

8 XML and multiple representations

*It all looks
different now...*

Heavens - you've really
changed, Dorothy.



You can't please everyone all of the time. Or can you?

So far we've looked at how you can use Rails to quickly and easily develop web apps that **perfectly fit one set of requirements**. But what do you do when **other requirements come along**? What should you do if some people want **basic web pages**, others want a **Google mashup**, and yet more want your app available as an **RSS feed**? In this chapter you'll create **multiple representations** of the same basic data, giving you the **maximum flexibility** with **minimum effort**.

Climbing all over the world

Head First Climbers is a web site for mountaineers all over the world. Climbers report back from expeditions to record the locations and times of mountains they have climbed, and also to report dangerous features they've discovered, like rock slides and avalanches.

The information is obviously very important for the safety of other climbers, and many climbers use mobile phones and GPS receivers to read and record information straight from the rock face. Used in the right way, the system will save lives and yet—somehow—the web site's not getting a lot of traffic.



So why isn't it popular?

The application is very basic. It's simply a scaffolded version of this data structure:

Incident	
mountain	<i>string</i>
latitude	<i>decimal</i>
longitude	<i>decimal</i>
when	<i>datetime</i>
title	<i>string</i>
description	<i>text</i>

id	mountain	latitude	longitude	when	title	description
1	Mount Rushless	63.04348055...	-150.993963...	2009-11-21 11:...	Rock slide	Rubble on the ...
2	Mount Rushless	63.07805277...	-150.977869...	2009-11-21 17:...	Hidden crev...	Ice layer cove...
3	Mount Lotopaxo	-0.683975	-78.4365055...	2009-06-07 12:...	Ascent	Living only on...
4	High Kanuklima	11.123925	72.72135833...	2009-05-12 18:...	Altitude si...	Overcome by th...

As you've noticed by now, scaffolding is a great way to *start* an application, but you'll almost always need to modify the code to change the generic scaffolding code into something that's more appropriate for the problems your users are trying to solve.

So what needs to change about this application?

Do this!

Create a scaffolded application that matches this data structure.


The users hate the interface!

It doesn't take too long to find out why the web site isn't popular: **the user interface.**

The system is used to manage **spatial** data—it records incidents that happen at particular places and times around the world. The location information is recorded using two numbers:

- The **latitude**. This is how far North or South the location is.
- The **longitude**. This is a measure of how far West or East a location is.

The users can record their data OK: they just read the latitude and longitude from GPS receivers. But they have a lot of trouble *reading* and *interpreting* the information from other climbers.



So people can add data to the application, but they can't understand the data they get from it. That's cutting the number of visitors, and the fewer visitors there are the less information is getting added... which causes even less people to use the app. It's a real downward spiral.

Something needs to be done or the web site will lose so much business it has to close down.




Think about the data that the application needs to display. How would **you** display the information? What would be the best format to make the information easily comprehensible for the climbers who need it?

The data needs to be on a map


The system records geographic data and it should be displayed on a map.

The correct data is being stored, and the basic functions (create, read, update, and delete) are all available. The problem is **presentation**. The location is *stored* as two numbers—the latitude and longitude—but that doesn't mean it has to be *displayed* that way.

Instead of seeing this...



...climbers need to see something like this:



Now this is obviously going to be a pretty big change to the interface, so the web site guys have decided that rather than change the whole application, they are going to run a small pilot project to create a version of the page that displays an incident and get it to display a map. But they have no idea what to do, and need your help.

What's the first thing YOU would do?

We need to create a new action

We don't want to *change* the existing code—we only want to *add* to it. Until we are sure that the new interface works, we don't want to upset any of the existing users. After all, there aren't that many left...

So we'll add a new action called `show_with_map`. At the moment, someone can see one of the incidents using a URL like this:

```
http://localhost:3000/incidents/1
```

We'll create a new version of the page at:

```
http://localhost:3000/incidents/map/1
```

This way, the pilot users only need to add `/map` to get the new version of the page. We'll use this for the route:

```
map.connect 'incidents/map/:id', :action=>'show_with_map', :controller=>'incidents'
```

Remember to add this as the first route in your config/routes.rb file.



We can create the page template by copying the `app/views/incidents/show.html.erb` file. What will the new file be called?

`app/views/incidents/show_with_map.html.erb`

The incidents controller will need a new method to read the appropriate `Incident` model object and store it in an instance variable called `@incident`. Write the new method below:

```
def show_with_map
  @incident=Incidentfind(params[:id])
end
```



We can create the page template by copying the `app/views/incidents/show.html.erb` file. What will the new file be called?

`app/views/incidents/show_with_map.html.erb`

The incidents controller will need a new method to read the appropriate `Incident` model object and store it in an instance variable called `@incident`. Write the new method below:

```
..... show_with_map is the → def show_with_map
..... name of the action.      @incident = Incident.find(params[:id]) ← This will be the id
.....                               number from the URL.
.....                          end
```


The new action seems to work...

If you now look at the two versions of the incidents page, we see that they both display the correct data. What do you notice?




Do this!

Create the page template and the new controller method now.



← This is the original scaffolded page.

This version has a different URL.



← This is the version that calls the new `show_with_map` action.

