## Code Summarization: Results Achieved with Zero-shot + Instructions

Human	CL 7B	CL 13B	CL 34B	GPT 3.5	GPT 4
GPT-4 (4.67)	GPT-3.5 (4.34)	GPT-4 (4.00)	GPT-4 (4.62)	CL 34B (4.15)	GPT-4 (4.10)
GPT-3.5(4.11)	GPT-4 (4.25)	CL 34B (3.96)	GPT-3.5 (4.54)	CL 13B (4.14)	GPT-3.5 (3.81)
CL 34B (3.73)	CL 7B (4.23)	CL 13B (3.95)	CL 7B (4.52)	GPT-4 (4.03)	CL 34B (3.56)
CL 13B (3.59)	human (4.21)	GPT-3.5 (3.94)	CL 34B (4.41)	CL 7B (4.02)	CL 13B (3.36)
human $(2.97)$	CL 13B (4.20)	CL 7B (3.94)	CL 13B (4.28)	GPT-3.5 (3.99)	CL 7B $(3.24)$
CL 7B (2.89)	CL 34B (4.12)	human (3.89)	human (3.80)	human $(3.87)$	human $(3.12)$

Table 1: (Java) Content adequacy: ranking of the generators of summaries according to each judge, including both humans and LLMs.

Human	CL 7B	CL 13B	CL 34B	GPT 3.5	GPT 4
GPT-4 (4.54)	CL 7B (4.89)	CL 13B (4.31)	GPT-4 (4.54)	GPT-4 (4.25)	GPT-4 (3.99)
GPT-3.5 (3.99)	CL 34B (4.88)	CL 34B (4.22)	GPT-3.5 (4.46)	GPT-3.5 (4.06)	GPT-3.5 (3.72)
CL 13B (3.05)	CL 13B (4.88)	GPT-3.5 (4.20)	CL 7B (4.38)	CL 7B (3.97)	CL 7B (2.88)
CL 7B (2.95)	human (4.88)	CL 7B (4.18)	CL 34B (4.33)	CL 13B (3.90)	CL 13B (2.68)
human (2.93)	GPT-4 (4.87)	GPT-4 (4.16)	human $(4.25)$	CL 34B (3.86)	CL 34B (2.51)
CL 34B (2.78)	GPT-3.5 (4.86)	human (4.14)	CL 13B (4.10)	human $(3.82)$	human $(2.48)$

Table 2: (Python) Content adequacy: ranking of the generators of summaries according to each judge, including both humans and LLMs.

## Code Summarization: Results Achieved with Automated Chain-of-Thought

Human	CL	CL	CL	GPT	GPT
	7B	13B	34B	3.5	4
GPT-4 (4.67)	GPT-4 (3.27)	GPT-4 (3.59)	CL 34B (3.95)	GPT-4 (4.97)	GPT-4 (4.63)
GPT-3.5(4.11)	GPT-3.5 (3.26)	CL 13B (3.18)	GPT-4 (3.88)	CL 34B (4.85)	GPT-3.5 (4.04)
CL 34B (3.73)	CL 34B (3.14)	GPT-3.5 (3.16)	CL 13B (3.87)	GPT-3.5 (4.85)	CL 34B (3.78)
CL 13B (3.59)	CL 7B (3.09)	CL 34B (3.14)	GPT-3.5 (3.85)	CL 13B (4.76)	CL 13B (3.52)
human $(2.97)$	human (2.96)	CL 7B (3.13)	CL 7B (3.81)	CL 7B (4.72)	human (3.19)
CL 7B (2.89)	CL 13B (2.90)	human (2.95)	human $(3.71)$	human $(4.44)$	CL 7B (3.10)

Table 3: (Java) Content adequacy: ranking of the generators of summaries according to each judge, including both humans and LLMs.

Human	CL	CL	CL	GPT	GPT
	7B	13B	34B	3.5	4
GPT-4 (4.54)	human $(2.55)$	CL 7B (3.17)	GPT-4 (4.15)	GPT-4 (4.97)	GPT-4 (4.17)
GPT-3.5 (3.99)	CL 7B (2.53)	GPT-3.5 (3.09)	CL 7B (3.93)	GPT-3.5 (4.86)	GPT-3.5 (3.27)
CL 13B (3.05)	CL 13B (2.47)	CL 34B (2.98)	GPT-3.5 (3.87)	CL 7B (4.82)	CL 7B (2.68)
CL 7B (2.95)	GPT-3.5 (2.43)	human (2.87)	CL 13B (3.85)	CL 13B (4.72)	CL 13B (2.56)
human (2.93)	GPT-4 (2.34)	CL 13B (2.83)	human (3.85)	CL 34B (4.64)	human (2.46)
CL 34B (2.78)	CL 13B (2.05)	GPT-4 (2.65)	CL 34B (3.74)	human (4.44)	CL 34B (2.43)

Table 4: (Python) Content adequacy: ranking of the generators of summaries according to each judge, including both humans and LLMs.

