

Speaker 1 ([00:06:19](#)):

Okay,

Speaker 2 ([00:06:24](#)):

Good evening and welcome to the February 4th, 2025 Lawrence City Commission meeting. Welcome to everybody. First I'm going to ask Sherry to talk about the rules of the meeting.

Speaker 3 ([00:06:36](#)):

Good evening, everyone. Please silence your cell phones to minimize distractions. During the meeting, when the mayor calls for public comment, please approach the podium to indicate you wish to speak. Virtual participants should use the raise hand function to indicate they wish to speak. When prompted, select join as panelists. There will be a brief delay as your role changes. Once your name is called, please unmute and turn on your camera. To provide your comments, please state your name and zip code. Before speaking, the city reserves the right to turn videos off or mute participants. All comments will be limited to three minutes. The primary format for accessing or participating in this meeting is in person at City Hall. Virtual access to view or participate in the meeting cannot be guaranteed. The chat function will not be monitored. If you have any trouble, the meeting can be viewed on the city's YouTube channel and cable Channel 25. Thank you, mayor.

Speaker 2 ([00:07:36](#)):

Okay. Thank you Sherry. Okay. The first item on our agenda is to approve the agenda. The city Commission reserves the right to amend, supplement, or reorder the agenda. During the meeting, is there a motion to approve the agenda?

Speaker 4 ([00:07:48](#)):

Move to approve the agenda.

Speaker 2 ([00:07:50](#)):

Second. Motion by Finkel Dye. Seconded by Little John. All in favor say aye. Aye. Aye. Those opposed. Motion passes five zero. Next on to the recognitions and proclamations. And we have one item tonight. It's to proclaim the month of February, 2025 as Black History Month. And today I think we have Ms. Ursula minor, president of the Lawrence Branch of the NAACP here to receive the proclamation. Would you like to say something before I read it? I just want to thank

Speaker 5 ([00:08:22](#)):

The city for, can you go

Speaker 2 ([00:08:24](#)):

To the proclamation to, we want everybody here at Ursula. Thank you.

Speaker 5 ([00:08:29](#)):

I just like to thank the city and the mayor for giving us a proclamation for Black History Month is very important to the NAACP and the black citizens of Lawrence. We have actually partnered with the city on a few of our black history projects, like putting a plaque at the pool currently that at one time the pool was segregated.

([00:08:50](#)):

And also we worked on the Lynching Memorial project where three black men were hung, hung on the Kansas River Bridge and the city partnered with us and we had a really nice event and gave them something that they didn't have. When that situation happened, we were also still working on that project, and the city recently approved us to be able to move forward with putting headstones where the three black women were buried in Potters Field, along with some other black families that we discovered. And we'll soon have their stories out about what happened to them and how they ended up there. So I'd like to thank the mayor and the city for giving us this proclamation.

Speaker 2 ([00:09:34](#)):

Well, thank you for that insider slow. That sounds really exciting. We look forward to moving forward with those combined efforts. Okay. I'm going to go ahead and read the proclamation then. Thanks. Whereas an observance of Black History Month, the city of Lawrence recognizes African-Americans for their significant contribution to the shaping of our nation's identity and strengthening our country through high moral values and unyielding commitment to family, community, and service. And whereas during Black History Month, which is recognized by many countries, we celebrate the countless achievements and long lasting contributions made by African-Americans to our economic, cultural, and political development. And where the city of Lawrence is committed to diversity, equity, and inclusion as a fundamental characteristics of a healthy, vibrant, and thriving city, the presence and visibility of the black and African-American community continues to enhance the quality of life in the city of Lawrence.

([00:10:28](#)):

And whereas the city of Lawrence recognizes the historical injustices faced by the black and African American people through targeted racial discrimination and violence, which conflicts with our core mission and vision. And whereas the city of Lawrence continues its efforts towards becoming a more equitable and inclusive community in which all residents past, present, and future are respected and recognized for their contributions. And whereas Black History months calls our nation's attention to the continued need to recognize and combat racism and to build a community that lives up to our core values. Now therefore, I'm Mike Dever, mayor of the city of Lawrence, Kansas. Do here proclaim the month of February, 2025 as Black History Month in the city of Lawrence. We encourage all of our community to take this opportunity to reflect on the past and present achievements of the black community as demonstrated by overcoming systemic challenges. Let us all work hard to ensure freedom, equity, and inclusion for all. Thank you. And she'll have. Okay. Next item on the agenda is the consent agenda. And items on the consent agenda are considered under one motion and approved by one motion. Members of the governing body may remove items for separate discussion to desired members of the public may remove items identified as quasi-judicial for separate discussion if desired, members of the public will be limited to three minutes. Four comments. So is there anything that any commissioner would like remove from the agenda at all? Okay. I don't think there's anything quasi-judicial, correct? Nope.

Speaker 4 ([00:12:19](#)):

Alright, move for approval of the consent agenda. Okay.

Speaker 2 ([00:12:22](#)):

Second. Okay. Motion by Finkel Dye. Seconded by little John. All in favor say aye. Motion passes. Five zero. Okay. Next item on the agenda is a work session items. We have two of them this evening. The first is receive an update on economic development website and the marketing video.

Speaker 6 ([00:12:49](#)):

Good evening. Thanks Kurt. I appreciate that. My name is Corey Wallace and I serve the city as the Director of Communications and Community Relations. It's good to see all of you again this evening.

Tonight we have a very straightforward presentation. We really wanted to introduce you to our city's new economic development website. I do want to be candid about saying that this process began in August of 2024 under the leadership and direction of Brick Crumb Kano, our former economic development director. She explored potential website development vendors who operate in this space, and she selected a vendor known as Encore. We've been working with them consistently over the past few months and are pleased with our relationship with them. We'll continue to update the site as needed. So this is the launched site and it exists as is. And we're pleased with the outcome here. But I want you to know that as the economic development strategy develops in the city, this website is easily updated to reflect the direction that we choose to go.

(00:13:53):

I wanted to draw your attention to some strategic choices that Britt made to ensure that some key economic development priorities were addressed. In this project, we are working to obviously collectively promote Lawrence for business attraction and retention. And so you can see some elements where we kind of drive you through how that looks for someone who's exploring Lawrence as a potential city for them to locate their business. So you're going to look at Lawrence Advantages, which is the first dropdown menu on the left. You'll notice there's a lot of information about key industries that are here. And we really focused on a core bucket of three industries, excuse me, four industries. And I think it's important to note that there's intersections here with sustainability. There's intersections here with innovation, and there's a clear intersection here with our mission, which is to ensure that all can enjoy life and feel at home site. Selectors are a very specific population of folks, and they're going to look for a very specific kind of list of indicators that they might be well-received here in Lawrence. And so you'll see available properties, hold on just one second. That's

Speaker 7 (00:15:11):

Me. Hold

Speaker 1 (00:15:12):

On.

