

## CS10: The Beauty and Joy of Computing

Lecture #22 Limits of Computing

2012-04-16

You'll have the opportunity for extra credit on your project! After you submit it, you can make a ≤ 5min YouTube video.

#### 4.74 DEGREES OF SEPARATION?

Researchers at Facebook and the University of Milan found that the avg # of "friends" separating any two people in the world was < 6.

http://www.nytimes.com/2011/11/22/technology/between-you-and-me-4-74-degrees.html

## Computer Science ... A UCB view

- CS research areas:
- Artificial Intelligence
- Biosystems & Computational Biology
- Database Management Systems
- Graphics
- Human-Computer Interaction
- Networking
- Programming Systems
- Scientific Computing
- Security
- Systems
- Theory
- · Complexity theory





www.csprinciples.org/docs/APCSPrinciplesBigIdeas20110204.pdf

# Let's revisit algorithm complexity

- Problems that...
  - are tractable with efficient solutions in reasonable time
  - are intractable
  - are solvable approximately, not optimally
  - have no known efficient solution
  - are not solvable





## Tractable with efficient sols in reas time

- Recall our algorithm complexity lecture, we've got several common orders of
  - growth Constant
  - Logarithmic
  - Linear
  - Quadratic Cubic
  - Exponential


- Order of growth is polynomial in the size of the problem
- E.g.,
  - Searching for an item in a collection
  - Sorting a collection
  - Finding if two numbers in a collection are same
- These problems are called being "in P" (for polynomial)



en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Intractability\_(complexity)#Intractability


## Intractable problems

- Problems that can be solved, but not solved fast enough
- This includes exponential problems
  - E.g., f(n) = 2<sup>n</sup> • as in the image to the right
- This also includes poly-time algorithm with a huge exponent




Only solve for small n

E.g, f(n) = n<sup>10</sup>



en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Knapsack problem Solvable approximately, not optimally in reas time

- A problem might have an optimal solution that cannot be solved in reasonable time
- BUT if you don't need to know the perfect solution, there might exist algorithms which could give pretty good answers in reasonable time



**Knapsack Problem** 

You have a backpack with a weight limit (here 15kg), which boxes (with weights and values) should be taken to maximize value?





en.wikipedia.org/wiki/P %3D NP problem

#### Have no known efficient solution

- Solving one of them would solve an entire class of them!
  - We can transform one to another, i.e., reduce
  - A problem P is "hard" for a class C if every element of C can be "reduced" to P
- If you're "in NP" and "NP-hard", then you're "NP-complete"

15

Are there a handful of these numbers (at least 1) that add together to get 0?

- If you guess an answer, can I verify it in polynomial time?
  - Called being "in NP"
  - Non-deterministic (the "guess" part) Polynomial



en.wikipedia.org/wiki/P %3D NP problem

## The fundamental question. Is P = NP?

- This is THE major unsolved problem in **Computer Science!** 
  - One of 7 "millennium prizes" w/a \$1M reward
- All it would take is solving ONE problem in the NP-complete set in polynomial time!!
  - Huge ramifications for cryptography, others

If  $P \neq NP$ , then



#### Other NP-Complete


 Traveling salesman who needs most efficient route to visit all cities and return home



#### www.cgl.uwaterloo.ca/~csk/halt/

## **Problems NOT solvable**

- Decision problems answer YES or NO for an infinite # of inputs
  - E.g., is N prime?
  - E.g., is sentence S grammatically correct?
- An algorithm is a solution if it correctly answers YES/NO in a finite amount of time
- if it has a solution





**Alan Turing** • A problem is <u>decidable</u> "Are all problems decidable?" (people used to believe this was true) Turing proved it wasn't for CS!



# **Review: Proof by Contradiction**

- Infinitely Many Primes?
- Assume the contrary, then prove that it's impossible
  - Only a finite # of primes
  - Number them p<sub>1</sub>, p<sub>2</sub>, ..., p<sub>n</sub>
  - Consider the number q
  - $q = (p_1 * p_2 * ... * p_n) + 1$
  - · Dividing q by any prime would give a remainder of 1
  - · So q isn't composite, q is prime
  - But we said p<sub>n</sub> was the biggest, and q is bigger than p<sub>n</sub>



So there IS no biggest p<sub>n</sub>



## Turing's proof: The Halting Problem

- Given a program and some input, will that program eventually stop? (or will it loop)
- Assume we could write it, then let's prove a contradiction
  - 1. write Stops on Self?
  - 2. Write Weird
  - 3. Call Weird on itself





## **Conclusion**

- Complexity theory important part of CS
- If given a hard problem, rather than try to solve it yourself, see if others have tried similar problems
- If you don't need an exact solution, many approximation algorithms help



P=NP question even made its war into popular culture, here shov e Simpsons 3D episode!

Some not solvable!

