Opinions of UP Diliman Students on Technology Copyrights and Patents

ABBY CAÑEDO, CARISSE DACUBA, VINCENT FIESTADA, AREL LATOGA, and TROI LOBATON, University of the Philippines Diliman

In this paper, the authors take a look at the popular opinion of Science and Engineering majors in the University of the Philippines Diliman Students regarding issues around technological copyrights and patents. In particular, this paper examines their opinions on the extent of influence that a particular piece of design or feature has on a whole product. This is done in the context of the Samsung vs. Apple and Oracle vs. Google trials over the infringement of intellectual property rights.

Categories and Subject Descriptors: Ethical and Professional Issues [Technology and Computing]: Popular views and opinions—Surveys and Analyses

General Terms: Patents and Copyrights

Additional Key Words and Phrases: Ethics, patents, copyrights, technology, computing

ACM Reference Format:

Cañedo, Dacuba, Fiestada, Latoga, and Lobaton. 2016. Opinions of UP Diliman students on technology copyrights and patents. CS 196 Surv. 1, 1, Article 2 (September 2016), 3 pages.

1. INTRODUCTION

Technological patents and copyrights pose an important issue for software developers, hardware manufacturers, designers, and other stakeholders in the technology industry and those communities concerned about technological proliferation. These issues raise questions about how much control an entity should have over its creation, what protections should be afforded that entity, and how those protections should be balanced to still allow continued innovation in the field. Furthermore, how much influence does a particular piece of design or technology have over a product?

1.1 Intellectual Property Rights

Intellectual property (IP) such as art, designs, and inventions are identified as non-physical works that are the "product of original thought". Rights can be granted to the owners of IP. These rights cover the control of the expressions of IP (such as physical expressions in the form of manufactured products, or digital copies of a work of art or piece of technology). Intellectual property rights protects the interests of the creator by giving them control over the production and distribution of expressions of their work. [Moore and Himma 2014] Protections can be granted by law in the form trademarks, trade secrets, patents, and copyrights. This paper is concerned with the latter two.

A patent is a set of rights given to the owner of an invention that prevents others from making, using, importing, or selling the invention without the owner's permission. To be patented, an invention must be "new, an improvement over current processes or technologies, and must have practical industrial application". [Choo 2015]

©Copyright 2016 Cañedo, Dacuba, Fiestada, Latoga, and Lobaton.

This survey and report was carried out as a part of the UP Diliman CS 196 course on Ethical and Professional Issues in Computing for A.Y. 2016-2017 1st Semester. For more information about this survey, please go to https://cs196copyrights.github.io/survey, or contact the repository maintainer at vffiestada@up.edu.ph

In general, patents expire (currently, the expire after 15 years in the US), but since the allegations discussed here fall within the time frame, a description of patent time limits can be safely ommitted. Most countries grant patents to several types of intellectual property. This paper is generally concerned with design and utility patents.

In the United States, where the Apple patents were filed, a design patent can be applied for the ornamental or aesthetic design of a product, including its physical properties and appearance. Patents last for 15 years in the US and allows its holder to disallow the unauthorized use, marketing, or selling of a design. [USPTO 2016]

A utility patent is one granted to a useful and novel, or previously unknown, process, machine, manufactured product, or composition of matter. These include the patent granted for Samsung's wireless technologies. [USPTO 2016]

A copyright constitutes a different set of rights granted to an intellectual property owner. They are the legal rights given to the creator of works such as writings, paintings, photographs, and computer programs—i.e. expressions of ideas. Copyright prevents others from reproducing, distributing, creating derivatives from, and displaying the work in public. Another important distinction between copyright and patents is that while patents have to be applied for, copyright automatically applies to all copyrightable material. [Today]

1.2 Apple vs. Samsung

Apple vs. Samsung is a series of patent infringement lawsuits filed by Apple Computer, Inc. and Samsung against each other. As of the time of this writing, some cases are still pending in several courts. Apple has several design patents, including patents for the iPhone's shape, the colors of the graphical user interface of iOS, and the shape and design of the iPad.



Fig. 1. From the left: a) A front and side profile of Apple's iPhone according to the US patent grant for its design; b) A screenshot of the home screen of the iPhone showing the patented user interface; c) A front and side profile with the home screen of the Samsung Galaxy i9000, claimed by Apple to be an infringement of its design patents.

CS 196: Surveys on Ethical and Professional Issues in Computing, Vol. 1, No. 1, Article 2, Publication date: September 2016.

On April 15, 2011, Apple sued Samsung in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, claiming that Samsung infringed on Apple's design patents. A few days later, on April 22, Samsung counter-sued Apple in the federal courts of Seoul, South Korea; Tokyo, Japan; and Mannheim, Germany, claiming that Apple infringed upon its own patents on wireless technology.

Apple cited the similarities between its own iPhone and iPad products and the Samsung Galaxy line of smartphones and tablets. In particular, Apple claimed infringement of USD593087 (for the "home button, rounded corners, and tapered edges" of the iPhone); [Andre et al. 2009]

2. METHODOLOGY

REFERENCES

B.K. Andre, D.J. Coster, D. De Iuliis, R.P. Howarth, J.P. Ive, S. Jobs, D.R. Kerr, S. Nishibori, M.D. Rohrbach, D.B. Satzger, and others. 2009. Electronic device. (May 26 2009). http://www.google.com/patents/USD593087 US Patent D593,087.

Adam Moore and Ken Himma. 2014. Intellectual Property. In *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (winter 2014 ed.), Edward N. Zalta (Ed.).

Plagiarism Today. What is a copyright? (????). https://www.plagiarismtoday.com/stopping-internet-plagiarism/your-copyrights-online/1-what-is-a-copyright/ Accessed August 2016.

USPTO. 2016. Types of patents. (March 2016). https://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/ac/ido/oeip/taf/patdesc.htm