Speaker 6 (00:15:12):

It's all good. Okay, you're back. Yep. Thank you. So you'll see a number of different items for site selectors, including available properties that's updated very easily, business parks that are currently in our community, community data and taxes and infrastructure. You'll notice that there are segments that were contributed to. This was a collaborative effort. We did include the Economic Development Corporation alongside the chamber in kind of building the content of the site. So it reflects a collaborative effort, but one of the things that I'm most encouraged by is the small business resources that are available here. It includes links to obviously the ku, small Business Development Center core, et cetera. And I see this growing and kind of emerging as we build those resources for smaller businesses. At this time, I'm open to any questions. I encourage you to drive through the site. I think there's a lot to see here, but what I want you to know is most of these sites are built as the start of a conversation and as we gain a new director of an economic development, it will be the direct line into connecting with that person who can help walk that potential business through their site exploration with us. Do you have any questions for me?

Speaker 2 (00:16:34):

Any

Speaker 6 (00:16:34):

Questions,

Speaker 8 ([00:16:36](#)):

Corey? I just had a couple since I know, because we have partners listed on here. Is there any possible way, and I don't know if this impedes on the, I guess the purpose of the website, but just we list our partners, but I know we don't have enter, there's not a direct interface to those partners. Is there a plan to, at least on that main page, have interface where if someone clicks on the chamber, they go to the chamber, if they click on e, d, c, commerce, so on and so forth,

Speaker 6 ([00:17:11](#)):

That's an easy fix. Yeah. If there's an opportunity to do that, and I don't think there was any guidance that indicated that wouldn't be a priority. So yeah, we can make that change. I think it's important too to note that most people are going to find this site through search term optimization. And so this will hopefully be the start of their journey, but they shouldn't just circle around on our site. They should go to where they want to go. So no commissioner, that's not outside the realm of what we can do at all.

Speaker 8 ([00:17:39](#)):

Okay.

Speaker 6 ([00:17:40](#)):

Appreciate the suggestion.

Speaker 8 ([00:17:42](#)):

Yeah. And then one more, because I've been test driving the site specifically under site selector and available properties. And I know again that interfaces with EDC, so this might be more of a question for the EDC site since it interfaces with our economic development site.

Speaker 1 ([00:17:58](#)):

And

Speaker 8 ([00:17:58](#)):

I noticed on the site of available properties where there is an interactive map that shows you where the properties are.

Speaker 1 ([00:18:06](#)):

However,

Speaker 8 ([00:18:07](#)):

Under site selector, we talk about incentives and taxes and infrastructure and specifically under incentives where some of these available sites do fall up under areas of incentives, IE opportunity zones. So just in speaking, not something directed towards you, but in speaking in the general atmosphere of, since we have partners here that the sites that do fall in those opportunity zones, there's nothing that identifies them as that. So one way to kind of clean up having to kill two birds with one stone, not that I'm trying to kill any birds, is to at least identify within any sites that may fall into those areas where there are some, I don't want to say mandated, but strongly encouraged incentive packages for those areas that we identify that as that too.

Speaker 6 ([00:18:55](#)):

Yeah, I appreciate that comment. I will say I think that falls under kind of a strategic decision. That's an easy fix from a communications perspective, so I appreciate that comment.

Speaker 2 ([00:19:14](#)):

And is this a site that we can manage ourselves in house and add content and do whatever is necessary?

Speaker 6 ([00:19:21](#)):

Yeah, I think that's one of the reasons that Brit selected this particular vendor is the vendors that are getting the most robust business are the ones that empower you to make as many changes as you can internally. So yeah.

Speaker 2 ([00:19:33](#)):

Great. That sounds awesome. Any other questions?

Speaker 6 ([00:19:39](#)):

Okay, thank you.

Speaker 2 ([00:19:42](#)):

Thank you. If there's no other questions for commissioners, I'd like to open up for public comment. Any public comment on this item? Okay. Seeing none, anything online, Jerry?

Speaker 3 ([00:20:08](#)):

We do have one.

Speaker 2 ([00:20:09](#)):

Okay.

Speaker 3 ([00:20:16](#)):

Steven Watts. Steven Watts. Mr. Watts, were not able to hear you.

Speaker 2 ([00:21:09](#)):

I hear something over there. Yeah, there's something.

Speaker 3 ([00:21:11](#)):

Kurt, do you have any ideas? Alright,

Speaker 2 ([00:21:13](#)):

We're going to put it on hold here for just a second and see if we can get this AV situation squared away.

Speaker 3 ([00:21:22](#)):

Do you see this Kurt on my screen?

Speaker 8 ([00:21:24](#)):

Yeah, it usually works or it doesn't, so I'm surprised that we kind of heard it. It's not on our end. It's on his end, his microphone to us.

Speaker 2 ([00:21:36](#)):

Okay.

Speaker 3 ([00:21:38](#)):

Yep. We did test the audio before the meeting and everything

Speaker 2 ([00:21:44](#)):

Out. Okay. So Mr. Watts, I think we're having problems with your microphone. If you want to try it again, we can do so now, but otherwise we'll have to move on.

Speaker 3 ([00:21:52](#)):

Okay. And we do have another commenter that I'll get moved over.

Speaker 2 ([00:21:58](#)):

Okay. Sorry Mr. Watts.

Speaker 3 ([00:22:02](#)):

Yeah, we've had a few other popups, so

Speaker 2 ([00:22:04](#)):

Lemme

Speaker 3 ([00:22:04](#)):

Get that handled.

Speaker 2 ([00:22:05](#)):

Thank you.

Speaker 3 ([00:22:05](#)):

And then maybe by then Mr. Watts will be able to Jeffrey Heppler, see if we can hear Mr. Heppler. I No. Okay.

Speaker 4 ([00:22:21](#)):

It must be on our end.

Speaker 1 ([00:22:23](#)):

Yeah,

Speaker 3 ([00:22:23](#)):

Yeah.

Speaker 1 ([00:22:31](#)):

Oh, hold on. Should be working.

Speaker 2 ([00:22:56](#)):

I'd see Seedo. Yep.

Speaker 9 ([00:23:03](#)):

I'll keep speaking to hopefully test when you all can hear.

Speaker 2 ([00:23:06](#)):

I can hear you now. Mr. Heppler. Thank you, Jeffrey.

