Stage 3: Database Implementation and Indexing

Team number: 33
Team name: TT
Team member:

Supawit Sutthiboriban (supawit3)

Yu-Ting Cheng (ytcheng4)
Yu-Chien Lin (yuchien4)

Han-Chih Chang (hanchih2)

Database implementation

1. GCP Connection

Database name: TT

2. DDL Commands

```
CREATE TABLE Users(
    UserId INT PRIMARY KEY,
    FirstName VARCHAR(225) NOT NULL,
    LastName VARCHAR(225) NOT NULL,
    PhoneNumber REAL,
    Email VARCHAR(225) NOT NULL,
    Password VARCHAR(225) NOT NULL
);

CREATE TABLE Temperature (
```

```
TemperatureLevel INT PRIMARY KEY,
    TemperatureMin INT NOT NULL,
    TemperatureMax INT NOT NULL
);
CREATE TABLE Clothes (
   ClothId INT PRIMARY KEY,
   UserId INT NOT NULL,
   ClothName VARCHAR(255) NOT NULL,
   Category VARCHAR(255) NOT NULL,
    Subcategory VARCHAR(255) NOT NULL,
   Color VARCHAR(255) NOT NULL,
   Usages VARCHAR(255),
   Image VARCHAR(255),
   TemperatureLevel INT,
    FOREIGN KEY (UserId) REFERENCES Users(UserId) ON DELETE CASCADE,
   FOREIGN KEY (TemperatureLevel) REFERENCES Temperature(TemperatureLevel)
ON
          DELETE SET NULL
);
CREATE TABLE FavoriteGroups (
    FavoriteId INT PRIMARY KEY,
   GroupName VARCHAR(255) NOT NULL,
   UserId INT NOT NULL,
   FOREIGN KEY (UserId) REFERENCES Users (UserId) ON DELETE CASCADE
);
CREATE TABLE Include (
    FavoriteId INT NOT NULL,
   ClothId INT NOT NULL,
    PRIMARY KEY (FavoriteId, ClothId),
   FOREIGN KEY (FavoriteId) REFERENCES FavoriteGroups(FavoriteId) ON
DELETE CASCADE,
    FOREIGN KEY (ClothId) REFERENCES Clothes(ClothId) ON DELETE CASCADE
);
CREATE TABLE WearingHistory (
   Date DATE NOT NULL,
   UserId INT NOT NULL,
   Cloth1 INT,
   Cloth2 INT,
   Cloth3 INT,
    Cloth4 INT,
```

```
Cloth5 INT,
PRIMARY KEY (Date, UserId),
FOREIGN KEY (UserId) REFERENCES Users(UserId) ON DELETE CASCADE,
FOREIGN KEY (Cloth1) REFERENCES Clothes(ClothId) ON DELETE SET NULL,
FOREIGN KEY (Cloth2) REFERENCES Clothes(ClothId) ON DELETE SET NULL,
FOREIGN KEY (Cloth3) REFERENCES Clothes(ClothId) ON DELETE SET NULL,
FOREIGN KEY (Cloth4) REFERENCES Clothes(ClothId) ON DELETE SET NULL,
FOREIGN KEY (Cloth5) REFERENCES Clothes(ClothId) ON DELETE SET NULL
);
```

3. Data records

a. Table name: Users

```
mysql> select count(*) from Users;
 count(*) |
     1000 |
1 row in set (0.01 sec)
mysql> describe Users;
| Field
              | Type | Null | Key | Default | Extra |
| UserId
             | int
                             | NO | PRI | NULL
| FirstName | varchar(225) | NO |
| LastName | varchar(225) | NO |
                                          | NULL
                                            NULL
| PhoneNumber | double
                             I YES I
                                          | NULL
 Email
            | varchar(225) | NO |
                                            NULL
 Password | varchar(225) | NO
                                          | NULL
 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

b. Table name: Clothes

```
mysql> select count(*) from Clothes;
 count(*)
    15911 I
1 row in set (0.00 sec)
mysql> describe Clothes;
l Field
                  | Type
                                | Null | Key | Default | Extra |
                                       | PRI | NULL
| ClothId
                  | int
                                 | NO
                                 | NO | MUL | NULL
| UserId
                  | int
                 | varchar(255) | NO |
                                            | NULL
| ClothName
                 | varchar(255) | NO |
| varchar(255) | NO |
                                              | NULL
| Category
| Subcategory
                                              | NULL
                                              | NULL
| Color
                 | varchar(255) | NO |
              | varchar(255) | YES |
                                              | NULL
Usages
                   | varchar(255) | YES
                                              | NULL
                                  | YES | MUL | NULL
| TemperatureLevel | int
9 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

c. Table name: Temperature

d. Table name: FavoriteGroups

e. Table name: Include

f. Table name: WearingHistory

Advanced Queries

1 .Query: Summarize the frequency of cool-weather outfit (Topwear and Bottomwear) worn in the past two weeks

```
SELECT c.ClothName, COUNT(*) AS Frequency
FROM WearingHistory wh
```

