## Jeremy Williams

# Direct computation by "hand"

#### Kit A

#### Question 1:

The two sensors read Negative:

#### Let us denote

 $S_1, S_2$  are Sensors, B is a Battery, and E is a random variable for Extreme temperature

$$P(E \mid S_1 = F, S_2 = F)$$

$$= \frac{[B * [P(S_1 = F, S_2 = F \mid E)] + \overline{B}] * p(E)}{B * [P(S_1 = F, S_2 = F \mid E) * p(E) + P(S_1 = F, S_2 = F \mid \overline{E}) * p(\overline{E})] + \overline{B}}$$

$$B * [P(S_1 = F \mid E) * P(S_2 = F \mid E)] + \overline{B} * 1$$

$$B * [P(S_1 = F \mid E) * P(S_2 = F \mid E)] + P(S_1 = F \mid \overline{E}) * P(S_2 = F \mid \overline{E}) * P(\overline{E})] + \overline{B}$$

$$= \frac{[0.9 * (0.03 * 0.03) + 0.1 * 1] * 0.2}{0.9 * (0.03 * 0.03 * 0.2 + 0.99 * 0.99 * 0.8) + 0.1} = 0.02502004$$

And 1 - 0.02502004 = 0.97498

0.97498	0.02502004

#### R output

```
predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.1,nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOT

## $E
## E
## false true
## 0.97497996 0.02502004

predOT$E[["true"]]

## [1] 0.02502004</pre>
```

#### Question 2:

$$P(E \mid S_1 = T, S_2 = T)$$

$$= \frac{B * [P(S_1 = T, S_2 = T | E) * p(E)]}{P(S_1 = T, S_2 = T | E) * p(E) + P(S_1 = T, S_2 = T | \overline{E}) * p(\overline{E})}$$

$$\frac{P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = T \mid E) * p(E)}{[P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = T \mid E) * p(E) + P(S_1 = T \mid \overline{E}) * P(S_2 = T \mid \overline{E}) * p(\overline{E})]}$$

$$= \frac{0.9 * [0.97 * 0.97 * 0.2]}{0.9 * [0.97 * 0.97 * 0.2 + 0.01 * 0.01 * 0.8]} = 0.9995751$$

And 1 - 0.9995751 = 0.0004249442

0.0004249442	0.9995751

#### R output

```
predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.2, nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOT

## $E
## E
## false true
## 0.0004249442 0.9995750558

predOT$E[["true"]]

## [1] 0.9995751</pre>
```

#### Question 3:

$$P(E \mid S_1 = T, S_2 = F)$$

$$= \frac{B * [P(S_1 = T, S_2 = F \mid E) * p(E)]}{B * [P(S_1 = T, S_2 = F \mid E) * p(E) + P(S_1 = T, S_2 = F \mid \overline{E}) * p(\overline{E})}$$

$$B * [P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = F \mid E) * p(E)]$$

$$B * [P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = F \mid E) * p(E) + P(S_1 = T \mid \overline{E}) * P(S_2 = F \mid \overline{E}) * p(\overline{E})]$$

$$= \frac{0.97 * 0.03 * 0.2}{0.97 * 0.03 * 0.2 + 0.01 * 0.99 * 0.8} = 0.4235808$$

0.5764192 0.4235808
---------------------

#### Output R

```
predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.3, nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOT

## $E
## E
## false true
## 0.5764192 0.4235808

predOT$E[["true"]]

## [1] 0.4235808</pre>
```

#### Kit B

#### Question1 and 2

Based on the above calculations... It is easy to see that:

$$P(E \mid S_{1} = T, S_{2} = T)$$

$$= \frac{B * [P(S_{1} = T, S_{2} = T \mid E) * p(E)]}{B * [P(S_{1} = T, S_{2} = T \mid E) * p(E)] + B * [P(S_{1} = T, S_{2} = T \mid \overline{E}) * p(\overline{E})]}$$

$$\frac{P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = T \mid E) * p(E)}{[P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = T \mid E) * p(E) + P(S_1 = T \mid \overline{E}) * P(S_2 = T \mid \overline{E}) * p(\overline{E})]}$$

$$= \frac{0.9 * [0.97 * 0.97 * 0.2]}{0.9 * [0.97 * 0.97 * 0.2] + 0.9 * [0.01 * 0.01 * 0.8]} = 0.9995751$$

