Inference

Patrick Chen

Jan 13, 2025

Inference

We denote a inference as one (or more) premises leading to a conclusion. If p_1, \ldots, p_n are premises and q is a conclusion, then an inference is $(p_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge p_n) \to q$.

$$\frac{p_1 \quad \dots \quad p_n}{q}$$

If there are propositional functions, then

$$\frac{\forall x P(x)}{P(c) \text{ for all } c \text{ in the domain}} \quad \frac{\exists x P(x)}{P(c) \text{ for some } c \text{ in the domain}}$$

$$\frac{p \to q \quad p}{q}$$

$$\bullet\,$$
 Modus Tollens

$$\frac{p \to q \quad \neg q}{\neg p}$$

$$\frac{p \to q \quad q \to r}{p \to r}$$

$$\frac{p\vee q \quad \neg p}{q}$$

$$\frac{p}{p \vee a}$$

$$\frac{p \wedge q}{q}$$

$$\frac{p}{n \wedge a}$$

$$\frac{p \vee q \quad \neg p \vee r}{q \vee r}$$

Example

$$\frac{\neg p \wedge q \quad r \rightarrow p \quad \neg r \rightarrow s \quad s \rightarrow t}{t}$$

Since $\neg p$ is true from $r \to p$ we see that $\neg r$ must be true.

$$\frac{\neg p \land q \quad r \to p}{\neg r}$$

From $\neg r \to s$ and $\neg r$, we see that s must be true (modus ponens).

$$\frac{\neg r \qquad \neg r \to s}{s}$$

From $s \to t$ and s we conclude that t must be true.

$$\frac{s \quad s \to t}{t}$$

Example 2

$$\frac{(p \wedge q) \vee r \qquad r \to s}{p \vee s}$$

If r is false, then $(p \land q) \lor r$, $p \land q$ must be T. Therefore, p is true and hence $p \lor s$ is true.

$$\frac{\neg r \qquad (p \land q) \lor r}{\dfrac{p \land q}{\dfrac{p}{p \lor s}}}$$

If r is true, then s is true from $r \to s$, therefore $p \lor s$ is true.

$$\frac{r \quad r \to s}{\frac{s}{p \vee s}}$$

Example 3

Determine whether the following argument is valid.

$$\frac{p \to r \qquad q \to r \qquad \neg r}{p \lor q}$$

This argument is not valid.

$$\frac{p \to r \quad \neg r}{\frac{\neg p}{} \quad \frac{q \to r \quad \neg r}{\neg q}} \frac{q \to r \quad \neg r}{\neg q}$$

Proofs

Nomenclature

• Theorem: and important mathematical result

- Proposition: less important mathematical result
- Lemma a result that is needed to prove a theorem
- Corollary: a result that directly follows from a theorem

Methods of Proofs

- Direct proof: use all lines of reasoning. In a direct proof, we show that $P(c) \to Q(c)$ for any arbitrary c in the domain. We start with a hypothesis P(c) and work to show that Q(c) is true.
- Proof by contraposition: proving the contraposition. Since $P(c) \to Q(c) \equiv \neg Q(c) \to \neg P(c)$, we can prove that $\neg Q(c) \to \neg P(c)$.
- Proof by contradiction: assume that the theorem is false, then use lines of reasoning until there is a contradiction. If we wish to prove that $P(c) \to Q(c)$, for some c in the domain, we want to show that $P(c) \land \neg Q(c)$ is false.
- Proof by cases: proving all cases of a theorem. If we have as statement that can be expressed as multiple cases $P(c) \equiv P_1(c) \vee P_2(c) \vee \dots P_n(c)$, then we need to show that all possible cases is true, or equivalently $(P_1(c) \to Q(c)) \wedge \dots \wedge (P_n(c) \to Q(c))$.

Example

```
P(n)=n is odd Q(n)=n^2 is odd Prove that P(n)\to Q(n) If n is odd, then n=2k+1 for some k\in\mathbb{Z}. n^2=(2k+1)^2=4k^2+4k+1=2(2k^2+2k)+1=2k'+1
```

Example 2

For integers m and n, show that if nm is even, then either m or n is even. Using contraposition, if m and n is odd, then mn is odd.

$$m = 2k_1 + 1$$

$$n = 2k_2 + 1$$

$$mn = (2k_1 + 1)(2k_2 + 1)$$

$$= 4k_1k_2 + 2k_1 + 2k_2 + 1$$

$$= 2(2k_1k_2 + k_1 + k_2) + 1$$

$$= 2k' + 1$$
where $k' = 2k_1k_2 + k_1 + k_2$

Example 3

```
For all real number x, prove that x \leq |x|. P(x) \equiv \forall x (x \leq |x|) \equiv (\forall x < 0 \ (x \leq |x|)) \land (\forall x \geq 0 \ (x \leq |x|)) If x < 0 then negative < positive. If x \geq 0, then |x| = x \leq x therefore x \leq |x|
```

Example 4

Prove that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational. Suppose that $\sqrt{2}$ is rational. Then:

$$\sqrt{2} = \frac{a}{b}$$

where a and b are coprime (no common factors).

$$b\sqrt{2}=a$$

$$2b^2 = a^2$$

Since $2b^2$ is even, a^2 is even, thus a = 2k.

$$2b^2 = (2k)^2$$

$$=4k^{2}$$

$$= 4k^2$$
$$b^2 = 2k^2$$

Thus, b is also even and have a common factor of 2. This is a contradiction, therefore the assumption is false. Thus, $\sqrt{2}$ must be irrational.