
# Network File Systems

INF 551 Wensheng Wu

# Client/server architecture



## Advantages

- Easy sharing
  - Of data across clients

- Centralized administration
  - E.g., backup done on server, instead of individual clients

- Security
  - Server is located in a locked room

## Disadvantages

Increased complexity

Overhead of network interfaces

Privacy

More components to fail

## A basic distributed file system (DFS)

Client/server architecture

- Applications interact with client-side file system (FS)
  - Issue system calls (i.e., calls that request services from OS kernel)
  - E.g., open(), read(), write(), unlink(), rmdir(), etc.
  - Same interface as standalone file system

## Transparent remote access

- Same operations but access remote files
  - Details of accessing are made transparent to clients

- Read()
  - Client-side FS sends a message to server-side file system (file server): read block xyz
  - File server reads the block from cache/disk
  - Server sends a message back to client with data

## DFS architecture

# Client Application Client-side File System File Server → Disks Networking Layer Networking Layer

# Sun's network file system

Example of DFS

#### Open protocol

- Specifies only the format of messages btw client and server
- Permits different implementations
- Enhances interoperability among different vendors

#### NFSv2

- Basis for later versions
- Focus: simple and fast server crash recovery

## Key: statelessness

- Server does not keep track of states of clients
  - Which clients have read/cached which blocks
  - Which files are currently open at which clients
  - Current position/offset of file
- Requests from clients must make sure:
  - the server can deliver all the information needed to complete the requests
  - & do not rely on previous requests

## Stateful file descriptor

- 1. Client requests to open a file
- 2. Server opens it locally, and returns fd (an integer)
- 3. Client uses fd to read content of the file, while server needs to track position of read
  - In other words, server needs to track what client does with file fd

```
char buffer[MAX];
int fd = open("foo", O_RDONLY); // get descriptor "fd"
read(fd, buffer, MAX); // read MAX bytes from foo (via fd)
read(fd, buffer, MAX); // read MAX bytes from foo
...
read(fd, buffer, MAX); // read MAX bytes from foo
close(fd); // close file
```

## Server-side file open table

Client only keeps this

Client	File descriptor	File system (volume)	File name	Inumber	Position offset	Status	•••
C1	3	vol1	"/foo"	32382	4096	open	
C2	4	vol2	"/foo/more"	48482	0	close	

```
char buffer[MAX];
int fd = open("foo", O_RDONLY); // get descriptor "fd"
read(fd, buffer, MAX); // read MAX bytes from foo (via fd)
read(fd, buffer, MAX); // read MAX bytes from foo
...
read(fd, buffer, MAX); // read MAX bytes from foo
close(fd); // close file
```

### Problems and solutions

- What if the server crashes after 1<sup>st</sup> read?
  - After server up, client issues 2<sup>nd</sup> read
  - Server has no idea of what fd refers to
- What if the client crashes after opening a file?
  - close(fd) may never be sent
  - Server does not know if the file can be closed or not

Solution: stateless file handle

## Stateless file handle

Contains 3 parts (note richer than fd)

#### 1. Volume identifier

Which volume? (e.g. partition C or D if NTFS)


#### 2. Inode number

— Which file in the volume?

#### 3. Generation number

Needed since inode number may be reused

# Client-side file open table



Use file handle to communication with server

## Obtain file handle via lookup

- Lookup
  - Input: parent directory file handle + name of file/directory to look up
  - Output: file handle of lookup file/directory
- E.g., lookup(root file handle, "foo.txt")
  - obtain handle of "/foo.txt"

 Root directory file handle may be obtained via mount protocol

## File system table in Linux

- Each line represents a file system to be mounted when machine starts
  - UUID: ID of file system
- Each FS gets mounted to different part of directory tree

## Remote procedure call (RPC)

- Remote server publishes a set of procedures
  - E.g., f(args)

- In making RPC calls,
  - Client notifies remote server of executing f
  - Client sends over arguments args for f
  - Server executes f(args) => results
  - Server sends back results

#### RPC in NFS

- NFS server publishes a set of RPCs
  - E.g., NFSPROC\_LOOKUP for lookup file handle
  - Others include: read, write, create, remove, etc.

