CS411_Team072_Stage3 Submission

Our database implements eight main tables: Professors, Departments, Users, GeneralCourse, SectionAttributes, GPAHistory, Teaches, and UserFeedback. Five of these tables contain more than 1000 rows: Professors, GeneralCourse, SectionAttributes, GPAHistory, and Teaches. The DDL commands for making and linking these tables are included in the repository in the src/db_setup.ddl file. All of the tables, excluding Users and UserFeedback, use real data. One thing we would like to note is that Departments was not included in our previous documents or submissions, but we added this table because our data didn't have a standard format for the department. We standardized the format by using DepartmentCode for everything and have this table to get the department name from the code easily.

Data sources

Professors: Come from a page with most of the faculty

Departments: Comes from GeneralCourse

Users: Generated by us

GeneralCourse: Scraped all the courses starting from here for Fall 2023. Example page we

scrape GeneralCourse info from

SectionAttributes: Scraped from the "sections" portion of a GeneralCourse .xml page.

Example page, we scrape the SectionAttributes info from

To clarify further on *GeneralCourse* and *SectionAttributes*, we basically follow the chain of .xml files that, starting from Semester, give you all departments, courses, sections, etc. See the create_course_csv.py file in the data_parsing folder in the github to see how we did this.

GPAHistory: Comes from some of the raw data in <u>Wade's dataset</u>

Teaches: Generated with the Professors and SectionAttributes .csv files

UserFeedback: Generated by us

See the screenshots below for statistics on our tables and some example entries (hot off the GCP console).

Figure 1: GCP Connection and databases. Our database is uiuc_course_hub

DDL Statements for our Tables (Figure 2-5)

```
# DDL for team072 database for CS 411 SP24
CREATE TABLE IF NOT EXISTS Professors(
   NetId
                        VARCHAR(255) PRIMARY KEY,
                        VARCHAR(255),
   LastName
   FirstName
                         VARCHAR(255),
   LastNameFirstIni
                        VARCHAR(255),
   DepartmentCode
                         VARCHAR(10)
CREATE TABLE IF NOT EXISTS Departments(
   DepartmentCode VARCHAR(10) PRIMARY KEY,
   Department
                        VARCHAR(255)
CREATE TABLE IF NOT EXISTS Users(
                        VARCHAR(255) PRIMARY KEY,
                         VARCHAR(255),
   Password
                         VARCHAR(255),
   LastName
   FirstName
```

Figure 2: DDL Commands

```
CREATE TABLE IF NOT EXISTS GeneralCourse(
   CourseNum
   CourseName
   CreditHours
                          VARCHAR(16384),
   Description
   GenEdAtrrib
                         VARCHAR(10),
   DepartmentCode
   PRIMARY KEY (CourseNum, DepartmentCode)
CREATE TABLE IF NOT EXISTS SectionAttributes(
   CourseNum
   CRN
   Section
   SectionType
   Professors
   DepartmentCode
   Department
   Year
   Semester
    FOREIGN KEY (CourseNum, DepartmentCode) REFERENCES GeneralCourse(CourseNum, DepartmentCode) ON DELETE CASCADE ON UPDATE CASCADE,
   PRIMARY KEY (CRN, Semester, Year)
```

Figure 3: DDL Commands

```
CREATE TABLE IF NOT EXISTS GPAHistory(
    DepartmentCode
    CourseNum
    CourseName
    Sched_Type
    A plus
    A_stan
   A_minus
                          INT,
   B_plus
    B_stan
    B_minus
    C_plus
   C_stan
                           INT,
    C_minus
   D plus
    D_stan
   D minus
                          INT,
    F_stan
    Avg_Grade
    Instructor
    Semester
    FOREIGN KEY (CourseNum, DepartmentCode) REFERENCES GeneralCourse(CourseNum, DepartmentCode) ON DELETE CASCADE ON UPDATE CASCADE,
    PRIMARY KEY (CRN, Semester, Year)
CREATE TABLE IF NOT EXISTS Teaches(
    CRN
    Semester
    Year
    FOREIGN KEY (CRN, Semester, Year) REFERENCES SectionAttributes(CRN, Semester, Year) ON DELETE CASCADE ON UPDATE CASCADE,
    FOREIGN KEY (NetId) REFERENCES Professors(NetId) ON DELETE CASCADE ON UPDATE CASCADE,
    PRIMARY KEY (CRN, Semester, Year, NetId)
```