Both versions show the same data.

Both versions of the incidents page look identical—and that's a problem.


The new page needs a map... that's the point!

But of course we *don't* want the new version of the page to look the same. We want to add a map.

So how will we do that? There's no way we're going to build our own mapping system. Instead we'll create a **mashup**. A mashup is a web application that integrates data and services from other places on the web.

Most of the mapping services allow you to embed maps inside your own web application, but we'll use the one provided by Google. Google Maps give you a lot of flexibility. Not only can you embed a map in a web page, but you can also, without too much work, add your own data onto the map and program how the user interacts with the map and data.

Here's a high-level view of how it will work:



The map will be displayed at the approximate location of the recorded incident, and a symbol mark the exact point.

The Head First Climbers application will generate the code to call the map, and the data to display on it, but the map itself, and the bulk of the code that allows the user to do things like drag the map or zoom in and out, will come from the Google Maps server. Even though Google will provide the bulk of the code, we still need to provide two things:

- The HTML and JavaScript to call the map. This will be a little complex, so we will put the HTML and JavaScript we need in a separate partial that we can call from our page template.
- The data we need to display on the map. To begin with we will use an example data file to make sure the map's working.

So what will the map code look like?

So what code do we need?

We need to have the following code in a partial called `_map.html.erb`:

```
<%
  google_key='ABQIAAAAnfs7bKE82qgb3Zc2YyS-oBT2yXp_' +
    'ZAY8_uFC3CFXhHIE1NvwkxSySz_REpPq-4WZA27OwgbtyR3VcA'
  full_page ||= false
  show_action ||= nil
  new_action ||= nil
  data ||= nil
%>
<div id="map"
  align="right"
  style="border: 1px solid #979797;
    min-width: 400px;
  <%
    if full_page -%>
    min-height: 800px;
    height: 800px;
  <%
    else -%>
    min-height: 400px;
    height: 400px;
  <%
    end -%>
  background-color: #FFFFFF;
  border: 1px solid #999999;
  padding: 10px;"></div>
...

```

This key makes the map
work for 'localhost'


Download It!

There's not enough space to
display all of the partial code here,
but you can download the file at
<http://tinyurl.com/hfrailsmmap>

So what does this code do? First of all it calls some JavaScript on the Google Maps server that will generate a map on the web page. The map will have all of the basic drag and zoom functions built in.

But the basic Google code doesn't do *everything* we need. It doesn't load and display any of our local data. So the code in the `_map.html.erb` partial also loads location data from a file, which it uses to move the map to the correct place and display an icon at a given point.

But there's a little complication with the code...



The code will only work for localhost

Google places a restriction on the use of the code. They insist that you say which host you're going to use it on. That means before you can use it on `www.yourowndomain.com`, you need to tell Google about it. In order to make sure that people comply with this condition, the code will only run if you provide it with a **Google Maps key**. The key is generated for a particular host name, and if you try to embed a Google map into a page coming from anywhere else, the map will refuse to run.

But for now, there's not a problem. The `_map.html.erb` partial we're going to use has the Google Maps key for localhost—so as long as you run the code on your own machine it will be fine. But remember, you'll need to apply for your own key before running the code anywhere else.



Geek Bits

If you want to embed Google Maps in your own web apps, you need to sign up with Google. To do this, visit the following URL: <http://tinyurl.com/mapreg>

Sharpen your pencil



You need to include the map partial in the `show_with_map.html.erb` template. We need to pass a local variable called `data` containing the path to the map data. We'll use a test file for this at `/test.xml`.

Write the code to call the partial.

```
<%=render(:partial=>'map',:locals=>{data=>'/test.xml'})%>
```

.....

.....

.....



You need to insert this line of code in the `show_with_map.html.erb` file

You need to include the map partial in the `show_with_map.html.erb` template. We need to pass a local variable called `data` containing the path to the map data. We'll use a test file for this at `/test.xml`.

Write the code to call the partial.

```
<%= render (:partial=>'map', :locals=>{:data=>'/test.xml'}) %>
```

Now we need the map data

Before we can try out the embedded map, we need to provide it with map data. To begin with we will just use the `test.xml` test file. This is what it looks like:



```
<data>
  <description>This is an example description</description>
  <latitude>63.0434805555556 </latitude>
  <longitude>-150.993963888889</longitude>
  <title>Test Data</title>
</data>
```



To save you typing in the long numbers, you can download the `test.xml` file from <http://tinyurl.com/maptest>

The mapping data provides the latitude and longitude of the test incident. When the Google map loads, our map partial will pass it the contents of this file and the incident should be displayed and centered.



TEST DRIVE

So what happens if we go to a URL like:

`http://localhost:3000/incidents/map/1`



The map works! But what if we go to a different URL?




Every map looks exactly the same, regardless of the data. That's because each map is using the same data: the contents of the test.xml file.

In order to make the map display the location of a given incident, we need to generate a data file for each page.

What do we need to generate?

We're passing XML data to the map, and the XML data describes the location of a single incident. The location is given by the latitude, the longitude, the title, and the description. We need to generate XML like this for *each* incident.