Speaker 9 ([00:23:08](#)):

Excellent. That's all I wanted to do is help resolve that situation. Thank

Speaker 2 ([00:23:11](#)):

You. Thank you. Okay, so we've got a troubleshooter online. That's awesome.

Speaker 4 ([00:23:18](#)):

Steve Watts?

Speaker 2 ([00:23:19](#)):

Yeah. Can we go back to Mr. Watts? Is he still there or no?

Speaker 3 ([00:23:21](#)):

Yes. Let me see if he has come back in and rejoined the meeting.

Speaker 4 ([00:23:27](#)):

He dropped off.

Speaker 3 ([00:23:29](#)):

Yeah, he dropped off and I don't see him as an attendee, but we do have another speaker, so maybe he'll jump back on by the time we have that one.

Speaker 2 ([00:23:37](#)):

Okay, that sounds good.

Speaker 3 ([00:23:39](#)):

I'm going to moved over. Lawrence. Accountability.

Speaker 10 ([00:23:51](#)):

Yeah, I'm going to go ahead and ask that you guys hold the meeting because quite to Kurt's dismay there. That was not a problem on our end. Everybody in the panel here online could hear Mr. Watt's just fine. There was a problem on your end. So I think we need to hold the meeting. Let Kurt get his shit together. And then let's have Steven do his public comment as he should have been allowed to do. Thank you.

Speaker 2 ([00:24:13](#)):

Thank you. We'll take care of that.

Speaker 3 ([00:24:26](#)):

Yeah. Mr. Watts is not in.

Speaker 2 ([00:24:29](#)):

Okay, well we could maybe allow him to talk, speak to that issue on the next item. I think since we had a technical problem on our end, if we want to move on to the next agenda item.

Speaker 4 ([00:24:42](#)):

I was just going to say make a comment real quick.

Speaker 2 ([00:24:44](#)):

Yeah,

Speaker 4 ([00:24:44](#)):

Sure. Since it's no more public comment, appreciate the work, appreciate the website. I think it has a good feel to it and I think it's something that's helpful across a lot of fields. So I hope we continue to work with our buttons on that and I appreciate the book.

Speaker 11 ([00:25:03](#)):

Yeah, I wanted to pop in as well, mayor, I second vice Mayor fled. I appreciate all the hard work on the website. It's a great start and I love the ability for us to go ahead and update it whenever we can. And it goes a long way to providing a resource for those companies out of town that we want to bring in and also for those companies that are wanting to start in town. And what I'm really excited about is it's following along that idea of having a one stop shop ecosystem where people can go ahead and start their own businesses and find ways to find assistance for that and find it in an efficient and helpful manner. So thank you all for your hard work on it,

Speaker 2 ([00:25:48](#)):

Mr. Sellers. Anything

Speaker 8 ([00:25:51](#)):

I said what I needed to say earlier. Thanks.

Speaker 2 ([00:25:54](#)):

Bales else. Great. Yeah, thank you. It's a first impressions are hard to come by and it's nice to have a good first impression with these site selectors. So I appreciate the quality of the work and look forward to open to lure some companies to stay and or come here. So thank you

Speaker 3 ([00:26:10](#)):

And Mayor, Mr. Watts has rejoined

Speaker 2 ([00:26:13](#)):

If you



Speaker 3 ([00:26:13](#)):

Want to allow him to make his comments.

Speaker 2 ([00:26:15](#)):

Sure, that'd be great. Mr. Watts, if you're still there, we're going to try to sign you.

Speaker 12 ([00:26:20](#)):

Are you able to hear audio at this time?

Speaker 2 ([00:26:23](#)):

Yes sir. Yes sir.

Speaker 12 ([00:26:24](#)):

Okay. The system is not on my end. I just wanted to say thank you. There seems to be a gross improvement with respect to what the Zoom participants are experiencing so far. Thank you for taking the time.

Speaker 2 ([00:26:41](#)):

Okay, thank you. Okay, with that we'll go ahead and move on to the second work session item, which is to receive an update and overview of scope for the North Lawrence Comprehensive Corridor study.

Speaker 13 ([00:27:10](#)):

All right. Good evening, mayor and commission. Melissa Seban, director of municipal services and operations. I'm here tonight with my sidekick Jeff Crick from our PDS division, our department rather. And we're going to talk to you about the North Lawrence Comprehensive Corridor study. It's a mouthful. We're pretty excited about this. It's been a work in progress since we shared this vision through our CIP last summer. And tonight we're here to discuss what we've put together for a scope of work for this and receive any comments or feedback that you have prior to us actually letting the RFP. So do you want to start off? Sure. Okay.

Speaker 14 ([00:27:57](#)):

Good evening commission. Jeff Crick planning development services. So just to kind of lay the groundwork for what we're talking about with this study, the last time North Lawrence or the corridor was studied as best as we can tell, was sometime in the late seventies and early eighties. So land use and the transportation corridor itself has not been studied comprehensively since probably then. And since then we've also had a lot of things that have changed. We've seen the way that the airport has grown, we've seen the way that KTA and the turnpike has evolved and changed. We've seen some changes with our transportation partners, including the railroads and kdot. So as part of the scope of work and what we're looking at, we're trying to look at this holistically, not just as a land use plan, but as an entire corridor plan. So it involves our partners with fema, with the water agencies, anything that involves the levy, all the transportation you can think of from the airports down to sidewalks.

([00:28:46](#)):

We would put in the scope on that one. So we'd be looking at ways that Lawrence Loop and those plans can be engaged as part of this, but also looking at all the different ways that we can connect to other resources. So one thing that we've always talked about in planning, and you've heard a couple of times over the years, our quiet zones and the way that the railroads engage with the streets. And so taking a look

at that and having those conversations with our partners, but it's also a way of taking a look at it and making sure that we're meeting all of the scope and intent in both the downtown plan that was recently adopted and also in Plan 2040 with the gateway and the approach as you come into Lawrence, if you think of that corridor, it's one of the very few ways that you can come to the city in multitude of different ways, but you all come into the same way.

(00:29:26):

So if you come off of KTA Houston come over the bridge and that way airport, very similar thing. And so it's really one of those prominent gateway features of when someone's coming to Lawrence that they see. And so looking both at sustainability, looking at the environmental aspects of it because that corridor has had a lot of changes over the years, understand how does industry affect that? How has things kind of moved and flowed over the last few years? But then also making sure that we take all the work in the strategic plan and really integrate it into that scope of work, making sure that we're meeting not just our outcome asks, but our commitment ask as part of that, including our sustainability commitments. So kind of a real overview, real high level overview of that. But just want to also let you know is that that's one of those things that we talk about when we talk about long-term planning is it's a holistic look at that corridor and it's not something I think we've had in quite a number of years if not many if ever. And so we want to have opportunity to do that with this plan.

