polynomials. *Kyungpook. Math. J.* **2022**, *62*, 257–269.

12. Ahuja, O.P.; etinkaya, A.C.; Polatoglu, Y. Bieberbach-de Branges and Fekete-Szegő inequalities for certain families of q -convex and q -close-to-convex functions. *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* **2019**, *26*, 4, 639–649.
13. Amourah, A.; Alsoboh, A.; Ogilat, O.; Gharib, G.M.; Saadeh, R.; Al Soudi, M. A Generalization of Gegenbauer Polynomials and Bi-Univalent Functions. *Axioms* **2023**, *12*, 128. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Alsoboh, A.; Amourah, A.; Darus, M.; Shareefen, R.I.A. Applications of Neutrosophic q -Poisson distribution Series for Subclass of Analytic Functions and Bi-Univalent Functions. *Mathematics* **2023**, *11*, 868. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Alsoboh, A.; Darus, M. On subclasses of harmonic univalent functions defined by Jackson (p, q) -derivative. *J. Math. Anal.* **2019**, *10*, 123–130.
16. Alb Lupaş, A.; Oros, G.I. Fuzzy Differential Subordination and Superordination Results Involving the q -Hypergeometric Function and Fractional Calculus Aspects. *Mathematics* **2022**, *10*, 4121. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Oros, G.I. Best Subordinant for Differential Superordinations of Harmonic Complex-Valued Functions. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 2041. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Oros, G.I.; Oros, G. Differential superordination for harmonic complex-valued functions. *Stud. Univ. Babes-Bolyai Math.* **2019**, *64*, 487–496. [[CrossRef](#)]
19. Kanas, S. Differential subordinations for harmonic complex-valued functions. *arXiv* **2015**, arXiv:1509.03751V1.
20. Kanas, S.; Klimek-Smet, D. Coefficient Estimates and Bloch's Constant in Some Classes of Harmonic Mappings. *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* **2016**, *39*, 741–750. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Clunie, J.; Sheil-Small, T. Harmonic univalent functions. *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math.* **1984**, *9*, 3–25. [[CrossRef](#)]
22. Sheil-Small, T. Constants for planar harmonic mappings. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **1990**, *2*, 237–248. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Ahuja, O.P.; Çetinkaya, A.; Polatoğlu, Y. Harmonic univalent convex functions using a quantum calculus approach. *Acta Univ. Apulensis* **2019**, *58*, 67–81.
24. Jackson, F.H. On q -functions and a certain difference operator. *Trans. R. Soc. Edinb* **1908**, *46*, 253–281. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Wiman, A. Über den Fundamentalsatz in der Theorie der Funktionen $E(x)$. *Acta Math.* **1905**, *29*, 191–201. [[CrossRef](#)]
26. Schneider W. Completely monotone generalized Mittag-Leffler functions. *Expo Math.* **1996**, *14*, 3–16.
27. Garra, R.; Polito, F. On some operators involving Hadamard derivatives. *Int. Transf. Spec. Funct.* **2013**, *14*, 773–782. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Sharma, S.K.; Jain, R. On some properties of generalized q -Mittag Leffler function. *Math. Aeterna* **2014**, *4*, 613–619.
29. Gerhold, S. Asymptotics for a variant of the Mittag-Leffler function. *Integral Transform. Spec. Funct.* **2012**, *23*, 397–403. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Ahuja, O.P. Planar harmonic univalent and related mappings. *J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math.* **2005**, *6*, 122.
31. Ahuja, O.P. Recent advances in the theory of harmonic univalent mappings in the plane. *Math. Stud.* **2014**, *83*, 125–154.
32. Duren, P. *Harmonic Mappings in the Plane*; Cambridge Tracts in Math.V156; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2004; Volume 156, ISBN 0-521-64121-7.
33. Robertson, M.S. On the theory of univalent functions. *Ann. Math.* **1936**, *37*, 2, 374–408. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Seoudy, T.M.; Aouf, M.K. Coefficient estimates of new classes of q -starlike and q -convex functions of complex order. *J. Math. Inequal.* **2016**, *10*, 135–145. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Ahuja, O.P.; Jahangiri, J.M.; Silverman, H. Convolutions for special classes of harmonic univalent functions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2003**, *16*, 905–909. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Jahangiri, J.M. Coefficient bounds and univalence criteria for harmonic functions with negative Coefficient. *Ann. Univ. Marie Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A* **1998**, *52*, 57–66.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.