So the system will work something like this:




If this is starting to feel familiar, good! The Google Map is actually using Ajax to work. Remember how we used Ajax to download new version of the seat list in the previous chapter? In the same way, the Google Map will request XML data for the location of an incident.

So the next thing is to generate the data. Where will we get the data from?


We'll generate XML from the model

The data for the generated XML will come from the Incident model. We'll be using just four of the attributes, the latitude, longitude, title, and description.



But how do we generate the XML? In a way, this is a little like generating a web page. After all, XML and HTML are very similar. And just as web pages contain data from the model, our XML files will also contain data from the model.


So one option would be to create a page template containing XML tags instead of HTML tags:



That way would work, but there's a better way...

A model object can generate XML

Model objects contain data. XML files contain data. So it kind of makes sense that model objects can generate XML versions of themselves. Each model object has a method `to_xml` that returns an XML string:



But creating the XML is only half the story. The other half is returning that XML to the browser. We're not using a page template, so the whole job will have to be handled by the controller rendering the XML...

What will the controller code look like

We can amend the `show_with_map` method to output the XML:

```
def show_with_map
  → @incident = Incident.find(params[:id])
  → render :text=>@incident.to_xml ← This will create an XML string
    end                               that describes the incident object.
    ↑
  The text parameter says what
  we'll be returning to the browser.
```

This is the incident object we were already reading.

The render method returns the XML.

The `render` method returns the XML to the browser. We've seen the `render` method before, but this is a slightly different version. Most of the time you use `render` to generate a web page from a template or partial. But you can also just pass it a string object—and that's what we're doing here.



Geek Bits

To make your life simpler, the Rails folks also allow you to pass a parameter to the `render` method called `:xml`

```
render :xml=>@incident
```

If the `render` method is passed an object using the `:xml` parameter, it will call the `to_xml` method on the object and send that back to the browser. The `:xml` version of the `render` command will generate the same content as the `render` command in our controller, but it will also set the mime-type of the response to `text/xml`. But for now, we will use the `:text` version above.

there are no Dumb Questions

Q: Remind me, what does the `render` method do again?

A: `render` generates a response for the browser. When your browser asks for a page, that's a request. `render` generates what gets sent back.



Test Drive

So what do we get now if we go to:

`http://localhost:3000/incidents/map/1`

```
Source of: http://localhost:3000/incidents/map/1

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<incident>
  <created-at type="datetime">2009-11-21T11:59:31Z</created-at>
  <description>Rubble on the ledge tumbled, and just missed us.</description>
  <id type="integer">1</id>
  <latitude type="decimal">63.0434805555556</latitude>
  <longitude type="decimal">-150.993963888889</longitude>
  <mountain>Mount Rushless</mountain>
  <title>Rock slide</title>
  <updated-at type="datetime">2009-11-21T11:59:31Z</updated-at>
  <when type="datetime">2009-11-21T11:55:00Z</when>
</incident>
```

The controller is now returning XML containing the data from the incident object with `id = 1`.

But is there a problem? The XML we're generating looks *sort of* the same as the example XML, but there are a few differences:

- We're generating too many attributes. The example data file only contained information about the latitude, longitude, title, and description. But this piece of XML contains **everything** about an incident, even the date and time that the incident record was created.
- The root of the XML file has the wrong name. The generated XML takes its root name from the variable we were using, `<incident>`. But we need the XML to have a root named `<data>`.

```
<data>
  <description>This is an example
    description</description>
  <latitude>63.0434805555556 </latitude>
  <longitude>-150.993963888889</longitude>
  <title>Test Data</title>
</data>
```

The XML is *almost* in the right format, but *not quite*.

We need to modify the XML that `to_xml` produces.



Code Magnets


The `to_xml` method has some optional parameters that let us modify the XML that it returns. See if you can work out what the values of the parameters should be:

```
def show_with_map

  @incident = Incident.find(params[:id])

  render :text=>@incident.to_xml(
    :only => [:description, :longitude, :latitude, :title],
    :root => "data" )

end
```





Code Magnets Solution

The `to_xml` method has some optional parameters that let us modify the XML that it returns. See if you can work out what the values of the parameters should be:

```
def show_with_map

  @incident = Incident.find(params[:id])

  render :text=>@incident.to_xml(
    :only => [ :latitude, :longitude, :title, :description ],
    :root => "data" )
end
```



there are no
Dumb Questions

Q: Shouldn't we generate the XML in the model?

A: You could, but it's not a good idea. You may need to generate different XML in different situations. If you added code to the model for each of those XML formats, the model would quickly become overloaded.



Test Drive

Now when we go to:

`http://localhost:3000/incidents/map/1`

we get XML that looks a little different.

```
Source of: http://localhost:3000/incidents/map/1
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<data>
  <description>Rubble on the ledge tumbled, and just missed us.</description>
  <latitude type="decimal">63.0434805555556</latitude>
  <longitude type="decimal">-150.993963888889</longitude>
  <title>Rock slide</title>
</data>
```

You've managed to modify the XML so that it only displays the data we need and has a properly named root element. It looks a lot closer to the example XML file.

The `to_xml` method doesn't allow you to make a lot of changes to the XML it produces, but it's good enough for most purposes... including sending the XML to Google for some custom mapping.

