CS187 Lab 2-5: Sequence labeling with recurrent neural networks

September 22, 2023

```
[]: # Please do not change this cell because some hidden tests might depend on it.
     import os
     # Otter grader does not handle ! commands well, so we define and use our
     # own function to execute shell commands.
     def shell(commands, warn=True):
         """Executes the string `commands` as a sequence of shell commands.
            Prints the result to stdout and returns the exit status.
            Provides a printed warning on non-zero exit status unless `warn`
           flag is unset.
         file = os.popen(commands)
         print (file.read().rstrip('\n'))
         exit_status = file.close()
         if warn and exit_status != None:
             print(f"Completed with errors. Exit status: {exit_status}\n")
         return exit_status
     shell("""
     ls requirements.txt >/dev/null 2>&1
     if [ ! $? = 0 ]; then
     rm -rf .tmp
     git clone https://github.com/cs187-2021/lab2-5.git .tmp
     mv .tmp/tests ./
     mv .tmp/requirements.txt ./
     rm -rf .tmp
     fi
     pip install -q -r requirements.txt
```

```
[ ]: # Initialize Otter
import otter
grader = otter.Notebook()
```

In the last lab, you saw how to use hidden Markov models (HMMs) for sequence labeling. In this lab, you will use recurrent neural networks (RNNs) for sequence labeling.

In this lab, we consider the task of automatic punctuation restoration from unpunctuated text, which is useful for post-processing transcribed speech from speech recognition systems (since we don't want users to have to utter all punctuation marks). We can formulate this task as a sequence labeling task, predicting for each word the punctuation that should follow. If there's no punctuation following the word, we use a special tag 0 for "other".

The dataset we use is the Federalist papers, but this time we use text without punctuation as our input, and predict the punctuation following each word. An example constructed from the dataset looks like below, which correponds to the punctuated sentence the powers to make treaties and to send and receive ambassadors , speak their own propriety .

Token	Label
<bos></bos>	О
the	O
powers	Ο
to	Ο
make	Ο
treaties	O
and	O
to	O
send	O
and	O
receive	O
ambassadors	,
speak	O
their	O
own	O
propriety	•

Preparation and setup

```
import copy
import wget
import torch
import torch.nn as nn

import csv
import random

from datasets import load_dataset
from tokenizers import Tokenizer
from tokenizers.pre_tokenizers import WhitespaceSplit
from tokenizers import normalizers
from tokenizers.models import WordLevel
from tokenizers.trainers import WordLevelTrainer
```

```
from transformers import PreTrainedTokenizerFast

from collections import Counter
from tqdm.auto import tqdm

# Fix random seed for replicability
SEED=1234
random.seed(SEED)
torch.manual_seed(SEED)
```

```
[]: ## GPU check
device = torch.device("cuda" if torch.cuda.is_available() else "cpu")
print(device)
```

1 Dataset preparation and exploration

We download the dataset and process it by extracting the text sequences and their corresponding labels, and save it in CSV format.

```
[]: # Prepare to download needed data
     def download_if_needed(source, dest, filename):
         os.makedirs(data_path, exist_ok=True) # ensure destination
         os.path.exists(f"./{dest}{filename}") or wget.download(source + filename,__
     →out=dest)
     source_path = "https://raw.githubusercontent.com/nlp-course/data/master/
     →Federalist/"
     data_path = "data/"
     # Download the files
     for filename in ["federalist_tag.train.txt",
                      "federalist_tag.dev.txt",
                      "federalist tag.test.txt"
         download_if_needed(source_path, data_path, filename)
     # Read in the dataset, extracting the token sequences and the
     # corresponding tag sequences and generate a CSV file of the
     # processed data
     for split in ['train', 'dev', 'test']:
         in_file = f'data/federalist_tag.{split}.txt'
         out_file = f'data/federalist_tag.{split}.csv'
         with open(in_file, 'r') as f_in:
             with open(out_file, 'w') as f_out:
                 text, tag = [], []
```

```
writer = csv.writer(f_out)
writer.writerow(('text','tag'))
for line in f_in:
    if line.strip() == '':
        writer.writerow((' '.join(text), ' '.join(tag)))
        text, tag = [], []
else:
        token, label = line.split('\t')
        text.append(token)
        tag.append(label.strip())
```

Let's take a look at what each data file looks like.

```
[]: shell('head "data/federalist_tag.train.csv"')
```

We'll use the HuggingFace datasets package to further prepare the data.

```
[]: # Split out the training, validation, and test sets
    train_data = federalist_dataset['train']
    val_data = federalist_dataset['val']
    test_data = federalist_dataset['test']
```

We build a tokenizer from the training data to tokenize text and convert tokens into word ids.

