

# Solutions for Tutorial Sheet 1

August 26, 2013

## 1 Problem 3

A  $k$ -way merge can be done in the following ways.

**A.** Merge the  $k$  sequences pairwise in a binary tree structure. Time complexity is  $O(n \log k)$ , since at every level of the tree, the number of comparisons is  $O(n)$ .

**B.** Build a  $k$ -heap on the surviving smallest element of each of the  $k$  sequences. For each element output, we need to do extract-min and insert from the corresponding sequence (from where the smallest element was output). Time complexity is  $O(n \log k)$ , with  $O(\log k)$  comparisons for each heap operation.

For  $k$ -way mergesort, the recurrence is

$$T(n) = kT\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) + O(n \log k)$$

The solution is  $T(n) = O(n \log n)$ , which is independent of  $k$ .

## 2 Problem 4

Use quicksort where you choose the median as splitter. This is also called partition sort.

**Claim:** If there are  $n_\alpha$  elements with value  $\alpha$ , one of the elements will be chosen as a splitter by depth  $\log_2(n/n_\alpha) - 1$  of the recursion tree of quicksort.

All the elements of  $n_\alpha$  must lie in the same partition. At level  $i$  of the recursion, the size of a partition is at most  $n/2^i$ . So,  $n_\alpha \leq \frac{n}{2 \cdot 2^i}$ . Otherwise, value  $\alpha$  will be majority and will be the median. So,  $i - 1 \leq \log_2(n/n_\alpha)$ , i.e.  $i \leq \log_2(n/n_\alpha) + 1$ .

Once  $\alpha$  is chosen it will not participate in future comparisons, since only the elements strictly larger and strictly smaller are recursively shifted. So, the number of comparisons that each element with value  $\alpha$  participates is  $\log_2(n/n_\alpha)$ .

### 3 Problem 5

$$\begin{aligned} A &= A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 \\ B &= B_1 B_2 B_3 B_4 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $|A| = |B| = n = 4^k$ . As is the case with divide by 2, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} A \times B &= (A_1 2^{3l} + A_2 2^{2l} + A_3 2^l + A_4)(B_1 2^{3l} + B_2 2^{2l} + B_3 2^l + B_4) \\ &= A_1 B_1 x^6 + (A_1 B_2 + A_2 B_1) x^5 + \dots + A_4 B_4, \text{ where } x = 2^{l.n/4} \end{aligned}$$

This is similar to polynomial multiplication and the coefficients do not depend on the value of  $x$ . Polynomial multiplication can be done using polynomial evaluation and interpolation in  $O(d^2)$  "word" multiplication (say by Lagrange's formula) for a polynomial with degree  $d$ . Using the method of problem 10, we can write a recurrence

$$T(n) = O(d^{1.7})T(n/d) + O(n), d = 4$$

### 4 Problem 6

For this problem, we will write a recurrence without repeating the sampling (even when the splitter is not balanced). Let  $T(n)$  be the running time for  $n$  elements (assuming that it is the worst case for all  $k$ ). Let  $n'$  be the size of the recursive call. Then  $T(n) = T(n') + O(n)$  where  $n'$  depends on the rank of the splitter. Taking expectation on both sides, we get

$$E[T(n)] = E[T(n')] + cn$$

where  $n'$  is a random variable that depends on the rank of the splitter which is itself a random variable, say  $r \in_{\mathcal{U}} [1..n]$ , i.e., uniformly distributed. Note that,  $E[T(n')|r = i] \leq E[T(\max\{i, n - i\})]$  from the monotonicity of expected time complexity. Therefore using the law of conditional expectation, we can write

$$E[T(n)] \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[\max\{T(i), T(n-i)\}] + O(n),$$

Denoting  $E[T(n)]$  by  $\bar{T}(n)$ , this can be rewritten as

$$\bar{T}(n) \leq \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \bar{T}(i + n/2) + O(n)$$

Verify that  $\bar{T}(n) = \alpha n$  by induction for an appropriate  $\alpha > 1$ .