Figure 4: DDL Commands

```
CREATE TABLE IF NOT EXISTS UserFeedback(
   FeedbackId
                         VARCHAR(255) PRIMARY KEY,
   Email
   Year
   CRN
   Semester
   Testimony
                          VARCHAR(16384),
                          DECIMAL(10,2),
   Rating
   Difficulty
                          DECIMAL(10,2),
                          DECIMAL(10,2),
   TimeCommit
                           TIMESTAMP,
   Time_stamp
   FOREIGN KEY (Email) REFERENCES Users(Email) ON DELETE CASCADE ON UPDATE CASCADE,
   FOREIGN KEY (CRN, Semester, Year) REFERENCES SectionAttributes(CRN, Semester, Year) ON DELETE CASCADE ON UPDATE CASCADE
```

Figure 5: DDL Commands

```
mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM GPAHistory;
| COUNT(*) |
     17294 |
1 row in set (0.10 sec)
mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM GeneralCourse;
| COUNT (*) |
      4497 |
1 row in set (0.46 sec)
mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM Professors;
| COUNT(*) |
     1643 |
1 row in set (0.16 sec)
mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM SectionAttributes;
| COUNT(*) |
     12040 |
1 row in set (0.11 sec)
mysql> SELECT COUNT(*) FROM Teaches
 COUNT(*) |
      2979 |
```

Figure 6: Tables with more than 1000 rows

Queries

 List of professors who teach 400 and 500 level courses with avg_grade greater than 3.5, group by department name

```
Select p.FirstName, p.LastName, p.Netid, g.CourseNum, d.Department, g.CourseName, g.Avg_grade from Professors p join Teaches t on t.NetId=p.NetId

Join GPAHistory g on g.CRN=t.CRN

Join Departments d on d.DepartmentCode=g.DepartmentCode

Where g.CourseNum like "4%" or g.CourseNum like "5%"

Group by d.Department, p.FirstName, p.LastName, p.Netid, g.CourseNum, g.CourseName, g.Avg_grade

Having avg(g.avg_grade) >3.5

Order by g.avg_grade desc, d.department asc

limit 15;
```

Output:

```
| Database Changes | Database | Datab
```

Figure 7: Query 1

2. Ranking of departments based on their academic performance, represented by the average GPA of courses offered, and the breadth of their academic offerings, indicated by the number of distinct courses available. Departments with higher average GPAs and more course offerings are considered to be of higher academic standing.

```
-With DepartmentGPA AS (
    Select DepartmentCode, AVG(Avg Grade) AS AverageGPA
    From GPAHistory Group By DepartmentCode
-), DepartmentCourses AS (
    Select DepartmentCode, Count(DISTINCT CourseNum) AS CourseCount
    From GeneralCourse Group By DepartmentCode
-), DepartmentRanking AS (
        d.DepartmentCode, d.Department,
        IFNULL(g.AverageGPA, 0) AS AvgGPA,
        IFNULL(c.CourseCount, 0) AS CourseCount,
        (IFNULL(g.AverageGPA, 0) * 0.7 + IFNULL(c.CourseCount, 0) * 0.3) AS CombinedScore
    From Departments d
    LEFT JOIN DepartmentGPA g ON d.DepartmentCode = g.DepartmentCode
    LEFT JOIN DepartmentCourses c ON d.DepartmentCode = c.DepartmentCode
 Select DepartmentCode, Department, AvgGPA, CourseCount, CombinedScore,
 RANK() OVER (ORDER BY CombinedScore DESC) AS Ranking
From DepartmentRanking
Order By CombinedScore DESC, DepartmentCode
LIMIT 15;
```

Metrics:

Average GPA: Calculated from the GPAHistory table, representing the academic quality. Course Offerings: The count of distinct courses in the GeneralCourse table, representing the breadth of the department's offerings.

Combined Score: A weighted score that considers both the average GPA and the number of courses to rank departments.

Output:

DepartmentCode	Department			CombinedScore	
MUS	Music	3.597831	108	34.9184817	2
IS	Information Sciences	3.761738	103	33.5332166	3
ECE	Electrical and Computer Engineering	3.240278	101	32.5681946	4
CS	Computer Science	3.512753	89	29.1589271	5
THEA	Theatre	3.543867	84	27.6807069	6
CLE	Clinical Sciences and Engineering	0.000000	89	26.7000000	7
BADM	Business Administration	3.689046	77	25.6823322	8
MATH	Mathematics	3.114456	76	24.9801192	9
PSYC	Psychology	3.251376	67	22.3759632	10
FIN	Finance	3.492004	65	21.9444028	11
CEE	Civil and Environmental Engineering	3.380821	61	20.6665747	12
ENGL	English	3.547385	60	20.4831695	13
CHEM	Chemistry	3.063567	58	19.5444969	14
FSHN	Food Science and Human Nutrition	3.644809	56	19.3513663	15