## Code Summarization: Results Achieved with Automated Chain-of-Thought + Instructions

Human	CL 7B	CL 13B	CL 34B	GPT 3.5	GPT 4
GPT-4 (4.67)	CL 34B (4.50)	CL 7B (3.86)	CL 13B (4.05)	GPT-4 (4.59)	GPT-4 (4.52)
GPT- $3.5(4.11)$	CL 13B (4.38)	GPT-4(3.73)	CL 34B (3.90)	CL 34B (4.41)	GPT-3.5(4.02)
CL 34B (3.73)	GPT-4 (4.34)	CL 13B (3.71)	GPT-3.5 (3.86)	GPT-3.5 (4.34)	CL 34B (3.38)
CL 13B (3.59)	CL 7B (4.27)	CL 34B (3.55)	GPT-4 (3.85)	CL 13B (4.33)	CL 13B (3.30)
human (2.97)	GPT-3.5 (4.18)	human (3.54)	CL 7B (3.48)	CL 7B (4.25)	human (2.89)
CL 7B (2.89)	human (3.94)	GPT-3.5 (3.53)	human $(3.41)$	human $(4.10)$	CL 7B (2.68)

Table 5: (Java) Content adequacy: ranking of the generators of summaries according to each judge, including both humans and LLMs.

Human	CL 7B	CL 13B	CL 34B	GPT 3.5	GPT 4
GPT-4 (4.54)	GPT-4 (4.36)	GPT-4 (4.04)	GPT-3.5 (4.07)	GPT-4 (4.70)	GPT-4 (4.17)
GPT-3.5 (3.99)	human (4.28)	CL 13B (3.83)	CL 7B (3.99)	GPT-3.5 (4.32)	GPT-3.5 (3.09)
CL 13B (3.05)	CL 13B (4.28)	CL 7B (3.76)	GPT-4 (3.97)	CL 7B (4.27)	CL 7B (2.40)
CL 7B (2.95)	CL 34B (4.24)	CL 34B (3.73)	human (3.85)	CL 13B (4.14)	human $(2.30)$
human $(2.93)$	GPT-3.5 (4.24)	GPT-3.5 (3.67)	CL 13B (3.76)	CL 34B (4.10)	CL 13B (2.30)
CL 34B (2.78)	CL 7B (4.23)	human $(3.64)$	CL 34B (3.59)	human $(4.05)$	CL 34B (2.22)

Table 6: (Python) Content adequacy: ranking of the generators of summaries according to each judge, including both humans and LLMs.

## Code Summarization: Results Achieved with Automated Chain-of-Thought

Human	CL 7B	CL 13B	CL 34B	GPT 3.5	GPT 4
GPT-3.5 (4.91)	CL 34B (3.33)	GPT-4 (3.13)	GPT-3.5 (4.01)	CL 13B (4.06)	GPT-3.5 (4.98)
GPT-4 (4.80)	CL 7B (3.30)	human (3.01)	GPT-4(4.00)	GPT-3.5 (4.06)	human (4.80)
human (4.80)	human (3.23)	GPT-3.5 (3.00)	CL 13B (3.99)	GPT-4 (3.98)	GPT-4 (4.73)
CL 13B (4.62)	CL 13B (3.22)	CL 13B (2.98)	CL 34B (3.97)	CL 34B (3.88)	CL 7B (4.37)
CL 34B (4.51)	GPT-3.5 (3.21)	CL 34B (2.97)	CL 7B (3.95)	CL 7B (3.77)	CL 13B (4.36)
CL 7B (4.45)	GPT-4 (3.14)	CL 7B $(2.97)$	human $(3.94)$	human $(3.70)$	CL 34B (4.11)

Table 7: (Java) Conciseness: ranking of the generators of summaries according to each judge, including both humans and LLMs.