Example with UserId = 1 and End date = '2024-10-25'

(The output is less than 15 rows)

2. Query: Display the frequency of 'Topwear' clothing colors worn by the user within one month

```
SELECT c.Color, COUNT(*) AS ColorFrequency
FROM WearingHistory wh

JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR
wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId

OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId

WHERE wh.UserId = 123 AND c.Category = 'Topwear'

AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 30 DAY) AND
'2024-10-25'

AND c.Color IS NOT NULL

GROUP BY c.Color
ORDER BY ColorFrequency DESC;
```

Example with UserId = 123 and End date = '2024-10-25' and Category = 'Topwear'

(The output is less than 15 rows)

3. Query: List items that are not black, not for sports, and in one of the FavoriteGroups that were worn in the latest 2 weeks

```
SELECT c.ClothName, fg.GroupName, c.Color, c.Usages
FROM Clothes c
JOIN Include i ON c.ClothId = i.ClothId
JOIN FavoriteGroups fg ON i.FavoriteId = fg.FavoriteId
JOIN WearingHistory wh ON (c.ClothId = wh.Cloth1 OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth2
OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth3 OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth4
OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth5)
WHERE c.Color != 'black'
AND c.Usages != 'sports'
AND fg.UserId = 2
AND wh.UserId = 2
AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14 DAY) AND '2024-10-25'
GROUP BY fg.GroupName, c.ClothName, c.Color, c.Usages;
```

Example with UserId = 2 and End date = '2024-10-25'

```
mysql> SELECT c.ClothName, fg.GroupName, c.Color, c.Usages
   -> FROM Clothes c
   -> JOIN Include i ON c.ClothId = i.ClothId
   -> JOIN FavoriteGroups fg ON i.FavoriteId = fg.FavoriteId
   -> JOIN WearingHistory wh ON (c.ClothId = wh.Cloth1 OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth2
                                OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth3 OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth4
                                OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth5)
   -> WHERE c.Color != 'black'
   -> AND c.Usages != 'sports'
       AND fg.UserId = 2
       AND wh.UserId = 2
   -> AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14 DAY) AND '2024-10-25'
   -> GROUP BY fg.GroupName, c.ClothName, c.Color, c.Usages;
| ClothName
                                                   | GroupName | Color | Usages |
| Puma Women Corsica Tribal White Casual Shoes
                                                  Mrprd
                                                              | White | Casual
Gini and Jony Girl's Scotia White Purple Kidswear | Glkyptzb | White | Casual
Catwalk Women Brown Wedges
                                                  | Npvvtxn
                                                              | Brown | Casual
 Forever New Women Blossom Pink Printed Skirt
                                                  | Mrprd
                                                              | Pink | Casual
 Rocia Women Casual Tan Sandal
                                                   Mrprd
                                                              | Tan | Casual
5 rows in set (0.00 sec)
```

(The output is less than 15 rows)

4. Query: List ClothId of some "Category" that were often worn (time > threshold within the last two weeks) for certain 'Usages' but not in any favorite group

```
SELECT ClothId
FROM WearingHistory wh
JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR
wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
               OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId
WHERE wh.UserId = 120 AND c.Usages = 'Casual' AND c.Category = 'Topwear'
  AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14 DAY) AND
'2024-10-25'
 AND c.ClothId IS NOT NULL
GROUP BY c.ClothId
HAVING COUNT(*) >= 3
) EXCEPT (
SELECT ClothId
FROM Include NATURAL JOIN FavoriteGroups NATURAL JOIN Clothes
WHERE UserId = 120
);
```

Example with UserId = 120, EndDate = '2024-10-25', Threshold=3, Usages = 'Casual', Category = 'Topwear'

```
mysql> (
   -> SELECT ClothId
   -> FROM WearingHistory wh
   -> JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
                     OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId
   -> WHERE wh.UserId = 120 AND c.Usages = 'Casual' AND c.Category = 'Topwear'
   -> AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14 DAY) AND '2024-10-25'
-> AND c.Clothid IS NOT NULL
        AND c.ClothId IS NOT NULL
   -> GROUP BY c.ClothId
   -> HAVING COUNT(*) >= 3
   -> ) EXCEPT (
   -> SELECT ClothId
   -> FROM Include NATURAL JOIN FavoriteGroups NATURAL JOIN Clothes
   -> WHERE UserId = 120
ClothId |
    1897
    1898
rows in set (0.02 sec)
```

(The output is less than 15 rows)