And 1 - 0.9995751 = 0.0004249442

0.0004249442	0.9995751
--------------	-----------

#### R output

```
predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.2, nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOT

## $E
## E
## false true
## 0.0004249442 0.9995750558

predOT$E[["true"]]

## [1] 0.9995751</pre>
```

$$P(E \mid S_1 = F, S_2 = F)$$

$$= \frac{[B * [P(S_1 = F, S_2 = F \mid E)] * p(E)]}{B * [P(S_1 = F, S_2 = F \mid E) * p(E)] + B * [P(S_1 = F, S_2 = F \mid \overline{E}) * p(\overline{E})]}$$

It is easy to see that:

 $P(E \mid S_1 = F, S_2 = F) = 0.004089033$ 

And 1 - 0.004089033 = 0.0004249442

0.99591	0.004089033

#### R output

```
predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.1,nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOT

## $E
## E
## false true
## 0.995910967 0.004089033

predOT$E[["true"]]

## [1] 0.004089033</pre>
```

#### Question 3:

$$P(E \mid S_{1} = T, S_{2} = F)$$

$$B * [P(S_{1} = T \mid E) * P(S_{2} = F \mid E) * p(E)] + B * [P(S_{1} = T \mid E) * \overline{B}] * p(E)$$

$$B * [P(S_{1} = T \mid E) * P(S_{2} = F \mid E) * p(E)] + \overline{B} * [B * P(S_{1} = T \mid E) * p(\overline{E})] +$$

$$B * [P(S_{1} = T \mid \overline{E}) * P(S_{2} = F \mid \overline{E}) * p(\overline{E})] + \overline{B} * [B * P(S_{1} = T \mid \overline{E}) * p(\overline{E})]$$

$$= \frac{(0.9 * 0.97 * 0.9 * 0.03 + 0.97 * 0.9 * 0.1) * 0.2}{0.9 * 0.97 * 0.9 * 0.03 * 0.2 + 0.9 * 0.97 * 0.2 * 0.1} = 0.7565559$$

$$+ 0.9 * 0.99 * 0.9 * 0.01 * 0.8 + 0.9 * 0.01 * 0.8 * 0.1$$

And 1 - 0.7565559 = 0.2434441

0.2434441	0.7565559
-----------	-----------

#### Output R

```
predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.3, nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOT

## $E
## E
## false true
## 0.2434441 0.7565559

predOT$E[["true"]]</pre>
## [1] 0.7565559
```

### In which of the three cases the answer is the same for the two kits? Why?

We can see that from the above calculation by hand and R-code  $P(E \mid S_1 = T, S_2 = T)$  are the same for the two kits according to the probabilities.

#### Kit A

predOT\$E[["true"]]

## [1] 0.9995751

```
#Question 2
 \verb|Norman.net.2<-setEvidence(Norman.net,nodes=c("S","S2"),states=c("true","true"))|
 ## Independence network: Compiled: TRUE Propagated: TRUE
 ## Nodes: chr [1:4] "E" "B" "S" "S2"
 ## Evidence:
## nodes is.hard.evidence hard.state
 ## 1 S TRUE true
## 2 S2 TRUE true
 ## pEvidence: 0.169434
 \verb|predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.2, nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")|
 pred0T
  ## $E
  ## E
           false
 ##
 ## 0.0004249442 0.9995750558
 predOT$E[["true"]]
 ## [1] 0.9995751
Kit B
 #Question 2
 Norman.net.2<-setEvidence(Norman.net,nodes=c("S","S2"),states=c("true","true"))
 ## Independence network: Compiled: TRUE Propagated: TRUE
 ## Nodes: chr [1:5] "E" "B" "B1" "S" "S2"
 ## Evidence:
 ## nodes is.hard.evidence hard.state
 ## 1 S TRUE true
## 2 S2 TRUE true
 ## pEvidence: 0.152491
 predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.2,nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")</pre>
 predOT
 ## SE
 ## E
                      true
          false
 ## 0.0004249442 0.9995750558
```