We use datasets.Dataset.map to convert text into word ids. As shown in lab 1-5, first we need to wrap text_tokenizer with the transformers.PreTrainedTokenizerFast class to be compatible with the datasets library.

[]: # An example from the training dataset, showing the string of tokens,
the string of tags, and the list of token ids. The token type ids
and attention mask can be ignored for the time being.
train_data[0]

We also need to convert the string of tags into a list of tag ids.

[]: # An example from the training dataset, showing the string of tokens, # the string of tags, and the list of token ids. The token type ids # and attention mask can be ignored for the time being.
train_data[0]

You can see from above that the most common punctuation is comma, on which we will evaluate precision, recall, and F-1 scores later.

We mapped words that are not among the most frequent words (specified by MAX_VOCAB_SIZE) to a special unknown token:

To facilitate batching sentences of different lengths into the same tensor we also reserved a special padding symbol [PAD] for both text_vocab and tag_vocab.

```
[]: print (f"Padding token: {pad_token}")
  text_pad_index = text_vocab[pad_token]
  print (f"Padding text_vocab token id: {text_pad_index}")
  tag_pad_index = tag_vocab[pad_token]
  print (f"Padding tag_vocab token id: {tag_pad_index}")
```

To load data in batched tensors, we use torch.utils.data.DataLoader for data splits, which enables us to iterate over the dataset under a given BATCH_SIZE, which is set to be 1 throughout this lab. We still batch the data because other torch functions expect data to be batched.

```
[]: # We use batch size 1 for simplicity
BATCH_SIZE = 1

# collate(examples) -- Combines a list of examples into a single batch
def collate_fn(examples):
    batch = {}
```

```
bsz = len(examples)
    input_ids, tag_ids = [], []
    for example in examples:
        input_ids.append(example['input_ids'])
        tag_ids.append(example['tag_ids'])
    max_length = max([len(word_ids) for word_ids in input_ids])
    tag_batch = torch.zeros(bsz, max_length).long().fill_(tag_vocab[pad_token]).
 →to(device)
    text_batch = torch.zeros(bsz, max_length).long().
 →fill_(text_vocab[pad_token]).to(device)
    for b in range(bsz):
        text_batch[b][:len(input_ids[b])] = torch.LongTensor(input_ids[b]).
 →to(device)
        tag_batch[b][:len(tag_ids[b])] = torch.LongTensor(tag_ids[b]).to(device)
    batch['tag_ids'] = tag_batch
    batch['input_ids'] = text_batch
    return batch
train_iter = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(train_data,
                                         batch size=BATCH SIZE,
                                         shuffle=True,
                                         collate fn=collate fn)
val_iter = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(val_data,
                                       batch size=BATCH SIZE,
                                       shuffle=False,
                                       collate_fn=collate_fn)
test_iter = torch.utils.data.DataLoader(test_data,
                                        batch_size=BATCH_SIZE,
                                        shuffle=False,
                                        collate_fn=collate_fn)
```

Let's take a look at the dataset. Recall from project 1 that there are two different ways of iterating over the dataset, one by iterating over individual examples, the other by iterating over batches of examples.

```
[]: # Iterating over individual examples:

# Note that the words are the original words, so you'd need to manually

# replace them with `[UNK]` if not in the vocabulary.

example = train_data[1]

text = example['text'].split() # a sequence of unpunctuated words

tags = example['tag'].split() # a sequence of tags indicating the proper_

→ punctuation

print (f'{"TYPE":15}: {"TAG"}')

for word, tag in zip(text, tags):
```

```
print (f'{word:15}: {tag}')
```