Figure 8 : Query 2

3. List of 1 Credit course offered by the CS department having avg_grade > 3.9

```
Select distinct c.CourseNum, c.CourseName, c.CreditHours, g.Semester, g.Year, g. Avg_grade from GeneralCourse c join GPAHistory g on g.CourseNum=c.CourseNum

Where c.CreditHours='l hours.' and g.Year in (2022,2023) AND g.Semester LIKE 'Sp%'

Group by c.CourseNum, c.CourseName, c.CreditHours, g.Semester, g.Year, g. Avg_grade

Having g.Avg_grade >=3.9

Order by g.CourseNum desc Limit 15;
```

Output:

CourseNum	CourseName	CreditHours			
598	Seminar	1 hours.	Spring	 2022	•
598	Seminar	1 hours.	Spring	2022	3.91
598	Seminar	1 hours.	Spring	2022	3.98
598	Seminar	1 hours.	Spring	2023	3.91
598	Seminar	1 hours.	Spring	2023	3.94
592	Preparing Graduate Fellowships	1 hours.	Spring	2023	3.93
591	Current Research in Geoscience	1 hours.	Spring	2023	3.95
591	Engineering Advanced Seminar	1 hours.	Spring	2023	3.95
591	Introductory Professional Development for Chemists	1 hours.	Spring	2023	3.95
591	Seminar	1 hours.	Spring	2023	3.95
590	Doctoral Research Seminar and Colloquium	1 hours.	Spring	2022	3.92
590	Doctoral Research Seminar and Colloquium	1 hours.	Spring	2022	3.95
590	Doctoral Research Seminar and Colloquium	1 hours.	Spring	2022	3.97
590	Doctoral Research Seminar and Colloquium	1 hours.	Spring	2023	3.92
590	Seminar	1 hours.	Spring	2022	3.92

Figure 9: Query 3

4. List the Number of Courses offered by the CS and ECE departments with an avg_grade greater than or equal to 3.5 using Union.

```
SELECT g.DepartmentCode, c.CourseNum, c.CourseName, c.CreditHours, g.Semester, g.Avg_grade

FROM GeneralCourse c

JOIN GPAHistory g ON g.CourseNum = c.CourseNum

WHERE g.DepartmentCode = 'CS' AND g.CourseNum LIKE '5%' AND g.Avg_grade >= 3.5

GROUP BY g.DepartmentCode, c.CourseNum, c.CourseName, c.CreditHours, g.Semester, g.Avg_grade

UNION

SELECT g.DepartmentCode, c.CourseNum, c.CourseName, c.CreditHours, g.Semester, g.Avg_grade

FROM GeneralCourse c

JOIN GPAHistory g ON g.CourseNum = c.CourseNum

WHERE g.DepartmentCode = 'ECE' AND g.CourseNum LIKE '5%' AND g.Avg_grade >= 3.5

GROUP BY g.DepartmentCode, c.CourseNum, c.CourseName, c.CreditHours, g.Semester, g.Avg_grade

ORDER BY Semester

LIMIT 15;
```

Output:

DepartmentCode	CourseNum	CourseName	CreditHours	Semester	Avg_grade
CS	, 527	Advanced Vitamins and Minerals: Regulations of Metabolism	3 hours.	Fall	3.8
CS	527	Advanced Price Analysis	4 hours.	Fall	3.79
CS	527	Graduate Level Trombone	2 TO 5 hours.	Fall	3.8
CS	527	Geospatial Artificial Intelligence & Machine Learning	4 hours.	Fall	3.8
CS	527	Seminar in 18th C Literature	4 hours.	Fall	3.7
CS	527	Topics in Software Engineering	4 hours.	Fall	3.7
CS	527	Seminar in 18th C Literature	4 hours.	Fall	3.8
CS	527	Plasma Technology of Gaseous Electronics	4 hours.	Fall	3.8
CS	527	MSFE Professional Development	0 hours.	Fall	3.8
CS	527	System-On-Chip Design	4 hours.	Fall	3.8
CS	527	Advanced Regression Analysis	4 hours.	Fall	3.8
ECE	549	Asymptotic Methods	4 hours.	Fall	3.5
CS	527	System-On-Chip Design	4 hours.	Fall	3.7
CS	527	Parasitology/Epidemiology Sem	1 hours.	Fall	3.8
CS	527	Topics in Software Engineering	4 hours.	Fall	3.8

Figure 10: Query 4

Indexing Analysis

Query 1

Indexing Analysis Report:

The initial query aims to identify professors who have taught courses with an average GPA greater than 3.5. These courses belong to departments and have course numbers starting with either '4' or '5'

(helping in assessing the performance of professors in teaching higher-level courses and their impact on students' academic performance)

On Running EXPLAIN ANALYZE on the first query following output was obtained:

Nested loop inner join cost: 3805.89

Indexing Design 1

Indexing GPAHistory(Avg_grade) for this query:

CREATE INDEX idx gpa history avg grade ON GPAHistory (Avg grade);

After running for GPAHistory(Avg_grade)

```
| >> Limit: 15 row(s) (attual time=21.301.21.305 come=15 loops=1)
| >> Sort: g.Ang. Grade [DECC. d.hepartment (attual time=21.300.21.303 rows=15 loops=1)
| >> Filter: (avg(g.Avg. Grade) > 3.5) (actual time=19.405.1.9.83 rows=546 loops=1)
| >> Table scan on Ctemporary (actual time=19.405.1.9.83 rows=1006 loops=1)
| >> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=19.406.1.9.406 rows=1006 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=3210.63 rows=1700) (actual time=0.117.1.6.527 rows=1029 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=3210.63 rows=1700) (actual time=0.108.1.5.337 rows=1029 loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=3210.63 rows=1700) (actual time=0.066.1.1.054 rows=2079) loops=1)
| -> Nested loop inner join (cost=3510.77 rows=1700) (actual time=0.066.1.1.054 rows=2979 loops=1)
| -> Covering index scan on tusing NetId (cost=316.11 News=2979) (actual time=0.066.1.054 rows=2979 loops=1)
| -> Filter: (((g.couseNum like '44') or (g.couseNum like '54')) and (g.DepartmentCode in on tunil)) (cost=0.50 rows=1) (actual time=0.004..0.004 rows=0 loops=299)
| -> Index lookup on g using PRIMARY (DepartmentCode) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.001.0.001 rows=1 loops=299)
| -> Single-row index lookup on p using PRIMARY (DepartmentCode) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.001.0.001 rows=1 loops=1029)
| -> Single-row index lookup on p using PRIMARY (Beltal (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.001.0.001 rows=1 loops=1029)
```

Observations / Analysis:

- Nested loop inner join cost: 3805.89
- The cost did not change for this indexing, likely because most of the cost comes from the joins on attributes that are already indexed as primary keys.

Indexing Design 2

Indexing GPAHistory(CourseNum) for this query

CREATE INDEX idx gpa history course num ON GPAHistory (CourseNum)

After running for GPAHistory(CourseNum)

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=23.337.23.340 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: g.Avg_Carde DESO_ d.Papartment (actual time=23.355.23.338 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Filter: (avg_Carde DESO_ d.Papartment (actual time=20.855.21.469 rows=546 loops1)
-> Table scanses (avg_Carde) 3.05 (actual time=20.855.21.469 rows=546 loops1)
-> Table scanses (avg_Carde) 3.05 (actual time=20.843.20.843 rows=100.100.0000)
-> Negregia wising temporary table (actual time=20.843.20.843 rows=100.100.0000)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=210.83 rows=1700) (actual time=0.212.16.295 rows=1029 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=210.83 rows=1700) (actual time=0.212.16.295 rows=1029 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=2510.83 rows=1700) (actual time=0.212.16.295 rows=109 loops=1)
-> Powering index scan on t using NetId (cost=316.11 rows=20.974) (actual time=0.154.1.280 rows=2979 loops=1)
-> Filter: (((g.CourseNum like '4*') or (g.CourseNum like '5*')) and (g.DepartmentCode is not null) (cost=0.50 rows=1) (actual time=0.004..0.005 rows=0 loops=297)
-> Index lookup on g using PRIMARY (CNS=1.050 rows=3) (actual time=0.031..0.004 rows=1 loops=2979)
-> Single-row index lookup on q using PRIMARY (Cost=0.50 rows=3) (actual time=0.001..0.001 rows=1 loops=1029)
-> Single-row index lookup on p using RRIMARY (Cost=0.85 rows=3) (actual time=0.001..0.001 rows=1 loops=1029)
```

Observations / Analysis:

- Nested loop inner join cost: 3805.89
- The cost did not change for this indexing, likely because most of the cost comes from the joins on attributes that are already indexed as primary keys.

Indexing Design 3

Indexing GPAHistory(DepartmentCode) for this query:

CREATE INDEX idx gpa history department code ON GPAHistory (DepartmentCode);

After indexing GPAHistory(DepartmentCode)

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=20.596.20.690 cows=15 loops=1) |
|-> Sout: g.Avg_Cade | NSC, d.hog.actual time=20.559.20.588 rows=15 loops=1) |
|-> Filter: (avg(g.Avg_Grade) > 3.5) (actual time=18.750.19.199 rows=546 loops=1) |
|-> Table scan on t.temporary (actual time=18.745.19.190 rows=1006 loops=1) |
|-> Aggregate using temporary table (actual time=18.740.18.740 rows=1006 loops=1) |
|-> Nested loop inner join (cost=2310.63 rows=1700) (actual time=0.183.15.943 rows=1029 loops=1) |
|-> Nested loop inner join (cost=2310.63 rows=1700) (actual time=0.187.1.14.504 rows=1029 loops=1) |
|-> Nested loop inner join (cost=2510.77 rows=1700) (actual time=0.157.1.39.58 rows=1029 loops=1) |
|-> Nested loop inner join (cost=2510.77 rows=1700) (actual time=0.157.1.39.58 rows=1029 loops=1) |
|-> Nested loop inner join (cost=2510.77 rows=1700) (actual time=0.157.1.39.58 rows=2979 loops=1) |
|-> Nested loop inner join (cost=2510.77 rows=1700) (actual time=0.157.1.39.58 rows=2979 loops=1) |
|-> Nested loop inner join (cost=2510.77 rows=1700) (actual time=0.100.1.000 rows=1) (actual time=0.001.0.003 rows=1) (actual time=0.001.0.003 rows=1) (actual time=0.001.0.001 rows=1 loops=299 |
|-> Single=row index lookup on g using PRIMANY (DepartmentCodes on Joseps=10.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.001.0.001 rows=1 loops=1029) |
|-> Single=row index lookup on g using PRIMANY (DepartmentCodes on Joseps=10.001.0.001 rows=1 loops=1029) |
|-> Single=row index lookup on g using PRIMANY (DepartmentCodes on Joseps=10.001.0.001 rows=1 loops=1029) |
|-> Single=row index lookup on g using PRIMANY (DepartmentCodes on Joseps=10.001.0.001 rows=1 loops=1029) |
```

