

## Jeremy Williams

### Direct computation by "hand"

#### Kit A

##### Question 1:

The two sensors read Negative:

Let us denote

$S_1, S_2$  are Sensors,  $B$  is a Battery, and  $E$  is a random variable for Extreme temperature

$P(E | S_1 = F, S_2 = F)$

$$= \frac{B * [P(S_1 = F, S_2 = F | E)] + \bar{B} * p(E)}{B * [P(S_1 = F, S_2 = F | E) * p(E) + P(S_1 = F, S_2 = F | \bar{E}) * p(\bar{E})] + \bar{B}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{B * [P(S_1 = F | E) * P(S_2 = F | E)] + \bar{B} * 1}{B * [P(S_1 = F | E) * P(S_2 = F | E) * p(E) + P(S_1 = F | \bar{E}) * P(S_2 = F | \bar{E}) * p(\bar{E})] + \bar{B}} \\ &= \frac{[0.9 * (0.03 * 0.03) + 0.1 * 1] * 0.2}{0.9 * (0.03 * 0.03 * 0.2 + 0.99 * 0.99 * 0.8) + 0.1} = 0.02502004 \end{aligned}$$

And  $1 - 0.02502004 = 0.97498$

0.97498	0.02502004
---------	------------

#### R output

```
predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.1,nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOT
```

```
## $E
## E
##      false      true
## 0.97497996 0.02502004
```

```
predOT$E[["true"]]
```

```
## [1] 0.02502004
```

### Question 2:

$$P(E \mid S_1 = T, S_2 = T)$$

$$= \frac{B * [P(S_1 = T, S_2 = T \mid E) * p(E)]}{P(S_1 = T, S_2 = T \mid E) * p(E) + P(S_1 = T, S_2 = T \mid \bar{E}) * p(\bar{E})}$$

$$\frac{P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = T \mid E) * p(E)}{[P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = T \mid E) * p(E) + P(S_1 = T \mid \bar{E}) * P(S_2 = T \mid \bar{E}) * p(\bar{E})]}$$

$$= \frac{0,9 * [0,97 * 0,97 * 0,2]}{0,9 * [0,97 * 0,97 * 0,2 + 0,01 * 0,01 * 0,8]} = 0.9995751$$

$$\text{And } 1 - 0.9995751 = 0.0004249442$$

0.0004249442	0.9995751
--------------	-----------

### R output

```
predOI<-querygrain(Norman.net.2,nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOI
```

```
## $E
## E
##      false      true
## 0.0004249442 0.9995750558
```

```
predOI$E[["true"]]
```

```
## [1] 0.9995751
```

### Question 3:

$$P(E \mid S_1 = T, S_2 = F)$$

$$= \frac{B * [P(S_1 = T, S_2 = F \mid E) * p(E)]}{B * [P(S_1 = T, S_2 = F \mid E) * p(E) + P(S_1 = T, S_2 = F \mid \bar{E}) * p(\bar{E})]}$$

$$\frac{B * [P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = F \mid E) * p(E)]}{B * [P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = F \mid E) * p(E) + P(S_1 = T \mid \bar{E}) * P(S_2 = F \mid \bar{E}) * p(\bar{E})]}$$

$$= \frac{0,97 * 0,03 * 0,2}{0,97 * 0,03 * 0,2 + 0,01 * 0,99 * 0,8} = 0.4235808$$

And  $1 - 0.4235808 = 0.5764192$

0.5764192	0.4235808
-----------	-----------

Output R

```
predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.3,nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOT
```

```
## $E
## E
##      false      true
## 0.5764192 0.4235808
```

```
predOT$E[["true"]]
```

```
## [1] 0.4235808
```

## Kit B

### Question1 and 2

Based on the above calculations... It is easy to see that:

$$P(E \mid S_1 = T, S_2 = T)$$

$$= \frac{B * [P(S_1 = T, S_2 = T \mid E) * p(E)]}{B * [P(S_1 = T, S_2 = T \mid E) * p(E)] + B * [P(S_1 = T, S_2 = T \mid \bar{E}) * p(\bar{E})]}$$

$$\frac{P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = T \mid E) * p(E)}{[P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = T \mid E) * p(E)] + [P(S_1 = T \mid \bar{E}) * P(S_2 = T \mid \bar{E}) * p(\bar{E})]}$$

$$= \frac{0,9 * [0,97 * 0,97 * 0,2]}{0,9 * [0,97 * 0,97 * 0,2] + 0,9 * [0,01 * 0,01 * 0,8]} = 0.9995751$$

