

Homework 1 Solutions1. (2 points) *Stranded.*

- a. The number of friends that decide to come is a binomial with parameters $n = 5$ and $p = 0.1$. So using the expression for the pmf of a binomial:

$$P(3 \text{ friends come}) = \binom{5}{3} 0.1^3 0.9^2 = 0.81\%. \quad (1)$$

- b. Since we just know the probability of the individual events, but nothing about their intersections, we use the union bound. Let S_i be the event that friend i comes:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{stranded}) &= P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^5 S_i^c\right) = 1 - P\left(\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^5 S_i^c\right)^c\right) = 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^5 S_i\right) \quad \text{by De Morgan's laws} \\ &\geq 1 - \sum_{i=1}^5 P(S_i) = \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

So no matter what, you have a probability of $1/2$ of being rescued.

2. (2 points) *Army camp.*

- a. Let J be the event that Joe has the disease, $+$ be that the test is positive, N that no soldier has the disease and A that at least one soldier has the disease. Note that $N = A^c$.

$$P(J|+) = \frac{P(J, +)}{P(+)} = \frac{P(J, +)}{P(+, N) + P(+, A)} \quad (3)$$

$$= \frac{P(+|J)P(J)}{P(+|N)P(N) + P(+|A)P(A)} \quad (4)$$

$$= \frac{0.9 \cdot 0.2}{0.1 \cdot 0.8^{10} + 0.9 \cdot (1 - 0.8^{10})} \approx 0.2211 \quad (5)$$

$$(6)$$

Here $P(+|A) = P(+|J)$ holds because for the purposes of the test what matters is whether the sample has the disease or not. In other words within this context A and J give us the same information.

- b. Now let B be the event that the fridge is broken. Under the new measure the event $+$ is the whole sample space, therefore J and $+$ are independent given B .
- c. If the fridge is not broken then $P(+|B^c)$ is just what we calculated above as $P(+)$ which is 0.8141.

$$P(B|+) = \frac{P(B, +)}{P(+)} = \frac{P(B, +)}{P(B, +) + P(B^c, +)} \quad (7)$$

$$= \frac{P(+|B)P(B)}{P(+|B)P(B) + P(+|B^c)P(B^c)} \quad (8)$$

$$= \frac{1.0 \cdot 0.4}{1.0 \cdot 0.4 + 0.8141 \cdot 0.6} \approx 0.45 \quad (9)$$

3. (2 points) *Old car.*

a. They are the same so the process is memoryless:

$$P(\text{car breaks down in the } k'\text{th drive}) = 0.75^{k'-1}0.25 \quad (10)$$

$$P(\text{car breaks down in the } k + k'\text{th drive} | E) = \frac{P(\text{car breaks down in the } k + k'\text{th drive})}{P(E)} \quad (11)$$

$$= \frac{0.75^{k+k'-1}0.25}{0.75^k} = 0.75^{k'-1}0.25 \quad (12)$$

b. n successes in $\text{Binomial}(k, 0.25)$ (success is the event that the car breaks)

$$\binom{k}{n} 0.75^{k-n} 0.25^n \quad (13)$$

c. $n - 1$ successes in $\text{Binomial}(k - 1, 0.25)$ times one more success

$$\binom{k-1}{n-1} 0.75^{k-n-1} 0.25^{n-1} 0.25 \quad (14)$$

d. Similar to part a. but no more memoryless.

$$P(\text{breaks in the } k'\text{th drive}) = \prod_{i=1}^{k'-1} 2^{-i} (1 - 2^{k'}) = (1 - 2^{k'}) \cdot 2^{-\sum_{i=1}^{k'-1} i} \quad (15)$$

$$= (1 - 2^{k'}) \cdot 2^{-(k'-1)k'/2} \quad (16)$$

$$P(\text{breaks in the } k + k'\text{th drive} | E) = \frac{(1 - 2^{k+k'}) \cdot 2^{-(k+k'-1)(k+k')/2}}{2^{-k(k+1)/2}} \quad (17)$$

$$(18)$$

4. (2 points) *Radioactive decay.*

a. Let D be the time the particle takes to decay. The pmf of the reading $R = \lceil D \rceil$ is a geometric of parameter $1 - e^{-\lambda}$,

$$P(R = r) = P(r - 1 \leq D < r) = \int_{r-1}^r \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx = e^{-\lambda(r-1)} - e^{-\lambda r} \quad (19)$$

$$= (e^{-\lambda})^{r-1} (1 - e^{-\lambda}) \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (20)$$