Speaker 13 (00:30:19):

Thanks. And I also have Melinda Harger here, assistant director of MSO tonight as well, who was integral in working on our team to help develop the scope of work. I want to talk about the anticipated services that you would receive out of such a comprehensive corridor study. One is the engagement component. If you've looked through the draft, what you'll see is there's an intensive amount of engagement throughout the process, whether it's figuring out who are all the partners that need to be at the table. Two, working with them on ideas and coming back and forth and then bringing it into the next segment, which is looking at all the existing studies that the city has done over the years. We have a wealth of information. I think there was 20 some of them, if I remember correct, that all play into a very comprehensive look at this corridor.

(00:31:12):

And so we want to be able to have that dialogue with the community as well around all of the different studies that we have out there that talk about anything from wastewater to stormwater to bus transit to our airport, which is just finishing up a master plan. So there's just a lot data that we already have, a lot of information, a lot of ideas. And the question is what does that look like cohesively as opposed to in an individual study with an individual, look at an individual problem within that corridor. The next part of that is a market analysis and economic feasibility component, which is too interesting that we're here tonight with a little bit of a revamp on some of our information on economic development. But this would definitely play into that and bringing in the components of land use and zoning while considering all of those studies that we have that say where we have expenses that we need to make, whether it's investment in stormwater management or the levy protected areas, or how rail impacts the quality of life in the corridor.

(00:32:18):

So it's really comprehensive and it will take all of those into consideration when we look at what would drive the ability to pay for projects within the area that come out of the plan and basically give us something that should be actionable and reasonable. That next component is refine the plan. So taking all that input, taking the market analysis, the existing information we have to actually put together a plan and then give us an implementation guidance on what comes first, what comes second. And if you do this, then how does it impact that? So those conversations again, go back to engagement with the community so that they have a good understanding of what they're recommending and how it would fall out over the

length of time that they would suggest we try to tackle these ideas. And when I was before the connected city advisory board, I will say they had a lot of interest in this.

(00:33:12):

They were thrilled to see the level of detail that we were wanting to go into in this area. And the fact that they could dream big, which was something that I think is part of this corridor study is that it's dreaming big. Some of this stuff may not be within the next 10 years, it may take longer to get to as a planning study, but ultimately it's that ability to think differently about life in the corridor. So anything else, Melinda? Anything? Sorry, I'm trying to fight her. Sorry. And we'd stand for questions at this point.

Speaker 2 (00:33:51):

Sure. Okay. Do we have questions?

Speaker 4 (00:33:59):

I saw some information there about timelines, but what would be the expected 2026

Speaker 13 (00:34:06):

That shouldn't have been in there? We should have deleted that out, so I do apologize. But yeah, it would be,

Speaker 4 (00:34:11):

It was highlighted.

Speaker 13 (00:34:12):

Yeah, it's highlighted. It

Speaker 4 (00:34:13):

Was highlighted. It's hard

Speaker 2 (00:34:14):

To

Speaker 13 (00:34:14):

Miss. Exactly. Sorry. Should have been redacted. Well, what we're looking at is if we get approval tonight with whatever comments like we did with the connected city advisory board, they had a recommendation around social justice that we took and make sure we would try to refine in there through our outcomes and commitments with the strategic plan. And then from there we would go ahead and get it out to bid and that would start the process. So probably maybe the end of this month, maybe the beginning of March, it would actually go out to bid. And then it is a two year study because remember we split funded it first 400,000 in this current fiscal year, and then the remainder 275,000 in 2026. It's about an 18 month timeline is what we're seeing. So not on typical.

Speaker 4 (00:35:10):

Well, I think, I guess the question slash comment, I mean the exciting thing about it is how many areas it covers. It mean it's not just a neighborhood plan, it's not just a utilities or transportation plan, it's not an economic development plan. It's all the above. And I'm not sure I've been involved in one of those. And maybe Melissa, you have in your previous jobs, how do you try to balance those so you don't end up, I

don't know, talking about the LO loop the whole time or talking about economic development the whole time or talking about the airport the whole time you

Speaker 13 ([00:35:51](#)):

That

Speaker 4 ([00:35:51](#)):

You select a vendor, how do you do that?

Speaker 13 ([00:35:54](#)):

Well, that was one of the key, I think components as we were looking about how we would do the approach on this because we are aware of the fact that there's a lot of energy around different elements that are within this. And we wanted to make sure that they could be vetted through but not dominate the entire conversation. We want to see how it integrates, how do they work together? So that's why we selected the system that we're going for, which is having folks bring to us their idea of how they would tackle this problem. So we as staff aren't providing the solution on how they're going to attack the problem. We're asking them, come to us, bring us your best design of how you would go about doing this and what that would look like.

Speaker 14 ([00:36:38](#)):

Studies like this are not dissimilar to what you see in a lot of bigger projects. There's five different ways to approach it. And really the conversation when we are interviewing is going to be how do you approach this? How do you have those conversations? How do you ensure you're striking a balance in the dialogue that you have? And so there's lots of ways that you could possibly take it. That's one of the things we want to get with the RFP is show us what you think is the best way to have this conversation. At least 20, if not maybe even more topics that could get folded into this conversation very quickly. And we want to make sure that we're not, like you said, getting that balance in. Correct. So it's going to be part of the reviewing processes to see what they pitch forward as their best idea to bring it on.

Speaker 13 ([00:37:21](#)):

And I have been involved in these in other places, so that was kind of trying to figure out how to put it together so that we could actually study the corridor. And there are other communities out there that have done these and done them successfully. So

Speaker 2 ([00:37:38](#)):

I had a question about the second or third paragraph in section one that talks about the application of the information or the report that we're going to prepare or the work product will be suitable and would meet the legal requirements for TIF districts. I think that's something I was interested to see. And I guess one question is, is that one of the reasons for one of the main impetus for this level of granularity and then also wide scope, and then also if it's TIF centric, does that add much cost to, in other words, complexity to this? Does it make it more expensive for us to approach this and is that valuable? Is there a fair value in receiving that additional, if there is additional costs associated with the TIF side of this thing?