And  $1 - 0.9995751 = 0.0004249442$

0.0004249442	0.9995751
--------------	-----------

R output

```
predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.2,nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOT
```

```
## $E
## E
##      false      true
## 0.0004249442 0.9995750558
```

```
predOT$E[["true"]]
```

```
## [1] 0.9995751
```

$$P(E \mid S_1 = F, S_2 = F)$$

$$= \frac{B * [P(S_1 = F, S_2 = F \mid E)] * p(E)}{B * [P(S_1 = F, S_2 = F \mid E)] * p(E) + B * [P(S_1 = F, S_2 = F \mid \bar{E})] * p(\bar{E})}$$

It is easy to see that:

$$P(E \mid S_1 = F, S_2 = F) = 0.004089033$$

$$\text{And } 1 - 0.004089033 = 0.995910967$$

0.99591	0.004089033
---------	-------------

R output

```
predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.1,nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOT
```

```
## $E
## E
##      false      true
## 0.995910967 0.004089033
```

```
predOT$E[["true"]]
```

```
## [1] 0.004089033
```

Question 3:

$$P(E \mid S_1 = T, S_2 = F)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{B * [P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = F \mid E) * p(E)] + B * [P(S_1 = T \mid E) * \bar{B}] * p(E)}{B * [P(S_1 = T \mid E) * P(S_2 = F \mid E) * p(E)] + \bar{B} * [B * P(S_1 = T \mid E) * p(\bar{E})] +} \\ & B * [P(S_1 = T \mid \bar{E}) * P(S_2 = F \mid \bar{E}) * p(\bar{E})] + \bar{B} * [B * P(S_1 = T \mid \bar{E}) * p(\bar{E})] \\ & = \frac{(0,9 * 0,97 * 0,9 * 0,03 + 0,97 * 0,9 * 0,1) * 0,2}{0,9 * 0,97 * 0,9 * 0,03 * 0,2 + 0,9 * 0,97 * 0,2 * 0,1 + 0,9 * 0,99 * 0,9 * 0,01 * 0,8 + 0,9 * 0,01 * 0,8 * 0,1} = 0.7565559 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{And } 1 - 0.7565559 = 0.2434441$$

0.2434441	0.7565559
-----------	-----------

Output R

```
predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.3,nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOT
```

```
## $E
## E
##      false      true
## 0.2434441 0.7565559
```

```
predOT$E[["true"]]
```

```
## [1] 0.7565559
```

## In which of the three cases the answer is the same for the two kits? Why?

We can see that, from the above calculation by hand and R-code below,

$P(E \mid S_1 = T, S_2 = T)$  are the same for the two kits according to the probabilities. This is because the batteries does not affect the calculations (i.e. would cancel out -  $0.9/0.9 = 1$ ) for both Kits in this problem.

### Kit A

```
#Question 2
Norman.net.2<-setEvidence(Norman.net,nodes=c("S","S2"),states=c("true","true"))

Norman.net.2
```

```
## Independence network: Compiled: TRUE Propagated: TRUE
## Nodes: chr [1:4] "E" "B" "S" "S2"
## Evidence:
## nodes is.hard.evidence hard.state
## 1      S              TRUE      true
## 2     S2              TRUE      true
## pEvidence: 0.169434
```

```
predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.2,nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOT
```

```
## $E
## E
##      false      true
## 0.0004249442 0.9995750558
```

```
predOT$E[["true"]]
```

```
## [1] 0.9995751
```

### Kit B

```
#Question 2
Norman.net.2<-setEvidence(Norman.net,nodes=c("S","S2"),states=c("true","true"))

Norman.net.2
```

```
## Independence network: Compiled: TRUE Propagated: TRUE
## Nodes: chr [1:5] "E" "B" "B1" "S" "S2"
## Evidence:
## nodes is.hard.evidence hard.state
## 1      S              TRUE      true
## 2     S2              TRUE      true
## pEvidence: 0.152491
```

```
predOT<-querygrain(Norman.net.2,nodes=c("E"), type="marginal")
predOT
```

```
## $E
## E
##      false      true
## 0.0004249442 0.9995750558
```

```
predOT$E[["true"]]
```

```
## [1] 0.9995751
```