

CS 3110

Testing

Prof. Clarkson
Fall 2019

Today's music: Wrecking Ball by Miley Cyrus

CLICKER QUESTION 1

Review

Previously in 3110:

- Modules
- Specification (functions, modules)

Today:

- Validation
- Testing
  - Black box
  - Glass box

Validation

- Validation: does program behave as intended?
- Testing: a process for validation
- Debugging: determining cause of unintended behavior
- Defensive programming: implementation techniques for making validation and debugging easier

Approaches to validation

- Social
  - Code reviews
  - Extreme/Pair programming
- Methodological
  - Test-driven development
  - Version control
  - Bug tracking
- Technological
  - Static analysis ("lint" tools, FindBugs, …)
  - Fuzzers
- Mathematical
  - Type systems
  - Formal verification

Less formal: Techniques may miss problems in programs

All of these methods should be used!

Even the most formal can still have holes:

- did you prove the right thing?
- do your assumptions match reality?

More formal: eliminate *with certainty* as many problems as possible.

Slide credit: Benjamin C. Pierce (UPenn)

Testing vs. Verification

Testing:

- Cost effective
- Guarantee that program is correct on tested inputs and in tested environments

Verification:

- Expensive
- Guarantee that program is correct on all inputs and in all environments

Edsger W. Dijkstra

(1930-2002)

Turing Award Winner (1972)

For eloquent insistence and practical demonstration that programs should be composed correctly, not just debugged into correctness

"Program testing can at best show the presence of errors but never their absence."

(more in recitation)

DEBUGGING ADVICE



Bugs



"bug": suggests something just wandered in

[IEEE 729]

- Fault: result of human error in software system
  - E.g., implementation doesn't match design, or design doesn't match requirements
  - Might never appear to end user
- Failure: violation of requirement
  - Something goes wrong for end user



Testing

- Goal is to expose existence of faults, so that they can be fixed
- **Unit testing:** isolated components
- **Integration testing:** combined components
- **System testing:** functionality, performance, acceptance, installation

Regression testing

- **Regression:** a previously fixed fault is reintroduced into the code
- **Regression testing:** running tests against new version of software to ensure no regressions
- If you ever find and fix a fault…
  - Put a test case into your suite for it
  - Run suite frequently to detect regressions

CLICKER QUESTION 2

Testing

When do you stop testing?

- **Bad answer:** when time is up
- **Bad answer:** what all tests pass

Testing

When do you stop testing?

- **Good answer:** when testing methodology is complete
- **Future answer:** statistical estimation says *Pr[undetected faults]* is low enough (active research)

TESTING

Black box testing



tester knows nothing about internals of functionality being tested

Glass box testing

tester knows internals of functionality being tested

Black box testing

Input →  → Output

tester knows nothing about internals of functionality being tested

Glass box testing

Input →  → Output

tester knows internals of functionality being tested

Black box testing

- Tests are based on the specification
- **Advantages:**
  - Tester is not biased by assumptions made in implementation
  - Tests are robust w.r.t. changes in implementation
  - Tests can be read and evaluated by reviewers who are not implementers
- Main kinds of black box tests:
  - Example inputs provided by spec
  - Typical inputs
  - Boundary cases
  - Paths through spec

Typical inputs

- Common, simple values of a type
  - **`int`**: small integers like 1 or 10
  - **`char`**: alphabetic letters, digits
  - **`string`**: whose length is a small integer and whose characters are typical
  - **`'a list`**: a small integer number of elements, each of which is a typical value of type **`'a`**
  - **records/tuples:** each field/component with a typical value
  - **variants:** typical constructors, if there is such a thing

Boundary cases

Bill Sempf
@sempf

Follow

QA Engineer walks into a bar. Orders a beer. Orders 0 beers. Orders 999999999 beers. Orders a lizard. Orders -1 beers. Orders a sfdeljknesv.

10:56 AM - 23 Sep 2014

Boundary cases

- aka *corner cases* or *edge cases*
- Atypical or extremal values of a type, and values nearby
  - **`int`**: **`0`**, **`1`**, **`-1`**, **`min_int`**, **`max_int`**
  - **`char`**: **`'\000'`**, **`'\255'`**, **`'\032'`** (space), **`'\127'`** (delete)
  - **`string`**: empty string, string with a single character, unreasonably long string
  - **`'a list`**: empty list, list with a single element, list with enough elements to trigger stack overflow on non-tail-recursive functions
  - **records/tuples:** combinations of atypical values
  - **variants:** all constructors

Paths through spec

Representative inputs for classes of outputs

```
(* [is_prime n] is true iff [n] is prime *)
val is_prime: int -> bool
```

two classes of output:

- true: representative input: n=13
- false: representative input: n=42

other examples:

- **`compare`** functions have three classes of output
- functions that return variants have several classes of output

Paths through spec

Representative inputs for each way of satisfying the precondition

```
(* [sqrt x n] is the square root of [x]
 * computed to an accuracy of [n]
 * significant digits
 * requires: x >= 0 and n >= 1 *)
val sqrt : float -> int -> float
```

(i) x=0.0, n=1, (ii) x=1.0, n=1,
(iii) x=0.0, n=2, (iv) x=1.0, n=2

Paths through spec

Representative inputs for each way of raising and not raising exception

```
(* [pos x lst] is the 0-based position of
 * the first element of [lst] that equals [x].
 * raises:  Not_found if [x] is not in [lst].
*)
val pos: 'a -> 'a list -> int
```

(i) x=1, lst=[1], (ii) x=0, lst[1]

Glass box testing

- aka *white box testing*
- **Advantages:**
  - can determine whether a new test case really yields additional information about correctness of implementation
  - can address likely errors that are not apparent from specification
- **Supplements** black-box testing; does not **replace** examination of specification

Glass box testing

- Goal is to **cover** entire program with test cases: ensure entire program exercised by tests
- Branches (if, match, Boolean ops, exceptions, loops, etc.) make it challenging
- Exact definition of coverage is flexible; could attempt to:
  - Evaluate every expression
  - Evaluate every Boolean/pattern match to each possible value
  - Cause every possible execution path through program to occur
  - Classically those are called statement, condition, and path coverage

Coverage

```
let max3 x y z =
  if x>y then
    if x>z then x else z
  else
    if y>z then y else z
```

Testing according to black-box specification might lead to all kinds of inputs

But there are really only four paths through implementation!

Representatives: (i) 3 2 1, (ii) 3, 2, 4, (iii) 1, 2, 1, (iv) 1, 2, 3

Achieving good coverage

- Include test cases for:
  - each branch of each (nested) if expression
  - each branch of each (nested) pattern match
- Particularly watch out for:
  - base cases of recursive function
  - recursive calls in recursive function
  - every place where an exception might be raised

Bisect

- OCaml tool for glass-box testing (statement and condition coverage)
- Tutorial in textbook
- You will use it on A4

Upcoming events

- [last night] R4 due
- [tomorrow] A3 due, followed by demos

This is saving your code from being rekt.

THIS IS 3110

Move to recitation

Testing data abstractions

- For every value returned by abstraction, check the RI
- Some functions of a data abstraction *produce* a value of it
  - **`empty`** produces an empty set
  - **`union`** produces a set
- Other functions *consume* a value
  - **`size`** consumes a dictionary and produces an integer
  - **`bindings`** consumes a dictionary and produces a list
- For every possible path through spec and impl of producers... test how a consumer handles it
  - e.g. if producers of a set handle sets of size 0, 1, and >1 differently...
  - then test each such set with every consumer