Observations / Analysis:

- Nested loop inner join cost: 3805.89
- The cost did not change for this indexing, likely because most of the cost comes from the joins on attributes that are already indexed as primary keys.

Query 1 Final Analysis:

- None of our other indexing schemes helped decrease the cost
- We chose items that were in the GROUP BY portion of this query since the joins were on primary keys, but it did not seem to affect the performance in this case, likely because the GROUP BY didn't seem to have an affect on the cost with the primary keys also being included and used for the index lookups (seen in the screenshots).
- For simplicity, we will consider the original indexing the best.

Query 2:

Indexing Analysis Report:

Initially the query aims to rank departments based on their academic performance (average GPA of courses) and breadth of academic offerings (number of distinct courses), reflecting departmental academic standing.

On Running EXPLAIN ANALYZE on the second query following output was obtained:

Stream results cost = 91,406.77

Indexing Design 1

Indexing CourseNum in the GeneralCourse table::

```
CREATE INDEX idx_general_course_course_num ON GeneralCourse(CourseNum);
```

After indexing CourseNum

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=24.831.24.883 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: CombinedScore DESC, DepartmentCode (actual time=24.880..24.881 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Table scan on <temporary (cost=2.50..2.50 rows=0) (actual time=24.773..24.804 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Temporary table (cost=0.00..00 rows=0) (actual time=24.773..24.872 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Window aggregate: rank() OVER (ORDER BY ((ifnull(g.AverageGPA,0) * 0.7) + (ifnull(c.CourseCount,0) * 0.3)) desc ) (actual time=24.611..24.717 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Sort: CombinedScore DESC (actual time=24.621.24.621 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Storts mesults (cost=91406.77 rows=0) (actual time*23.805..24.513 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Nested loop left join (cost=91406.77 rows=0) (actual time*23.784..24.287 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Nested loop left join (cost=91406.77 rows=0) (actual time*23.784..24.287 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Nested loop left join (cost=91406.77 rows=0) (actual time*20.055..0.131 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Naterial scan on d (cost=18.95 rows=187) (actual time*0.055..0.131 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Table scan on d (cost=18.95 rows=187) (actual time*0.055..0.131 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Materialize CTE DepartmentGPA (cost=0.00..0.00 rows=0) (actual time=19.864..19.864 rows=135 loops=1)
-> Table scan on *temporary* (actual time=19.701..19.725 rows=135 loops=1)
-> Table scan on GPAHistory (cost=1807.30 rows=17223) (actual time=0.081..8.972 rows=17294 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on c using Gauto key0* (DepartmentCode=d-DepartmentCode) (actual time=0.081..8.972 rows=187)
-> Materialize CTE DepartmentCode=d-DepartmentCode) (actual time=0.811..0.022 rows=1 loops=187)
-> Materialize CTE DepartmentCode=d-DepartmentCode) (actual time=0.811..0.022 rows=1 loops=187)
-> Sort: GeneralCourse.DepartmentCode (cost=450.45 rows=3782) (actual time=2.595..2.829 rows=4497 loops=1)
-> Sort: GeneralCourse.DepartmentCode (cost=450.45 rows=3782) (actual time=2.595..2.829 rows=4497 loops=1)
-> Index scan on GeneralCourse using idk_general_course_course_num (actual time=0.025..1.417 rows=4497 loops=1)
```

Observations / Analysis

- Stream results cost = 91406.77
- This indexing scheme was the same as the original, and that is a bit surprising since the index we made is actually used (where the primary key is used in the original). This may be because the number of unique course numbers at the time of indexing was the same as the number of entries (corresponding to the same number of primary key values), but more in depth analysis is needed.

Indexing Design 2

Indexing DepartmentCode in the GPAHistory:

CREATE INDEX idx_gpa_history_department_code ON GPAHistory(DepartmentCode);

After indexing CourseNum

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=273.917.273.919 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: CombinedScore DESC, DepartmentCode (actual time=273.916.273.918 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Table scan on (Lemporary) (cost=2.50.2.50 rows=0) (actual time=273.822.273.852 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Temporary table (cost=0.00..0.00 rows=0) (actual time=273.822.273.852 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Window aggregate: rank() OVER (ORDER BY ((intull(a.NevrageGAPA,0) * 0.7) + ((intull(c.CourseCount,0) * 0.3)) desc ) (actual time=273.679..273.784 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Sort: CombinedScore DESC (actual time=273.670..273.690 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=212848255.07 rows=12180691182) (actual time=272.855..273.579 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Nested loop left join (cost=1218482595.07 rows=12180691182) (actual time=272.842..273.359 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Nested loop left join (cost=326348.08 rows=320701) (actual time=272.842..273.359 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Nested loop left join (cost=32634.08 rows=320701) (actual time=272.842..273.359 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Table scan on d (cost=18.95 rows=187) (actual time=0.059..0.123 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Table scan on d (cost=18.95 rows=187) (actual time=0.059..0.123 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Table scan on d (cost=18.95 rows=187) (actual time=0.059..0.123 rows=187.282..37.282 rows=135 loops=1)
-> Table scan on GPAMistory using idx gpa history departmentCode (actual time=2.200..37.022 rows=135 loops=1)
-> Index scan on GPAMistory using idx gpa history department code (cost=1807.30 rows=17223) (actual time=2.200..37.022 rows=135 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on c using (auto keyb) (DepartmentCode departmentCode) (actual time=2.260...27.02 rows=135 loops=1)
-> Materialize CTE DepartmentCode (cost=525.60 rows=3782) (actual time=2.200..37.02 rows=135 loops=1)
-> Index lookup on c using (auto keyb) (DepartmentCode) departmentCode (cost=100.67 rows=3782) (actual time=234.130..234.387 rows=4497 loops=1)
-> Sort: GeneralCourse (cost=1206.85 rows=3782) (actual time=234.130..234.387 rows=4497 loops=1)
-> Sort: GeneralCourse using FRIMARY (actual time=0.022
```

Observations / Analysis

- Stream results cost = 1,218,482,595.07
- We are surprised by these results because the output is very similar. The materialize CTE step is where the issues lie in this one because the costs on the others for this step are 0, but they are very high for this query, so indexing GPAHistory with the DepartmentCode increased the overhead needed for that step significantly somehow.

Indexing Design 3

Indexing DepartmentCode in the General Course:

```
CREATE INDEX idx_general_course_department_code ON
GeneralCourse(DepartmentCode);
```

After Indexing DepartmentCode in the General Course:

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=25,506,25,509 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: CombinedScore DESC, DepartmentCode (actual time=25,406,25,409 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Table scan on temporary> (cost=2.50,2.50 rows=0) (actual time=25,406,25,409 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Temporary table (cost=0.00.0.00 rows=0) (actual time=25,406,25,409 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Window aggregate: rank() OVER (GNDER BY (ifinul)(a,vavrageGPA,0) * 0.7) + (ifinul)(c.CourseCount,0) * 0.3)) desc ) (actual time=25.237..25.343 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Sort: CombinedScore DESC (actual time=25.229..25.249 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Stream results (cost=91429.79 rows=0) (actual time=24.241..24.878 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Nested loop left join (cost=91429.79 rows=0) (actual time=24.241..24.878 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Nested loop left join (cost=91429.79 rows=0) (actual time=24.241..24.878 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Nested loop left join (cost=91429.79 rows=0) (actual time=24.241..24.878 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Table scan on (cost=18.95 rows=187) (actual time=0.054..0.206 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Table scan on (cost=18.95 rows=187) (actual time=0.054..0.206 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Naterialize CTE DepartmentCDA (cost=0.00..0.00 rows=0) (actual time=21.236..21.236 rows=185 loops=1)
-> Table scan on (temporary (actual time=21.033..21.031 rows=135 loops=1)
-> Table scan on (temporary (actual time=21.033..21.031 rows=135 loops=1)
-> Table scan on (temporary (actual time=21.031..21.031 rows=135 loops=1)
-> Naterialize CTE DepartmentCOde (actual time=0.017..0.017 rows=1 loops=187)
-> Materialize CTE DepartmentCodes (DepartmentCodes (actual time=0.017..0.017 rows=1 loops=187)
-> Materialize CTE DepartmentCodes (cost=1800.30 rows=3783) (actual time=0.075..2.748 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Group aggregate: count(distinct GeneralCourse.CourseNum) (cost=756.60 rows=3783) (actual time=0.075..2.748 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Covering index skip scan for deduplication on GeneralCourse using idx_general_course_department_code (cost=378.30 rows=3783) (actual time=0.075..2.748 rows=187 loops=1)
-> Covering index skip scan f
```

Observations / Analysis

- Stream results cost = 91429.79
- This indexing scheme resulted in slightly worse results than the original, and this is a bit strange because certain parts of the query are better than the original, but it seems to be made up in some of the stranger index lookups.

Query 2 Final Analysis:

- None of our other indexing schemes helped decrease the cost, but some increased the cost
- This query likely didn't go down because most of the work was done with the primary keys, but we are still a little perplexed by the significantly increased cost for the 2nd indexing because that is something used in the joins in this query, so that is something that needs further analysis.
