# CS 171: Problem Set 10

Due Date: April 25, 2024 at 8.59pm via Gradescope

# 1 Proof of Decryption (10 Points)

We will construct a zero-knowledge proof system for DDH triples. This can be used to prove that a given El Gamal ciphertext was decrypted correctly without revealing the secret decryption key.

Let  $pp = (\mathbb{G}, q, g) \leftarrow \mathcal{G}(1^n)$  be a group in which DDH is hard. Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the language of DDH triples for this group:

$$\mathcal{L} = \{ (\mathsf{pp}, g^{\mathsf{a}}, g^{\mathsf{b}}, g^{\mathsf{c}}) : \mathsf{c} = \mathsf{a} \cdot \mathsf{b} \mod q \}$$

Given an instance  $x = (pp, g^a, g^b, g^c) \in \mathcal{L}$ , let the corresponding witness be w = b. The witness provides a simple way to verify that  $x \in \mathcal{L}$ :

$$R(x, w) = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ if } g^w = g^b \text{ and } (g^a)^w = g^c \\ 0 \text{ else } \end{cases}$$

We can also prove that  $x \in \mathcal{L}$  without revealing the witness to the verifier. To do so, we will construct a zero-knowledge proof below.

#### A Zero-Knowledge Protocol for $\mathcal{L}$ :

- Inputs: The prover P takes inputs  $(1^{\lambda}, x, w)$  and the verifier V takes inputs  $(1^{\lambda}, x)$ .  $\overline{x} = (pp, g^a, g^b, g^c)$ , and  $w \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ .
- P samples  $x \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ , computes  $g^t = (g^a)^x$ , and sends  $(g^x, g^t)$  to V. Note that  $t = a \cdot x \mod q$ .
- V samples  $y \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  and sends y to P.
- P computes  $z = w \cdot y + x$  and sends z to V.
- Final step: TBD

#### Questions:

- 1. Fill in the final step to show how V should verify the proof  $(g^{\mathsf{x}}, g^{\mathsf{t}}, \mathsf{y}, \mathsf{z})$ .
- 2. Show that this proof system satisfies completeness and soundness.
- 3. Show that this proof system satisfies honest-verifier zero-knowledge.

The definitions of completeness, soundness, and honest-verifier zero-knowledge are given in Discussion 11.

# 2 Hiding and Binding For KZG Commitments (15 Points)

In discussion 11, we showed that the basic KZG commitment protocol is not hiding because the Commit function is deterministic. In section 2.1 below, we give a modified version of the scheme in which the Commit function is randomized.

**Question:** Prove that the commitment scheme given in section 2.1 satisfies the notions of hiding and polynomial binding given in section 2.2, assuming that the d-discrete log problem is hard.

# 2.1 A Randomized Polynomial Commitment Scheme

- 1.  $Gen(1^n)$ :
  - (a) Let d be polynomial in n.
  - (b) Set up a bilinear map by sampling

$$pp = (\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{G}_T, q, g, e) \leftarrow \mathcal{G}(1^n)$$

- (c) Sample  $h \leftarrow \mathbb{G}$  and  $\tau \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ .
- (d) Finally, output

$$\mathsf{params} = \left(\mathsf{pp}, g^\tau, g^{(\tau^2)}, \dots, g^{(\tau^d)}, h, h^\tau, h^{(\tau^2)}, \dots, h^{(\tau^d)}\right)$$

- 2. Commit(params, f):
  - (a) Let f be a polynomial  $\in \mathbb{Z}_q[X]$  of degree  $\leq d$ :

$$f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \alpha_i \cdot X^i$$

where every  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_q$ .

(b) Sample a polynomial  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_q[X]$  of degree  $\leq d$  uniformly at random. In other words, sample  $\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_d \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$  independently and uniformly at random, and let

$$r(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} \beta_i \cdot X^i$$

(c) Compute and output the commitment:

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{com} = \prod_{i=0}^d \left( g^{(\tau^i)} \right)^{\beta_i} \cdot \prod_{i=0}^d \left( h^{(\tau^i)} \right)^{\alpha_i} \\ & = g^{r(\tau)} \cdot h^{f(\tau)} \end{aligned}$$

*Note*: We also define Commit(params, f; r) to take the random polynomial r as input, rather than sampling r internally.

#### 2.2 Definitions

Hiding basically says that Commit(f, params) doesn't reveal any information about f. The definition of hiding resembles the definition of CPA security.

# Definition 2.1 (Hiding)

# Hiding-Game(n, A):

- 1. The challenger samples params  $\leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$  and sends params to the adversary A.
- 2. A outputs two polynomials  $f_0, f_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_q[X]$  of degree  $\leq d$ .
- 3. The challenger samples  $b \leftarrow \{0,1\}$  and computes:  $\mathsf{com}^* = \mathsf{Commit}(\mathsf{params}, f_b)$ . They send  $\mathsf{com}^*$  to  $\mathcal{A}$ .
- 4. A outputs a guess b' for b. The output of the game is 1 if b' = b and 0 otherwise.

The commitment scheme is **hiding** if for any PPT adversary A,

$$\Pr[\mathsf{Hiding\text{-}Game}(n,\mathcal{A}) \to 1] \le \frac{1}{2} + \mathsf{negl}(n)$$

Next, we'll consider a notion called polynomial binding, which says that the adversary cannot find two inputs to Commit that produce the same commitment. This resembles the definition of collision-resistance.

### Definition 2.2 (Polynomial Binding)

### Polynomial-Binding-Game(n, A):

- 1. The challenger samples params  $\leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^n)$  and sends params to the adversary A.
- 2. A outputs two pairs  $(f_0, r_0)$  and  $(f_1, r_1)$ , where  $f_0, r_0, f_1, r_1$  are polynomials  $\in \mathbb{Z}_q[X]$  of  $degree \leq d$ .
- 3. The output of the game is 1 if  $f_0 \neq f_1$ , and

$$Commit(params, f_0; r_0) = Commit(params, f_1; r_1)$$

Otherwise, the output of the game is 0.

The commitment scheme satisfies polynomial binding if

$$\Pr[\mathsf{Polynomial}\text{-}\mathsf{Binding}\text{-}\mathsf{Game}(n,\mathcal{A}) \to 1] \le \mathsf{negl}(n)$$

Finally, we will prove polynomial binding using the hardness of the following problem.

# Definition 2.3 (A Variant of Discrete Log) d-Discrete-Log(n, A):

1. Let d be polynomial in n.

- 2. The challenger samples  $pp = (\mathbb{G}, \mathbb{G}_T, q, g, e) \leftarrow \mathcal{G}(1^n)$  as well as  $\tau \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q$ . Then they send the adversary:  $\left(pp, g^{\tau}, g^{(\tau^2)}, \dots, g^{(\tau^d)}\right)$
- 3. The adversary A outputs a guess  $\tau'$  for  $\tau$ . The output of the game is 1 if  $\tau' = \tau$  and 0 otherwise.

The d-discrete-log problem is hard if for any PPT adversary A,

$$\Pr[d ext{-Discrete-Log}(n,\mathcal{A}) \to 1] \le \mathsf{negl}(n)$$

Note that if the d-discrete-log problem is hard, then in addition, the regular discrete log problem is hard for  $\mathbb{G}$ .

# 3 Course Evaluation (Extra Credit: 2 Points)

Complete your course evaluation for this course. You can write as much or as little as you want. Include a screenshot of the submission receipt when you submit this assignment to Gradescope to prove that you've finished your evaluation.