You can arrive at the same conclusion by realizing that the probability of the particle having decayed within any interval is $1 - e^{-\lambda}$ combined with the fact that the exponential distribution is memoryless.

b. Let E be the error, clearly $0 \leq E \leq 1$. Its cdf is

$$F_E(x) = P(E \leq x) \quad (21)$$

$$= P(\lceil D \rceil - D \leq x) \quad (22)$$

$$= P(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{i - x \leq D \leq i\}) \quad \text{union of disjoint events} \quad (23)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(i - x \leq D \leq i) \quad (24)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{i-x}^i e^{-\lambda x} dx \quad (25)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda(i-x)} - e^{-\lambda i} \quad (26)$$

$$= (e^{\lambda x} - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda i} \quad (27)$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\lambda} (e^{\lambda x} - 1)}{1 - e^{-\lambda}} = \frac{e^{\lambda x} - 1}{e^{\lambda} - 1}. \quad (28)$$

Differentiating we obtain

$$f_E(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\lambda e^{\lambda x}}{e^{\lambda} - 1} & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

5. (2 points) *Generating random variables.*

a. Applying the definition of cdf,

$$F_W(w) = P(W \leq w) \quad (30)$$

$$= P(F_Y(Y) \leq w). \quad (31)$$

If F_Y is invertible, then $a \leq b$ is equivalent to $F_Y(a) \leq F_Y(b)$. Let us prove it by showing that each statement implies the other one.

(1) If $a \leq b$ then $F_Y(a) \leq F_Y(b)$ because cdfs are non increasing.

(2) If $F_Y(a) \leq F_Y(b)$ then either $F_Y(a) = F_Y(b)$ which implies $a = b$ because F_Y is invertible or $F_Y(a) < F_Y(b)$ which implies $a \leq b$ because cdfs are non increasing.

As a result, $F_Y(Y) \leq w$ implies $Y \leq F_Y^{-1}(w)$, so

$$F_W(w) = P(Y \leq F_Y^{-1}(w)) \quad (32)$$

$$= F_Y(F_Y^{-1}(w)) \quad (33)$$

$$= w, \quad 0 \leq w \leq 1. \quad (34)$$

It turns out that W is a uniform random variable between 0 and 1.

b. Set $A = F_X^{-1}(W)$,

$$F_A(a) = P(A \leq a) \quad (35)$$

$$= P(F_X^{-1}(W) \leq a) \quad (36)$$

$$= P(W \leq F_X(a)) \quad (37)$$

$$= F_X(a) \quad \text{from (a)}. \quad (38)$$

You have generated a random variable with cdf F_X !

c. Consider the set

$$S_w := \{y \mid F_Y(y) = w\}. \quad (39)$$

S is an interval because F_Y is non increasing so there cannot be two values $a < b$ such that $F_Y(a) = F_Y(b)$ and $F_Y(c) \neq F_Y(a)$ for any $a < c < b$. Since it is the pre-image of the closed set $\{w\}$ and F_Y is continuous, then it is a closed interval. In particular, we can define

$$g(w) := \max_y S_w, \quad (40)$$

i.e. the largest element in S_w . Now, recall from (a) that

$$F_W(w) = P(W \leq w) \quad (41)$$

$$= P(F_Y(Y) \leq w). \quad (42)$$

Now we show that $F_Y(a) \leq w$ is equivalent to $a \leq g(w)$ by showing that each statement implies the other one.

(1) If $F_Y(a) \leq w$ then either $F_Y(a) < w$, which directly implies that $a < g(w)$ because F_Y is non increasing, or $F_Y(a) = w$ which implies $a \leq g(w)$ by definition of g .

(2) If $a \leq g(w)$ then $F_Y(a) \leq w$ because F_Y is non increasing.

Finally,

$$F_W(w) = P(Y \leq g(w)) \quad (43)$$

$$= F_Y(g(w)) \quad (44)$$

$$= w, \quad 0 \leq w \leq 1. \quad (45)$$

So you are OK.