

www.crypticlabs.org

Smart Contract Code Review Audit Report for

Swerve Finance

Prepared by Cryptic Lab Code Audit Team contact@crypticlabs.org

September 14, 2020

Swerve Smart Contract Code Inspection Report

Version Information:

Version	Date	Description	Point of Contact
0.1	September 14, 2020		

For more information about this document, please use the following contact information:

Name	Bril Wang	
Phone	650-391-6281	
Email	bril@crypticlabs.org	



Table of Contents

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Purpose of This Document
- 1.2. About Swerve
- 1.3. References
- 1.4. Programming languages
- 1.5. Defect Checklist
- 1.6. About Crypt Labs
- 1.7. Disclaimer
- 2. Executive Summary
- 3. Detailed Inspection of Modules
- 4. Defects



1. Introduction

1. Purpose of This Document

This report is prepared by Cryptic Lab Code Review team after carrying out a code review on the smart contract source codes by Swerve Finance projects. The information about the Swerve Finance is described as follows.

Swerve Finance Homepage	https://swerve.fi/
Code location	https://github.com/SwerveFinance/SwerveContracts 487b410
Type of Codes	Ethereum Smart Contracts
Twitter	https://twitter.com/SwerveFinance
Telegram	https://t.me/swervefi

This report reviews Swerve Contract codes and this report does not include reviews for the swerve-web and swerve-ui codes.

2. About Swerve

Swerve is a fork of Curve Finance with the following claim: "there is no fake-out deployment, no questionable pre-mining, no founder controlling majority of the governance vote, no suspect team proposals, no 30% allocation to 'shareholders', no team allocation, no decades long distribution, none of it. It is a simple 33,000,000 supply owned entirely by you, the community of liquidity providers and users. If you provide liquidity to Swerve, you get ySWRV tokens which can be staked in the Swerve DAO to earn \$SWRV. To kick-start the protocol and encourage users to try out Swerve, the first two weeks will have a larger distribution of \$SWRV awarded." The entire code of Swerve has not been fully reviewed and audited as the developer mentioned that "There is very minimal new code introduced (and is quite simple), I have had some fellow farmers take a look and have been reviewing for some time but ultimately will recommend participants to proceed with caution (as they always should) until it is more publicly vetted." As a summary, the Swerve project removed some un-used contracts from the Curve project and "have written independent Solidity code that interacts with Curve's contracts essentially as an onchain API via delegation."



There will be a total of 33,000,000 SWRV tokens with the following release schedule and They will be issued in proportion to providers' supplied liquidity in comparison to the total liquidity provided in Swerve:

First 2 weeks: 9,000,000 SWRV

Year 1 (after the 2 weeks): 9,000,000 SWRV

Year 2: 3,000,000 SWRV Year 3: 3,000,000 SWRV Year 4: 3,000,000 SWRV Year 5: 3,000,000 SWRV Year 6: 3,000,000 SWRV

Swerve will initially deploy with a Y Pool [DAI, USDC, USDT, TUSD] and leave the addition of future pools up to the decision of the DAO.

3. References

- 1. https://thedefiant.substack.com/p/a-chat-with-john-deere-the-anonymous
- 2. https://www.curve.fi/curve audits/curve-dao-quantstamp.pdf
- 3. https://www.curve.fi/curve_audits/00-ToB.pdf
- 4. https://www.curve.fi/curve_audits/01-ToB.pdf
- 5. https://resources.curve.fi/

4. Programming languages

The smart contracts are written in Vyper and Solidity.

5. Defect Checklist

No defect has been identified.

6. About Cryptic Labs

Cryptic Labs is an innovative commercial lab, accelerator and advisory focused on solving fundamental problems in Blockchain, security, privacy and trust. Cryptic Labs are comprised of expert security advisors, cryptographers, researchers, engineers, scientists and outstanding blockchain practitioners. Cryptic Labs work with companies globally to solve security, privacy, and decentralized and distributed trust challenges. Cryptic Labs also functions as a commercial accelerator focusing on security, economics, privacy and trust to advance the viability of the Blockchain. To established companies, Cryptic Labs offer advice and collaboration on research and applied technology solutions. To startup companies in its accelerator, Cryptic Labs act as a bridge between venture capital, academia and industry in the research and development of practical industry-altering solutions, ideas and resources.

7. Disclaimer



The Cryptic Lab's code review team has tried its best to identify security vulnerabilities in the code. However, the team does not give any warranty on finding all security issues of the given smart contracts. This security audit report should not be used as an investment advice.

