Stage 3: Database Implementation and Indexing Report

Part 1: Database Implementation

Database connection:

```
CLOUD SHELL
                            (crested-studio-439517-e6) × + ▼
           Terminal
Welcome to Cloud Shell! Type "help" to get started.
Welcome to Cloud Shell: Type help to get started.

Your Cloud Platform project in this session is set to crested-studio-439517-e6.

Use "gcloud config set project [PROJECT_ID]" to change to a different project.

lyang01354@cloudshell:~ (crested-studio-439517-e6)$ gcloud sql connect yumididb --user=Leo
Allowlisting your IP for incoming connection for 5 minutes...done.
Connecting to database with SQL user [Leo].Enter password:
Welcome to the MySQL monitor. Commands end with ; or \g. Your MySQL connection id is 21818
Server version: 8.0.31-google (Google)
Copyright (c) 2000, 2024, Oracle and/or its affiliates.
Oracle is a registered trademark of Oracle Corporation and/or its
affiliates. Other names may be trademarks of their respective
Type 'help;' or '\h' for help. Type '\c' to clear the current input statement.
mysql> database
ERROR 1064 (42000): You have an error in your SQL syntax; check the manual that corresponds to
  Database
   information\_schema
  performance schema
mysql>
```

Data Insertion:

```
mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM Ingredients;
+-----+
| COUNT(*) |
+-----+
| 7993 |
+----+
1 row in set (0.00 sec)

mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM Recipes;
+-----+
| COUNT(*) |
+-----+
| 1 row in set (0.08 sec)

mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM Reviews;
+-----+
| COUNT(*) |
+------+
| 1 row in set (0.08 sec)
```

Advanced Query:

Query 1:

Calculate the average rating of the recipe based on the Reviews table.

SELECT

recipe_id, name, AVG(rating)

FROM

Reviews NATURAL JOIN Recipes

GROUP BY

recipe_id

LIMIT 15;

recipe_id	name	AVG(rating)
38	low fat berry blue frozen dessert	4.2500
39	biryani	3.0000
40	best lemonade	4.3333
41	carina s tofu vegetable kebabs	4.5000
43	best blackbottom pie	1.0000
45	buttermilk pie with gingersnap crumb crust	2.6667
46	a jad cucumber pickle	5.0000
48	boston cream pie	1.0000
49	chicken breasts lombardi	4.3500
50	biscotti di prato	4.5000
52	cafe cappuccino	5.0000
53	jimmy g s carrot cake	3.0000
55	betty crocker s southwestern guacamole dip	4.7500
58	low fat burgundy beef vegetable stew	4.4286
59	lou s fabulous bruschetta	5.0000

Query 2:

LIMIT 15;

Retrieve and rank the recipes based on their ratings in 2003

```
SELECT
DISTINCT recipe_id, name, rating
FROM
Recipes NATURAL JOIN Reviews
WHERE
recipe_id in (
SELECT DISTINCT
recipe_id
FROM
Reviews NATURAL JOIN Recipes
WHERE
date >= '2003-1-1' and date <= '2003-12-31'
)
ORDER BY
rating DESC
```

recipe_id	name	rating
14813	pork chop and new potato skillet	5
40893	white bean green chile pepper soup	5
20930	2 tomato pasta salad	5
41090	black and white bean salad	5
45732	cheesy fried eggplant aubergine	5
33096	world s easiest lemonade ice cream pie	5
45119	roasted sweet potato side or main	5
77001	caramelized nuts	5
58758	frozen coffee cooler	5
56494	sweet chilli prawn cakes	5
30968	summer tomato sauce	5
50181	savory tofu sauce	5
52968	extra cheesy macaroni cheese	5
77021	chicken raviolini soup	5
56916	ooey gooey butter cake	5

Ouery 3:

Get the recipe that uses beef as an ingredient and has calories less than 600 and number of reviews larger than 10, order the result based review count from high to low.

```
SELECT Recipes.recipe_id, name, description, calories, COUNT(review_id) AS review_count FROM Recipes

JOIN Reviews ON Recipes.recipe_id = Reviews.recipe_id

JOIN recipe_ingredient ON Recipes.recipe_id = recipe_ingredient.recipe_id

JOIN Ingredients ON Ingredients.ingredient_id = recipe_ingredient.ingredient_id

WHERE calories < 600 and ingredient_name like '%beef%'

GROUP BY recipe_id, name, calories, description

HAVING COUNT(review_id) > 10

ORDER BY review_count DESC;
```

recipe_id	name	description	calories	review_cour
54257	yes virginia there is a great meatloaf	absolutely delicious meatloaf and sauce! those who claim they don't believe there can be	43	1305
69173	kittencal s italian melt in your mouth meatballs	cooking the meatballs in simmering pasta sauce will not only add so much extra flavor to	129	997
33919	creamy burrito casserole	satisfy your craving for something different tonight, it's good and fairly easy, this is stuff i	32	877
63689	my family s favorite sloppy joes pizza joes	i've tried many recipes, this is the best. i once 'dec-tupled' (10x) this recipe to sell sandwic	26	720
27520	poverty meal	when I was a child, my family used to eat this at least once a week due to the fact that it is	33	516
92095	authentic italian meatballs	meatball recipes are often challenged by chefs who claim, "mine are the best!" even thoug	12	489
26217	bev s spaghetti sauce	this is a recipe i have developed over a number of years. this is the only spaghetti sauce m	51	344
48760	szechuan noodles with spicy beef sauce	tired of using ground beef the same old way? try this spicy dish! feel free to double the sa	39	315
87085	southwestern baked spaghetti	this is an easy, yet very tasty spaghetti casserole, which reminds me of the spaghetti they	30	302
37413	beef patties in onion gravy	good	29	272
155186	fantastic taco casserole	i originally found this taco casserole recipe in a taste of home magazine. since then, i hav	32	271
75302	mrs geraldine s ground beef casserole	this recipe came from a local church fund raising cook book. mrs. geraldine is a good frie	32	253
302120	traditional irish shepherd s pie	posting this per a request. I've said it once and i'll say it again there is nothing irish about c	39	248
29884	easy enchiladas beef or chicken	these easy enchiladas are my husband's favorite recipe of mine. because of the many diff	61	242

Ouery 4:

Retrieve the recipes that require more than 10 ingredients.

select recipe_id, name, count(*)

from Recipes NATURAL JOIN recipe_ingredient

GROUP BY recipe_id, name

HAVING COUNT(*) > 10

LIMIT 15;

recipe_id	name	count(*)
82	brazilian empadinhas	11
517	greek stuffed meatloaf	11
539	gingerbread yule log	11
2501	chocolate pumpkin spice cake	12
2974	cinnamon peach coffee cake	11
3667	apple cheese crisp	11
5366	pumpkin roll ii	11
5416	stroganoff laibchen small stroganoff loaves	11
6894	chocolate munchies	12
7074	spicy pineapple zucchini cake	11
8348	chocolate sauerkraut cake	12
8400	whole wheat chocolate cake	11
8519	pat s pumpkin bread	11
8846	lazy day oatmeal cake	11
11009	cream cheese apple muffins	11

Part 2: Indexing

Query 1:

Original query:

```
| -> Table scan on <temporary> (actual time=7676.657..7745.911 rows=231637 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=7676.647..7676.647 rows=231636 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=366975.92) rows=967755) (actual time=0.083..4572.006 rows=1132367 loops=1)
-> Covering index scan on Recipes using recipes name (cost=28261.60 rows=229351) (actual time=0.051..96.030 rows=231637 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on Reviews using recipe_id (recipe_id=Recipes.recipe_id) (cost=1.05 rows=4) (actual time=0.012..0.019 rows=5 loops=231637)
```