Indexing Analysis Reports

1. Query: Summarize the frequency of cool-weather outfit worn in the past two weeks

Option 0: Baseline

```
EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT c.ClothName, COUNT(*) AS Frequency
FROM WearingHistory wh
JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR
wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId
JOIN Temperature t ON t.TemperatureLevel = c.TemperatureLevel
WHERE wh.UserId = 1 AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14
DAY) AND '2024-10-25' AND c.Category IN ('Topwear', 'Bottomwear')
AND t.TemperatureMin <= 50
GROUP BY c.ClothName
ORDER BY Frequency DESC;

EVANCY EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
-> JOIN TemperatureMin <= 5.0

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT c.ClothName, COUNT(') AS Frequency
-> JOIN TemperatureMin <= 5.0

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
-> JOIN TemperatureMin <= 5.0

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
-> JOIN TemperatureMin <= 5.0

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT C.ClothName, COUNT(') AS Frequency
-> JOIN TemperatureMin <= 5.0

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT C.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
-> JOIN TemperatureMin <= 5.0

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT C.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
-> JOIN TemperatureMin <= 5.0

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT C.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
-> JOIN TemperatureMin <= 5.0

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT C.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
-> JOIN TemperatureMin <= 5.0

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT C.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
-> JOIN TemperatureMin <= 5.0

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT C.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
-> JOIN TemperatureMin <= 5.0

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT C.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
-> JOIN TemperatureMin <= 5.0

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT C.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
-> JOIN TemperatureMin <= 5.0

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT C.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId O
```

Based on the result, we found two attributes in the execution that have not been indexed (since

they are neither primary keys nor foreign keys): t.TemperatureMin and c.Category. A table scan cost for t.TemperatureMin is 0.22 and a table lookup cost for c.Category is 120.76. Therefore, we decided to perform indexings based on these two attributes.

Option 1: c.Category Indexing

```
Create index catg_idx on Clothes(Category)

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT c.ClothName, COUNT(*) AS Frequency

FROM WearingHistory wh

JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR

wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId

OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId

JOIN Temperature t ON t.TemperatureLevel = c.TemperatureLevel

WHERE wh.UserId = 1 AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14

DAY) AND '2024-10-25' AND c.Category IN ('Topwear', 'Bottomwear')

AND t.TemperatureMin <= 50

GROUP BY c.ClothName

ORDER BY Frequency DESC;

The South Programmy Mids. (Second Second Seco
```

First, we tried indexing c.Category. However, we found that this indexing strategy didn't improve query performance, as the cost of the index lookup remained about the same at 120.77. We believe this is because indexing may not always improve performance for range searches on categorical columns, particularly when the column has only a few distinct values like this, since many rows still need to be accessed.

Option 2: c.Category and t.TemperatureMin Indexing

*note: we already have catg index from option 1

```
create index min_idx on Temperature(TemperatureMin);
EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT c.ClothName, COUNT(*) AS Frequency
FROM WearingHistory wh

JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR
wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId

OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId

JOIN Temperature t ON t.TemperatureLevel = c.TemperatureLevel
WHERE wh.UserId = 1 AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14
DAY) AND '2024-10-25' AND c.Category IN ('Topwear', 'Bottomwear')
AND t.TemperatureMin <= 50
GROUP BY c.ClothName
ORDER BY Frequency DESC;</pre>
```

```
| >> Solid frequency intex (actual time=05.487.36.480 comest loops=1)
| >> Table sear of componency (citcui time=06.816.26.26.26 comest loops=1)
| >> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=06.816.26.26.16 rows=1 loops=1)
| >> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=06.816.26.26.16 rows=1 loops=1)
| >> Filter: ((a.Catengo) (actual time=0.010.36.26.77 rows=0.001) or (a.Catengo) (actual time=0.010.36.26.76 rows=12 loops=1)
| >> Filter: ((a.Catengo) (actual time=0.010.36.26.76 rows=12 loops=1)
| >> Filter: ((a.Catengo) (actual time=0.010.36.26.36 rows=10.36.36 rows=10.36.36.37 rows=10.36.37 rows=10.36.37
```

We tried indexing t.TemperatureMin on top of our first option. This addition completely changed the query plan. As for t.TemperatureMin itself, the cost of index lookup decreased from 0.22 to 0.09. However, for c.Category, the query plan shifted to a full table scan instead of an index lookup, which increased the cost from 120.77 to 263.85. Despite this, the nested loop inner join cost improved from 1001.97 to 795.92. We believe this is because the selectivity of the c.Category column is low, as we mentioned earlier, making the full table scan more efficient in this case than using the index lookup. Therefore, we believe that option 2 is better than option 1 and baseline.

Option 3 t.TemperatureMin indexing

```
drop index catg_idx on Clothes;

EXPLAIN ANALYZE SELECT c.ClothName, COUNT(*) AS Frequency

FROM WearingHistory wh

JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR

wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId

OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId

JOIN Temperature t ON t.TemperatureLevel = c.TemperatureLevel

WHERE wh.UserId = 1 AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14

DAY) AND '2024-10-25' AND c.Category IN ('Topwear', 'Bottomwear')

AND t.TemperatureMin <= 50

GROUP BY c.ClothName

ORDER BY Frequency DESC;

The Section Processed Section (Section County (Se
```

Lastly, we dropped the c.Category index, leaving t.TemperatureMin as a single index. The cost for the index lookup on t.TemperatureMin remains around the same level as option 2 at 0.11. However, removing the c.Category index significantly increased the table scan cost to 425.51. Also, the nested loop inner join cost rose to 1279.72. This suggests that indexing c.Category is beneficial for table c scanning and nested loop inner join, and therefore we should keep it.