Speaker 13 ([00:38:34](#)):

The idea is to do the analysis to be able to tell us if what we're looking at when we get through this with the community is something that would qualify or which economic development tools you would want to consider deploying. It's not to give us the full answer, it's more to say, Hey, you've got something here

and based on what we're seeing from the values that the project, if there's a project that comes out of it that it would generate that this might be something that you could apply a CID to A TDD to any number of things IRBs we've used here. So it's just to give us an idea of yes, this is something that would qualify in this

Speaker 2 ([00:39:17](#)):

Space, so any kind of tax related incentive

Speaker 13 ([00:39:21](#)):

Incentive

Speaker 2 ([00:39:21](#)):

That's going to help bring about rehabilitation on the property, and that's a focus of this as well. And I guess that brings me to the last question is if you could summarize in a couple of paragraphs or words, what would the end work product, what's the goal of this? I really, it's really hard to read through this to understand your clear goal and what's your end work product that you're looking for here so that we make sure we steer the consultant and the product the right direction. I want to make sure I understand from you what you're looking for.

Speaker 14 ([00:39:53](#)):

Do you want to give it a shot? I'll try and then I'll chase you try to summarize it. The best way I've always described these is it's like a diagnostic and a playbook. What you're going to do is get a really in-depth understanding about what it is and hopefully get a really enumerated list of here is step one, step two, step three, and it's going to give us that kind of running order that we need to do, get our prerequisites correct before we go into the bigger thing. So I hope that summarizes very complex very quickly.

Speaker 2 ([00:40:19](#)):

Yes, that was quick. Thank you. Yep.

Speaker 13 ([00:40:21](#)):

And the idea is to, as I explained it to the connected city advisory board is dream big and then figure out what's possible. And so that's what's going to be interesting as this process has gone through. There's a number of things that come up when you talk to people about this and they have ideas and it's interesting. So the question is where does the community land on that? And then if that is a recommendation that comes out that rises to the top, then they're going to have to look back at all that detail when it is pertaining to economic feasibility and determine if it's possible.

Speaker 2 ([00:40:57](#)):

Okay, thank you. Other commissioners? Any questions this time?

Speaker 4 ([00:41:06](#)):

I guess I'd ask, it's more of a statement than question about phases. The question which is for those who aren't studying this carefully, I mean we call it the North Lawrence plan, but it does include the areas that we've been talking about with the loop and the areas around this building and the riverfront and access from Riverfront Hill across the river all the way to the airport.

Speaker 13 ([00:41:26](#)):

And the idea here is to tie in our downtown up to I 70 and to the airport and not to leave a segment out. So there's a little bit of intentional overlap and obviously the loop component drove that as well.

Speaker 2 ([00:41:44](#)):

Okay. Anything else? Okay, I think that's it for now. We'll have to go ahead and take public comment. Thank you. Public comment on work session item two.

Speaker 15 ([00:42:05](#)):

Hi there. Hi, I'm Nancy Thalman. I live at 1547 North 2000 Road and it's nice to see you all. I just wanted to say I am really excited about this corridor study and I'm grateful to the city for taking it on. I am surprised there's not a bunch of folks in north from North Lawrence here to say thank you too in advance. I think it's going to be a huge conversation and really important and I think for them, for their neighborhood to really have some intentional time with you all to explore infrastructure issues and all the possibilities of that gateway activity. I'm excited for them and grateful. I wanted to say, looking at the map, I see how the map at the end of the packet shows a pretty large land use or land area that goes outside of city limits and into Grant Township.

([00:43:09](#)):

And I just wanted to say that'll probably mean that there'll be some conversations pulled in about land use and prime farmland and food production and how that mixes with industry and all the aspirations that the city might have for that area. And while we're thinking holistically and thinking grand scale and all the possibilities, when I looked at the list of the studies you're going to include as you think about this and provide to whatever consultants you choose, I would respectfully ask that you include the Douglas County city of Lawrence Food System plan, which you all are half and half with the food system or the food Policy council. I don't remember what year that happened, but you were in on this, Lisa, your name is in here when this was published, and I think it's been incorporated into the comprehensive plan. I just think it's really useful and I think your consultant would be helped to have read it in advance before folks start talking about infrastructure for food systems or the importance of prime agricultural land or that whole conversation. There's also the new open space plan that the county just published. I'm not sure if that would be in your consideration, but it does include any rural lands and there are rural lands in this map. So that's all I'd say. Thank you. Thank you for doing this. Look forward to it. Appreciate

Speaker 2 ([00:44:45](#)):

It. Anybody else want to comment on work session item two? Okay. How about online, Sherry?

Speaker 3 ([00:44:59](#)):

Okay, we have a few. So moved over. Steven Watts.

Speaker 12 ([00:45:08](#)):

Hi. Thank you. Hopefully my system shows everything's working. How about you guys?

Speaker 2 ([00:45:14](#)):

Yes, sir.

Speaker 12 ([00:45:16](#)):

Excellent. It's wonderful to be able to opine on this North Lawrence Corridor stuff, and I appreciate Ms. Seban and Mr. Crick Coming forward. I got confronted with a question from somebody, and this has to do with North Lawrence as well as budget. The airport hangers are rented by the city. The city is the

landlord, and the rent only goes up 3% each year. Our water bills don't go up only 3% each year, nor do our property taxes go up at the rate of 3%. The rent schedule needs to be adjusted. That's just one little area to think about for money. Thank you.

Speaker 2 ([00:46:13](#)):

Thank you Mr. Watts.

Speaker 3 ([00:46:29](#)):

Hillary Carter.

Speaker 16 ([00:46:34](#)):

Good evening Commissioner. It's good to see you all digitally from afar. My name's Hillary Carter. I am the chair of the Connected City Advisory Board. And just here to echo our appreciation for Melissa and Melinda and Trevor's work on this RFP. The board did vote to pass our recommendation onto you guys unanimously. The only feedback we heard from commissioners was to have the RFP reflect a centered commitment to equity and anti displacement measures with any sort of economic redevelopment that happens along the corridor. And I see in the RFP that the staff have added that. So we feel really confident in the goals and outcomes that we'd like to see. And you feel it aligns nicely with the city's strategic plans and everything that the connected City advisory board does as well. Thank you. Have a great evening accelerate.

Speaker 3 ([00:47:39](#)):

Chelsea Hayden.

Speaker 17 ([00:47:43](#)):

Good evening commissioners. Chelsea Hayden. I represent Grant Township and so we're excited about this and having the city look into this. I just wanted to come today because I looked at the map and a lot of that property that is covered is in Grant Township. So the township would just like to be an active participant in this and we'd like to be included from the beginning. We are very uniquely situated and we have unique fire concerns and other resources that we have to really pay a lot of a attention to. And we have quite a low budget just because of the lack of residence. So this could have an impact on us in many ways you might not be thinking of at the moment. So we just request that we be a part of the process and that our citizens and our businesses and the governmental entity itself are allowed to participate in our represented in this process. Thank you.

