

# 1. UML Diagram

## 2. Explanation

**Entities:** 

#### 1. User:

Users is an entity because it captures user-specific attributes like name, username and password.

### 2. Events:

Events is modeled as an entity because an event contains multiple attributes related to its nature (title, time, location, and tickets).

### 3. Locations:

Locations is an entity to model different places where events are held, and these are independent of other entities.

#### 4. Tickets:

Tickets is treated as a separate entity because tickets are sold for different events and have detailed attributes that would make this entity large if stored as

part of another table. A ticket has multiple attributes like section, row, fee, quantity, etc.

### 5. Promoter:

Promoter is modeled as an entity, which indicates the actual platform or seller selling the tickets. It contains attributes such as platform name and website. A promoter may or may not be related to a particular event, so it can be considered as an entity.

#### Relation Tables:

#### 1. Wishlist:

There is a many-to-many relationship between users and events. Thus, we create a relation table which can be uniquely identified by username and event title.

## Explanation of cardinality:

### Users to Events:

A user can add 0 or many events to their wishlist. This is a **many-to-many relationship**. An event can be wishlisted by 0 or many users. This assumption is made based on customer requirements as it depends on the user to wishlist 0 or multiple events.

Additionally, admin users can add new events. Admin user can add 0 or more events and an event is associated with at most one admin user. (Some events were added automatically.) Thus, this is a **one-to-many relationship** based on application constraints.

### Users to Tickets:

Additionally, admin users can add new tickets to the data. An admin user can add 0 or more tickets to the table and a ticket is associated with at most one admin user. (Some tickets were added automatically.) Thus, this is a **one-to-many relationship** based on application constraints.

#### Events to Tickets:

An event can have multiple tickets associated with it. An event can have either 1 or many tickets, and one ticket is related to one event. Thus, we have a **one-to-many relationship** between them. This assumption is made based on application constraints where we assign one event\_id to multiple tickets.

## • Events to Location:

An event can have only one location. The same location can be associated with 0 or more events. Therefore, we have a **one-to-many relationship.** This assumption is made based on application constraints where one location can host many events.

#### • Events to Promoters:

A promoter can sell tickets for 1 or more events. An event is associated with exactly one promoter. Some examples for promoters are <u>StubHub</u>, <u>ETickets</u>, <u>Sports Illustrated Tickets</u>, <u>Tickets.com</u>, <u>FeverUp</u>, <u>Vivid Seats</u>. Thus, it is an application based constraint showing **many-to-one relationship**.

## 3. UML Requirements

- 1. Our 5 entities are Users, Locations, Events, Tickets, and Promoter
- 2. We have a many-to-many relationship between Users and Events through the WishList relation table because many users wish for many events, and many events are wished for by many users. We have a 1-to-many relationship between Locations and Events because one location may host many events, but each event is hosted at one location.

#### 4. Normalization Proof:

Functional Dependencies:

- username → name, password, is admin
- location name → address, city, state, country, postal code
- event\_title → event\_url, datetime\_local, location\_name, promoter name, username
- ticket\_id 
   → event\_title, ticket\_price, total\_price, fee, full\_section, section, row, quantity, username
- $\bullet \quad \text{promoter\_name} \qquad \quad \rightarrow \text{promoter\_url}$
- username, event title → wishlist date

| Left Side Only | Middle | Right Side Only | None |  |
|----------------|--------|-----------------|------|--|
|----------------|--------|-----------------|------|--|

| username<br>ticket_id | location_name<br>event_title<br>promoter_name | name, password, is_admin, address, city, state, country, postal_code, event_url, datetime_local, ticket_price, wishlist_date, total_price, fee, full_section, section, row, quantity, promoter_url |  |
|-----------------------|---|--|--|
|-----------------------|---|--|--|

username  $\rightarrow$  event\_title, location\_name, ... (RHS), so it is a minimal superkey. Therefore, no singleton LHS key is a superkey.

## BCNF Proof for Each Relation:

Users

R(username, password, is admin)

FD: username → password, is admin

username+: {username, password, is\_admin} is R, so username is a superkey. No violating FD's, so BCNF

Locations

R(location name, address, city, state, country, postal code)

FD: location\_name → address, city, state, country, postal\_code

location\_name+: {location\_name, address, city, state, country, postal\_code} is R, so location\_name is a superkey.

No violating FD's, so BCNF

**Events** 

R(event\_title, event\_url, datetime\_local, location\_name, promoter\_name, username)
FD: event\_title → event\_url, datetime\_local, location\_name, promoter\_name, username
event\_title+: {event\_title, event\_url, datetime\_local, location\_name, promoter\_name,
username} is R, so event\_title is a superkey.

No violating FD's, so BCNF

**Tickets** 

R(ticket\_id, event\_title, ticket\_price, total\_price, fee, full\_section, section, row, quantity, username)

FD: ticket\_id → event\_title, ticket\_price, total\_price, fee, full\_section, section, row, quantity, username

ticket\_id+: {ticket\_id, event\_title, ticket\_price, total\_price, fee, full\_section, section, row, quantity, username} is R, so ticket\_id is a superkey.

No violating FD's, so BCNF

Promoter

R(promoter\_name, promoter\_url)

FD: promoter\_name  $\rightarrow$  promoter\_url

```
promoter_name +: {promoter_url}, so promoter_name is a superkey. No violating FD's, so BCNF
```

#### Wishlist

```
R(event_title, username, wishlist_date)

FD: event_title, username → wishlist_date

event_title, username +: {event_title, username, wishlist_date}, so event_title, username
is a superkey.

No violating FD's, so BCNF
```

# 5. Logical Design:

```
Users(
      username:VARCHAR(100) [PK],
      name: VARCHAR(100),
      password: VARCHAR(100),
      is admin:BOOLEAN
Events(
      event title: VARCHAR(250) [PK],
      event_url:VARCHAR(250),
      datetime_local:VARCHAR(100),
      location name: VARCHAR(250) [FK to Locations],
      promoter name: VARCHAR(250) [FK to Promoter],
      username: VARCHAR(100) [FK to Users]
      )
Locations(
      location name: VARCHAR(250) [PK],
      address: VARCHAR(250),
      city: VARCHAR(100),
      state: VARCHAR(100),
      country: VARCHAR(100),
      postal code:VARCHAR(10)
      )
Tickets(
      ticket id:INT [PK],
      event title: VARCHAR(250) [FK to Events],
      ticket price:FLOAT(2),
```

```
total_price:FLOAT(2),
    fee:FLOAT(2),
    full_section:VARCHAR(100),
    section:VARCHAR(100),
    row:VARCHAR(100),
    quantity:INT,
    username:VARCHAR(100) [FK to Users]
    )

Promoter(
    promoter_name:VARCHAR(250) [PK]
    promoter_url:VARCHAR(250)
    )

WishList(
    user_name:VARCHAR(100) [FK to Users][PK],
    event_title:VARCHAR(250) [FK to Events][PK],
    wishlist_date:VARCHAR(100)
)
```