Conclusion: Option 2: c.Category and t.TemperatureMin Indexing is the best

2. Query: Display the frequency of 'Topwear' clothing colors worn by the user within one month

Option 0 baseline

```
explain analyze SELECT c.Color, COUNT(*) AS ColorFrequency
FROM WearingHistory wh

JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR
wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId

OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId

WHERE wh.UserId = 123 AND c.Category = 'Topwear'

AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 30 DAY) AND
'2024-10-25'

AND c.Color IS NOT NULL

GROUP BY c.Color

ORDER BY ColorFrequency DESC;

-> Soft ColorFrequency DESC (actual time-0.32.0.342 rows-2 loops-1)

-> Apprenate using temporary table (actual time-0.127.0.032 rows-1 loops-1)

-> Mare to loop inner join (cost-066.63 row-3) (actual time-0.122.0.031 rows-6 loops-1)

-> Eller (louesta 123 and (bh. last's lasten) (cost-1.59 rows-3) (actual time-0.064.0.093 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted by row ID (cost-1.59 rows-3) (actual time-0.07.0.033 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted by row ID (cost-1.59 rows-3) (actual time-0.07.0.033 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted by row ID (cost-1.59 rows-3) (actual time-0.07.0.033 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted by row ID (cost-1.59 rows-3) (actual time-0.044.0.0033 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted by row ID (cost-1.59 rows-3) (actual time-0.044.0.0033 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted by row ID (cost-1.59 rows-3) (actual time-0.044.0.0033 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted by row ID (cost-1.59 rows-3) (actual time-0.044.0.0033 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted by row ID (cost-1.59 rows-3) (actual time-0.044.0.0033 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted by row ID (cost-1.59 rows-3) (actual time-0.044.0.0033 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted by row ID (cost-1.59 rows-3) (actual time-0.044.0.0033 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted by row ID (cost-1.59 row-3) (actual time-0.044.0.0033 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted by row ID (cost-1.59 row-3) (actual time-0.044.0.0033 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted by row ID (cost-1.59 row-3) (actual time-0.044.0.0033 rows-6 loops-1)

-> There are tows anoted
```

Based on the result, we found two attributes in the execution that have not been indexed (since they are neither primary keys nor foreign keys): c.Color and c.Category. A table lookup cost for c.Category is 477.01. The cost related to the use of c.Color would be included in the temporary table aggregation and grouping steps, where ColorFrequency is calculated, but there isn't a specific cost component attributed solely to c.Color, so we would use the cost of Nested loop inner join to evaluate the cost of c.Color, which is 6066.63. In summary, we decided to perform indexings based on these two attributes.

Option 1 Color_idx

```
create index Color_idx on Clothes(Color);
explain analyze SELECT c.Color, COUNT(*) AS ColorFrequency

FROM WearingHistory wh

JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR

wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId

OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId

WHERE wh.UserId = 123 AND c.Category = 'Topwear'

AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 30 DAY) AND

'2024-10-25'

AND c.Color IS NOT NULL

GROUP BY c.Color

ORDER BY ColorFrequency DESC;

**Sort_ColorFrequency (actual time-0.394.0.389 rows=2 loops=1)

** Table soan on 
**Celegorage (actual time-0.394.0.389 rows=2 loops=1)

** Table soan on 
**Table soan on 
**Table soan on 
**Sort_ColorFrequency DESC;

**Sort_ColorFrequency (actual time-0.394.0.389 rows=2 loops=1)

** Table soan on 
**Table soan on
```

First, we tried indexing c.Color. However, we found that this indexing strategy didn't improve

query performance, as the cost of the index lookup remained about the same at 6066.63. We believe this is because of two reasons: (1) Low Selectivity: If Color values are repeated often, the index becomes less useful since scanning the index could be as costly as scanning the entire table. (2) Query/Optimizer Choice: If Color isn't frequently used in WHERE clauses, the optimizer may not select the index.