Alternatively, we can produce the data a batch at a time, as in the example below. Note the "shape" of a batch; it's a two-dimensional tensor of size batch_size x max_length. (In this case, batch_size is 1.) Thus, to extract a sentence from a batch, we need to index by the *first* dimension.

```
[]: # Iterating over batches of examples:
    # Note that the collat_fn returns input_ids and tag_ids only, so you
    # need to manually convert them back to strings.
    # Unknown words have been mapped to unknown word ids
    batch = next(iter(train_iter))
    text_ids = batch['input_ids']
    example_text = text_ids[0]
    print (f"Size of first text batch: {text_ids.size()}")
    print (f"First sentence in batch: {example_text}")
    print (f"Mapped back to string: {hf_text_tokenizer.decode(example_text)}")
    print ('-'*20)
    tag_ids = batch['tag_ids']
    example_tags = tag_ids[0]
    print (f"Size of tag batch: {tag_ids.size()}")
    print (f"First sentence in batch: {example tags}")
    print (f"Mapped back to string: {hf_tag_tokenizer.decode(example_tags,_u
```

Given the tokenized tags of an unpunctuated sequence of words, we can easily restore the punctuation:

```
[]: def restore_punctuation(word_ids, tag_ids):
    words = hf_text_tokenizer.convert_ids_to_tokens(word_ids)
    tags = hf_tag_tokenizer.convert_ids_to_tokens(tag_ids)
    words_with_punc = []
    for word, tag in zip(words, tags):
        words_with_punc.append(word)
        if tag != '0':
            words_with_punc.append(tag)
        return ' '.join(words_with_punc)
```

```
[]: print(restore_punctuation(example['input_ids'], example['tag_ids']))
```

2 Majority Labeling

Recall from our previous lab that a naive baseline is choosing the majority label for each word in the sequence, where the majority label depends on the word. We've provided an implementation of this baseline for you. The performance of this model should give you a sense of how difficult the punctuation restoration task is.

```
[]: class MajorityTagger():
       def __init__(self):
         """Initializer.
         self.most_common_label_given_word = {}
       def train_all(self, train_iter):
         """Finds the majority label for each word in the training set.
         train_counts_given_word = {}
         for batch in train iter:
           for example_input_ids, example_tag_ids in zip(batch['input_ids'],_
      →batch['tag_ids']):
             for word_id, tag_id in zip(example_input_ids, example_tag_ids):
               if word_id not in train_counts_given_word:
                 train_counts_given_word[word_id.item()] = Counter([])
               train_counts_given_word[word_id.item()].update([tag_id.item()])
         for word_id in train_counts_given_word:
           # Find the most common
           most_common_label = train_counts_given_word[word_id].most_common(1)[0][0]
           self.most_common_label_given_word[word_id] = most_common_label
       def predict all(self, test iter):
         """Predicts labels for each example in test_iter.
            Returns a list of list of strings. The order should be the same as
            in `test_iter.dataset` (or equivalently `test_iter`).
         11 11 11
         predictions = []
         for batch in test_iter:
           batch_predictions = []
           for example_input_ids in batch['input_ids']:
             example_tag_ids_pred = []
             for word_id in example_input_ids:
               tag_id_pred = self.most_common_label_given_word[word_id.item()]
               example_tag_ids_pred.append(tag_id_pred)
             batch_predictions.append(example_tag_ids_pred)
           predictions.append(batch predictions)
         return predictions # batch list -> example list -> tag list
       def evaluate(self, test iter):
         """Evaluates the overall accuracy, and the precision and recall of comma.
         correct = 0
```

```
total = 0
  true_positive_comma = 0
  predicted_positive_comma = 0
  total_positive_comma = 0
  comma_id = tag_vocab[',']
  # Get predictions
  predictions = self.predict_all(test_iter)
  assert len(predictions) == len(test_iter)
  for batch_tag_pred, batch in zip(predictions, test_iter):
     for tag_ids_pred, example_tag_ids in zip(batch_tag_pred,_
→batch['tag ids']):
      assert len(tag_ids_pred) == len(example_tag_ids)
      for tag_id_pred, tag_id in zip(tag_ids_pred, example_tag_ids):
        tag_id = tag_id.item()
        total += 1
        if tag_id_pred == tag_id:
           correct += 1
         if tag_id_pred == comma_id:
           predicted positive comma += 1 # predicted positive
         if tag id == comma id:
           total_positive_comma += 1
                                       # gold label positive
         if tag_id_pred == comma_id and tag_id == comma_id:
           true_positive_comma += 1  # true positive
  precision_comma = true_positive_comma / predicted_positive_comma
  recall_comma = true_positive_comma / total_positive_comma
  F1_comma = 2. / (1./precision_comma + 1./recall_comma)
  return correct/total, precision_comma, recall_comma, F1_comma
```

