

Explanations for each entity:

- Users
  - **Assumptions:** One row per human user. email is unique; password\_hash or external SSO token is stored; created\_at is system-generated.
  - **Why entity (not attribute elsewhere):** Users are the principal actors and are referenced by multiple tables (account\_memberships, group\_memberships, transactions.requested\_by/approved\_by, user\_news). They have their own lifecycle and constraints.
- Accounts:
  - **Assumptions:** Represents a paper-trading account/portfolio (e.g., “Personal”, “Group A”). Holds its own starting\_cash, account\_type, name, created\_at.
  - **Why entity:** Accounts own transactions and cash/position state. They are not attributes of a user because multiple users can belong to the same account with different roles.
- Account\_memberships:
  - **Assumptions:** M:N (many to none) between users and accounts. role governs permissions (owner/trader/risk/viewer). Composite PK (account\_id, user\_id) prevents duplicates.
  - **Why entity:** Membership depends on **both** user and account and carries its own attribute (role). Modeling as an attribute of either parent would cause redundancy and update anomalies.
- Groups:
  - **Assumptions:** Social/organizational construct (study group, club, class team). created\_by references to the user who created the group.
  - **Why entity:** Groups have their own lifecycle and membership separate from trading accounts (you can be in a group without sharing an account).
- Group\_memberships:
  - **Assumptions:** M:N between users and groups. Stores role (owner/manager/member/viewer) and added\_at. Composite PK (group\_id, user\_id).
  - **Why entity:** Same reason as account\_memberships: independent attributes and M:N cardinality.
- Tickers
  - **Assumptions:** Security master record per tradable symbol. symbol is the natural PK. asset\_type can be stock|crypto|...; names are not unique across all markets, but symbol is unique in our universe.
  - **Why entity:** Referenced by price\_bars, transactions, user\_news, and news\_ticker\_map. Keeping a single source of truth avoids repeating symbol metadata.
- Price\_bars:

- **Assumptions:** Time-series market data; one row per (ticker, time) with OHLCV. source indicates REAL vs SIM. The composite PK (ticker, time) is unique.
- **Why entity:** Very high cardinality, independent ingestion cadence, and different lifecycle/retention than tickers or transactions. Not an attribute of tickers because it's a repeating time-series.
- **News\_articles:**
  - **Assumptions:** Curated news with published\_at, source, title, url. sentiment is optional; impact\_tags[] is a small array/string of tags (kept denormalized for simplicity/perf at this stage).
  - **Why entity:** Articles link to many tickers and are consumed by many users. They carry their own metadata and lifecycle separate from symbols.
- **News\_ticker\_map:**
  - **Assumptions:** M:N between news and tickers (one article can affect many tickers; one ticker can be in many articles). Composite PK (article\_id, ticker).
  - **Why entity:** Pure relationship table, prevents duplication of article rows per ticker and supports efficient joins.
- **User\_news:**
  - **Assumptions:** M:N between users and tickers for “followed” symbols. Composite PK (user\_id, ticker).
  - **Why entity:** It captures a user-specific preference over tickers; not an attribute of users or tickers because it depends on both and is many-valued.
- **Transactions:**
  - **Assumptions:** Immutable execution log: one row per trade event. Required fields: account\_id, ticker, time, side (BUY/SELL), qty, price, kind (ORDER|FILL), status. requested\_by is required (who placed it); approved\_by is nullable (some accounts may require no approval).
  - **Why entity:** Central event stream with auditability and foreign keys to users/accounts/tickers. Not an attribute on account because there are many per account and they have their own lifecycle.

Explanations for each relationship:

- **Users ↔ Accounts via Account\_Memberships**
  - **Cardinality:** M:N realized as a bridge.
  - **Why:** A user can participate in many accounts (personal plus team funds); an account can have many users with roles. Modeling directly as attributes would break normalization and permission flexibility.
- **Users ↔ Groups via Group\_Memberships**

- **Cardinality:** M:N.
  - **Why:** A user can join many groups; groups have many members; membership carries role and added\_at.
- **Users → Groups (created\_by)**
  - **Cardinality:** 1:M (a user can create many groups; a group is created by exactly one user).
  - **Why:** Ownership/audit trail requirement.
- **Accounts → Transactions**
  - **Cardinality:** 1:M (each transaction belongs to exactly one account; an account has many transactions).
  - **Why:** All orders/fills execute within an account context (cash/positions).
- **Users → Transactions (requested\_by / approved\_by)**
  - **Cardinality:** 1:M from Users to Transactions for each role. approved\_by is optional (0 or 1 approving user).
  - **Why:** Auditability: who placed and who approved (if policy requires approval). Keeps separation of duties.
- **Tickers → Transactions**
  - **Cardinality:** 1:M (a transaction references exactly one ticker; a ticker appears in many transactions).
  - **Why:** Each trade is for one instrument.
- **Tickers → Price\_Bars**
  - **Cardinality:** 1:M with composite uniqueness (ticker, time).
  - **Why:** One symbol has many time-stamped bars; a bar belongs to exactly one symbol.
- **News\_Articles ↔ Tickers via News\_Ticker\_Map**
  - **Cardinality:** M:N.
  - **Why:** One article may impact multiple tickers; each ticker can be referenced by many articles.
- **Users ↔ Tickers via User\_News (watchlist)**
  - **Cardinality:** M:N.
  - **Why:** Each user can follow many tickers; each ticker can be followed by many users.