Option 2 Category_idx

```
Drop index Color_idx on Clothes;
create index Category_idx on Clothes(Category);
explain analyze SELECT c.Color, COUNT(*) AS ColorFrequency
FROM WearingHistory wh
JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR
wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
                                OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId
WHERE wh.UserId = 123 AND c.Category = 'Topwear'
    AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 30 DAY) AND
 '2024-10-25'
    AND c.Color IS NOT NULL
GROUP BY c.Color
ORDER BY ColorFrequency DESC;
                equency usad (actual time=10.567.10.567 rows=2 loops=1)
on <temporary (actual time=10.567.10.567 rows=2 loops=1)
te using temporary table (actual time=10.565.10.565 rows=2 loops=1)
te using temporary table (actual time=10.565.10.565 rows=2 loops=1)
ted loop innor join (cost=5365681.01 rows=11895) (actual time=2.049.10.527 rows=6 loops=1)
Filter: ((wh.UserId = 123) and (wh.`Date` between <cache>(('2024-10-25' - interval 30 day)) and '2024-10-25')) (cost=1.59:
               -> Intersect rows sorted by row ID (cost=1.59 rows=3) (actual time=0.035..0.074 rows=6 loops=1)
-> Index range scan on wh using UserId over (UserId = 123 AND '2024-09-25' <= Date <= '2024-10-25') (cost=0.25 rows=6) (actual time=0.025..0.041 rows=6 loops=
-> Index lookup on c using Category_idx (Category='Topwear'), with index condition: ((c.ClothId = wh.ClothI) or (c.ClothId = wh.Cloth2) or (c.ClothId = wh.Cloth4) or (c.ClothId = wh.Cloth5)) (cost=120.79 rows=3965) (actual time=1.738..1.739 rows=1 loops=6)
```

Secondly, we tried indexing c.Category. Compared to the baseline results, although the cost for a table lookup for c.Category has decreased from 477.01 to 120.79, the overall cost has not decreased but instead increased dramatically. When compared to the baseline result (cost=6066.63), the cost has increased sharply. Therefore, the result shows that adding the Category_idx significantly worsened performance. We believe the reasons for this outcome could be: (1) Low Selectivity with High Cardinality: If some categories have many records, using the index may result in more I/O than a full table scan. (2) Random I/O: The index could cause excessive random disk access, leading to worse performance than sequential full-table scans.

Option 3 Color_idx, Category_idx

Lastly, We tried indexing c.Color and c.Category together. From the results, we can see that indexing c.Color and c.Category together yields the same outcome as option2. The cost for a table lookup for c.Category remains at 120.79, and the overall cost is still 367581.01. This indicates that combining both attributes to do indexing does not provide any performance improvement. We believe the reasons for this result are related to the color_idx ineffectiveness in option1 and the poor performance with Category idx in option2.

Conclusion: Option0: baseline is the best

3. List items that are not black, not for sports, and in one of the FavoriteGroups that were worn in the latest 2 weeks

```
SELECT c.ClothName, fg.GroupName, c.Color, c.Usages

FROM Clothes c

JOIN Include i ON c.ClothId = i.ClothId

JOIN FavoriteGroups fg ON i.FavoriteId = fg.FavoriteId

JOIN WearingHistory wh ON (c.ClothId = wh.Cloth1 OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth2

OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth3 OR c.ClothId =

wh.Cloth4

OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth5)

WHERE c.Color != 'black'

AND c.Usages != 'sports'

AND fg.UserId = 2

AND wh.UserId = 2

AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14 DAY) AND
'2024-10-25'

GROUP BY fg.GroupName, c.ClothName, c.Color, c.Usages;
```

Option 0 Baseline

```
explain analyze SELECT c.ClothName, fg.GroupName, c.Color, c.Usages FROM Clothes c
```

```
JOIN Include i ON c.ClothId = i.ClothId
JOIN FavoriteGroups fg ON i.FavoriteId = fg.FavoriteId
JOIN WearingHistory wh ON (c.ClothId = wh.Cloth1 OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth2
                                                                  OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth3 OR c.ClothId =
wh.Cloth4
                                                                  OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth5)
WHERE c.Color != 'black'
    AND c.Usages != 'sports'
    AND fg.UserId = 2
    AND wh.UserId = 2
    AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14 DAY) AND
 '2024-10-25'
GROUP BY fg.GroupName, c.ClothName, c.Color, c.Usages;
   time=0.123..0.160 rows=6 loops=1)
-> Intersect rows sorted by row ID (cost=3.00 rows=3) (actual time=0.116..0.149 rows=6 loops=1)
-> Index range scan on wh using UserId over (UserId = 2 AND '2024-10-11' <= Date <= '2024-10-25') (cost=0.86 rows=6) (actual time=
-> Index range scan on who using UserId over (UserId = 2 AND '2024-10-11' <= Date <= '2024-10-25') (cost=0.86 rows=6) (actual time=0.116..0.149 rows=6)
-> Index range scan on wh using Userld over (Userld - 2 Amb 1021 to 10.105..0.113 rows=6 loops=1)

-> Index lookup on fg using Userld (Userld=2) (cost=1.97 rows=3) (actual time=0.009..0.010 rows=3 loops=6)

-> Filter: ((i.ClothId = wh.ClothI) or (i.ClothId = wh.Cloth2) or (i.ClothId = wh.Cloth3) or (i.ClothId = wh.Cloth4) or (i.ClothId = wh.Cloth5)
) (cost=0.80 rows=0.05) (actual time=0.005..0.006 rows=0 loops=18)

-> Covering index lookup on i using PRIMARY (FavoriteId=fg.FavoriteId) (cost=0.80 rows=4) (actual time=0.002..0.003 rows=3 loops=18)

-> Filter: ((c.Color <> 'black') and (c.Usages <> 'sports')) (cost=0.26 rows=1) (actual time=0.005..0.005 rows=1 loops=8)

-> Single-row index lookup on c using PRIMARY (ClothId=i.ClothId) (cost=0.26 rows=1) (actual time=0.003..0.003 rows=1 loops=8)
```

Without any indexing, the baseline query has a cost for table scan on <temporary> of 22.61. For further optimization, we chose to index Clothes(Usages) and Clothes(Color) because these attributes are crucial for filtering in the query and do not serve as primary or foreign keys.