Now, we can train our baseline on training data.

```
[ ]: maj_tagger = MajorityTagger()
maj_tagger.train_all(train_iter)
```

Let's take a look at an example prediction using this simple baseline.

```
[]: # Get all predictions
predictions = maj_tagger.predict_all(test_iter)

# Pick one example
example_id = 2 # the third example
example = test_data[example_id]
prediction = predictions[example_id][0]

print('Ground truth punctuation:')
print(restore_punctuation(example['input_ids'], example['tag_ids']), '\n')
```

```
print('Predicted punctuation:')
print(restore_punctuation(example['input_ids'], prediction))
```

This baseline model clearly grossly underpunctuates. It predicts the tag to be O almost all of the time.

We can quantitatively evaluate the performance of the majority labeling tagger, which establishes a baseline that any reasonable model should outperform.

```
[]: accuracy, precision_comma, recall_comma, F1_comma = maj_tagger.

→evaluate(test_iter)

print (f"Overall Accuracy: {accuracy: .4f}. \n"

f"Comma: Precision: {precision_comma: .4f}. Recall: {recall_comma: .4f}...

→F1: {F1_comma: .4f}")
```

Question: You can see that even though the overall accuracy is pretty high, the F-1 score for commas is very low. Why?

Type your answer here, replacing this text.

3 RNN Sequence Tagging

Now we get to the real point, using an RNN model for sequence tagging. We provide a base class RNNBaseTagger below, which implements training and evaluation. Throughout the rest of this lab, you will implement three subclasses of this class, using PyTorch functions at different abstraction levels.

```
[]: class RNNBaseTagger(nn.Module):
         def __init__(self):
             super().__init__()
             self.N = ... # tag vocab size provided by subclass
                           # text vocab size provided by subclass
             self.Vo = ...
         def init_parameters(self, init_low=-0.15, init_high=0.15):
             """Initialize the parameters of the model. Initial parameter values are
             chosen from a uniform distribution between a lowand a high limit. We
             usually use larger initial values for smaller models. See
             http://proceedings.mlr.press/v9/glorot10a/glorot10a.pdf for a more
             in-depth discussion.
             for p in self.parameters():
                 p.data.uniform_(init_low, init_high)
         def forward(self, text_batch):
             """Performs forward computation, returns logits.
             Arguments:
               text_batch: a tensor containing word ids of size (bsz=1, seq_len)
```

```
Returns:
      logits: a tensor of size (1, seg_len, self.N)
   raise NotImplementedError # You'll implement this in the subclasses.
def compute_loss(self, logits, tags):
    return self.loss_function(logits.view(-1, self.N), tags.view(-1))
def train_all(self, train_iter, val_iter, epochs=5, learning_rate=1e-3):
    # Switch the module to training mode
    self.train()
    # Use Adam to optimize the parameters
    optim = torch.optim.Adam(self.parameters(), lr=learning_rate)
   best_validation_accuracy = -float("inf")
   best model = None
    # Run the optimization for multiple epochs
    for epoch in range(epochs):
        total = 0
        running_loss = 0.0
        for batch in tqdm(train_iter):
            # Zero the parameter gradients
            self.zero_grad()
            # Input and target
            words = batch["input_ids"] # 1, seq_len
            tags = batch["tag_ids"]
                                    # 1, seg len
            # Run forward pass and compute loss along the way.
            logits = self.forward(words)
            loss = self.compute_loss(logits, tags)
            # Perform backpropagation
            (loss / words.size(1)).backward()
            # Update parameters
            optim.step()
            # Training stats
            total += 1
            running_loss += loss.item()
        # Evaluate and track improvements on the validation dataset
        validation_accuracy, _, _, = self.evaluate(val_iter)
        if validation_accuracy > best_validation_accuracy:
            best_validation_accuracy = validation_accuracy
            self.best_model = copy.deepcopy(self.state_dict())
        epoch_loss = running_loss / total
```

```
print(
               f"Epoch: {epoch} Loss: {epoch_loss:.4f} "
               f"Validation accuracy: {validation_accuracy:.4f}"
  def predict(self, text_batch):
       """Returns the most likely sequence of tags for a sequence of words in_{\sqcup}
\rightarrow `text_batch`.
       Arguments:
         text_batch: a tensor containing word ids of size (1, seq_len)
       Returns:
         tag_batch: a tensor containing tag ids of size (1, seg_len)
       raise NotImplementedError # You'll implement this in the subclasses.
  def evaluate(self, iterator):
       """Returns the model's performance on a given dataset `iterator`.
       Arguments:
         iterator
       Returns:
         overall accuracy, and precision, recall, and F1 for comma
       correct = 0
       total = 0
       true_positive_comma = 0
       predicted_positive_comma = 0
       total_positive_comma = 0
       comma_id = tag_vocab[',']
       pad_id = tag_vocab[pad_token]
       for batch in tqdm(iterator):
           words = batch['input_ids']
                                           # 1, seq_len
           tags = batch['tag ids']
                                            # 1, seg len
           tags_pred = self.predict(words) # 1, seq_len
           mask = tags.ne(pad_id)
           cor = (tags == tags_pred)[mask]
           correct += cor.float().sum().item()
           total += mask.float().sum().item()
           predicted_positive_comma += (
               (mask * tags_pred.eq(comma_id)).float().sum().item()
           )
           true_positive_comma += (
               (mask * tags.eq(comma_id) * tags_pred.eq(comma_id)).float().
→sum().item()
           )
```

```
total_positive_comma += (mask * tags.eq(comma_id)).float().sum().

→item()

precision_comma = true_positive_comma / predicted_positive_comma
recall_comma = true_positive_comma / total_positive_comma
F1_comma = 2.0 / (1.0 / precision_comma + 1.0 / recall_comma)
return correct / total, precision_comma, recall_comma, F1_comma
```

