

MY COMMENT:

Why everytime an alien who is already in USCIS or US Immigration Court proceedings, MUST pay everytime his/her fingerprints (Biometrics) need to be taken when applying for different immigration benefits? Fingerprints ridges never change.

ADDITIONAL COMMENT:

CASE No. MSC0631514412; A 096 349 765

My wife's (a former asylum applicant is going through the process of becoming a temporary lawful resident alien based on her marriage to me) employment authorization card (EAD) was denying because we did not have Form I-220B. This was the third time she applied and the first time she got denied. Please see below:

1. Prior to the expiration of her Employment Authorization Card (EAD), Anik Muridah, aka: Anik Contreras (Applicant), applied for a renewal of her last EAD issued on September 7, 2005 and to be expired on September 6, 2006.
2. On October 2, 2006, Director Robert M. Cowan, U.S. Department of Homeland Security, Citizenship and Immigration Services, P. O. Box 648004, Lee's Summit, MO 64-064 (Agency) wrote a letter to the applicant asking for a copy of Form I-220B.
3. On October 7, 2006, Applicant and her husband submitted **Declarations** stating that the Applicant has never received Form I-220B (Order of Supervision).
4. On October 30, 2006, Director Cowan, issued a Notice of Decision denying the Applicant's Employment Authorization Card based on the declaration submitted by the Applicant's husband, giving the reason for the denial that Applicant did not produce a copy of I-220B and that the Applicant **"had never been under an order of supervision."**
5. On November 5, 2006, the Applicant and her husband sent Director Cowan a letter via First Class U.S. Mail and by E-mail, a request for reconsideration. Director Cowan or any member of his staff responded to the letter, signed by the Applicant and her husband. The Agency (Director Cowan) ignored the letter and failed to respond.
5. On February 4, 2007, Applicant's husband sent Director Cowan another letter via First Class U.S. Mail and an E-mail, which was received by either Mr. Cowan or a member of his staff asking to reconsider the Agency's decision to deny the Applicant's EAD. Once again, the Agency ignored the Applicant's and her husband request and failed to respond.
6. Up to this date, the Applicant and hers husband, a retired U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) special agent, knows what an "Order of Supervision" is. Further, they have never seen Form I-220B.
7. The Applicant's husband retired on October 3, 2006 from ICE. The Applicant started living with the Applicant as a common-law wife since the early part of 2003.
8. Director Cowan and his staff totally disregarded our letters and E-mails. **However, USCIS was quick to review our response and to deny my wife's AED.**

Finally, a review of the Internet shows that USCIS is not consistent with the application process of the EAD. Hundreds or thousands of people complain that the process is not

fair. I AGREE.

Thank You!

Sincerely

Miguel Angel Contreras, PhD, CPP, CFE
Contreras Associates International LLC
3767 W 18th PL
Yuma, Arizona
(928) 329-1099
License # PI 155327
www.contrerasassociates.com

Confidentiality Note: This e-mail is intended only for the person or entity to whom addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential or otherwise protected from disclosure. Dissemination, distribution or copying of this e-mail or the information herein by anyone other than the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient, is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in error, please advise the sender by return email and destroy the original message and all copies along with any attachment (s).

No banners. No pop-ups. No kidding.

Make My Way your home on the Web - <http://www.myway.com>