Option1 Adding index Color_idx on Clothes(Color);

First, we added an index on Color, which reduced the cost for table scan on <temporary> slightly from 22.61 to 22.59. With Color_idx, we could filter black items more efficiently, reducing the cost of filtering on Color from 0.26 to 0.19. However, the overall improvement remains minimal, as the majority of the query cost comes from other operations. Additionally, since the Color column contains many repeated values, the index provides limited benefit. In this situation, scanning the index can become almost as costly as performing a full table scan.

Option2 Adding index Usages_idx on Clothes(Usages) & Color_idx on Clothes(Color);

```
create index Usages_idx on Clothes(Usages);
explain analyze SELECT c.ClothName, fg.GroupName, c.Color, c.Usages
FROM Clothes c
JOIN Include i ON c.ClothId = i.ClothId
JOIN FavoriteGroups fg ON i.FavoriteId = fg.FavoriteId
JOIN WearingHistory wh ON (c.ClothId = wh.Cloth1 OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth2
                           OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth3 OR c.ClothId =
wh.Cloth4
                           OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth5)
WHERE c.Color != 'black'
 AND c.Usages != 'sports'
 AND fg.UserId = 2
 AND wh.UserId = 2
 AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14 DAY) AND
'2024-10-25'
GROUP BY fg.GroupName, c.ClothName, c.Color, c.Usages;
```

By adding indexes on both Usages and Color, we reduced the cost for table scan on <temporary> 22.61 in the baseline to 19.43. Although the combined filtering cost on Color and Usages slightly increases to 0.25 (compared to 0.19 when filtering only on Color in Option 1), the overall query cost is significantly lower. This improvement may be due to the introduction of a hash join and an index range scan on the WearingHistory table, based on the Userld and date range conditions, with a cost of 1.12. Together, these optimizations contribute to the overall cost reduction, resulting in the best performance for Option 2.

Option3 Adding index Usages_idx on Clothes(Usages);

```
Drop index Color idx on Clothes;
explain analyze SELECT c.ClothName, fg.GroupName, c.Color, c.Usages
FROM Clothes c
JOIN Include i ON c.ClothId = i.ClothId
JOIN FavoriteGroups fg ON i.FavoriteId = fg.FavoriteId
JOIN WearingHistory wh ON (c.ClothId = wh.Cloth1 OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth2
                                                       OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth3 OR c.ClothId =
wh.Cloth4
                                                       OR c.ClothId = wh.Cloth5)
WHERE c.Color != 'black'
    AND c.Usages != 'sports'
    AND fg.UserId = 2
    AND wh.UserId = 2
    AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14 DAY) AND
 '2024-10-25'
GROUP BY fg.GroupName, c.ClothName, c.Color, c.Usages;
  -> Filter: (Wh. vserial colors)

time=0.099..0.149 rows=6 loops=1)

-> Intersect rows sorted by row ID (cost=3.00 rows=3) (actual time=0.085..0.130 rows=6 loops=1)

-> Index range scan on wh using UserId over (UserId = 2 AND '2024-10-11' <= Date <= '2024-10-25') (cost=0.86 rows=6) (actual time=0.085..0.130 rows=6)
0.063..0.075 rows=6 loops=1)
      .0.075 rows=6 loops=1)

-> Index lookup on fg using UserId (UserId=2) (cost=1.97 rows=3) (actual time=0.017..0.018 rows=3 loops=6)

-> Filter: ((i.clothId = wh.Cloth1) or (i.clothId = wh.Cloth2) or (i.clothId = wh.Cloth3) or (i.clothId = wh.Cloth4) or (i.clothId = wh.Cloth5)

st=0.80 rows=0.05) (actual time=0.006..0.007 rows=0 loops=18)

-> Covering index lookup on i using PRIMARY (FavoriteId=fg.FavoriteId) (cost=0.80 rows=4) (actual time=0.004..0.005 rows=3 loops=18)

-> Filter: ((c.Color <> 'black') and (c.Usages <> 'sports')) (cost=0.18 rows=0.5) (actual time=0.005..0.005 rows=1 loops=8)

-> Single-row index lookup on c using PRIMARY (ClothId=i.ClothId) (cost=0.18 rows=1) (actual time=0.004..0.004 rows=1 loops=8)
```

By only adding an index on Usages, the cost for table scan on <temporary> is 22.59, exactly the same as in Option 1, where we only indexed Color. Similar to Option 1, indexing a single attribute provides minimal improvement over the baseline. The limited impact likely results from the query's performance bottleneck, which may lie in the complex joins. Additionally, the Usages column contains many repeated values, reducing the effectiveness of the index.

