

## Lutheran Social Services of South Dakota

Strengthening Individuals, Families and Communities

Refugee and Immigration Center 1609 W. 11th St. Sioux Falls, SD 57104

## **FAX COVER SHEET**

Re:	Fax Nur	nber:	605) 731-2059
Phone:	Date:	3-	30-07
Fax: 1-866-466-5370	Pages:	3	
To: Mr. Richard A. Sloan	From:	Don	na Magnuson

Message:

March 30, 2007

Mr. Richard A. Sloan Director Regulatory Management Division U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services Department of Homeland Security 111 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., 3rd Floor Washington, DC 20529

Re: DHS Docket # USCIS-2006-0044

Proposed rule— Adjustment of the Immigration and Natura zation Benefit
Application and Petition Fee Schedule

Dear Mr. Sloan:

Lutheran Socal Services of South Dakota, Refugee & Immigration Center (U.S/SD) is deeply concerned about the impact that the proposed fee increases by the U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) will have on the refugees and asylees we serve. The recently proposed increases will create a significant obstacle for many vulnerable migrants, including refugees and as lees seeking to integrate into the United States by becoming permanent residents or naturalizing as U.S. Citizens.

In Section IX: Proposed Fee Adjustments, USCIS is proposing a fee of \$905 or an I-485 adjustment of status application, representing an increase of \$580 from the current fee of 325. This proposed fee will prevent many asylees from being able to apply for legal permanent residence, which will delay their eligibility to naturalize as U.S. Citizens. Currently, many asylees have considerable trouble paying the current \$325 fee and will either wait to adjust, sometimes years after they are eligible, or request a fee waiver. LSS/SD worked with a college student and asylee. He does not have the money to pay for college and to pay for the adjustment filing fee. Under this USCIS proposal, however, not only is the application fee undergoing a 178% increase, USCIS is proposing to categorically eliminate the availability of fee waivers for all I-485 adjustment of status applications, except for refugees a fjusting status under INA §209(a) who will continue to be automatically exempt from the paying the I-185 application fee

In Section XI: fee waivers of the proposed rule, USCIS argues that because the likelihood of becoming a public charge is a ground of inadmissibility under INA §212(a)(4), allowing for a fee waiver for an adjustment application is inconsistent with the requirements of the benefit strught. When the applicant for permanent residence is an asylee this rationale is inconsistent with USCIS is mandate in INA §286(m) to offset the fee burden of "similar services provided without charge to asylum applicants or other immigrants." Similar to refugees, asylees are eligible for public assistance by virtue of their status and, according to 8 C.F.R. §209.2(a)(1)(v), the public charge ground of inadmissillative does not apply to asylees adjusting status. For an asylee, seeking a need-based waiver for an 1485 application is entirely consistent with the historical treatment of the population. The current policy is mindful of the desperate circumstances that force asylum seekers to flee their homes and the dire final icial situation an asylee may face during the early stages of his/her new life in the United States. The I-486 fee must also take this reality into consideration and, at least, provide waivers for those who most need the assistance.

LSS/SD is working with an asylee family of eight. The adjustment fee will be way beyond the means for the family. The biometric fees plus the adjustment fees of \$7240 plus the could of the USCIS physicals are costs which are out of reach for a family who has been in the USA for only one year.

LSS/SD thanks USCIS for continuing to exempt refugees adjusting their statul under INA §209(a) from paying the I-485 adjustment of status application fee. However, this does not alleviate the extraordinary burden that the proposed adjustment fees will impose upon asylees. Therefore, LSS/SD recommends that USCIS reevaluate the fee structure, especially the extraordinary increase, and institute a fee policy which

is consistent, reasonable and affordable for asylees applying for adjustment if status, LSS/SD especially encourages USCIS to maintain an accessible and clear fee waiver policy, particularly for those populations, such as asylees or trafficking victims, who receive public benefit by virtue of their status and/or are otherwise exempt from the public charge ground of inadmissibiliting. For example, since asylees are in similar compelling circumstances as refugees, they should receive the lame automatic exemption from paying adjustment fees as refugees.

Under the proposed fee, in Section IX: Proposed Fee Adjustments, the cost for the N-400 naturalization application would increase from \$330 to \$595, which represents an increase of 80 percent. For a family of four to naturalize, they would have to have \$2,380 in hand (an extra \$1,000 over the current fee), solely for the application fee. Such an expense would create an unreasonable difficult economic hardship for individuals who are eligible for naturalization and want to strengthen our mation's life by participating more fully in it as citizens.

For a refugee or asylee who has fled their home country and sought freedom and protection in the United States, the ability to naturalize as a U.S. citizen is one of the most important steps that individual can make toward becoming full participants in American society. Many foreign-born young men and women have joined the US military. Several refugees re-settled by LSS/SD are in this group and many have served in Irag or are now serving in Irag. Naturalization is a key component of the integration process and the Bush administration has recognized this, saying that helping immigrants become U.S. citizens is a priority. Furthermore, Article 34 of the 1951 UN Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees, as adopted by the 1967 UN Protocol Relating to Status of Refugees, to which the United States is a party, mandates that states make every possible effort to facilitate the rituralization of refugees and reduce as far as possible the costs of such proceedings. Given that citizenship is optimal for both an individual applicant and the country as a whole, LSS/SD is particularly troubled by the size and scope of the proposed fee increase for naturalization.

LSS/SD recommends USCIS reconsider this extraordinarily large increase and adopt a fee policy that is more realistic with what individuals and families, who receive benefits by virgie of their status as refugees or asylees, may be able to afford when seeking to naturalize. This should also include an affordable flat fee or a fee cap for a family to naturalize.

In conclusion, LSS/SD urges USCIS to reconsider the proposed fee increase impact on refugees and asylees. We ask that USCIS work with members of Congress to help resolve the disparity in adjudication costs versus revenues collected. USCIS must create an alternative and perminent funding stream that will support its operations. Seeking appropriations from Congress that supplement what USCIS collects in fees is the only realistic way for USCIS to operate effectively without imposing undue hardship upon individuals seeking to access immigration benefits. It also helps to assure that vulnerable individuals are not marginalized but are integrated into U.S. communities, achieving a good outcome for the individuals and a common good for the greater community. LSS/SD supports the effort of USCIS in modernizing its infrastructure, reducing backlogs and adjudication times, and ensuring that ill security background checks are completed accurately and efficiently. Nevertheless, we do not believe the expenses should not be passed off onto USCIS customers alone. LSS/SD hopes that USCIS will vibrk with immigrant communities and with Congress to develop a functioning, effective immigration system that will benefit our country.

Thank you for hearing our concerns.

Director, Refugee & Immigration Center