- For simplicity, we will consider the original indexing the best.

Query 3:

Indexing Analysis Report:

The query aims to List the number of 1 Credit courses offered by the CS department having avg_grade > 3.9.

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (extual time=14.028..14.808 row=0 loops=1)

>> Sort: g contendum BRD (extual time=14.818..14.808 row=0 loops=1)

>> Filter: (q, Nvg Grado >= 3.90) (actual time=14.813..14.113 rows=0 loops=1)

>> Table scan on (temporary (cost=2018.763..2133..2 row=258) (actual time=14.812..14.812 rows=0 loops=1)

>> Temporary table with deduplication (cost=2187.61..2187.61 rows=258) (actual time=14.806..14.806 row=0 loops=1)

-> Nested loop inner join (cost=218.81 row=258) (actual time=14.782..14.782 row=0 loops=1)

-> Filter: (q. Year' in (2022,2023)) and (g. Sementer like 'Sp %') and (g. CourseNum is not null)) (cost=1807.30 rows=383) (actual time=14.780..14.780 rows=0 loops=1)

-> Table scan on g (cost=1807.30 rows=17223) (actual time=0.12.281 zows=17224 loops=1)

-> Filter: (c.CreditBours = '1 hours.') (cost=0.28 rows=1) (never executed)

-> Index lookup on c using FRIMARY (GourseNum - ColorseNum) (cover executed)
```

Table scan cost: 2193.32

Indexing Design 1

Indexing GPAHistory(Avg_grade) for this query:

CREATE INDEX idx GPAHistory Avg Grade ON GPAHistory (Avg Grade);

After running for GPAHistory(Avg_grade)

```
-> Sort: g.CourseNum DESC (actual time=10.115.10.115 rows=0 loops=1)
-> Filter: (g.Avg.Catade >= 3.90) (actual time=10.107.10.107 rows=0 loops=1)
-> Table scan on ttemporary> (cost=2187.63.2193.32 rows=258) (actual time=10.106.10.106 rows=0 loops=1)
-> Temporary table with deduplication (cost=2167.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.2187.61.
```

Observations / Analysis

- Table scan cost: 2193.32
- The cost did not change for this indexing, likely because most of the cost comes from the
 joins on attributes that are already indexed as primary keys. The new index we chose did
 not have an effect on which indices were used for lookup in this case (the primary keys
 were still used).

Indexing Design 2

Indexing GeneralCourse(CourseName) for this query:

```
CREATE INDEX idx_GeneralCourse_CourseName1 ON
GeneralCourse(CourseName);
```

After running for GeneralCourse(CourseName)

```
-> Sort: g.CourseNum DESC (actual time=9.923..9.923 rows=0 loops=1)
-> Filter: (g.Avg_Grade >= 3.90) (actual time=9.915..9.915 rows=0 loops=1)
-> Table scan on ttemporaryy (cost=2187.61..2187.61 rows=258) (actual time=9.914..9.914 rows=0 loops=1)
-> Temporary table with deduplication (cost=2187.61..2187.61 rows=258) (actual time=9.910..9.910 rows=0 loops=1)
-> Noted loop inner join (cost=2181.61 rows=258) (actual time=9.934..9.894 rows=0 loops=1)
-> Filter: (g. Year in (2022,2023)) and (g.Semester like '8p ') and (g.CourseNum is not null)) (cost=1807.30 rows=383) (actual time=9.893..9.893 rows=0 loops=1)
-> Table scan on g (cost=1807.30 rows=17223) (actual time=0.062..8.267 rows=17294 loops=1)
-> Filter: (c.Creditiours = '1 hours.') (cost=0.25 rows=1) (nows=noted)
-> Abded loops and seving BENNEY (Cost=0.025 rows=1) (rows= rows=0.025 rows=1) (rows= rows=0.025 rows=0.025 rows=0.025 rows=0.025) (rows=0.025 rows=0.025 rows=0
```