Conclusion: Option 2: Adding Usages_idx & Color_idx is the best

4. Query: List ClothId of some "Category" that were often worn (time > threshold within the last two weeks) for certain 'Usages' but not in any favorite group Option0: baseline

```
explain analyze (
SELECT ClothId
FROM WearingHistory wh
 JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
                                                                OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId
WHERE wh.UserId = 120 AND c.Usages = 'Casual' AND c.Category = 'Topwear'
       AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14 DAY) AND '2024-10-25'
       AND c.ClothId IS NOT NULL
GROUP BY c.ClothId
HAVING COUNT(*) >= 3
 ) EXCEPT (
SELECT ClothId
 FROM Include NATURAL JOIN FavoriteGroups NATURAL JOIN Clothes
WHERE UserId = 120
 );
| > Table scan on 
| > Table scan on 
| > Table scan on 
| > Except materialize with deduplication (cost=2,85,.2,85 rows=0) (actual time=14,704,.14,704 rows=2 loops=1)
| > Except materialize with deduplication (cost=2,85,.2,85 rows=0) (actual time=14.703.14,703 rows=2 loops=1)
| > Filter: (count (0) > 3) (actual time=14,652,14,657 crows=2 loops=1)
| > Table scan on *temporary* (actual time=14,657, 14,658 rows=2 loops=1)
| > Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=14,654,14,655 rows=2 loops=1)
| > Filter: ((c.clothid = wh.Clothi) or (c.Clothid = wh.Cloth) or (c.Clothid = wh.Clothid = wh.Clo
                                                    -> Table scan on c (cost=523.37 rows=15447) (actual time=0.025..5.53; rows=15711 roops -/
-> Hash
-> Filter: ((wh.UserId = 120) and (wh. bate' between <cache>(('2024-10-25' - interval 14 day)) and '2024-10-25')) (cost=3.00 rows=3) (actual time=0.08
                                                                          -> Intersect rows sorted by row ID (cost=3.00 rows=3) (actual time=0.073..0.094 rows=6 loops=1)
-> Index range scan on wh using UserId over (UserId = 120 AND '2024-10-11' <= Date <= '2024-10-25') (cost=0.86 rows=6) (actual time=0.062..0.0
                   loops=1)

> Nested loop inner join (cost=0.85 rows=0.2) (actual time=0.022..0.022 rows=0 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=1.58 rows=0.4) (actual time=0.022..0.022 rows=0 loops=1)

-> Covering index lookup on FavoriteGroups using UserId (UserId=120) (cost=0.35 rows=1) (actual time=0.021..0.021 rows=0 loops=1)

-> Covering index lookup on Include using PRIMARY (FavoriteId=FavoriteGroups.FavoriteId] (cost=1.23 rows=4) (never executed)

-> Filter: (Clothes.UserId = 120) (cost=0.25 rows=0.05) (never executed)

-> Single-row index lookup on Clothes using PRIMARY (ClothId=Include.ClothId) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (never executed)
```

Option1: Adding index usages_idx on Clothes(Usages)

```
Create index usages_idx on Clothes(Usages);

explain analyze (
SELECT ClothId

FROM WearingHistory wh

JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId

OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId

WHERE wh.UserId = 120 AND c.Usages = 'Casual' AND c.Category = 'Topwear'

AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14 DAY) AND '2024-10-25'

AND c.ClothId IS NOT NULL
```

The query does not use the index lookup instead of table scan when filtering Usages and Category, but the cost of filtering Usages and Category has dropped from 523.37 to 502.77. The cost of Hash join has also been reduced.