## 5 Problem 7

For any given  $n$  and  $k$ , we can calculate  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$  such that  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$  and  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$  are ranks of elements that will induce the required partition. Choose  $m = 2^l$  such that  $m \leq k \leq 2m$ . Now use the median algorithm recursively to find elements  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k$  with ranks  $n/m, 2n/m, \dots, kn/m$ . This will take  $O(nl) = O(n \log m) = O(n \log k)$  comparisons.

We can form the  $m$  partitions by binary search in  $O(n \log m) = O(n \log k)$  time. Given ranks  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$  we know exactly which parts contain the elements. Hence, in an additional  $mO(n/m) = O(n)$  steps we can find the required elements.

## 6 Problem 8

If an element occurs more than  $n/4$  times, then it must have any one or more of the following possible ranks:  $n/4, n/2, 3n/4$ . We can use  $O(n)$  time selection to select the elements and verify their frequencies.

## 7 Problem 9

Let the alphabet size be  $n$ , so we denote the alphabet as  $1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{\sqrt{n}}$  and  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{\sqrt{n}}$  be two randomly chosen sets of  $\sqrt{n}$  numbers from  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . Assume for simplicity that  $n$  is a perfect square.

Then, the strings to be sorted are  $A_n = \{a_i b_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq \sqrt{n}\}$ . Consider radix sort on the family of strings  $\{A_n : n \text{ is a perfect square}\}$ .

Observe that we have  $n$  strings in  $A_n$ .  
LSB radix sort would take time  $O(n + |\Sigma|) = O(n)$  for each of the two passes, and hence it'd take time  $O(n)$ .

MSB radix sort would create  $\sqrt{n}$  buckets (one for each  $a_i$ ) of size  $\sqrt{n}$  each in the first pass. Hence, it'd take time  $\Omega(|\Sigma|)$  in the second pass per bucket. Hence, it'd take time  $\Omega(n\sqrt{n})$  in the second pass, which is asymptotically more than the time for LSB radix sort.

We can generalize this example for  $d$ -length strings, by taking  $n^{\frac{1}{d}}$  numbers instead of  $\sqrt{n}$ . Since we'll have  $n^{\frac{d-1}{d}}$  buckets at the final pass (as the number of buckets will be multiplied by  $\frac{1}{d}$  at each of the  $d$  passes) each containing  $n^{\frac{1}{d}}$  elements, we'll have a time-complexity of  $\Omega(n^{\frac{d-1}{d}} * n) = \Omega(n^{2-\frac{1}{d}})$  ( $\Omega(n)$  for sorting each bucket as before) for MSB radix sort, and  $O(nd)$  for LSB.

## 8 Problem 10

$$\begin{aligned} P_A(n) &= (a_{n-1}x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} + a_{n-2}x^{\frac{n}{2}-2} + \dots + a_{\frac{n}{2}})x^{\frac{n}{2}} + a_{\frac{n}{2}-1}x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} + a_{\frac{n}{2}-2}x^{\frac{n}{2}-2} + \dots + a_0 \\ &= P'_A(x)x^{n/2} + P''_A(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_B(n) &= (b_{n-1}x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} + b_{n-2}x^{\frac{n}{2}-2} + \dots + b_{\frac{n}{2}})x^{\frac{n}{2}} + b_{\frac{n}{2}-1}x^{\frac{n}{2}-1} + b_{\frac{n}{2}-2}x^{\frac{n}{2}-2} + \dots + b_0 \\ &= P'_B(x)x^{n/2} + P''_B(x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_A(n)P_B(n) &= (P'_A(x)x^{n/2} + P''_A(x))(P'_B(x)x^{n/2} + P''_B(x)) \\ &= P'_A(x)P'_B(x)x^n + (P'_A(x)P''_B(x) + P''_A(x)P'_B(x))x^{n/2} + P''_A(x)P''_B(x) \end{aligned}$$

Using the same method as integer multiplication, we can rewrite the 4 product terms as 3 product terms of half the size (i.e. degree  $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ ). This gives a running time of  $O(n \log_2 3)$ . Note that the values of the coefficients are no more than  $n2^{O(\log n)} = 2^{O(\log n)}$ , i.e. you need at most  $O(\log n)$  bits. Hence, all the arithmetic can be done in  $O(1)$  time.