With very little work, `to_xml` gave us exactly the XML we wanted.

Meanwhile, at 20,000 feet...



Some people on the pilot program have a problem.

The web pages have disappeared! Before the last amendment a URL like:

```
http://localhost:3000/incidents/map/1
```

generated a web page. The trouble is, now that URL just returns XML, instead of a nice Google map.

Before your latest changes:



Before the amendment, we had a web page showing our data on a Google map.


After your latest changes:

After the amendment, all we got back was this XML.



We need to generate XML and HTML


The `show_with_map` action originally generated a web page with the `show_with_map.html.erb` page template. But once we added a `render` call to the controller method, Rails ignored the template and just generated the XML:



Of course, that makes sense, because there's no way an action can generate XML and HTML *at the same time*.

But we still need a web page to display the map, and the map still needs XML map data. So what do we do?

We need some way of calling the controller in one way to generate HTML, and calling the controller in another way to generate XML.



Mark: Another action?

Bob: Sure. One to generate XML and another to generate HTML.

Laura: Well that's not a great idea.

Bob: Why not?

Laura: That would mean duplicating code. Both methods would have code to read an incident object.

Bob: Whatever. It's only one line.

Laura: Well now it is. But what if we change things in the future?

Mark: You mean like if the model changes?

Laura: Or if it we get the data from somewhere else, like a web service.

Bob: It's not such a big deal. Let's worry about the problems we have right now, okay?

Mark: I don't know. Laura, what would you do?

Laura: Simple. I'd pass a parameter to the action. Tell it what format we want.

Mark: That might work.

Bob: Come on, too much work.

Laura: Less work than creating another action.

Mark: But one thing..

Laura: Yes?

Mark: Doesn't the URL identify the information we want?

Laura: So?

Mark: Shouldn't we use the same URL for both formats?

XML and HTML are just representations

Although the HTML and XML look very different, they are really visual representations of the *same thing*. Both the HTML web page and the XML map data are both describing the same Incident object data. That incident is the core data, and it's sometimes called the **resource**.

A **resource** is the data being presented by the web page. And the web page is called a **representation** of the resource. Take an Incident object as an example. The Incident object is the resource. The incident web page and the map data XML file are both representations of the resource.



Thinking about the web as a set of resources and representations is part of a design architecture called **REST**. REST is the **architecture of Rails**. And the more RESTful your application is, the better it will run on Rails.

But how does this help us? Well, to be strictly RESTful, both the XML data and the web page should have the same URL (Uniform *Resource* Locator) because they both represent the same resource. Something like this:

`http://localhost:3000/incidents/maps/1`

But to simplify things, we can compromise the REST design (a little bit) and use these URLs for the two representations:

`http://localhost:3000/incidents/maps/1.xml` ← One URL returns the XML data; the other returns the HTML.
`http://localhost:3000/incidents/maps/1.html` ←

How should we decide which format to use?

If we add an extra route that includes the format in the path:

```
map.connect 'incidents/map/:id.:format', :action=>'show_with_map',
  :controller=>'incidents'
```

we will be able to read the requested format from the XML and then make decisions in the code like this:

```
if params[:format] == 'html'
  # Generate the HTML representation
else
  # Generate the XML representation
end
```

This will record the format from the extension.

http://localhost:3000/incidents/map/1.html

This extension will be stored in the :format field.

http://localhost:3000/incidents/map/1.xml

But that's not how most Rails applications choose the format to generate. Instead they call a method called `respond_to do` and an object called a **responder**:

```
respond_to do |format|
  format.html {
    _____
  }
  format.xml {
    _____
  }
end
```

format is a 'responder' object.

The code to generate a web page goes here.

The code to generate the XML goes here.

This code does more or less the same thing. The `format` object is a **responder**. A responder can decide whether or not to run code, dependent upon the format required by the request. So if the user asks for HTML, the code above will run the code passed to `format.html`. If the user asks for XML, the responder will run the code passed to `format.xml`.

So why don't Rails programmers just use an `if` statement? After all, wouldn't that be simpler code? Well, the responder has **hidden powers**. For example, it sets the mime type of the response. The mime type tells the browser what data-type the response is. In general, it is much better practice to use `respond_to do` to decide what representation format to generate.



Exercise

The `show_with_map` method in the controller needs to choose whether it should generate XML or HTML. Write a new version of the method that uses a responder to generate the correct representation.

Hint: If you need to generate HTML, other than reading a model object, what else does the controller need to do?

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.

The `show_with_map.html.erb` page template currently calls the `map` partial and passes it the `/test.xml` file. What will the partial call look like if it is going to call the generated XML file?

.....



Exercise Solution

The `show_with_map` method in the controller needs to choose whether it should generate XML or HTML. Write a new version of the method that uses a responder to generate the the correct representation.

Hint: If you need to generate HTML, other than reading a model object, what else does the controller need to do?