Speaker 2 ([00:48:44](#)):

Thank you, Chelsea.

Speaker 3 ([00:48:52](#)):

Jeffrey Heppler.

Speaker 9 ([00:48:57](#)):

Good evening, all good. Evening commission. Jeffrey Heppler 6 6 0 4 4. I just wanted to say here to the comments that have already been said, I'm excited about this study. I think North Lawrence needs some love y'all, and let's make sure that it's spread around the right way. Thanks.

Speaker 2 ([00:49:22](#)):



Thank you, Jeff.

Speaker 3 ([00:49:24](#)):

That's all the comments, mayor.

Speaker 2 ([00:49:27](#)):

Okay. How about some commission

Speaker 18 ([00:49:29](#)):

Comments on item two? Got a couple of things, actually, a couple of questions too, Jeff, or if either one. Yeah, I wanted to know if this study is going to involve looking at the infrastructure as far as stormwater runoff and stormwater flow systems. I know that I saw the stormwater reports in there. One of the things you're looking at

Speaker 13 ([00:49:59](#)):

Is you going to look at that? Yeah, absolutely. And I have Melinda coming up too, but absolutely that is integral to this. We are obviously getting information on the new revised mapping from the state slash county on flood plain floodways, and that will be part of this as well as we look at it. But definitely stormwater. It's an issue we know exists up in North Lawrence. Melin, do you want to talk more detail?

Speaker 7 ([00:50:26](#)):

Yeah, I, excuse me. I would just add that we're wrapping up in the coming year, the stormwater asset ID and modeling program. And so we have already looked at portions north of the river, and so this study won't redo any of that, but it will take the result of that study in that modeling into account as we're looking at, as we look at development and then what the impact would be on stormwater and what would be needed in terms of detention or just improvements in general. It's very flat, so we want to make sure we don't want to do any harm, I guess the situation, we want to improve the stormwater situation.

Speaker 18 ([00:51:04](#)):

Sure, thank you. So I would ask that you also involve the Call Valley drainage district. They are integral. They meet monthly and they're integral in understanding the stormwater situation in that area. So I'd appreciate it if you would wrap them into this somehow. Thank you.

Speaker 11 ([00:51:24](#)):

Thank you. I just had an add-on just given the folks give public comment to see if we could also include a review of the open space plan and also a food policy as well as part of this since it includes such a wide rural area up north. So I think it would only make sense.

Speaker 1 ([00:51:50](#)):

Yeah, for sure.

Speaker 18 ([00:51:51](#)):

One more thing, are we working with the county on this since some of this is in the county?

Speaker 13 ([00:52:00](#)):



Answer is yes. Not in the writing of the scope, but in the fact that as we go through and we select a consultant, we'll be pulling in stakeholders and obviously the county is part of that group as well as the drainage district and obviously the ag side of things as well. And township

Speaker 14 ([00:52:21](#)):

Planning is split city county, so we have the context and who to talk to and get the details on those plans. And I would expect lots of staff contact between city staff and county staff as part of this because it is one of those edge things. It's going to be everyone's conversation at some point.

Speaker 18 ([00:52:36](#)):

Great. Thanks. Thank you.

Speaker 14 ([00:52:37](#)):

Yeah, for sure.

Speaker 2 ([00:52:41](#)):

Mr. Sellers, do you have anything?

Speaker 11 ([00:52:43](#)):

No. Yeah, just mount only time and it's like, yeah, I'm glad to see this moving forward. And I would actually echo what Mr. Heppler said, just that the attention being paid to North Lawrence in terms of the corridor and little parts north and parts over here around downtown and integrating all that and studying infrastructure, economic development and all their analysis. So I'm excited to see what'll come from it. So thank you guys.

Speaker 4 ([00:53:12](#)):

I would just make sure we have the three votes up here to include those plans and of course all the municipalities, not that you win it, but obviously getting them all involved and including North Lawrence Neighborhood Association as well as Grand Township in the Car Valley district. Again, I ask my kind of question slash comment and I'm most interested in how they're going to do this. I think it's such an ambitious program that's so exciting. And I liked the mayor's question about what we're going to get at the end. I look forward to how we get from here to there, and I think it's going to be a lot of work and I think, but it's exciting work. It's fun work. So I look forward to seeing who we select to help us through the process.

Speaker 2 ([00:54:06](#)):

And I just wanted to see if there was any way we could formulate a order of things that will somehow put priority over some existing or preexisting issues with safety, specifically in North Lawrence with the rail crossing issues, some of the money, the federal grants are available for some of these improvements, raised walkways, those sort of things. I really feel like we have neglected that area for too long and we've heard a lot of requests for us to move forward with some sort of planning and requests for grants for that operation. And I want to make sure that it's said out loud because I think it's important some folks up there that we prioritize that in this study because there's a lot of traffic running through that area and there's continued chance for both nuisance and safety issues. So for me, that would be, from a prioritization standpoint, I feel like it's important for us to really get on that as quick as possible and see if there's money available through this study for us to get on it and get some money in place to help that.

Based on all the information I've heard from people, it seems like an easy thing to do, but I think if we had the right information, it might be a little easier. I don't know how anybody else feels about that.

Speaker 8 ([00:55:31](#)):

Melissa, just to add to that, I think what was proposed to us during the budget process, and I do appreciate how we were able to encumber funds and be able to use them in a way that is going to just like our asset management, put us in a place where we can forecast, at least put invest money into receiving a forecast of how we can move within this corridor and maybe the blueprint to how we can do that and other aspects moving forward in our city. So I think that's the biggest piece is that this is a huge undertaking for us because this is what cities do. So we're a city now. We weren't a city back in the seventies, but we're a city by definition now. And so these are things that cities do, and so we're kind of putting our big city britches on and getting to work with this.

([00:56:28](#)):

And so it is going to be a huge undertaking. I'm excited about what whomever the vendor is that will be able to produce this because it's going to have a lot of different, I think, moving aspects to it that will not only be able to speak to the pieces of our commitments and outcomes of our strategic plan, but also how we can be very nimble and how it can make us nimble and flexible for the next four years since we don't know what's going to be ahead of us as far as funding. So this is the first step in putting us in a position so that we can be a lot more strategic. And I think that within itself is we're creating opportunities for something that could be a legacy builder for this governing body to leave for the next governing body 10 or 15 years from now. So I think if we think of it in that way, not necessarily waiting to see how we can intersect funding that's available now, that may not be available by the time our vendors are able to go through and process and create this document, this guiding document for us playbook.