Observations / Analysis

- Table scan cost: 2193.32
- The cost did not change for this indexing, likely because most of the cost comes from the
 joins on attributes that are already indexed as primary keys. The new index we chose did
 not have an effect on which indices were used for lookup in this case (the primary keys
 were still used).

<u>Indexing Design 3</u>

Indexing GeneralCourse(CreditHours) for this query:

```
CREATE INDEX idx_GeneralCourse_CreditHours1 ON
GeneralCourse(CreditHours);
```

After running for GeneralCourse(CreditHours)

Observations / Analysis

- Table scan cost: 2176.99
- This index results in a slightly lower cost than the original.
- One can see that unlike the other results, the index we created was actually used in place of the primary key for the index lookup, which is where we get our slightly lower cost.

Query 3 Final Analysis:

- Only the third indexing scheme reduced the cost
- Similar to Query 1, the first two indexing schemes used attributes that were in the GROUP BY portion of the query, which had no effect on the output. However, since CreditHours was also included in the WHERE clause, we think that is why the third indexing scheme was slightly improved from the original.

- The third indexing is the best for this query.

Query 4:

Indexing analysis report:

The Query aims to List the Number of Courses offered by the CS and ECE departments with an avg_grade greater than or equal to 3.5 using Union operator.

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (cost=4025.55.4025.55 rows=15) (actual time=29.842..29.848 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Sort: Semester, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (cost=4025.55.4025.55 rows=15) (actual time=29.841..29.844 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Table scan on cunion temporaryy (cost=5025.64.2033.07 rows=603 (actual time=29.841..29.844 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Table scan on temporaryy (cost=109.39.71.910.97 rows=403) (actual time=1.467..14.853 rows=112 loops=1)
-> Pented loop inner join (cost=109.39..1917.24 rows=403) (actual time=1.467..14.853 rows=112 loops=1)
-> Finder loop inner join (cost=109.37.190.97.7 rows=403) (actual time=1.670..14.870 rows=132 loops=1)
-> Finder loop inner join (cost=109.37.190.97.7 rows=403) (actual time=1.65..12.736 rows=1721 loops=1)
-> Finder loop inner join (cost=109.37.190.97.7 rows=403)
-> Table scan on (cost=109.37.190.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.200.97.
```

Limit cost: 4025.55

Indexing Design 1

Indexing GPAHistory(Avg_grade) for this query:

CREATE INDEX idx GPAHistory Avg Grade ON GPAHistory (Avg Grade);

After running for GPAHistory(Avg_grade)

Observations / Analysis

- Limit cost: 4224.39
- This index caused an increase in the cost by about 200.
- Many of the operations within the query increased their cost by a small amount, leading to the overall increase. This may be due to the increased cost of reading the index into memory, which for a relatively small dataset like this, is considerable relative to the overall cost of the query.

Indexing Design 2

Indexing GeneralCourse(CourseName) for this query:

```
CREATE INDEX idx_GeneralCourse_CourseName ON
GeneralCourse(CourseName);
```

After Running for GeneralCourse(CourseName)

Observations / Analysis

- Limit cost: 4025.55
- This query costs the exact same as the original. Since CourseName is only used in the Group By clause, indexing by it did not induce any effect on the query.

Indexing Design 3

Indexing GeneralCourse(CreditHours) for this query:

```
CREATE INDEX idx_GeneralCourse_CreditHours ON
GeneralCourse(CreditHours);
```

After Running for GeneralCourse(CreditHours)

Observations / Analysis

- Limit cost: 4025.55
- This index did not change the performance of the query at all. Once again, CreditHours is only used in the Group By clause, and we believe that due to this, it did not have an effect on the overall performance of the query.

Query 4 Final Analysis:

None of the proposed indices reduced the cost. Due to this, we would choose not to implement any of these indices. However, as we continue with the project, we can experiment with different indices for our finalized queries used in our application to see if any others could have a positive effect on this query.

Overall Index Design:

Most of our index choices did not improve the cost. However, one index, on

GeneralCourse(CreditHours), did improve the cost of Query 3 a bit. Since that was the only index we saw improvement on, and also didn't reduce the performance of other queries, we will use this index going forward in our database. We will continue to evaluate and analyze the performance of different indices as we determine the final structure of the queries we will use in our application.