Nothing! When generating HTML we can leave Rails to call the `show_with_map.html.erb` template

```
def show_with_map
```

```
  @incident = Incident.find(params[:id])
```

```
  respond_to do |format|
```

```
    format.html {
```

```
    }
```

```
    format.xml {
```

```
      render :text=>@incident.to_xml(
```

```
        :only=>[:latitude,:longitude,:title,:description],
```

```
        :root=>"name")
```

```
    }
```

```
  end
```

```
end
```

We can leave this empty – Rails will call the template for us

The `show_with_map.html.erb` page template currently calls the `map` partial and passes it the `/test.xml` file. What will the partial call look like if it is going to call the generated XML file?

```
<%= render(:partial=>'map', :locals=>{:data=>"#{@incident.id}.xml"}) %>
```

there are no Dumb Questions

Q: If the `format.html` section doesn't need any code, can we just skip it?

A: No. You still need to include `format.html`, or Rails won't realize that it needs to respond to requests for HTML output.



Test Drive

If we look at the XML version of the page at:

<http://localhost:3000/incidents/map/1.xml>

we get an XML version of the incident:

```
Source of: http://localhost:3000/incidents/map/1.xml


<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<data>
  <description>Rubble on the ledge tumbled, and just missed us.</description>
  <latitude type="decimal">63.0434805555556</latitude>
  <longitude type="decimal">-150.993963888889</longitude>
  <title>Rock slide</title>
</data>
```

So what about the HTML version:

<http://localhost:3000/incidents/map/1.html>



<http://localhost:3000/incidents/map/3.html>




It works. Now different incidents show different maps. But before we replace the live version of the code, we better make sure we understand exactly how the code works.

So what really went on here?

How does the map page work?

Let's take a deeper look at what just happened and how the HTML page is rendered.

- 1 The controller spots that an HTML page is needed.**
The browser points to the HTML version of the page. The controller realizes that HTML rather than XML is required, and so calls `show_with_map.html.erb`. HTML is sent back to the client browser.




- 2 JavaScript requests the Google Map.**
JavaScript within the web page requests map data from the Google Maps server. The Google Maps server returns it.



3 JavaScript requests the incident XML.

JavaScript within the page requests XML for the incident from the controller. It then displays it on the map.



there are no
Dumb Questions

Q: You say that a resource should always have the same URL. Why is that?

A: It doesn't *have to*, but REST—Rails' main design principle—says it should.

Q: But if the format is in the URL, doesn't that mean that different URLs are used for the same resource?

A: Yes, sure does. Adding the format to the URL compromises the RESTfulness of the design... a little bit. But it's a common trick. It's simple, and works well.

Q: So there's no way to use the same URL for different formats?

A: There is a way to do it. If the request contains an "Accepts:" header say—for example—that the request is for "text/xml", the responder will run the code for the XML format.

Q: Is there a way of listing the attributes you *don't* want to include in to_xml output?

A: Yes. Instead of using the `:only` parameter, you can use the `:except` parameter. Rails is remarkably consistent and you will find several places where calls in Rails have optional `:only` parameters. In all cases you can switch them for `:except` parameters to say which things you *don't* want.

Q: Is there some way that the controller can tell the difference between an Ajax request from JavaScript and a browser request?

A: Sort of. The expression `request.xhr?` usually returns 'true' for Ajax requests and 'false' for simple browser requests. The problem is that while it works for the requests generated by the Prototype library, it doesn't work with *all* Ajax libraries.

Q: Why do I have to call `render` sometimes and not others?

A: If you are happy to run the default template (the one whose name matches the action), you can omit the `render` call.

Q: You say that the generated XML and the HTML are different representations, but they don't contain the same information, do they?

A: That's true—they don't. The XML generated for a single incident contains a smaller amount of data than the HTML representation. But they both present information about the same resource, so they are both representations of the same thing.

The code is ready to go live

Our new version of the location page works well, so let's replace the scaffolded show action with the `show_with_map` code.

1 Remove the routes.

We created custom routes for the test code, so we need to remove them from the `routes.rb` file:




Get rid of these lines.

```
ActionController::Routing::Routes.draw do |map|  
map.connect 'incidents/map/:id', :action=>'show_with_map', :controller=>'incidents'  
map.connect 'incidents/map/:id.:format', :action=>'show_with_map', :controller=>'incidents'  
map.resources :incidents
```

2 Rename the `show_with_map` method in the controller.


`show_with_map` is going to become our new `show` method. So delete the existing `show` method and rename `show_with_map` to `show`.



Delete the `show` method in the controller, then rename `show_with_map` as `show`.

3 Then rename the `show_with_map.html.erb` template.

That means we need to delete the existing `show.html.erb` and replace it with the `show_with_map.html.erb` template.



Delete `show.html.erb`, and rename `show_with_map.html.erb` as `show.html.erb`.

there are no
Dumb Questions

Q: If the route disappeared, how did the right format get chosen?

A: The `map.resource` route sets up a whole set of routes. These routes all include the format.

Q: How come the index page went to `"/incidents/1"` instead of `"/incidents/1.html"`? How did Rails know it was going to be HTML?

A: If the format isn't given, Rails assumes HTML... which we used to our advantage.

Q: What does `map.resources` mean?

A: That generates the standard set of routes used by scaffolding.



TEST DRIVE

Now the the mapped pages have replaced the default “show” action. So now the main index page links to the mapping pages, not the text versions.

