

**Michael Ball** 

The Beauty and Joy of Computing

Lecture #8 Concurrency



#### "KOOMEY'S LAW" - EFFICIENCY 2X EVERY 18 MO

Prof Jonathan Koomey looked at <u>6 decades</u> of data and found that energy efficiency of computers doubles roughly every 18 months. This is even more relevant as battery-powered devices become more popular. Restated, it says that for a fixed computing load, the amount of battery you need drops by half every 18 months. This was true before transistors!



www.technologyreview.com/computing/38548/



# **Concurrency: A Definition**

Concurrency: A property of computer systems in which several <u>computations</u> are <u>executing</u> simultaneously, and potentially interacting with each other.







# **Concurrency is Everywhere!**

#### **Examples:**

- Mouse cursor movement while Snap! calculates.
- Screen clock advances while typing in a text.
- Busy cursor spins while browser connects to server, waiting for response
- Walking while chewing gum







## **Concurrency & Parallelism**

#### Intra-computer

- Today's lecture
- Multiple computing "helpers" are cores within one machine
- Aka "multi-core"
  - Although GPU parallism is also "intra-computer"

#### Inter-computer

- Future lecture
- Multiple computing "helpers" are <u>different</u> <u>machines</u>
- Aka "distributed computing"
  - Grid & cluster computing







**⊚ (3) (3) (3) (4) (4) (5) (5) (5) (6) (5) (6)**




## Anatomy: 5 components of any Computer



John von Neumann invented this architecture











- a) Control
- b) Datapath
- c) Memory
- d) Input
- e) Output

What causes the most headaches for SW and HW designers with multi-core computing?






Ball



# But what is INSIDE a Processor?











### **But what is INSIDE a Processor?**



**Bare Processor Die** 



Chip in Package

- Primarily Crystalline Silicon
- 1 mm 25 mm on a side
- 2009 "feature size" (aka process)
   45 nm = 45 x 10<sup>-9</sup> m
   (then 32, 22, and 16 [by yr 2013])
- 100 1000M transistors
- 3 10 conductive layers
- "CMOS" (complementary metal oxide semiconductor) - most common
- Package provides:
  - spreading of chip-level signal paths to board-level
  - heat dissipation.
- Ceramic or plastic with gold wires.









#### en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Moore's\_law

## **Moore's Law**

#### Predicts: 2X Transistors / chip every 2 years






Gordon Moore Intel Cofounder B.S. Cal 1950!







## Moore's Law and related curves










## Moore's Law and related curves










## **Power Density Prediction circa 2000**





Source: S. Borkar (Intel)





# **Background: Threads**

- A Thread stands for "thread of execution", is a single stream of instructions
  - A program / process can split, or fork itself into separate threads, which can (in theory) execute simultaneously.
  - An easy way to describe/think about parallelism
- A single CPU can execute many threads by Time Division Multipexing



 Multithreading is running multiple threads through the same hardware








#### en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amdahl's\_law

# Speedup Issues: Amdahl's Law

Applications can almost <u>never</u> be completely parallelized; some serial code remains



- s is serial fraction of program, P is # of cores (was processors)
- Amdahl's law:

Speedup(P) = Time(1) / Time(P)  

$$\leq 1 / (s + [(1-s) / P)]$$
, and as P  $\rightarrow \infty$   
 $\leq 1 / s$ 

• Even if the parallel portion of your application speeds up perfectly, your performance may be limited by the sequential portion





# Speedup Issues: Overhead

#### Even assuming no sequential portion, there's...

- Time to think how to divide the problem up
- Time to hand out small "work units" to workers
- All workers may not work equally fast

- Some workers may fail
- There may be contention for shared resources
- Workers could overwriting each others' answers
- You may have to wait until the last worker returns to proceed (the slowest / weakest link problem)
- There's time to put the data back together in a way that looks as if it were done by one







## Life in a multi-core world...

This "sea change" to multicore parallelism means that the computing community has to rethink:

a) Languages

- b) Architectures
- Algorithms
- d) Data Structures
- e) All of the above









## But parallel programming is hard!

- What if two people were calling withdraw at the same time?
  - E.g., balance=100 and two withdraw 75 each
  - Can anyone see what the problem *could* be?
  - This is a race condition
- In most languages, this is a problem.
  - In Snap!, the system doesn't let two of these run at once.

```
+withdraw+amount = +

if balance > amount

set balance \( \text{to balance} - amount \)

report true

report false
```







### "Non-Deterministic" Parallel Code

- Two (or more) scripts are running at the same time, BUT we don't know what order they will be run in!
- Each individual script runs its blocks in order, but the processor (Snap!) will swap between running script A and script B.

```
when clicked when clicked when clicked wait 1 / pick random 1 to 10 secs wait 1 / pick random 1 to 10 secs clear wait 1 / pick random 1 to 10 secs wait 1 / pick random 1 to 10 secs clear wait 1 / pick random 1 to 10 secs Draw Right Eye

Draw Mouth Draw Right Eye

when clicked when clicked wait 1 / pick random 1 to 10 secs wait 1 / pick random 1 to 10 secs Draw Left Eye
```








# **How Many Possible Outputs?**

- We want this code to draw a cute winky-face, but there's a problem with parallelizing it!
- How many possible outputs can we have?
- A) 1
- B) 3
- C) 4
- D) 7
- E) 8









#### en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Deadlock

## Another concurrency problem ... deadlock!

- Two people need to draw a graph but there is only one pencil and one ruler.
  - One grabs the pencil
  - One grabs the ruler
  - Neither release what they hold, waiting for the other to release
- Livelock also possible
  - Movement, no progress









# Summary

- "Sea change" of computing because of inability to cool CPUs means we're now in multi-core world
- This brave new world offers lots of potential for innovation by computing professionals, but challenges persist