Create an index on rating:

```
| -> Table scan on <temporary> (actual time=5639.327..5705.073 rows=231637 loops=1)
   -> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=5639.318..5639.318 rows=231636 loops=1)
   -> Nested loop inner join (cost=366975.92 rows=967755) (actual time=0.076..3107.248 rows=1132367 loops=1)
   -> Covering index scan on Recipes using recipes_name (cost=28261.60 rows=229351) (actual time=0.041..62.657 rows=231637 loops=1)
   -> Index lookup on Reviews using recipe_id (recipe_id=Recipes.recipe_id) (cost=1.05 rows=4) (actual time=0.008..0.013 rows=5 loops=231637)
```

There is no difference after using the query. The reason is the attribute 'rating' doesn't participate in the join process. Although the attribute 'rating' appears in the query, but it doesn't participate in the join process.

Set index on (review id, rating)

```
| -> Table scan on <temporary> (actual time=8071.790..8141.864 rows=231637 loops=1)
-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=8071.781..8071.781 rows=231636 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=362677.10) rows=955473) (actual time=0.098..5592.533 rows=1132367 loops=1)
-> Covering index scan on Recipes using recipes_name (cost=28261.60 rows=229351) (actual time=0.052..62.501 rows=231637 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on Reviews using rating_index (recipe_id=Recipes.recipe_id) (cost=1.04 rows=4) (actual time=0.019..0.023 rows=5 loops=231637)
```

There is no obvious difference after setting the index. Although 'review_id' is the attribute for join process, it is already the primary key that determines the attribute 'rating'.

Set index on (recipe id, rating)

There is no obvious difference after implementing the index. Since 'recipe_id' is a foreign key that already got indexed, and rating doesn't participate in the join process. Thus, there is no obvious decrease on the cost. Therefore, based on the experiment of this query, we will not add any index on the Reviews table.

Query 2

Only when creating an index on Reviews(date) will it affect the cost because in the query it has a comparison. The other two indexes don't participate in the join process.

Default:

Create index on Reviews(date):

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=2375.756, 2375.758 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: Reviews.rating DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=2375.754.2375.756 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Table scan on <temporaryy (cost=186135.12.189357.75 rows=257611) (actual time=2360.147.2368.395 rows=41468 loops=1)
-> Temporary table with deduplication (cost=186135.12.189357.75 rows=257611) (actual time=2360.147.2368.395 rows=41468 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=186135.12.189357.87 rows=257611) (actual time=2360.147.2366.142 rows=41468 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=160378.397 rows=257611) (actual time=0.198..1961.251 rows=275956 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=70210.06 rows=61052) (actual time=0.170210.06 rows=61052) (actual time=0.170210.06 rows=61052) (actual time=0.184.551.947 rows=25961 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=48841.86 rows=61052) (actual time=0.144.551.947 rows=25961 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=48841.86 rows=61052) (actual time=0.144.551.947 rows=25961 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=2473.66 rows=61052) (actual time=0.014.351.947 rows=25961 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=2473.66 rows=61052) (actual time=0.014.351.947 rows=25961 loops=1)
-> Single-row covering index lookup on Recipes using PRIMARY (recipe_id=Reviews.recipe_id) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.005..0.005 rows=1 loops=32961)
-> Single-row covering index lookup on Recipes using PRIMARY (recipe_id=Reviews.recipe_id) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.002..0.002 rows=1 loops=32961)
-> Index lookup on Reviews using recipe_id (recipe_id=Reviews.recipe_id) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.002..0.002 rows=1 loops=32961)
```

Create index on rating;

Create index on name;

Query 3

We created the indexes for calories, ingredient_name, and description of the recipe respectively and then ran the explain analyze command for the query after dropping the last index created (if it exists). Since the table JOIN on the primary key, we chose the attributes in the WHERE and GROUP BY clause.

The index calories increases the cost from 55787 to 58922. It could be because the calories have a high cardinality, and it takes longer to retrieve the row with an additional index. The index ingredient_name reduces the cost from 55787 to 51760, for ingredient_name is a frequent attribute used for filtering. The index recipe_description does not change the cost at all. Since recipe_description is used in GROUP_BY after recipe_id, the optimizer may utilize recipe_id rather than recipe_description.

Another interesting observation is that the cost is permanently reduced by the ingredient_name even after dropping the index. It's possible that the optimizer learned a way to reduce the cost from adding the index.

Thus, the final index choice is adding index ingredient name, because it reduces the cost effectively.

Default:

```
mysqlv explain smalpus SILECT Recipes.recipe_id, name, description, calories, COUNT(review_id) AS review_count

> TOM Recipes of Recipes.recipe_id = Review.recipe_id

> JOIN Ingredient on Ingredients.ingredient_id = tecipe_ingredient.ingredient_id

> JOIN Ingredient on Ingredients.ingredient_id = tecipe_ingredient.ingredient_id

> JOIN Recipes Of Recipe_id_name_calories, description

> MANING COUNT(review_id) > 10

> ORDER BY review_count DEEC)

| EMPLAIN

| Provider_count DEEC (actual time=256.515.256.988 row=488 loops=1)

> Filter: (count DEEC (actual time=256.515.256.988 row=488 loops=1)

> Table soon on temporary (actual time=256.503.256.988 row=489 loops=1)

> Table soon on temporary (actual time=256.503.256.980 row=489 loops=1)

> Appropriate using temporary (actual time=256.503.256.08 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count DEEC] (actual time=256.503.256.08 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count DEEC] (actual time=256.503.256.08 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count DEEC] (actual time=256.503.256.08 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count-DEEC] (actual time=256.503.256.08 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count-DEEC] (actual time=256.503.256.08 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count-DEEC] (actual time=256.503.256 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count-DEEC] (actual time=256.503.256 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count-DEEC] (actual time=256.503.058 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count-DEEC] (actual time=256.503.058 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count-DEEC] (actual time=256.503.058 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count-DEEC] (actual time=256.503.058 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count-DEEC] (actual time=256.503.058 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count-DEEC] (actual time=256.503.058 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count-DEEC] (actual time=256.503.058 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted loop inner [in [count-DEEC] (actual time=256.503.058 row=703 loops=1)

> Neeted (
```

create index recipe_calories on Recipes(calories);

```
pycly create index recipe_calories on Recipes(calories);

Open To Press Intercent To Press

Security of Pres
```

drop index recipe calories on Recipes; create index ingredient_name on Ingredients

```
paged Top Loss recipe_calories on Nonlyman

Seconds 0 Deplicates of Warnings 1

Seconds 0 Deplicates 1
```

drop index ingredient_name on Ingredients create index recipe_description on Recipes

```
### Spot: review_count DESC (actual time=201.222..261.426 row=489 loogs=1)

**Spot: review_count DESC (actual time=201.222
```

Query 4

For the fourth query, we tried to add an index on name, minutes, and fat attributes of the Recipes table. However, creating index on each of these attributes showed no improvements. The EXPLAIN ANALYZE results are as follows.

This is the original cost of Query 4, cost=172502.33.

After creating an index on Recipes(name), the EXPLAIN ANALYZE result is as follows. The cost remains at 172502.33.

After removing the above index, we tried to create an index on Recipes(minutes), the EXPLAIN ANALYZE result is as follows. The cost remains at 172502.33.

Similarly, removing the above index, we tried to create an index on Recipes(fat), the EXPLAIN ANALYZE result is as follows. The cost remains at 172502.33.

Since adding indexes on either name, minutes, and fat did not cause any change to the query time, we decided to not add any index based on the experiment with this query. In terms of the ineffectiveness of these indexing setups, we believe it has to do with the simplicity of this query. This query only joins two tables (Recipes, and recipe_ingredient), groups the rows with the same recipe_id, and outputs the number of rows in each group. The joining action is done via foreign key, which is indexed by its nature. And, we do not have other actions in this query that need to scan tables to access a certain row. Therefore, adding index on any attributes of Recipes and recipe_ingredient that are not primary key or foreign key will not have impacts on the query.