Sprint 5.1 Reflection

1. Stakeholder Conflict

1.1 Which stakeholder did you choose to prioritize and why?

We've chosen to prioritize the tenant end user over the urban studies research student. Tenant end users are the primary data contributors, and their willingness to participate is essential for the application's success. If tenants fear retribution, they may not report negative experiences at all, leaving the urban studies research student with insufficient data.
Protecting potentially vulnerable individuals (tenants who have had negative experiences with landlords) from possible retribution takes precedence over research convenience.
Ironically, prioritizing tenant anonymity may actually lead to more honest and comprehensive reporting, which ultimately benefits the researcher's goal of understanding landlord-tenant relationships.

Potential consequences: more difficult to detect fake reviews / coordinated misuse, may have to develop alternative methods to validate data authenticity, could face challenges establishing the statistical validity of findings without identifiable user data

1.2 How did you choose to store the user data associated with pins and why?

For our implementation in 5.2 (currently mocked in 5.1), we plan to store Pin Location: Essential geographic coordinates (latitude/longitude), Timestamp: When the pin was created, Anonymous User ID: A hashed version of the user ID that cannot be easily reversed to identify the specific user

We will NOT store:

- User's full name
- User's email address
- User's profile information
- Clear-text user IDs