2. Executive Summary

The team reviewed/inspected the Swerve Contracts codes located at https://github.com/SwerveFinance/SwerveContracts (487b410). The Swerve Contracts directory contains ten (10) source code files:

- 1. APYoracle.sol
- 2. ERC20CRV.vy
- 3. ERC20LP.vy
- 4. GaugeController.vy
- 5. LiquidityGauge.vy
- 6. Minter.vy
- 7. PoolProxy.vy
- 8. VotingEscrow.vy
- 9. YPoolDelegator.sol
- 10. ZapDelegator.sol

Among these ten (10) files, seven (7) files are written using Vyper (with *.vy extensions) and these source codes are revised from the "Curve Finance" project located in https://github.com/curvefi/. Since Curve smart contracts have been audited by Trail of Bits (https://www.curve.fi/audits) and the Curve DAO smart contracts have been audited by Trail of Bits, MixedBytes and Quantstamp (see, e.g., https://www.curve.fi/curve_audits/curve-dao-quantstamp.pdf), the focus of this review report will be on the three new Solidity source codes that have not been audited by other teams: APYoracle.sol, YPoolDelegator.sol, and ZapDelegator.sol. These solidity codes are around 153 lines of Solidity codes.

In the next section, we will include a detailed review of three Solidity contracts and a review of the revisions in the seven (7) Vyper files revised from the Curve project. In a summary, Swerve project has added 153 lines of Solidity codes with a construction of two new contracts. The first contract YPoolDelegator stores a few state variables and delegates calls to the online "Curve.fi sUSD v2 Swap" contract. The second contract Zap-Delegator stores a few state variables and delegates calls to the online "Curve.fi: sUSD v2 Deposit" contract. These codes are relatively simple contract constructions and the team have not found any vulnerabilities. Furthermore, Swerve made simple changes to three (3) of the Curve project Vyper files and the other four (4) Curve project Vyper files are identical. These changes are minimal and do not introduce new vulnerabilities. As we have mentioned in the preceding paragraph, we do not carry out an independent review on the Curve project codes. Our conclusion is that Swerve project is at least as secure as Curve project and we recommend that the user should be aware of the fact that Swerve



smart contracts delegate their calls to the online API in "Curve.fi sUSD v2 Swap" contract and "Curve.fi: sUSD v2 Deposit" contract. Thus, the user will take the same risk that exist in the Curve project sUSD pool and also should take the same risks that may exist in the audited Curve project Vyper codes. Furthermore, the users should also be aware of the potential security vulnerabilities in the Swerve web portal and web-UI.

3. Detailed Inspection of Modules

1. APYoracle.sol

This file defines an abstract contract Ypool which contains one function get_virtual price(). Then it defines a contract APYOracle that contains three state variables

- a. pool (Ypool)
- b. poolDeployBlock
- c. blocksPerYear = 242584

and a function getAPY() which will return the value:

d. (pool.get virtual price()-1e18)*242584/(block.number-poolDeployBlock)

2. YPoolDelegator.sol

This code contains the definition of the contract YPoolDelegator. The constructor for this contract is as follows:

```
constructor(address[4] memory _coinsIn, address[4] memory _underlying_-
          address _pool_token, uint256 _A, uint256 _fee) public {
   for (uint i = 0; i < 4; i++) {
         require(_coinsIn[i] != address(0));
         require(_underlying_coinsIn[i] != address(0));
         _balances.push(0);
         _coins.push(_coinsIn[i]);
         _underlying_coins.push(_underlying_coinsIn[i]);
   }
   A = A;
   fee = _fee;
   admin_fee = 0;
   owner = msg.sender;
   kill deadline = block.timestamp + 2 * 30 * 86400;
   is killed = false;
   token = pool token;
}
```

Three "public view returns" functions are defined to query the state variable values for balances[], coins[], and underlying_coins[]. Furthermore, a fallback() function is defined to delegate call to "Curve.fi sUSD v2 Swap" contract address: 0xA5407e-AE9Ba41422680e2e00537571bcC53efBfD

3. ZapDelegator.sol

This code contains the definition of the contract ZapDelegator. The constructor for this contract is as follows

```
constructor(address[4] memory _coinsIn, address[4] memory _underlying_-
coinsIn, address _curve, address _pool_token) public {
    for (uint i = 0; i < 4; i++) {
        require( underlying coinsIn[i] != address(0));
}</pre>
```



```
require(_coinsIn[i] != address(0));
    _coins.push(_coinsIn[i]);
    _underlying_coins.push(_underlying_coinsIn[i]);
}
curve = _curve;
token = _pool_token;
}
```

Two "public view returns" functions are defined to query the state variable values for _coins[] and _underlying_coins[]. Furthermore, a fallback() function is defined to delegate call to "Curve.fi: sUSD v2 Deposit" contract address:

0xFCBa3E75865d2d561BE8D220616520c171F12851

4. ERC20CRV.vy

This code is modified from "Curve Finance" codes: https://github.com/curvefi/curve-dao-contracts/blob/master/contracts/ERC20CRV.vy

```
This modified code added the following constants (lines 50-52):
```

```
HOUR: constant(uint256) = 3600
DAY: constant(uint256) = 86400
WEEK: constant(uint256) = 86400 * 7
```

This modified code changed the following original allocation (lines 52-67): # Allocation:

```
# * shareholders - 30%
        # * emplyees - 3%
        # * DAO-controlled reserve - 5%
        # * Early users - 5%
        # == 43% ==
        # left for inflation: 57%
        # Supply parameters
        INITIAL SUPPLY: constant(uint256) = 1 303 030 303
         INITIAL RATE: constant(uint256) = 274 815 283 * 10 ** 18 / YEAR # leading to 43% premine
        RATE REDUCTION TIME: constant(uint256) = YEAR
        RATE REDUCTION COEFFICIENT: constant(uint256) = 1189207115002721024 # 2 ** (1/4) * 1e18
        RATE DENOMINATOR: constant(uint256) = 10 ** 18
        INFLATION_DELAY: constant(uint256) = 86400
to the new allocation (lines 55-73):
        # Allocation:
        # WE GIVE IT BACK TO YOU ... THE PEOPLE: 100%
        # Supply parameters
        INITIAL SUPPLY: constant(uint256) = 0
        INFLATION DELAY: constant(uint256) = 3 * HOUR # Three Hour delay before minting may begin
        RATE DENOMINATOR: constant(uint256) = 10 ** 18
        RATE TIME: constant(uint256) = 2 * WEEK # How often the rate goes to the next epoch
        INITIAL RATE: constant(uint256) = 9 000 000 * 10 ** 18 / (2 * WEEK) # 9 million for the first 2 weeks
        EPOCH INITIAL RATE: constant(uint256) = 9 000 000 * 10 ** 18 / YEAR # 9 million for the first year
         LATE RATE: constant(uint256) = 3 000 000 * 10 ** 18 / YEAR # 3 million per year after
         INITIAL RATE EPOCH CUTTOF: constant(uint256) = 27 # After 52 Weeks use the late rate
        FINAL INFLATION EPOCH: constant(uint256) = 157 # No more inflation after 6 years (0 epoch is the 2
        week period)
```

The modified code changed the following original code (lines 112-119) in @internal def_update mining parameters()



_rate = 0

self.rate = rate

_rate = LATE_RATE
self.start_epoch_supply = _start_epoch_supply

The revised code deleted the following function definition (lines 182-222): def mintable_in_timeframe(start: uint256, end: uint256) -> uint256

5. ERC20LP.vy

This code is identical to "Curve Finance" code with a few format re-organization: https://github.com/curvefi/curve-dao-contracts/blob/master/contracts/testing/ERC20LP.vy

6. GaugeController.vy

This code is revised from "Curve Finance" code: https://github.com/curvefi/curve-dao-contracts/blob/master/contracts/GaugeController.vy with the following added two lines of code (line 356 to 358)

```
# short circuit if single gauge and just give full weight
if self.n_gauges == 1 and self.gauges[0] == addr:
    return MULTIPLIER
```

This code revision does not have any semantic changes from the original code.

7. LiquidityGauge.vy

This code is identical to the "Curve Finance" code: https://github.com/curvefi/curve-dao-contracts/blob/master/contracts/LiquidityGauge.vy

8. Minter.vy

This code is identical to "Curve Finance" code: https://github.com/curvefi/curve-dao-contracts/blob/master/contracts/Minter.vy

9. PoolProxy.vy



This code is identical to "Curve Finance" code: https://github.com/curvefi/curve-dao-contracts/blob/master/contracts/PoolProxy.vy

10. VotingEscrow.vy

This code is revised from "Curve Finance": https://github.com/curvefi/curve-dao-contracts/blob/master/contracts/VotingEscrow.vy with the following changes.

The revised code deleted the following lines (lines 108-111) from the original code

```
# Checker for whitelisted (smart contract) wallets which are allowed to
deposit
# The goal is to prevent tokenizing the escrow
future_smart_wallet_checker: public(address)
smart_wallet_checker: public(address)
```

The revised code deleted the following three function definitions (lines 165 to 195):

```
commit_smart_wallet_checker(addr: address)
apply_smart_wallet_checker()
assert not contract(addr: address)
```

The revised code deleted the following line (line 418) from the function create_lock(_value: uint256, unlock time: uint256)

```
self.assert not contract(msg.sender)
```

The revised code deleted the following line (line 438) from the function <code>increase_amount(_value: uint256)</code>

```
self.assert_not_contract(msg.sender)
```

The revised code deleted the following line (line 455) from the function increase_unlock_time(_unlock_time: uint256)
self.assert_not_contract(msg.sender)

4 Defects

No defect has been identified.