Option2: Adding index category_idx on Clothes(Category)

```
Create index category idx on Clothes(Category);
explain analyze (
SELECT ClothId
FROM WearingHistory wh
JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
               OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId
WHERE wh.UserId = 120 AND c.Usages = 'Casual' AND c.Category = 'Topwear'
 AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14 DAY) AND '2024-10-25'
  AND c.ClothId IS NOT NULL
GROUP BY c.ClothId
HAVING COUNT(*) >= 3
) EXCEPT (
SELECT ClothId
FROM Include NATURAL JOIN FavoriteGroups NATURAL JOIN Clothes
WHERE UserId = 120
);
Drop index category_idx on Clothes;
```

```
| -> Table scan on <except temporary> (cost=5.35.5.35 rows=0) (actual time=10.466..10.466 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Except materialize with deduplication (cost=2.85..2.85 rows=0) (actual time=10.465..10.465 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Filter: (count(0) >= 3) (actual time=10.441..10.442 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Palpie scan on <temporary 2 (actual time=10.431..10.438 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Palpie scan on <temporary 2 (actual time=10.431..10.438 rows=2 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=1554.75 rows=1) (actual time=1.890..10.410 rows=6 loops=1)
| -> Filter: ((vh.Userial = 120) and (vh. Date' between <caches' ('2024-10-25' - interval 14 day)) and '2024-10-25')) (cost=3.00 rows=3) (actual time=0.044..0.107 rows=6 loops=1)
| -> Finder rows sorted by row ID (cost=3.00 rows=3) (actual time=0.037..0.090 rows=6 loops=1)
| -> Filter: (c.Usages = 'Casual') (cost=120.76 rows=0.3) (actual time=1.7124..1717 rows=1 loops=6)
| -> Filter: (c.Usages = 'Casual') (cost=120.76 rows=3) (actual time=1.715..1.717 rows=1 loops=6)
| -> Index lookup on c using category_dax (category='Topwear'), with index condition: ((c.Clothid = wh.Clothi) or (c.Clothid = wh.Clothid = wh.Clot
```

By adding category_idx, the database starts using the index to filter Category, which leads to the decrease of cost of filtering Usages and Category from 523.37 for table scan to 120.76 for index lookup. In addition, the cost of nested loop inner join(1554.75) is less than inner hash join(1573.31) of baseline.

Option3: Adding both usages_idx on Clothes(Usages) and category_idx on Clothes(Category)

```
Create index usages idx on Clothes(Usages);
Create index category_idx on Clothes(Category);
explain analyze (
SELECT ClothId
FROM WearingHistory wh
JOIN Clothes c ON wh.Cloth1 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth2 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth3 = c.ClothId
                                        OR wh.Cloth4 = c.ClothId OR wh.Cloth5 = c.ClothId
WHERE wh.UserId = 120 AND c.Usages = 'Casual' AND c.Category = 'Topwear'
    AND wh.Date BETWEEN DATE_SUB('2024-10-25', INTERVAL 14 DAY) AND '2024-10-25'
    AND c.ClothId IS NOT NULL
GROUP BY c.ClothId
HAVING COUNT(*) >= 3
) EXCEPT (
SELECT ClothId
FROM Include NATURAL JOIN FavoriteGroups NATURAL JOIN Clothes
WHERE UserId = 120
);
Drop index usages idx on Clothes;
Drop index category_idx on Clothes;
        able scan on <except temporary> (cost=5.35..5.35 rows=0) (actual time=12.153..12.154 rows=2 loops=1)

Except materialize with deduplication (cost=2.85..2.85 rows=0) (actual time=12.152..12.152 rows=2 loops=1)

-> Filer: (count(0) -> 3) (actual time=12.116..12.117 rows=2 loops=1)

-> Table scan on <temporary> (actual time=12.113..12.113 rows=2 loops=1)

-> Agregate using (emporary table (actual time=12.110..12.110 rows=2 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=1554.75 rows=2) (actual time=2.759..12.071 rows=6 loops=1)

-> Filter: ((wh.UserId = 120) and (wh. Date' between <cache>('2024+10-25' - interval 14 day)) and '2024-10-25')) (cost=3.00 rows=3) (actual time=0.064..0.373
                                -> Intersect rows sorted by row ID (cost=3.00 rows=3) (actual time=0.055..0.346 rows=6 loops=1)

-> Index range scan on wh using UserId over (UserId = 120 AND '2024-10-11' <= Date <= '2024-10-25') (cost=0.86 rows=6) (actual time=0.039..0.067 rows=
                        -> Filter: (c.Usages = 'Casual') (cost=120.77 rows=1) (actual time=1.947..1.949 rows=1 loops=6)
-> Index lookup on c using category_idx (Category='Topwear'), with index condition: ((c.ClothId = wh.ClothI) or (c.ClothId = wh.Cloth2) or (c.ClothId = wh.Cloth3) (cost=120.77 rows=3965) (actual time=1.945..1.947 rows=1 loops=6)
loop inner join (cost=2.85 rows=0.2) (actual time=0.013..0.013 rows=0 loops=1)
ted loop inner join (cost=1.85 rows=0) (actual time=0.013..0.013 rows=0 loops=1)
Covering index lookup on FavoriteGroups using UserId (UserId-120) (cost=0.35 rows=1) (actual time=0.012..0.012 rows=0 loops=1)
Covering index lookup on Include using PRIMARY (Favorited=PavoriteGroups.FavoriteId) (cost=1.23 rows=4) (never executed)
Single-row index lookup on Clothes using PRIMARY (ClothId=Include.ClothId) (cost=0.25 rows=-1) (never executed)
```

The result of indexing both Usages and Category is similar to the result of indexing Category. The cost of filtering Usages and Category slightly increases from 120.76 to 120.77. We believe

it is because of the overhead of indexing and the query optimizer does not choose to leverage the index on Cloth(Usages).

Conclusion: Option2: Adding index category_idx on Clothes(Category) is the best