Human	CL 7B	CL 13B	CL 34B	GPT 3.5	GPT 4
GPT-4 (4.82)	GPT-4 (4.34)	GPT-3.5 (4.38)	GPT-3.5 (5.00)	GPT-4 (5.00)	GPT-4 (5.00)
CL 34B (4.81)	CL 34B (4.22)	GPT-4 (4.37)	CL 13B (4.99)	GPT-3.5 (4.98)	GPT-3.5 (4.98)
CL 13B (4.68)	GPT-3.5 (4.21)	human $(4.35)$	GPT-4 (4.99)	CL 34B (4.91)	CL 7B (4.87)
GPT-3.5 (4.67)	CL 7B (4.19)	CL 13B (4.31)	CL 7B (4.94)	CL 13B (4.86)	CL 13B (4.84)
CL 7B (4.40)	CL 13B (4.17)	CL 7B (4.25)	CL 34B (4.91)	CL 7B (4.82)	CL 34B (4.80)
human $(3.71)$	human (4.17)	CL 34B (4.07)	human (4.87)	human $(4.26)$	human $(4.46)$

Table 8: (Java) Fluency & Understandability: ranking of the generators of summaries according to each judge, including both humans and LLMs.

Human	CL 7B	CL 13B	CL 34B	GPT 3.5	GPT 4
GPT-4 (4.54)	human (4.45)	human (4.33)	GPT-4 (4.32)	GPT-4 (4.65)	GPT-4 (4.40)
GPT-3.5 (3.99)	GPT-3.5 (4.43)	GPT-4 (4.32)	GPT-3.5 (4.15)	GPT-3.5 (4.32)	GPT-3.5 (3.94)
CL 13B (3.05)	CL 7B (4.42)	GPT-3.5 (4.31)	human (4.14)	CL 7B (4.12)	CL 7B (2.86)
CL 7B (2.95)	CL 13B (4.41)	CL 7B (4.26)	CL 34B (4.14)	CL 13B (3.90)	CL 13B (2.70)
human (2.93)	GPT-4 (4.33)	CL 13B (4.11)	CL 7B (4.13)	CL 34B (3.76)	CL 34B (2.50)
CL 34B (2.78)	CL 34B (4.33)	CL 34B (4.07)	CL 13B (3.93)	human (3.68)	human $(2.46)$

Table 9: (Python) Content adequacy: ranking of the generators of summaries according to each judge, including both humans and LLMs.

Human	CL	CL	$\mathbf{CL}$	GPT	GPT
	7B	13B	34B	3.5	4
GPT-3.5 (4.92)	human (4.24)	human (3.71)	human (4.08)	GPT-4 (3.56)	GPT-3.5 (4.76)
human (4.91)	GPT-3.5 (4.23)	CL 34B (3.63)	GPT-4 (4.03)	GPT-3.5 (3.45)	GPT-4 (4.12)
CL 13B (4.49)	CL 7B (4.16)	CL 13B (3.59)	GPT-3.5(3.99)	CL 7B (3.15)	human $(4.11)$
CL 34B (4.43)	CL 13B (4.13)	CL 7B (3.55)	CL 7B (3.91)	CL 13B (3.12)	CL 13B (3.69)
GPT-4 (4.20)	GPT-4 (3.96)	GPT-3.5 (3.55)	CL 34B (3.87)	CL 34B (2.98)	CL 34B (3.37)
CL 7B (3.95)	CL 34B (3.95)	GPT-4 (3.42)	CL 13B (3.81)	human (2.87)	CL 7B (3.37)

Table 10: (Python) Conciseness: ranking of the generators of summaries according to each judge, including both humans and LLMs.

Human	CL 7B	CL 13B	CL 34B	GPT 3.5	GPT 4
GPT-4 (4.68)	human (4.55)	CL 7B (4.46)	CL 34B (4.18)	GPT-4 (4.80)	GPT-4 (5.00)
GPT-3.5 (4.65)	GPT-3.5 (4.51)	CL 34B (4.38)	GPT-4 (4.16)	GPT-3.5(4.70)	GPT-3.5 (4.96)
CL 13B (4.06)	CL 13B (4.50)	CL 13B (4.35)	GPT-3.5 (4.12)	CL 7B (4.40)	CL 7B (4.14)
human $(3.92)$	CL 7B (4.39)	GPT-3.5 (4.34)	human (4.05)	CL 13B (4.37)	CL 13B (4.13)
CL 7B (3.89)	CL 34B (4.37)	GPT-4 (4.32)	CL 7B (4.00)	CL 34B (4.08)	human (3.93)
CL 34B (3.71)	GPT-4 (4.36)	human (4.26)	CL 13B (3.90)	human (3.92)	CL 34B (3.90)

Table 11: (Python) Fluency & Understandability: ranking of the generators of summaries according to each judge, including both humans and LLMs.