The collage illustrates the user interface for incident tracking. The top screenshot shows the 'Incidents: index' page with a table of incidents. Arrows point from the table to detailed views of specific incidents.

Mountain	Latitude	Longitude	When	Title	Description	Action
Mount Rushless	63.04346055555556	150.993963888889	2009-11-21 11:55:00 UTC	Rock slide	Rubble on the ledge tumbled, and just missed	Show Edit Destroy
Mount Rushless	63.0780527777778	150.977869444444	2009-11-21 17:59:00 UTC	Hidden crevasse	Ice layer covering crevasse. Lost	Show Edit Destroy
Mount Loopawa	-0.483975	76.4365055555556	2009-06-07 12:06:00 UTC	Ascent	Living only on dried chicken pieces, we completed our 4 day	Show Edit Destroy
High Karuklins	11.123925	72.3125833333333	2009-05-12 18:11:00 UTC	Altitude sickness	Overcome by the lack of oxygen, we abandoned the ascent.	Show Edit Destroy

The detailed views show the incident data repeated in a structured format, followed by a map of the location. The map includes a 'show with map' link and a 'show details' button. The map data is sourced from Google Maps, with imagery from 2008.

One thing though - isn't that index page kind of... boring? Especially compared to all those nice visual map pages!



Long Exercise

The users have asked if the index page can display a whole set of all the incidents that have been recorded, and fortunately the `_map.html.erb` partial can generate multiple points if it is given the correct XML data.

This is the existing index method in the incidents controller. Rewrite the method to generate XML from the array of all incidents. You only need to change the root element to “data”.

```
def index
  @incidents = Incident.find(:all)

  respond_to do |format|
    format.html # index.html.erb
    format.xml { render :xml => @incidents }
  end
end
```

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....


.....

.....

.....

.....

.....




The index page will need to include a map. Write the code to insert the map at the given point. You will need to pass the path of the XML version of the index page as data for the map.

```
<h1>Listing incidents</h1>
<table>
  <tr>
    <th>Mountain</th>
    <th>Latitude</th>
    <th>Longitude</th>
    <th>When</th>
    <th>Title</th>
    <th>Description</th>
  </tr>
  <% for incident in @incidents %>
    <tr>
      <td><%=h incident.mountain %></td>
      <td><%=h incident.latitude %></td>
      <td><%=h incident.longitude %></td>
      <td><%=h incident.when %></td>
      <td><%=h incident.title %></td>
      <td><%=h incident.description %></td>
      <td><%= link_to 'Show', incident %></td>
      <td><%= link_to 'Edit', edit_incident_path(incident) %></td>
      <td><%= link_to 'Destroy', incident, :confirm => 'Are you sure?',
        :method => :delete %></td>
    </tr>
  <% end %>
</table>

.....

<br />
<%= link_to 'New incident', new_incident_path %>
```





The users have asked if the index page can display a whole set of all the incidents that have been recorded and fortunately the `_map.html.erb` partial can generate multiple points if it is given the correct XML data.

This is the existing index method in the incidents controller. Rewrite the method to generate XML from the array of all incidents. You only need to change the root element to “data”.


```
def index
  @incidents = Incident.find(:all)

  respond_to do |format|
    format.html # index.html.erb
    format.xml { render :xml => @incidents }
  end
end
```

```
def index
.....

  @incidents = Incident.find(:all)
.....

  respond_to do |format|
.....
    format.html # index.html.erb
.....
    format.xml {
.....
      render :text=>@incidents.to_xml(:root=>"data")
.....
    }
.....
  end
.....
end
.....
```




The index page will need to include a map. Write the code to insert the map at the given point. You will need to pass the path of the XML version of the index page as data for the map.

```
<h1>Listing incidents</h1>
<table>
  <tr>
    <th>Mountain</th>
    <th>Latitude</th>
    <th>Longitude</th>
    <th>When</th>
    <th>Title</th>
    <th>Description</th>
  </tr>
  <% for incident in @incidents %>
    <tr>
      <td><%=h incident.mountain %></td>
      <td><%=h incident.latitude %></td>
      <td><%=h incident.longitude %></td>
      <td><%=h incident.when %></td>
      <td><%=h incident.title %></td>
      <td><%=h incident.description %></td>
      <td><%= link_to 'Show', incident %></td>
      <td><%= link_to 'Edit', edit_incident_path(incident) %></td>
      <td><%= link_to 'Destroy', incident, :confirm => 'Are you sure?',
        :method => :delete %></td>
    </tr>
  <% end %>
</table>

<%= render (:partial=>'map', :locals=>{:data=>"/incidents.xml"}) %>

<br />
<%= link_to 'New incident', new_incident_path %>
```



a very graphical index



Test Drive

Now when users go to the front page, they see the incidents in a list and on the map. When an incident is clicked, the details are displayed, as well as a link to the incident's own page.

