

From: mail.relay@mailmanager.net [mailto:mail.relay@mailmanager.net] **On Behalf Of** Heather Jones

Sent: Friday, March 09, 2007 6:53 PM

To: USCIS Comments

Subject: DHS Docket No. USCIS-2006-0044 - USCIS Fee Increase Comment

Heather Jones
One University Blvd.
La Grande, OR 97850-2807

March 9, 2007

USCIS Comments

Dear USCIS Comments:

I am writing to you as an international educator in opposition to the February 1, 2007, proposed rule "Adjustment of the Immigration and Naturalization Benefit Application and Petition Fee Schedule." The proposed fee increases are so exorbitant they will act as a disincentive for international students and scholars to study and work in the United States. USCIS must reconsider the role of appropriated funds to meet the administrative needs of the agency. I ask that fees be reduced to the actual cost of processing the application or petition.

International students and scholars, and campus officials that employ them, file tens of thousands of petitions and applications with USCIS annually. The international students at Eastern Oregon University will be directly impacted by these increases, as will other students who are in the U.S. in other immigration statuses.

The largest impact for EOU's international students will be the near-doubling of the I-765 fee for international students in F status to apply for work authorization for Optional Practical Training, from \$180 to \$340. This increase will make an opportunity to gain valuable work experience out of reach for many students.

In addition, if any EOU international students receive the opportunity to remain in U.S. after graduating, they will face additional fee increases. The increases in the fees required in connection with change of status from F to H-1B, including the increase from \$200 to \$300 (a 50 percent increase) in the I-539 application to change non-immigrant status for dependents and the increase from \$190 to \$320 (a 68 percent increase) in the I-129 petition for non-immigrant worker. These fees are on top of the required data collection and fraud prevention fee, which is not part of the fee schedule.

Apart from the direct impact of the excessive fees, they are contrary to clearly expressed U.S. policies. The President, the Secretary of State, and indeed the Secretary of Homeland Security have expressed clearly and

often the high value that the United States places on attracting international students and scholars to this country. The United States reaps immeasurable benefits for our foreign policy, our students' education, our economy, our competitiveness, and our public diplomacy from attracting the best and brightest minds and the next generation of world leaders to America's educational institutions.

Regrettably, the increased fees will reinforce the inefficiencies and dysfunctionalities USCIS inherited from INS when the Department of Homeland Security was created. The solution to correcting the systemic problems that plague the agency is not to keep raising fees in a futile attempt to finance a twenty-first century agency. Unfortunately, to meet its statutory requirements, USCIS is turning to its only steady source of funding, its filers and petitioners, to finance the required modernization of its systems. While that is understandable, it is not acceptable as a matter of public policy, because it prices statutory benefits out of the reach of those who need them.

The fact that USCIS operates on a fee-funded basis creates a built-in incentive to subsidize unnecessary work. This creates an incentive to requiring USCIS approval for things; that way, you can charge a fee for processing the request, which provides necessary income to finance your broader operations. The I-765 fee for Optional Practical Training is a classic example. It used to be possible for school officials designated by the INS to approve OPT in accordance with agency regulations. The benefits of reinstituting and expanding this process speak for themselves: no application, no processing, no fee, one less task to be performed by the agency, more time to devote to what the agency really needs to do. There is no obvious public policy reason for USCIS to require agency approval for OPT today. With the Student and Exchange Visitor Information System (SEVIS) now fully in place, it would be easy to devolve this responsibility back onto the schools. Yet the cost of processing this unnecessary application process continues to drive up the fee.

While I understand that USCIS is permitted by law to recover the full cost of its services through fees, and indeed has no other option so long as Congress is unwilling to appropriate funds for the operation of the agency, I ask that the fees be reduced to the actual cost of processing the application or petition. Meanwhile, I ask Congress to step up to its responsibility for fixing the untenable situation that drives these fee increases.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Heather Jones
541-962-3406

Please use this link to SEE ME and view more information about me
<http://capwiz.com/nafsa/picturepages.tt?id=10007>

This message has been verified by CapwizXC as authentic and sent by this individual. Authentication ID: [HMH74Nw7]

Changed message text: 28.1%