([00:57:39](#)):

But we're doing the work to get there and I think that's putting words into action, and I think that's what this governing body wants to see, and you guys are doing that. So I do appreciate that and I'm excited to see what comes of it.

Speaker 2 ([00:57:53](#)):

Great. Anything else? I agree. All right. Thanks very much. Appreciate it. Thank you. Okay, next item on the agenda is commission items. Do we have any items that commissioners want to bring up?

Speaker 4 ([00:58:12](#)):

I have one the, and maybe Jeff doesn't leave too quickly in case I have to ask him this question, but the development code, there's a series of cleanup amendments that will go in front of the planning commission in February that Jeff and his staff is working on and gathering information on. And I know there's been some discussion, I've had some discussion with some of the neighborhood groups about having a conversation about the occupancy. Maybe we have set it a five under the current code and having a discussion about either moving to 4 0 3 on that or as it stands today. And I think we're not in a point where we initiate amendments, but we're at a point where we want to make sure, I think with talking with staff that if two other people are willing to have that conversation, we can get that on the planning commission agenda to go along with the cleanup amendment so they can hear that and make their recommendation to us. And then we can take that up at the same time we take up the other amendments. So if there's two people willing to talk about the occupancy, definitely

Speaker 2 ([00:59:31](#)):

I would be Okay.

Speaker 4 ([00:59:32](#)):

Sounds

Speaker 2 ([00:59:33](#)):

You have the numbers. Thank

Speaker 4 ([00:59:33](#)):

You. What you need, Jeff? I,

Speaker 2 ([00:59:36](#)):

Okay. Okay. Anything else on commission items?

Speaker 8 ([00:59:42](#)):

I do have one. I know I've kind of brought this up in the past, and I know in December we set our agenda for the upcoming legislative year. And so more of a discussion that can be facilitated through the city manager with commissioners is how do we ensure we know that there's capacity for staff as well as for commissioners to keep an eye on bills that may impact our agenda, and how do we want to move forward with identifying those things with staff and whether or not there is a opportunity or at least a willingness for this governing body to take the charge. And whether that's wanting to speak on a bill through testimony, writing testimony or what that looks like, we don't necessarily have a position per se that does that. So this is shared work and responsibility, and I know that there are several bills that are there.

([01:00:45](#)):

They've been introduced, they may not go anywhere. One of the things we always talk about in the legislatures that a bill never dies, so it may stay in committee until to the very last day and then it may pop up in a gut and go, bill. So it's just how do we, and not necessarily that we need to answer today, but I do want this governing body to think about how do we as commissioners help carry that responsibility where staff may be limited in their capacity, but helping to keep things abreast and an eye on bills that impact not only just our agenda point, our policy agenda, but most importantly our rights as a governing body and home rule and anything that may impact the culture and mission and vision of our community. So just wanted to bring that up to help some visionary between us as a body.

Speaker 2 ([01:01:44](#)):

I think that's important. We got to see what's coming down the road and I don't know if we have time or the expertise to do that individually, but I think each of us could focus on things we pay attention to in our area of expertise and maybe know what's coming. But I do know there's some people out there that are a lot smarter than me that are at the house every day and know what's going on. Might be helpful to maybe pay attention, especially what's happening in the next 12 months. So who knows what's going to go. So for me, I would encourage if we could have any staff time to do that, but obviously they are precious resources right now. But I'll be happy to try and keep abreast on things, but I would welcome others to do the same.

Speaker 4 ([01:02:32](#)):

Yeah, I'd be interested in that conversation. I think there's a lot that happens quickly in the legislation

Speaker 8 ([01:02:38](#)):

Very much, and it's only moving at a much faster pace. I think in speaking with leadership with the wake municipalities, there's an opportunity to maybe streamline that because I don't see this pace changing anytime soon. But I think there's some missed opportunities where, again, to your point, mayor, where you may not be a subject matter, I might not be a subject matter expert on a certain bill, but it doesn't mean that we don't want our voice not to be heard. We don't want our voices to not be heard. So how do we ensure that, whether it's signing on to someone's testimony or being able to just make a call or make a note.

Speaker 2 ([01:03:21](#)):

Okay. I'd be happy to help with that. So anything else? Commission items? Alright, future agenda items. Not a whole lot going on in the next few weeks.

Speaker 4 ([01:03:39](#)):

I guess just sticking with my theme of the development code as we start to look out, I mean obviously we want this demolition moratorium to come up before April 1st, so it can be in place by April 1st. So I don't know if that's one that requires two weeks publication or what, but I guess I'd like to make sure we still have time, but I'd like to make sure we get on the agenda here. And I think there's some people interested in it, so the more we can figure out when it is on the agenda, the better.

Speaker 2 ([01:04:15](#)):

And then the permit parking program too. I think that's really crucial. I believe.

Speaker 4 ([01:04:19](#)):

Yes,

Speaker 2 ([01:04:20](#)):

We've already done a ton of historically homework on this issue. I'm sure that's something that we could jump right back into, not immediately but in earnest so that people know that we're serious about doing that. I heard a lot of discussions if we could do that, then that might help people understand and deal with some of the other changes that we've put in place. So for me, I feel like that's also pretty important and something we probably should have done a long time ago.

Speaker 18 ([01:04:49](#)):

Yeah, the permit parking was included as part of the 2019 parking study and they laid it out in there.

Speaker 2 ([01:04:55](#)):

Yeah. Thank you. Yeah. Alright, anything else?

Speaker 8 ([01:04:59](#)):

Oh, real quick, just quick. Congratulations. I know I attended the meeting. Lawrence says the Lawrence Douglas County Firefighters Awards Gala banquet on last Friday. So just wanted to give a quick shout out to Firefighter Jasmine Ramirez for winning Firefighter of the year.

Speaker 2 ([01:05:18](#)):

Oh wow. Congratulations. Yeah, that's great. Okay, anything else? Okay. City manager's report.

Speaker 7 ([01:05:29](#)):

The only item under city manager's report is a ex parte notification for

Speaker 2 ([01:05:34](#)):

All of you. Okay. And then the commission calendar, the meeting list. That's a public comment. Sorry. That's right. Public comment. Sorry. Any public comment on the city manager's report. Thank you for reminding me. Any online?

Speaker 3 ([01:05:56](#)):

Yes, we do have.

Speaker 2 ([01:05:56](#)):

Thank you. Oh hey please. Oh, I'm sorry. Is this on the city manager's report or just general public comment? General public comment. We'll be in that a few minutes as soon as we're done with these other comments. Okay, thank you.

Speaker 3 ([01:06:12](#)):

Lauren's accountability.