Listing incidents

Mountain	Latitude	Longitude	When	Title	Description	
Mount Rushless	53.04348055555556	150.9939638888889	2009-11-21 11:55:00 UTC	Rock slide	Rubble on the ledge tumbled, and just missed us.	Show Edit Destroy
Mount Rushless	53.07005277777778	150.9773694444444	2009-11-21 17:59:00 UTC	Hidden crevasse	Ice layer covering crevasse. Last Sinky to the elements.	Show Edit Destroy
Mount Lotopaxo	-0.683975	78.43650555555556	2009-06-07 12:06:00 UTC	Ascent	Living only on dried chicken pieces, we completed our 4 day...	Show Edit Destroy
High Kanuklima	11.123925	72.72135833333333	2009-05-12 18:11:00 UTC	Altitude sickness	Overcome by the lack of oxygen, we abandoned the ascent...	Show Edit Destroy

All of the incidents are now plotted on the map.

The information window contains a link to the incident's own "show" page.

The map uses the XML generated by the index method of the controller to create the points.

Incidents: show

Mountain: Mount Lotopaxo
Latitude: -0.683975
Longitude: 78.43650555555556
When: 2009-06-07 12:06:00 UTC
Title: Ascent
Description: Living only on dried chicken pieces, we completed our 4 day...

[New Incident](#)



Hey, there's so much data now! I'd really like to know about the incidents that have been posted in the last 24 hours. How about a news feed?

Most web sites now provide ***RSS news feeds*** to provide easy links to the main resources on a site.

But what does an RSS news feed look like?

RSS feeds are just XML

This is what an RSS feed file would look like for the climbing site:

```
<rss version="2.0">
  <channel>
    <title>Head First Climbers News</title>
    <link>http://localhost:3000/incidents/</link>
    <item>
      <title>Rock slide</title>
      <description>Rubble on the ledge tumbled, and just missed us.</description>

      <link>http://localhost:3000/incidents/1</link>
    </item>
    <item>
```

This is just an XML file. If you use an RSS news reader, or if your browser can subscribe to RSS news feeds, they will download a file just like this, which contains a list of links and descriptions to news stories.

So how can WE generate an RSS feed like this?



Do any of the tags in the RSS look particularly surprising or unclear? What do you think channel does? What about link?

We'll create an action called news

Let's create a new route as follows:

```
map.connect '/incidents/news', :action=>'news', :controller=>'incidents', :format=>'xml'
```



Sharpen your pencil

Write the controller method for the new action. It needs to find all incidents with `updated_at` in the last 24 hours. It should then render the default XML by calling `to_xml` on the array of matching incidents.

Hint: The Ruby expression `Time.now.yesterday` returns a date-time value from exactly 24 hours ago.

This image shows a full page of white paper with horizontal dotted lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page, providing a guide for handwriting practice. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the page.



Sharpen your pencil Solution

Write the controller method for the new action. It needs to find all incidents with `updated_at` in the last 24 hours. It should then render the default XML by calling `to_xml` on the array of matching incidents.

Hint: The Ruby expression `Time.now.yesterday` returns a date-time value from exactly 24 hours ago.

```
def news
```

```
  @incidents = Incident.find(:all, :conditions=>['updated_at > ?', Time.now.yesterday])
```

```
  render :xml=>@incidents
```


```
end
```

↖
You could have also used `:text=>@incidents.to_xml`.



Test Drive

This is the XML that is generated by the news action:



We've generated XML for the correct data, but it's not the sort of XML we need for an RSS news feed. That's OK though, we had that problem before. When we were generating XML data for the location data it was in the wrong format, and we were able to adjust it then.

We just need to modify this XML in the same way... don't we?

Remember - this is time dependent so incidents will only appear if they've been modified in the last 24 hours



Is there a problem converting the XML to match the structure of the RSS news feed?

We have to change the structure of the XML

The `to_xml` method allows us to make a few simple changes to the XML it produces. We can swap names and choose which data items to include. But will it give us enough power to turn the XML we *have* into the XML we *want*?

← This is what we have...

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<incidents type="array">
  <incident>
    <created-at type="datetime">2008-11-21T11:59:31Z</created-at>
    <description>Rubble on the ledge tumbled, and just missed us.</description>
    <id type="integer">1</id>
    <latitude type="decimal">63.0434805555556</latitude>
    <longitude type="decimal">-150.993963888889</longitude>
    <mountain>Mount Rushless</mountain>
    <title>Rock slide</title>
```

```
<rss version="2.0">
  <channel>
    <title>Head First Climbers News</title>
    <link>http://localhost:3000/incidents/</link>
    <item>
      <title>Rock slide</title>
      <description>Rubble on the ledge tumbled, and just missed us.</description>
      <link>http://localhost:3000/incidents/1</link>
    </item>
    <item>
```

← ... but this is what we want.

We need more XML POWER

The news feed XML can't be generated by the `to_xml` method. While `to_xml` can modify XML output slightly, it can't radically change XML structure. For instance, `to_xml` can't move elements between levels. It can't group elements within other elements. `to_xml` is designed to be quick and easy to use, but that also makes it a bit inflexible.

For true XML power, we need something more...

So we'll use a new kind of template: an XML builder

If we created another HTML page template, we could generate whatever XML output we like. After all, HTML is similar to XML:

```
<rss version="2.0">
  <channel>
    <title>Head First Climbers News</title>
    <link>http://localhost:3000/incidents/</link>
    <% for incident in @incidents %>
      <item>
        <title><%= h incident.title %></title>
        <description><%= h incident.description %></description>
```

This actually looks a whole lot like HTML...

But Rails provides a special type of template that is specifically designed to generate XML; it's called an **XML Builder Template**.


XML Builders live in the same directory as page templates, and they are used in a similar way. If someone has requested an XML response (by adding .xml to the end of the URL), the controller only needs to read the data from the model, and Rails will automatically call the XML builder template. That means we can lose a line from the **news** action:

```
def news
  @incidents = Incident.find(:all, :conditions=>['updated_at > ?', Time.now.yesterday])
  render :xml=>@incidents
end
```

This is the "new" method from the incidents controller.

This code will now just read the data from the model and the XML builder template will do the rest.

So what does an XML builder look like?





XML Builders Up Close

Page templates are designed to look like HTML files with a little Ruby sprinkled in. XML builders are different. They are pure Ruby but are designed to have a structure similar to XML. For example, this:

```
xml.sentence(:language=>'English') {
  for word in @words do
    xml.word(word)
  end
}
```

might generate something that looks like this:

```
<sentence language="English"> ← Attribute
  <word>XML</word>
  <word>Builders</word>
  <word>Kick</word> ← Elements
  <word>Ass!</word>
</sentence>
```

So why did the Rails folks make a different kind of template? Why doesn't XML Builder work just like a Page Template? Why doesn't it use Embedded Ruby?

Even though XML and HTML are very similar—and in the case of XHTML, they are technically equal—the ways in which people use HTML and XML are subtly different.


- Web pages usually contain a lot of **HTML** markup to make the page look nice, and just a *little* data from the database.
- Most of the content of the **XML**, on the other hand, is likely to come from the data and conditional logic and far less from the XML markup.

Using Ruby—instead of XML—as the main language, makes XML Builders more concise and easier to maintain.

Pool Puzzle



Your **job** is to take code snippets from the pool and place them into the blank lines in the code. You may **not** use the same snippet more than once, and you won't need to use all the snippets. Your **goal** is to complete the XML builder template that will generate RSS.




```

.....(.....) {
  xml.channel {
    xml.title(.....)
    xml.link("http://localhost:3000/incidents/")
    for incident in .....
      xml.item {
        xml.....(incident.title)
        .....(.....)
        xml.link("http://localhost:3000/incidents/#{.....}")
      }
    .....
    .....
  }
}

```


Note: each thing from the pool can only be used once!



Pool Puzzle Solution




Your **job** is to take code snippets from the pool and place them into the blank lines in the code. You may **not** use the same snippet more than once, and you won't need to use all the snippets. Your **goal** is to complete the XML builder template that will generate RSS.



```

xml.rss ( ..... :version=>"2.0" ..... ) {
  xml.channel {
    xml.title(....."Head First Climbers News".....)
    xml.link("http://localhost:3000/incidents/")
    for incident in .....@incidents.....
      xml.item {
        xml.....title.....(incident.title)
        xml.description.....(.....incident.description.....)
        xml.link("http://localhost:3000/incidents/#{.....incident.id.....}")
      }
    end .....
  }
}


```



Now let's add the feed to the pages

But how will users find the feed? Browsers sense the presence of a news feed by looking for a `<link... />` reference within a page.

The folks at Head First Climbers want the news feed to appear on every page, so we will add a reference to the RSS feed in the incidents layout file, using the `auto_discovery_link` helper:



```
<!DOCTYPE html PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD XHTML 1.0 Transitional//EN"
    "http://www.w3.org/TR/xhtml1/DTD/xhtml1-transitional.dtd">

<html xmlns="http://www.w3.org/1999/xhtml" xml:lang="en" lang="en">
<head>
  <meta http-equiv="content-type" content="text/html; charset=UTF-8" />
  <title>Incidents: <%= controller.action_name %></title>
  <%= stylesheet_link_tag 'scaffold' %>
  <%= auto_discovery_link_tag(:rss, {:action=>'news'}) %>
</head>
<body>

<p style="color: green"><%= flash[:notice] %></p>

<%= yield %>

</body>
</html>
```

This should create a link like this:

```
<link href="http://localhost:3000/incidents/news.xml"
      rel="alternate" title="RSS" type="application/rss+xml" />
```

But to see if it works, we need to fire up our web browser again.


rss, anyone?




Test Drive

Now, when a user goes to the web site, an RSS feed icon appears in their browser:

Different browsers have different ways of showing they have found a news feed.





And if they subscribe to the feed, or simply read it, they will see links to incidents that have been posted in the previous 24 hours.



On top of the world!

One of the first news items on the web site is posted by our intrepid climber, and thousands of climbers hear of the good news.





Tools for your Rails Toolbox

You've got Chapter 8 under your belt, and now you've added the ability to use XML to represent your pages in multiple ways.

Rails Tools

`to_xml` generates an XML for any model object
`:only` and `:root` parameters allow you to modify the
`to_xml` format

`respond_to` creates a `_responder_` object that will help
you generate multiple representations for a resource
XML builder templates are like page templates for
creating XML

XML builder templates give you more flexibility than by
simply using `to_xml`

responders set the response mime-type and also
decide whether to call page templates or XML builder
templates