

Induction and Recursion

Patrick Chen

March 10, 2025

Induction

Suppose we wish to prove a proposition $P(n)$. If it is true for a base case $P(1)$ and for all k , $P(k)$ implies $P(k+1)$ is true, then it is true for all n .

- Basis step: $P(1)$
- Inductive step: $P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$

Strong induction is a form of induction where in the inductive step, we assume that all $P(i)$ where $i \leq k$ is true. Strong induction is mathematically equivalent to regular induction.

- Basis step: $P(m)$
- Inductive step: $(\forall i : m \leq i \leq k, P(i)) \rightarrow P(k+1)$

Well Ordering Principle

The well ordering principle is an axiom that says that every non-empty set of non-negative integers has a smallest element. The well ordering principle is equivalent to assuming that induction is true.

Proof of Induction

Assume the well ordering principle.

$$P(1) \quad P(k) \rightarrow P(k+1)$$

Let $\mathcal{C} = \{n \in \mathbb{N}^+ \mid P(n) \text{ is not true}\}$

Assume \mathcal{C} is non-empty

By the well ordering principle, pick the smallest element k of \mathcal{C}

Since $P(1)$ is true, $k > 1$, thus $k-1$ is a positive integer not in \mathcal{C} .

Thus $P(k-1)$ is true.

By the inductive hypothesis $P(k)$ is true.

This contradicts that $P(k) \in \mathcal{C}$

Thus \mathcal{C} is empty.

Thus proving the base case and the inductive hypothesis is equivalent to proving for all elements.

Structural Induction

- Basis step: Prove the result for the basis element
- Prove that if the result holds for each element in the construction of the new element, it holds for the new construction.

Example

Prove that $\sum_{j=1}^n j = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$

$$P(n) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n j = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right)$$

Base case:

$$\begin{aligned} P(1) &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^1 j = \frac{1(1+1)}{2} \right) \\ &= (1 = 1) \\ &= T \end{aligned}$$

Inductive step:

Assume $P(k)$ is true

$$\begin{aligned} p(k+1) &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{k+1} j = \frac{(k+1)((k+1)+1)}{2} \right) \\ &= \left((k+1) + \sum_{j=1}^k j = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} \right) \\ &= \left((k+1) + \frac{k(k+1)}{2} = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{2(k+1) + k(k+1)}{2} = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2} \right) \\ &= T \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\sum_{j=1}^n j = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ for all $n \geq 1$

Example 2

Prove that $21 \mid 4^{n+1} + 5^{2n-1}$

$21 \mid n$ if both $3 \mid n$ and $7 \mid n$

$$P(n) = (3 \mid 4^{n+1} + 5^{2n-1})$$

Base case:

$$\begin{aligned} P(1) &= (3 \mid 4^{1+1} + 5^{2(1)-1}) \\ &= (3 \mid 21) \\ &= T \end{aligned}$$

Inductive Step

$$\begin{aligned}
P(k+1) &= (3 \mid 4^{k+1+1} + 5^{2(k+1)-1}) \\
&= (3 \mid 4^{k+2} + 5^{2k+1}) \\
&= (3 \mid 4 \cdot 4^{k+1} + 25 \cdot 5^{2k-1}) \\
&= (3 \mid \underbrace{3 \cdot 4^{k+1} + 24 \cdot 5^{2k+1}}_{e_1} + \underbrace{4^{k+1} + 5^{2k-1}}_{e_2})
\end{aligned}$$

3 divides e_1 trivially and 3 divides e_1 by inductive hypothesis. Therefore $3 \mid 4^{n+1} + 5^{2n-1}$

$$Q(n) = (7 \mid 4^{n+1} + 5^{2n-1})$$

Base case:

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(1) &= (7 \mid 21) \\
&= 1
\end{aligned}$$

Inductive Step

$$\begin{aligned}
Q(k+1) &= (7 \mid 4^{(k+1)+1} + 5^{2(k+1)-1}) \\
&= (7 \mid 4^{k+2} + 5^{2k+1}) \\
&= (7 \mid 4 \cdot 4^{k+1} + 25 \cdot 5^{2k-1}) \\
&= (7 \mid 4 \cdot 4^{k+1} + 4 \cdot 5^{2k-1} + 21 \cdot 5^{2k-1}) \\
&= (7 \mid 4 \underbrace{(4^{k+1} + 5^{2k-1})}_{e_3} + \underbrace{21 \cdot 5^{2k-1}}_{e_4})
\end{aligned}$$

7 divides e_3 by inductive hypothesis and 7 divides e_4 trivially
Since $3 \mid 4^{n+1} + 5^{2n-1}$ and $(7 \mid 4^{n+1} + 5^{2n-1})$, then $21 \mid 4^{n+1} + 5^{2n-1}$

Example 3

Prove that every integer $n \geq 2$ is a product of primes.

$$p(n) = (\text{every } n \geq 2 \text{ is a product of primes})$$

$P(2)$ is trivially true.

Suppose that $P(i)$ is true for all $2 \leq i \leq k$

If $k+1$ is prime, then it is trivially a product of itself

If $k+1$ is composite, $k+1 = ab$ for $2 \leq a, b < k+1$

By the inductive hypothesis, a and b are a product of prime numbers

Therefore $k+1$ is also a product of primes

Example 4

Using the well ordering principle, prove that $\sum_{i=1}^n i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$ for all $n \geq 1$

Let $C = \{x \geq 1 \mid \neg P(n)\}$

Let $k \in C$ be the smallest element
 $P(k)$ is not true but $P(k-1)$ is true.

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i &= \frac{(k-1)k}{2} \\ k + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} i &= k + \frac{(k-1)k}{2} \\ \sum_{i=1}^k i &= \frac{k(k+1)}{2}\end{aligned}$$

This contradicts that $P(k)$ is false.
Thus C is empty.

Example 5

Every well-formed formula for compound propositions contains an equal number of left and right parentheses.

- T, F have no parentheses
- Given well formed compound propositions p, q , let l_p and r_p be the number of left and right parentheses in p and similarly l_q and r_q be for q .
Assume $l_p = r_p$ and $l_q = r_q$.
 - $(\neg p)$ has parentheses $l = l_p + 1, r = r_p + 1$
 - $(p \vee q)$ has parentheses $l = l_p + l_q + 1, r = r_p + r_q + 1$
 - $(p \wedge q)$ has parentheses $l = l_p + l_q + 1, r = r_p + r_q + 1$
 - $(p \rightarrow q)$ has parentheses $l = l_p + l_q + 1, r = r_p + r_q + 1$
 - $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ has parentheses $l = l_p + l_q + 1, r = r_p + r_q + 1$

In all cases, $l = r$

Thus for all compound propositions, there is an equal number of left and right parentheses.