Speaker 10 ([01:06:15](#)):

So could we have Craig tell us more about that parte communication or maybe have you commissioners ask more questions about that? It's talked about in a public meeting, but it's passed over real quickly and you guys do owe it to the public to help educate them about what's going on and not try to just pass things by like that. When I hear ex parte, I'm hearing something that might be of a concern that there might be a conflict or a potential conflict that was forced to be disclosed. And I just think it deserves a little more discussion than just a pass by, especially for the number of citizens that you represent. And then the last thing about the city manager's report, again, we really do need a city manager. I hear that across the town that we need a new city manager. That's it.

Speaker 2 ([01:07:08](#)):

Okay, thank you. So to that point, I appreciate you pointed it out. I think the ex parte conversation was about a 1.74 acre of land regarding a rezoning. Do you want me to read that off to make it clear? Sure. The rezone Z 24 10 11, approximately 1.74 acres located at 3 6 3 Peterson Road from RS 10 single dwelling residential district to RSS five single dwelling residential plan development overlay district to be incorporated into the Monterey Gardens plan development submitted by Land Plan Engineering on behalf of Soja. So frankly, it's more of a formal, it's a procedural matter at this point. So I have nothing to disclose. I have nothing to

Speaker 3 ([01:07:54](#)):

Disclose. It's not on for tonight. No, it's not.

Speaker 2 ([01:07:56](#)):

Yeah, I know

Speaker 3 ([01:07:56](#)):

This is just informing you of a,

Speaker 2 ([01:07:57](#)):

I'm just

Speaker 3 ([01:07:58](#)):

Item.

Speaker 2 ([01:07:58](#)):

It's coming so

Speaker 3 ([01:07:59](#)):

That you are aware that you'll be needing

Speaker 2 ([01:08:01](#)):

I understand that completely, but I'll just talk about it now since it came up. Thank you. Anybody else want to comment on the city manager's report?

Speaker 3 ([01:08:12](#)):

We do have another one online if you're talking about the

Speaker 2 ([01:08:15](#)):

Yes online. Thank you.

Speaker 3 ([01:08:16](#)):

Okay, Steven Watts.

Speaker 12 ([01:08:30](#)):

I appreciate the opportunity to speak on this and firstly, thank you so much Greg Owens for reminding my good friend Mike Dever that the public comments on this and hey, that's great. I mean, I really didn't have a whole lot to comment on the city manager report other than the fact that it's an opportunity to opine where you can't otherwise. So I'd like to hear the city manager report on when the public is going to be able to access consent agenda items once again as a check and balance to talk about it instead of asking mother may I to a town commissioner who may or may not, usually may not. That's my experience, allow you to pull an item for consent, agenda matters. And so maybe the city manager could report on just how much time does that take? Anyway, thank you. Aloha.

Speaker 2 ([01:09:50](#)):

Thanks Mr. Watts.

Speaker 3 ([01:09:53](#)):

That's all the public.

Speaker 2 ([01:09:54](#)):

Okay. Anybody else in the room on the city managers report? Does that include your, the prior study? Yes, there was. We were able to talk about that previously, but you can come up a public comment and I'll let you address that. Whatever, since you weren't able to talk about it before, normally don't go back to

those things, but we'll let you do that during public comment. Okay, thank you. Anybody else to speak to the city manager's report? Okay. Anybody want to discuss the calendar?

Speaker 3 ([01:10:30](#)):

I do have a question for you all. So there are three commissioners attending NLC, so we need to discuss if we're going to cancel the March 11th meeting, since we won't have a quorum or I'm assuming no one is planning to attend virtually.

Speaker 4 ([01:10:56](#)):

I'm wanting to go to the NLC conference. I plan to be traveling Tuesday nights, so I'm back for work on Wednesday. So I think I'll be traveling during the commission meeting, so I don't think I could zoom in on that night.

Speaker 2 ([01:11:09](#)):

You wouldn't zoom in, you'd be here?

Speaker 4 ([01:11:10](#)):

No, no, no. I would be in the A. Got it.

Speaker 2 ([01:11:14](#)):

Understood. Thank you. Well, I'd be fine with that if we're not going to have a quorum or it would be all online. It's kind of hard to manage that.

Speaker 11 ([01:11:26](#)):

Yes, I'd probably lean more towards moving it or canceling or just moving it to, I guess March 25th is it's open,

Speaker 2 ([01:11:38](#)):

I guess if we needed to have

Speaker 11 ([01:11:39](#)):

A meeting.

Speaker 2 ([01:11:40](#)):

So yeah. Should we just have a placeholder in the event we need meeting so that we have three meetings in March? We would

Speaker 8 ([01:11:47](#)):

Have to vote on to have a special meeting. Sorry. We would need to vote to have a special meeting on the dates.

Speaker 3 ([01:11:51](#)):

Right, and we can bring that back next week. If we look at the agendas and if we feel like we need it, then we can bring

Speaker 2 ([01:11:57](#)):

Appropriate

Speaker 3 ([01:11:58](#)):

Action back for you all to either cancel.

Speaker 2 ([01:12:00](#)):

Would that work as your calendars though, if we did move to the 25th right now? Off the top of your head?

Speaker 11 ([01:12:04](#)):

Off the top of my head? Yeah.

Speaker 2 ([01:12:07](#)):

Though

Speaker 4 ([01:12:07](#)):

At the moment, well,

Speaker 2 ([01:12:08](#)):

If one or two of us aren't here, that'd be okay. I just want to make sure

Speaker 4 ([01:12:11](#)):

I could do the 25th, but at the moment our future changes are looking not

Speaker 2 ([01:12:15](#)):

Over. No, it's not too busy.

Speaker 4 ([01:12:16](#)):

We might

Speaker 2 ([01:12:17](#)):

Stack

Speaker 4 ([01:12:18](#)):

Some things. We might be able to stack some things on the fourth and the 18th. Yeah. Okay.

Speaker 3 ([01:12:22](#)):

Okay. So we'll look at canceling it. Unless for some reason there's something that just has to absolutely go in March, then we will bring back something to move it. But

Speaker 2 ([01:12:30](#)):

I feel okay with me. Is everybody okay with that?

Speaker 3 ([01:12:32](#)):



Sounds good.

Speaker 2 ([01:12:32](#)):

Sounds good. Okay. Thank you very much. Sherry.

Speaker 3 ([01:12:34](#)):

Sherry? Sure. Thank you.

Speaker 2 ([01:12:39](#)):

Okay. That takes us to the end of our live broadcast and we'll go ahead and give everybody a minute to clear out of the room and then move on to open public comment in just a minute.