3.1 RNN from scratch

In this part of the lab, you will implement the forward pass of an RNN from scratch. You should implement the forward function from scratch and *not* use nn.RNN. We'll make use of this convenient PyTorch module in the next part.

Recall that

$$h_0 = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$h_t = \sigma(\mathbf{U}x_t + \mathbf{V}h_{t-1} + b_h) \tag{2}$$

$$o_t = \mathbf{W}h_t + b_o \tag{3}$$

where we embed each word and use its embedding as x_t , and we use o_t as the output logits. (Again, the final softmax has been absorbed into the loss function so you don't need to implement that.) Note that we added bias vectors b_h and b_o in this lab since we are training very small models. (In large models, having a bias vector matters a lot less.)

You will need to implement both the forward function and the predict function.

Hint: You might find torch.stack useful for stacking a list of tensors to form a single tensor. You can also use torch.mv or @ for matrix-vector multiplication, torch.mm or @ for matrix-matrix multiplication.

Warning: Training this and later models takes a little while, likely around three minutes for the full set of epochs. You might want to set the number of epochs to a small number (1?) until your code is running well. You should also feel free to move ahead to the next parts while earlier parts are running.

```
self.word embeddings = nn.Embedding(self.Vo, embedding size) # Lookup layer
   self.U = nn.Parameter(torch.Tensor(hidden_size, embedding_size))
   self.V = nn.Parameter(torch.Tensor(hidden_size, hidden_size))
   self.b_h = nn.Parameter(torch.Tensor(hidden_size))
   self.sigma = nn.Tanh() # Nonlinear Layer
   self.W = nn.Parameter(torch.Tensor(self.N, hidden_size))
   self.b_o = nn.Parameter(torch.Tensor(self.N))
   # Create loss function
   pad_id = self.tag_tokenizer.pad_token_id
   self.loss_function = nn.CrossEntropyLoss(reduction='sum',__
→ignore_index=pad_id)
   # Initialize parameters
   self.init_parameters()
 def forward(self, text batch):
   """Performs forward, returns logits.
   Arguments:
     text batch: a tensor containing word ids of size (1, seg len)
   Returns:
     logits: a tensor of size (1, seq_len, self.N)
   h0 = torch.zeros(self.hidden_size, device=device)
   word_embeddings = self.word_embeddings(text_batch) # 1, seq_len,__
\rightarrow embedding_size
   seq_len = word_embeddings.size(1)
   #TODO: your code below
   logits = ...
   return logits
 def predict(self, text_batch):
   """Returns the most likely sequence of tags for a sequence of words in
\hookrightarrow `text_batch`.
   Arguments:
     text batch: a tensor containing word ids of size (1, seg len)
     tag batch: a tensor containing tag ids of size (1, seg len)
   #TODO: your code below
   tag_batch = ...
   return tag_batch
```

```
[]: grader.check("rnn1")
```

Did your model outperform the baseline? Don't be surprised if it doesn't: the model is very small and the dataset is small as well.

