

From: mail.relay@mailmanager.net [mailto:mail.relay@mailmanager.net] **On Behalf Of**
Nathaniel Roberts
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2007 3:16 PM
To: USCIS Comments
Subject: DHS Docket No. USCIS-2006-0044 - USCIS Fee Increase Comment

Nathaniel Roberts
1250 Siskiyou Blvd.
Ashland, OR 97520-5001

March 5, 2007

USCIS Comments

Dear USCIS Comments:

I am writing to you as an international educator in opposition to the February 1, 2007, proposed rule "Adjustment of the Immigration and Naturalization Benefit Application and Petition Fee Schedule." The proposed fee increases are so exorbitant they will act as a disincentive for international students and scholars to study and work in the United States. USCIS must reconsider the role of appropriated funds to meet the administrative needs of the agency. I ask that fees be reduced to the actual cost of processing the application or petition.

International students and scholars, and campus officials that employ them, file tens of thousands of petitions and applications with USCIS annually, including:

- The near-doubling of the I-765 fee for international students in F status to apply for work authorization for Optional Practical Training, from \$180 to \$340.
- The increases in the fees required in connection with change of status from F to H-1B, including the increase from \$200 to \$300 (a 50 percent increase) in the I-539 application to change nonimmigrant status, and the increase from \$190 to \$320 (a 68 percent increase) in the I-129 petition for nonimmigrant worker. These fees are on top of the required data collection and fraud prevention fee, which is not part of the fee schedule.
- The huge increases in the fees required to adjust status from H-1B to legal permanent resident (green-card status), including: the near-tripling of the I-485 application fee for permanent residence, from \$325 to \$905; and the increase from \$195 to \$475 (143 percent) in the I-140 immigrant petition for alien worker. (The proposed increase in the I-485 fee is partly offset by the fact that it is accompanied by the elimination of additional filing fees for work authorization and for permission to re-enter after traveling abroad. However, the inclusion of those fees in the proposed new I-485 fee means that they would have to be paid even by those who do not expect to require the benefit.)

At Southern Oregon University, where I work, we have many students who apply for change of status and post-graduation employment (such as OPT) in particular. Often times, a change of status application is the difference between a student being able to continue his/her studies in the United States or return home. I fear that by increasing the fee for this so drastically, it will make many students rethink continuing their studies here - thus, preventing an exchange of culture and opportunity.

Additionally, as I mentioned above, many of our students also apply for and receive permission to work off campus following their studies. This allows many students to receive invaluable experience in their field of study. It also allows their employers to gain from a diverse perspective. Again, I fear that if the fee for these types of opportunities increases so drastically, it will hinder students from participating in these truly rewarding experiences.

On a more Oregon-based note, I should note that recruiting for international students has become a difficult task to pursue because of a lack of budget to use at SOU. That, mixed with ever-increasing tuition and fees, has led to SOU seeing our lowest number of international students enrolled. We currently have 77 international students enrolled for winter term. Our total student body population is close to 5,000, though. If USCIS fees increase along with our current issues at hand, I fear that international students and scholars at SOU may dwindle even further.

Many colleges and universities pay the USCIS fees for the green card process for the person being hired. It is less common for the fees for the family members, such as spouse and children, to be paid for by the colleges or universities. The increases in fees will have a severe impact on families.

Apart from the direct impact of the excessive fees, they are contrary to clearly expressed U.S. policies. The President, the Secretary of State, and indeed the Secretary of Homeland Security have expressed clearly and often the high value that the United States places on attracting international students and scholars to this country. The United States reaps immeasurable benefits—for our foreign policy, our students' education, our economy, our competitiveness, and our public diplomacy—from attracting the best and brightest minds and the next generation of world leaders to America's educational institutions.

Regrettably, the increased fees will reinforce the inefficiencies and dysfunctionalities USCIS inherited from INS when the Department of Homeland Security was created. The solution to correcting the systemic problems that plague the agency is not to keep raising fees in a futile attempt to finance a twenty-first century agency. Unfortunately, to meet its statutory requirements, USCIS is turning to its only steady source of funding, its filers and petitioners, to finance the required modernization of its systems. While that is understandable, it is not acceptable as a matter of public policy, because it prices statutory benefits out of the reach of those who need them.

The fact that USCIS operates on a fee-funded basis creates a built-in incentive to subsidize unnecessary work. This creates an incentive to requiring USCIS approval for things; that way, you can charge a fee for

processing the request, which provides necessary income to finance your broader operations. The I-765 fee for Optional Practical Training is a classic example. It used to be possible for school officials designated by the INS to approve OPT in accordance with agency regulations. The benefits of reinstituting and expanding this process speak for themselves: no application, no processing, no fee, one less task to be performed by the agency, more time to devote to what the agency really needs to do. There is no obvious public policy reason for USCIS to require agency approval for OPT today. With the Student and Exchange Visitor Information System (SEVIS) now fully in place, it would be easy to devolve this responsibility back onto the schools. Yet the cost of processing this unnecessary application process continues to drive up the fee.

While I understand that USCIS is permitted by law to recover the full cost of its services through fees, and indeed has no other option so long as Congress is unwilling to appropriate funds for the operation of the agency, I ask that the fees be reduced to the actual cost of processing the application or petition. Meanwhile, I ask Congress to step up to its responsibility for fixing the untenable situation that drives these fee increases.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,

Nathaniel J. Roberts, International Student Program Advisor
541.552.6660

This message has been verified by CapwizXC as authentic and sent by this individual. Authentication ID: [WBB76Qt0]

Changed message text: 22.8%