Code Generation: Results Achieved with Zero-Shot prompting when not asking for a Rationale

Table 1: Number (#) and percentage (%) of instances for which the LLMs did not manage to output a valid judgment.

	Code generation						
$_{ m LLM}$	•	Java	Python				
	#	%	#	%			
DeepSeek Coder 1.3B	379	26.98%	31	2.42%			
DeepSeek Coder 6.7B	0	0.00%	3	0.23%			
DeepSeek Coder 33B	3	0.21%	3	0.23%			
CodeLlama 7B	1	0.07%	61	4.76%			
CodeLlama 13B	3	0.21%	44	3.43%			
CodeLlama 34B	3	0.21%	9	0.70%			
GPT-3.5-turbo	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			
GPT-4-turbo	0	0.00%	0	0.00%			

	DSC	DSC	DSC	CL	CL	CL	GPT	GPT	Human	Own vs	Own vs	Own vs
	1.3B	6.7B	33B	7B	13B	34B	3.5	4	Written	$_{\rm LLMs}$	$\mathbf{LLMs} \setminus \mathbf{F}$	Human
DSC 1.3B	0.64	0.58	0.47	0.57	0.47	0.54	0.49	0.57	-0.11	(N)	(N)	*** (L)
DSC 6.7B	0.78	0.76	0.65	0.76	0.63	0.68	0.65	0.64	-0.01	* (N)	* (N)	*** (L)
DSC 33B	0.35	0.42	0.30	0.39	0.32	0.35	0.37	0.40	-0.60	(N)	(N)	*** (L)
CL 7B	-0.12	-0.18	-0.24	-0.13	-0.28	-0.20	-0.14	-0.24	-0.93	(N)	(N)	*** (L)
CL 13B	0.62	0.56	0.43	0.61	0.46	0.49	0.61	0.57	-0.09	* (N)	* (N)	*** (L)
CL 34B	0.23	0.22	0.12	0.21	0.17	0.13	0.32	0.30	-0.52	(N)	* (N)	*** (L)
GPT-3.5	0.53	0.62	0.48	0.59	0.51	0.55	0.57	0.55	-0.23	(N)	(N)	*** (L)
GPT-4	0.34	0.44	0.34	0.38	0.35	0.33	0.49	0.52	-0.42	** (N)	** (N)	*** (L)
Average (all)	0.42	0.45	0.34	0.44	0.36	0.37	0.47	0.47	-0.37	-	-	-
Average (large)	0.42	0.43	0.32	0.42	0.33	0.36	0.42	0.41	-0.36	-	-	-
Adjusted p-values: * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001. Cliff delta: N=Negligible, S=Small, M=Medium, L=Large												

Table 2: (Java) Average of differences between the LLM judgments (0 or 1) and the ground truth (i.e., 1 if the method passes the test and 0 otherwise). Last three columns report adj. p-value and effect size when comparing the judgments each LLM gave to functions it generated against those it gave when judging functions (i) generated by all other LLMs, (ii) generated by all other LLMs but those belonging to the same family, and (iii) written by humans.

	DSC	DSC	DSC	CL	CL	CL	GPT	GPT	Human	Own vs	Own vs	Own vs
	1.3B	6.7B	33B	7B	13B	34B	3.5	4	Written	LLMs	$\mathbf{LLMs} \setminus \mathbf{F}$	Human
DSC 1.3B	0.78	0.72	0.69	0.76	0.60	0.71	0.65	0.63	-0.11	* (N)	* (N)	*** (L)
DSC 6.7B	0.81	0.81	0.79	0.78	0.68	0.73	0.72	0.74	-0.03	(N)	(N)	*** (L)
DSC 33B	0.31	0.46	0.42	0.38	0.38	0.34	0.40	0.53	-0.45	(N)	(N)	*** (L)
CL 7B	0.01	-0.01	-0.08	0.07	-0.17	-0.14	-0.13	-0.04	-0.91	** (N)	* (N)	*** (L)
CL 13B	0.59	0.59	0.62	0.60	0.52	0.55	0.43	0.52	-0.23	(N)	(N)	*** (L)
CL 34B	0.47	0.48	0.52	0.52	0.46	0.47	0.45	0.52	-0.30	(N)	(N)	*** (L)
GPT-3.5	0.64	0.71	0.64	0.63	0.55	0.63	0.63	0.69	-0.22	(N)	(N)	*** (L)
GPT-4	0.38	0.59	0.58	0.48	0.43	0.48	0.58	0.71	-0.36	*** (S)	*** (S)	*** (L)
Average (all)	0.48	0.56	0.56	0.52	0.47	0.49	0.50	0.59	-0.31	-	-	_
Average (large)	0.50	0.54	0.52	0.52	0.43	0.47	0.47	0.54	-0.33	-	-	-
Adjusted p-values: * <0.05, ** <0.01, *** <0.001. Cliff delta: N=Negligible, S=Small, M=Medium, L=Large												

Table 3: (Python) Average of differences between the LLM judgments (0 or 1) and the ground truth (i.e., 1 if the method passes the test and 0 otherwise). Last three columns report adj. p-value and effect size when comparing the judgements each LLM gave to functions it generated against those it gave when judging functions (i) generated by all other LLMs, (ii) generated by all other LLMs but those belonging to the same family, and (iii) written by humans.




Figure 1: Code Generation: Confusion matrices for LLM's judgment.