3.2 RNN forward using nn.RNN and explicit loop through time steps

In this part, you will use nn.RNN and nn.Linear to implement the forward pass:

$$h_0 = 0 (4)$$

$$h_t = \text{nn.RNN}(x_t, h_{t-1}) \tag{5}$$

$$o_t = \text{nn.Linear}(h_t)$$
 (6)

You will need to implement both the forward function and the predict function. You'll use the nn.RNN function to implement each time step of the RNN, with an explicit for loop to step through the time steps. (In the next part, you'll use a single call to nn.RNN to handle the entire process!) For the linear projection from RNN outputs to logits, use self.hidden2output.

Hint: you can reuse your **predict** implementation from before if you wrote it in a general way.

```
class RNNTagger2(RNNBaseTagger):
    def __init__(self, text_tokenizer, tag_tokenizer, embedding_size,__
    hidden_size):
        super().__init__()
        self.text_tokenizer = text_tokenizer
        self.tag_tokenizer = tag_tokenizer

        self.N = len(self.tag_tokenizer)  # tag vocab size
        self.Vo = len(self.text_tokenizer)  # text vocab size
```

```
self.embedding_size = embedding_size
   self.hidden_size = hidden_size
   # Create essential modules
   self.word_embeddings = nn.Embedding(self.Vo, embedding_size) # Lookup layer
   self.rnn = nn.RNN(input_size=embedding_size, hidden_size=hidden_size,_
→batch first=True)
   self.hidden2output = nn.Linear(hidden_size, self.N)
   # Create loss function
   pad_id = self.tag_tokenizer.pad_token_id
   self.loss_function = nn.CrossEntropyLoss(reduction='sum',__
→ignore_index=pad_id)
   # Initialize parameters
   self.init_parameters()
 def forward(self, text_batch):
   """Performs forward, returns logits.
   Arguments:
     text_batch: a tensor containing word ids of size (1, seq_len)
   Returns:
     logits: a tensor of size (1, seq_len, self.N)
   # h0 is of shape (num_layers * num_directions, batch, hidden_size),
   # which is (1, 1, hidden size)
   h0 = torch.zeros(1, 1, self.hidden_size, device=device)
   #TODO: your code below, using an *explicit for-loop*
   logits = ...
   return logits
 def predict(self, text_batch):
   """Returns the most likely sequence of tags for a sequence of words in \Box
\hookrightarrow `text_batch`.
   Arguments:
     text_batch: a tensor containing word ids of size (1, seq_len)
     tag_batch: a tensor containing tag ids of size (1, seq_len)
   #TODO: your code below
   tag_batch = ...
   return tag_batch
```

```
[]: # Instantiate and train classifier
     rnn_tagger2 = RNNTagger2(hf_text_tokenizer,
                               hf_tag_tokenizer,
                               embedding_size=32,
                               hidden_size=32).to(device)
     rnn_tagger2.train_all(train_iter,
                            val_iter,
                            epochs=5,
                            learning rate=1e-3)
     rnn_tagger2.load_state_dict(rnn_tagger2.best_model)
     # Evaluate model performance
     train_accuracy2, train_p2, train_r2, train_f2 = rnn_tagger2.evaluate(train_iter)
     test_accuracy2, test_p2, test_r2, test_f2 = rnn_tagger2.evaluate(test_iter)
     print(f'\nTraining accuracy: {train_accuracy2:.3f}, precision: {train_p2:.3f},_u
      \negrecall: {train_r2:.3f}, F-1: {train_f2:.3f}\n'
           f'Test accuracy: {test_accuracy2:.3f}, precision: {test_p2:.3f}, recall:_
      \rightarrow{test_r2:.3f}, F-1: {test_f2:.3f}')
```

3.3 RNN forward using bidirectional nn.RNN

[]: grader.check("rnn2")

Instead of using a for loop, we can directly feed the entire sequence to nn.RNN:

$$h_0 = 0 (7)$$

$$H = \text{nn.RNN}(X, h_0) \tag{8}$$

$$O = \operatorname{nn.Linear}(H) \tag{9}$$

where X is the concatenation of x_1, \dots, x_T , H is the concatenation of h_1, \dots, h_T , and O is the concatenation of o_1, \dots, o_T .

