

**Recitation Session 8 Solutions**1. *Estimating mean of Gaussian.*

- $Y = \mu + W$  where  $W$  is mean zero variance  $P$ .
- The ML estimator is the given value itself. By inspection of  $f_Y(y|\mu) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \exp(-\frac{(y-\mu)^2}{2P})$ ,  $\mu_{ML} = y$ .
- Now the input is sum of two random variables  $Y = M + W$ . Given  $Y = y$ , the posterior is normal distribution with mean  $\frac{Ny}{N+P}$  and variance  $\frac{NP}{N+P}$ . Mean and maximizing value of this density are equal, so here MMSE=MAP.
- Bayesian estimators are smaller than the ML estimator by a factor of  $\frac{N}{N+P}$ , if  $N$  is smaller compared to  $P$ , the prior information indicates that the  $\mu$  is small. As  $N$  grows the prior distribution becomes flat, increasingly uniform, Bayesian estimator converges to the ML estimator.

2. *Estimating mean of Poisson.*

- Let  $Y$  be the signal. Given  $Y = k$ , the MLE is obtained by maximizing  $p_Y(k|\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!}$  with respect to  $\lambda$ . Drop the denominator, take logarithm:  $-\lambda + k \log \lambda$  therefore the MLE is  $k$ . By derivative test it is increasing in  $\lambda$  for  $\lambda < k$ , and decreasing beyond  $k$ .
- Maximize the posterior,  $p_{\Lambda|Y}(\lambda|y)$ , with respect to  $\lambda$ , it is proportional to:

$$p_Y(k|\lambda) f_{\Lambda}(\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!} \frac{I_{0 < \lambda < \theta}}{\theta} \quad (1)$$

The first factor is increasing in  $\lambda$  for  $\lambda < k$ . Therefore MAP is at  $\min k, \theta$ .

- Prior gives us an upper bound for the parameter but no more than that. If  $\theta$  is less than  $k$  then the MAP estimator is smaller than ML estimator. As  $\theta$  goes to infinity MAP converges to ML.
- For an unknown but fixed value  $\theta$ , say  $\lambda < \theta$  then the ML estimator is naturally restricted, and gives the same formula as above for MAP.

3. *Functions of estimators.*

- Yes, because the transformation is linear, the pdf of  $3 + 5\Theta$  is a scaled version of the pdf of  $\Theta$ . Take squares for example,  $f(x) = x^2$ , it is not linear.
- Yes, because the MMSE estimator is given by the conditional expectation, which is linear. That is,  $3 + 5E[\theta|Y] = E[3 + 5\theta|Y]$ . Again squares are not expected to give the same, if squares are the same then variance would be zero, which, in general, is not true.
- Yes, because the transformation is invertible. For example in question 1 part b maximizing  $f_Y(y|g(\mu))$  will give  $(g(\mu))_{ML} = y$ . Note that taking a square if the argument is positive (or negative but not both) is invertible so the answer would be still yes. Take a degenerate transformation like  $f(x) = 1$ .