#### NFSPROC LOOKUP

expects: directory file handle, name of file/directory to look up

returns: file handle

# Lookup with long path

- Multiple lookup requests needed for long path
  - One component of path at a time

- Avoid additional parsing if sending over separators, among other reasons
  - Different OS's may have different separators
  - e.g., "/" or "\"

## Example

- Look up "/foo/more/bar.txt"
  - First using / file handle to obtain foo's handle
  - Next, use foo's handle to obtain more's handle
  - Finally, use more's handle to obtain bar.txt handle

#### **CRUD**

- All CRUD operations use file handle
  - Instead of file descriptors as in local file system

## Read

**Explicit offset** 

- NFSPROC\_READ(file handle, offset, count)
  - Return: data + file attributes
  - Latter includes modification times useful for client-size cache validation

- Compared to local FS
  - n = read(fd, buffer, size)

- Offset is implicit here (current location)
  - Buffer is provided
- n is the number of bytes actually read

#### Write

- NFSPROC\_WRITE(file handle, offset, count, data)
  - Return: file attributes
  - Note again explicit offset is specified in the call

- Compared to local FS
  - n = write(fd, buffer, size)
  - Offset is again implicit (current position)

#### Create and remove files

- NFSPROC\_CREATE(directory file handle, name of file in the directory, attributes)
  - Return file handle (note difference from text, see more at: <a href="https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1094">https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1094</a>)

- NFSPROC\_REMOVE(directory file handle, name of file to be removed)
  - Return nothing

## Working with directories

- NFSPROC\_MKDIR & NFSPROC\_RMDIR
  - Similar to create & remove files
  - but create & remove a directory instead

- NFSPROC READDIR
  - Similar to read file, but here read directory content

# Get/set attributes of files

- GetAttr
  - Obtain file attributes, e.g., last modified time
  - Important for client-side caching

```
NFSPROC_GETATTR
   expects: file handle
   returns: attributes
NFSPROC_SETATTR
   expects: file handle, attributes
   returns: nothing
```

# Example: opening a file for read

#### 1. Obtain file handle + client-side book-keeping

Client Server

fd = open("/foo", ...); Send LOOKUP (rootdir FH, "foo")

Receive LOOKUP reply allocate file desc in open file table store foo's FH in table store current file position (0) return file descriptor to application Receive LOOKUP request look for "foo" in root dir return foo's FH + attributes

## Example: reading the file

#### 2. Start to read

 Obtain file handle & offset from client-side open table

read(fd, buffer, MAX);
Index into open file table with fd
get NFS file handle (FH)
use current file position as offset
Send READ (FH, offset=0, count=MAX)

Receive READ request use FH to get volume/inode num read inode from disk (or cache) compute block location (using offset) read data from disk (or cache) return data to client

Receive READ reply update file position (+bytes read) set current file position = MAX return data/error code to app

# Example: reading a file

#### 3. Continue to read

#### 4. Done and clean up


```
read(fd, buffer, MAX);
Same except offset=MAX and set current file position = 2*MAX
```

```
read(fd, buffer, MAX);
Same except offset=2*MAX and set current file position = 3*MAX
```

#### close(fd);

Just need to clean up local structures Free descriptor "fd" in open file table (No need to talk to server)

## Deal with failures



## Idempotent operations

- Does not matter how many times you execute
  - Effect is the same as single execution

- All these common operations are idempotent
  - Lookup
  - Read
  - ReadDir
  - Write: since it specifies the exact offset

## Power of idempotent operations

Simplify handling of failure

- Client sets timer, when time-out but no reply
  - Simply retry the same request

## Non-idempotent operations

- These operations are not idempotent:
  - Create (file)
  - Remove (file)
  - Mkdir (create directory)
  - RmDir

- Error message will return from server
  - E.g., when create is executed more than once

# Improving performance

- Client side
  - Read caching
  - Write buffering

Similar to standalone file system

- Unique change: cache consistency problem
  - Due to multiple caches in several clients

## Cache consistency problem

- C1 has old version v1 of file F
  - Presumably C2 updated F to version 2 (v2)
  - F[v2] has not been written to server S (e.g., due to delayed write)

C<sub>1</sub>

cache: F[v1]

C2

cache: F[v2]


C3

cache: empty

Server S disk: F[v1] at first F[v2] eventually


## Solution: flush-on-close

Client flushes all updates to server when file is closed



## Solution: cache validation

Client checks if cache is current by issuing
 GETATTR to server, e.g., when file is opened



## Final note

- File handle is not panacea either
  - May get stale over time
  - E.g., when file removed by another client

- Solution:
  - May need to remount the remote file system

#### References

- NFS: Network File System Protocol Specification
  - https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1094