By using this formulation, our code becomes more efficient, since nn.RNN is highly optimized. Besides, we can use bi-directional RNNs by simply passing bidirectional=True to the RNN constructor.

The difference between a bidirectional RNN and a unidirectional RNN is that bidirectional RNNs have an additional RNN cell running in the reverse direction:

$$h'_{T+1} = 0 (10)$$

$$h'_{T+1} = 0$$

$$h'_{t} = \sigma(\mathbf{U}'x'_{t} + \mathbf{V}'h'_{t+1} + b'_{h})$$
(11)

(12)

To get the output at step t, a bidirectional RNN simply concatenates h_t and h'_t and projects to produce outputs. The benefit of a bidirectional RNN is that the output at step t takes into account not only words x_1, \dots, x_t , but also x_{t+1}, \dots, x_T .

Implement forward and predict functions below, using a bidirectional RNN.

```
[]: class RNNTagger3(RNNBaseTagger):
         def __init__(
             self, text_tokenizer, tag_tokenizer, embedding_size, hidden_size
         ):
             super().__init__()
             self.text_tokenizer = text_tokenizer
             self.tag_tokenizer = tag_tokenizer
             self.N = len(self.tag_tokenizer) # tag vocab size
             self.Vo = len(self.text_tokenizer) # text vocab size
             self.embedding_size = embedding_size
             self.hidden_size = hidden_size
             # Create essential modules
             self.word_embeddings = nn.Embedding(self.Vo, embedding_size) # Lookup_
      \hookrightarrow layer
             self.rnn = nn.RNN(
                 input_size=embedding_size,
                 hidden_size=hidden_size,
                 batch_first=True,
                 bidirectional=True,
             )
             self.hidden2output = nn.Linear(
                 hidden_size * 2, self.N
             ) # *2 due to using bi-rnn
             # Create loss function
             pad_id = self.tag_tokenizer.pad_token_id
             self.loss_function = nn.CrossEntropyLoss(reduction="sum",__
      →ignore_index=pad_id)
             # Initialize parameters
             self.init_parameters()
         def forward(self, text_batch):
             """Performs forward, returns logits.
             Arguments:
               text_batch: a tensor containing word ids of size (1, seq_len)
             Returns:
               logits: a tensor of size (1, seq_len, self.N)
             hidden = None # equivalent to setting hidden to a zero vector
             # TODO: your code below, without using any for-loops
             logits = ...
```

```
return logits

def predict(self, text_batch):
    """Returns the most likely sequence of tags for a sequence of words in
    `text_batch`.

Arguments:
    text_batch: a tensor containing word ids of size (1, seq_len)
    Returns:
    tag_batch: a tensor containing tag ids of size (1, seq_len)
    """

# TODO: your code below
tag_batch = ...
return tag_batch
```

```
[]: grader.check("birnn")
```

Let's see what our model predicts for the example we used before.

```
[]: # Pick one example
    example_id = 2 # the third example
    example = test_data[example_id]

# Process strings to word ids
    text_tensor = torch.LongTensor([example['input_ids']]).to(device)

# Predict
    prediction_tensor = rnn_tagger3.predict(text_tensor)[0]

print ('Ground truth punctuation:')
    print(restore_punctuation(example['input_ids'], example['tag_ids']))
```

```
print ('Predicted punctuation:')
print(restore_punctuation(example['input_ids'], prediction_tensor))
```

Question: Did your bidirectional RNN reach a higher F-1 score than unidirectional RNNs? Why? Type your answer here, replacing this text.

4 Lab debrief

Question: We're interested in any thoughts you have about this lab so that we can improve this lab for later years, and to inform later labs for this year. Please list any issues that arose or comments you have to improve the lab. Useful things to comment on include the following, but you're not restricted to these:

- Was the lab too long or too short?
- Were the readings appropriate for the lab?
- Was it clear (at least after you completed the lab) what the points of the exercises were?
- Are there additions or changes you think would make the lab better?

Type your answer here, replacing this text.

End of lab 2-5

To double-check your work, the cell below will rerun all of the autograder tests.

To double-check your work, the cen below win retuin an of the autograder tests.

[]: grader.check_all()