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**1. Introduction**

**1.1 Problem statement and research motivation**

Income inequality is a persistent global issue, with disparities often linked to educational attainment. While education is widely recognized as a key driver of earning potential, the specific impact of different education levels on income proportions remains underexplored. Previous studies have shown that education significantly affects income levels, highlighting the need for further investigation (Chakrabarty, 2018), This study investigates the relationship between education and income among adults in the USA to uncover patterns that can inform policies aimed at reducing inequality. Understanding how education levels influence income distribution can provide valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and organizations, enabling targeted interventions to promote economic mobility and address disparities in wealth distribution more effectively.

**1.2 The data set**

The dataset, titled *adult income1.csv*, contains 31,948 entries and 12 columns. It captures demographic and employment-related attributes, including age, workclass, education level, marital status, occupation, and income classification (≤50K or >50K). The independent variable is education.num (ordinal), while the dependent variable is income (nominal). This dataset offers a comprehensive view of factors influencing income, making it ideal for analysing relationships between education levels and income proportions. Its richness enables robust statistical analysis to address the research question effectively.

**1.3 Research question**

**Is there a difference in the proportions of income across different levels of education among adults in the USA?**

To answer this, a chi-square test will be conducted to examine the relationship between education levels and income proportions, using statistical analysis to identify significant patterns and differences.

**1.4 Null hypothesis and alternative hypothesis (H0/H1)**

**Null Hypothesis (H₀):** There is no significant difference in the proportions of income across different levels of education among adults in the USA. In other words, education levels do not influence the likelihood of an individual's income falling into the ≤50K or >50K categories.

**Alternative Hypothesis (H₁):** There is a significant difference in the proportions of income across different levels of education among adults in the USA. This implies that education levels are a determining factor in income classification, with variations in income proportions observed between individuals with different educational attainment levels