## Bag of Words Meets Bags of Popcorn

In this competition we have data with IMDB movie reviews: the texts of the reviews and the marks (whether the review is poritive or negative). The goal is to predict the marks for reviews in test dataset.

The metric to calculate the accuracy of predictions is AUC. One characteristic of the AUC is that it is independent of the fraction of the test population which is class 0 or class 1: this makes the AUC useful for evaluating the performance of classifiers on unbalanced data sets.

In fact I simply take the texts of the reviews, drop stop words (common words, which have no impact), extract word-features and make prediction based on them.

```
In [ ]: import pandas as pd
        from bs4 import BeautifulSoup
        import re
        import nltk
        from nltk.corpus import stopwords
        from sklearn.feature extraction.text import CountVectorizer
        from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier
        from sklearn.naive bayes import MultinomialNB
        from sklearn.metrics import roc auc score
        from sklearn.model selection import train test split
In [4]: #This downloads data for nltk analysis. Use if necessary.
        #nltk.download()
In [3]: train = pd.read csv('../input/labeledTrainData.tsv', header=0, delimiter='\t
        test = pd.read csv('../input/testData.tsv', header=0, delimiter='\t', quotir
In [4]: def text to words(text):
            Extract words from text.
            text = BeautifulSoup(text, 'lxml').get text()
            letters = re.sub('[^a-zA-Z]', ' ', text)
            words = letters.lower().split()
            stops = set(stopwords.words('english'))
            meaningful_words = [w for w in words if not w in stops]
            return (' '.join(meaningful words))
In [5]: #Check that it works
        print(text to words(train['review'][0]))
```

stuff going moment mj started listening music watching odd documentary watch ed wiz watched moonwalker maybe want get certain insight guy thought really cool eighties maybe make mind whether guilty innocent moonwalker part biogra phy part feature film remember going see cinema originally released subtle m essages mj feeling towards press also obvious message drugs bad kay visually impressive course michael jackson unless remotely like mj anyway going hate find boring may call mj egotist consenting making movie mj fans would say ma de fans true really nice actual feature film bit finally starts minutes excl uding smooth criminal sequence joe pesci convincing psychopathic powerful dr ug lord wants mj dead bad beyond mj overheard plans nah joe pesci character ranted wanted people know supplying drugs etc dunno maybe hates mj music lot s cool things like mj turning car robot whole speed demon sequence also dire ctor must patience saint came filming kiddy bad sequence usually directors h ate working one kid let alone whole bunch performing complex dance scene bot tom line movie people like mj one level another think people stay away try q ive wholesome message ironically mj bestest buddy movie girl michael jackson truly one talented people ever grace planet guilty well attention gave subje ct hmmm well know people different behind closed doors know fact either extr emely nice stupid guy one sickest liars hope latter

```
In [6]: def clean(a):
             Cleaning data.
             for i in range(0, a.size):
                 yield text to words(a[i])
 In [7]: vectorizer = CountVectorizer(analyzer = 'word',
                                      tokenizer = None,
                                       preprocessor = None,
                                       stop words = None,
                                       \max df = 0.5,
                                       max features = 10000)
 In [8]: train reviews = list(clean(train['review']))
         train data features = vectorizer.fit transform(train reviews)
         test reviews = list(clean(test['review']))
         test data features = vectorizer.transform(test reviews)
 In [9]: Xtrain, Xtest, ytrain, ytest = train test split(train data features, train['
         I tried several models and MultinomialNB proved to be better than most of them.
In [10]: mnb = MultinomialNB(alpha=0.0001)
         y val m = mnb.fit(Xtrain, ytrain).predict proba(Xtest)[:,1]
         y pred m = mnb.fit(train data features, train['sentiment']).predict proba(te
         #Accuracy of prediction on validation set
         roc auc score(ytest, y val m)
Out[10]: 0.9238410855634166
In [11]: #Random Forest is even better
         forest = RandomForestClassifier(n estimators=300, criterion = 'gini')
```

```
y_val_f = forest.fit(Xtrain, ytrain).predict_proba(Xtest)[:,1]
y_pred_f = forest.fit(train_data_features, train['sentiment']).predict_proba
roc_auc_score(ytest, y_val_f)
```

Out[11]: 0.92840773397372978

```
In [12]: #Ensemble of models seems to be the best.
roc_auc_score(ytest, y_val_m + y_val_f)
```

Out[12]: 0.93958275032204619

This competition has already ended, but people still can submit their solutions and see their scores. First two places have score  $\sim$ 0.99, third has  $\sim$ 0.97.

My MultinomialNB model got a score of  $\sim$ 0.9, RandomForestClassifier - 0.93. Ensemble got a score of 0.93366.

This notebook was converted with convert.ploomber.io